ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE LINCEI CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI

RENDICONTI LINCEI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI

SALVATORE RIONERO

$L^2\mbox{-stability}$ of the solutions to a nonlinear binary reaction-diffusion system of P.D.E.s

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Serie 9, Vol. **16** (2005), n.4, p. 227–238. Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN_2005_9_16_4_227_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

> Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica) SIMAI & UMI http://www.bdim.eu/

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 2005.

SALVATORE RIONERO

L²-STABILITY OF THE SOLUTIONS TO A NONLINEAR BINARY REACTION-DIFFUSION SYSTEM OF P.D.ES.

To Guido Zappa on the occasion of his 90th birthday

ABSTRACT. — The L^2 -stability (instability) of a binary nonlinear reaction diffusion system of P.D.Es. – either under Dirichlet or Neumann boundary data – is considered. Conditions allowing the reduction to a stability (instability) problem for a linear binary system of O.D.Es. are furnished. A peculiar Liapunov functional V linked (together with the time derivative along the solutions) by direct simple relations to the eigenvalues, is used.

KEY WORDS: Nonlinear Stability; Liapunov Direct Method; Reaction - Diffusion Systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subset \Re^3$ be a bounded smooth domain. The nonlinear stability analysis of an equilibrium state in Ω of two «substances» diffusing in Ω can be traced back to the nonlinear stability analysis of the zero solution of a dimensionless binary system of P.D.Es. like

(1)
$$\begin{cases} u_t = a_1 u + a_2 v + \gamma_1 \varDelta u + f(u, v, \nabla u, \nabla v) \\ v_t = a_3 u + a_4 v + \gamma_2 \varDelta v + g(u, v, \nabla u, \nabla v) \end{cases}$$

with f and g nonlinear and

(2)
$$\begin{cases} a_i = \text{const.} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) \\ \gamma_i = \text{const.} > 0(i = 1, 2) \\ (u = v = 0) \Rightarrow f = g = 0 \\ u : (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega \times \Re^+ \to u(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Re \\ v : (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega \times \Re^+ \to v(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Re \end{cases}$$

under Dirichlet boundary conditions

(3) $u = v = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \times \Re^+$

or Neumann boundary conditions (**n** being the unit outward normal to $\partial \Omega$)

(4)
$$\frac{du}{dn} = \frac{dv}{dn} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times \Re^+$$

with the additional conditions

(5)
$$\int_{\Omega} u d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} v d\Omega = 0, \forall t \in \Re^+,$$

in the case (4). The stability problems (1)-(5) are encountered in many models of real world phenomena like fluid motion in porous media, heat conduction, spatial ecology (see [1-8] and references quoted therein).

Denoting by

- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the scalar product in $L^2(\Omega)$;
- $\|\cdot\|$ the $L^2(\Omega)$ -norm;

 $H_0^1(\Omega)$ the Sobolev space such that

$$\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \to \left\{ \varphi^2 + (\nabla \varphi)^2 \in L(\Omega), \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \right\};$$

 $H^1_*(\Omega)$ the Sobolev space such that

$$\varphi \in H^1_*(\Omega) \to \left\{ \varphi^2 + (\nabla \varphi)^2 \in L(\Omega), \frac{d\varphi}{d\mathbf{n}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \int_{\Omega} \varphi d\Omega = 0 \right\};$$

the L^2 -stability of $(u_* = v_* = 0)$ with respect to the perturbation (u, v) belonging, $\forall t \in \Re^+$, to $[H_0^1(\Omega)]^2$ in the case (3) and to $[H_*^1(\Omega)]^2$ in the case (4)-(5), has been studied in [7, 8] under the assumptions

(6)
$$\begin{cases} \|f\| + \|g\| = o\left[(\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2)^{1/2}\right] \\ b_1 = a_1 - \overline{a}\gamma_1 < 0 \\ b_4 = a_4 - \overline{a}\gamma_2 < 0 \end{cases}$$

 \overline{a} being the positive constant appearing in the Poincaré - Wirtinger inequality (1)

(7)
$$\|\nabla \varphi\|^2 \ge \overline{a} \|\varphi\|^2$$

holding both in the spaces $H_0^1(\Omega)$, $H_*^1(\Omega)$. As it is well known, $\overline{a} = \overline{a}(\Omega) > 0$ is the lowest eigenvalues λ of

$$\Delta \phi + \lambda \phi = 0$$

(1) When Ω is a «cell of periodicity» in three dimensions like

$$\Omega: \mathbf{x} = (x, y, z) \in \Omega \Rightarrow 0 \le x \le a, 0 \le y \le b, |z| \le \frac{1}{2}$$

with *u* and *v* periodic in *x* and *y* directions of period *a* and *b* respectively, then (3) (4) are required only on $|z| = \frac{1}{2}$ ([4, p. 237] and [5, pp. 387-388]).

L²-STABILITY OF THE SOLUTIONS TO A NONLINEAR BINARY ...

respectively in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $H_*^1(\Omega)$ (*i.e.* the principal eigenvalue of $-\Delta$). In the present paper we reconsider the problem requiring (6)₁ and only $b_1 + b_4 < 0$. Our aim is to show that the stability (instability) of the critical point ($u_* = v_* = 0$) of (1) is implied by the stability (instability) of the critical point $\xi_* = \eta_* = 0$ of the linear binary system of O.D.Es.

(8)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\xi}{dt} = b_1 \xi + a_2 \eta \\ \frac{d\eta}{dt} = a_3 \xi + b_4 \eta , \end{cases}$$

without requiring $a_2 = a_3$, *i.e.* the symmetry of the linear operator acting in (1) [see *iv*) of Section 5].

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce a suitable rescaling transformation for u and v and a basic Liapunov functional V such that the sign of $\frac{dV}{dt}$ along the solutions of (1) is linked directly to the eigenvalues of (8). Section 3 is dedicated to the stability, while the instability is considered in Section 4. The paper ends with some final remarks (Section 5).

2. Preliminaries

Denoting by a and β two rescaling constants to be chosen suitably later, and setting

(9)
$$u = a\overline{u}, v = \beta\overline{v}, f^* = \gamma_1(\Delta u + \overline{a}u), g^* = \gamma_2(\Delta v + \overline{a}v),$$

in view of (1), we obtain

(10)
$$\begin{cases} \overline{u}_t = b_1 \overline{u} + b_2 \overline{v} + \overline{f}^* + \overline{f} \\ \overline{v}_t = b_3 \overline{u} + b_4 \overline{v} + \overline{g}^* + \overline{g} \end{cases}$$

with

(11)
$$\begin{cases} \overline{f}^* = \frac{1}{a} f^* \Big|_{(u=a\overline{u})}, \quad \overline{g}^* = \frac{1}{\beta} g^* \Big|_{(v=\beta\overline{v})}, \quad b_2 = \frac{\beta}{a} a_2 \\ \overline{f}^* = \frac{1}{a} f^* \Big|_{(u=a\overline{u})}, \quad \overline{g}^* = \frac{1}{\beta} g^* \Big|_{(v=\beta\overline{v})}, \quad b_3 = \frac{a}{\beta} a_3. \end{cases}$$

In the sequel we will use essentially the following peculiar Liapunov functional

(12)
$$V(\overline{u},\overline{v}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[A(\|\overline{u}\|^2 + \|\overline{v}\|^2) + \|b_1\overline{v} - b_3\overline{u}\|^2 + \|b_2\overline{v} - b_4\overline{u}\|^2 \right],$$

with

(13)
$$A = b_1 b_4 - b_2 b_3 = b_1 b_4 - a_2 a_3, I = b_1 + b_4.$$

By virtue of

(14)
$$\frac{dV}{dt} = (A + b_3^2 + b_4^2)\langle \overline{u}, \overline{u}_t \rangle + (A + b_1^2 + b_2^2)\langle \overline{v}, \overline{v}_t \rangle - (b_1 b_3 + b_2 b_4)(\langle \overline{u}, \overline{u}_t \rangle + \langle \overline{v}, \overline{u}_t \rangle).$$

taking into account that along the solutions of (10) one immediately obtains

(15)
$$\begin{cases} \langle \overline{u}, \overline{u}_t \rangle = b_1 \langle \overline{u}, \overline{u}_t \rangle + b_2 \langle \overline{u}, \overline{v} \rangle + \langle \overline{u}, \overline{f}^* + \overline{f} \rangle \\ \langle \overline{v}, \overline{v}_t \rangle = b_3 \langle \overline{u}, \overline{v} \rangle + b_4 \langle \overline{v}, \overline{v} \rangle + \langle \overline{v}, \overline{g}^* + \overline{g} \rangle \\ \langle \overline{v}, \overline{u}_t \rangle = b_1 \langle \overline{u}, \overline{v} \rangle + b_2 \langle \overline{v}, \overline{v} \rangle + \langle \overline{v}, \overline{f}^* + \overline{f} \rangle \\ \langle \overline{u}, \overline{v}_t \rangle = b_3 \langle \overline{u}, \overline{u}_t \rangle + b_4 \langle \overline{u}, \overline{v} \rangle + \langle \overline{u}, \overline{g}^* + \overline{g} \rangle, \end{cases}$$

6.1900

by straightforward calculations it turns out that along the solution of (10)

(16)
$$\frac{dV}{dt} = AI(\|\overline{u}\|^2 + \|\overline{v}\|^2) + \Psi^* + \Psi$$

with

(17)
$$\begin{cases}
\Psi^* = \langle a_1 \overline{u} - a_3 \overline{v}, \overline{f}^* \rangle + \langle a_2 \overline{v} - a_3 \overline{u}, \overline{g}^* \rangle \\
\Psi = \langle a_1 \overline{u} - a_3 \overline{v}, \overline{f} \rangle + \langle a_2 \overline{v} - a_3 \overline{u}, \overline{g} \rangle \\
a_1 = A + b_3^2 + b_4^2, \ a_2 = A + b_1^2 + b_2^2 \\
a_3 = b_1 b_3 + b_2 b_4.
\end{cases}$$

REMARK 1. We observe that

i) the eigenvalues of (8) are given by

(18)
$$\lambda = \frac{I\sqrt{I^2 - 4A}}{2}$$

hence

(19)
$$\begin{cases} I = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \\ A = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \\ AI = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\lambda_1 \lambda_2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

(20) I < 0

imply the asymptotic exponential stability of the null solution of (8), while either

,

(21)
$$I > 0$$

or

imply the instability. In fact let (22) hold. Then the eigenvalues of (8) are real positive numbers. Analogously when (21) hold with $A \ge 0$, at least one of the eigenvalues of (8) is a real positive number (case $I \ge 4A$), or has positive real part (case I < 4A).

ii) The rescaling $\{u = a\overline{u}, v = \beta\overline{v}\}$ does not influence A and I.

3. $L^2(\Omega)$ -stability

LEMMA 1. Let

(23)
$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 \\ A > 0 \,. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(24) \Psi^* \le 0$$

PROOF. In view of (17) and $(22)_2$ it follows that

(25)
$$a_i > 0 \quad i = 1, 2.$$

(26)
$$\Psi^*(\Omega) = \gamma_1 a_1 \left[-\|\nabla \overline{u}\|^2 + \overline{a} \|\overline{u}\|^2 \right] + \gamma_2 a_2 \left[-\|\nabla \overline{v}\|^2 + \overline{a} \|\overline{v}\|^2 \right] + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) a_3 \left[\langle \nabla \overline{v}, \nabla \overline{u} \rangle - \overline{a} \langle \overline{u}, \overline{v} \rangle \right].$$

For $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma$, it follows that

(27)
$$\Psi^{*}(\Omega) = \begin{cases} -A\gamma \Big[\|\nabla \overline{u}\|^{2} + \|\nabla \overline{v}\|^{2} - \overline{a} \Big(\|\overline{u}\|^{2} + \|\overline{v}\|^{2} \Big) \Big] \\ -\gamma \Big[\|\nabla (b_{1}\overline{u} + b_{3}\overline{v})\|^{2} - \overline{a} \|b_{1}\overline{u} + b_{3}\overline{v}\|^{2} \Big] + \\ -\gamma \Big[\|\nabla (b_{2}\overline{u} + b_{4}\overline{v})\|^{2} - \overline{a} \|b_{2}\overline{u} + b_{4}\overline{v}\|^{2} \Big]. \end{cases}$$

Let $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$ and assume, for the sake of concreteness, $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2$. Then the following Lemmas hold.

LEMMA 2. Let

(28)
$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 \\ A > 0 \, . \end{cases}$$

If exists a constant μ such that choosing

(29)
$$\frac{a}{\beta} = \mu$$

S. RIONERO

it turns out that

(30)
$$\frac{|a_3|}{\sqrt{a_1a_2}} \le 2\frac{\sqrt{\gamma_1\gamma_2}}{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}$$

then (24) holds.

PROOF. (30) implies either

(31)
$$(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)a_3 = \pm 2\sqrt{\gamma_1\gamma_2a_1a_2}$$

or

(32) $(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)a_3 = \pm 2\sqrt{\gamma_1 \overline{\gamma} a_1 a_2}$

with

(33)
$$\gamma_1 \leq \overline{\gamma} = \frac{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)^2 a_3^2}{4\gamma_1 a_1 a_2} < \gamma_2.$$

Then in view of (31) one obtains

(34)
$$\Psi^* = -\left[\left\| \nabla (\sqrt{a_1 \gamma_1} \,\overline{u} \mp \sqrt{a_2 \gamma_2} \overline{v}) \right\|^2 - \overline{a} \left\| \sqrt{a_1 \gamma_1} \,\overline{u} \mp \overline{a} \sqrt{a_2 \gamma_2} \overline{v} \right\|^2 \right] < 0.$$

Analogously - in the case (32) - setting

$$\varepsilon = \gamma_2 - \overline{\gamma}$$

it follows that

$$(35) \quad \Psi^* = -\varepsilon a_2 \Big[\|\nabla \overline{v}\|^2 - \overline{a} \|\overline{v}\|^2 \Big] - \Big[\|\nabla \Big(\sqrt{a_1 \gamma_1} \overline{u} \mp \sqrt{a_2} \overline{\gamma} \overline{v}\Big)\|^2 \mp \overline{a} \|\sqrt{a_1 \gamma_1} \overline{u} \mp \overline{a} \sqrt{a_2} \overline{\gamma} \overline{v}\|^2 \Big] < 0.$$

 $b_1 a_2 a_3 b_4 < 0$

LEMMA 3. Let (28) and

(36)

hold. Then choosing

(37)
$$\mu = \frac{a}{\beta} = \left| \frac{a_2 b_4}{b_1 a_3} \right|^2$$

(24) holds.

PROOF. In fact (37) implies $a_3 = 0$ and (24) is immediately implied by (26).

LEMMA 4. Let (28) and either

(38)
$$\frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{\sqrt{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}} |b_4| < 2\sqrt{A + b_4^2}$$

or

(39)
$$\frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{\sqrt{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}} |b_1| < 2\sqrt{A + b_1^2}$$

hold. Then (24) holds.

232

 $L^2\mbox{-}{\rm STABILITY}$ OF THE SOLUTIONS TO A NONLINEAR BINARY ...

PROOF. (30) - in view of (29) - can be written

(40)
$$|b_1a_3\mu^2 + a_2b_4| \le \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma_1\gamma_2}}{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}\sqrt{(A + \mu^2a_3^2 + b_4^2)[\mu^2(A + b_1^2) + a_2^2]}$$

Therefore (38) implies that (40) is verified strictly as inequality for $\mu = 0$, hence exists a μ_1 such that $\mu < \mu_1$ implies that (40) is verified. Analogously (39) implies that (40) is verified strictly as inequality in the limit $\mu \to \infty$. Therefore exists a μ_2 such that for $\mu > \mu_2$ Lemma 2 holds.

THEOREM 1. Let $(6)_1$ and (24) hold. Then

(41)
$$\begin{cases} I < 0 \\ A > 0 \end{cases}$$

imply the (local) L^2 -asymptotic exponential stability of the null solution of (1).

PROOF. In view of (16), it follows that

(42)
$$\frac{dV}{dt} \le -AI\left(\left\|\overline{u}\right\|^2 + \left\|\overline{v}\right\|^2\right) + \Psi.$$

By virtue of $(41)_2$, V is positive definite, further from (12) it easily follows that V is a measure equivalent to the $L^2(\Omega)$ -norm. In fact (12) implies

(43)
$$k_1 \left(\left\| \overline{u} \right\|^2 + \left\| \overline{v} \right\|^2 \right) < V < k_2 \left(\left\| \overline{u} \right\|^2 + \left\| \overline{v} \right\|^2 \right)$$

with

(44)
$$\begin{cases} k_1 = \frac{1}{2}A \\ k_2 = \frac{A}{2} + \sum_{1}^{4} b_i^2 \end{cases}$$

On the other hand – by virtue of (6) – it follows that exist two positive constant k and δ such that

(45)
$$\|\overline{f}\| + \|\overline{g}\| \le \delta \left(\|\overline{u}\|^2 + \|\overline{v}\|^2\right)^{k+\frac{1}{2}}$$

hence

(46)
$$\begin{cases} \langle a_1 \overline{u} - a_3 \overline{v}, \overline{f} \rangle \leq \delta(a_1 + |a_3|) \left(\|\overline{u}\|^2 + \|\overline{v}\|^2 \right)^{1+k} \\ \langle a_2 \overline{v} - a_3 \overline{u}, \overline{g} \rangle \leq \delta(a_2 + |a_3|) \left(\|\overline{u}\|^2 + \|\overline{v}\|^2 \right)^{1+k} \\ \Psi \leq \delta_1 \left(\|\overline{u}\|^2 + \|\overline{v}\|^2 \right)^{1+k} \end{cases}$$

with

(47)
$$\delta_1 = \delta \max(a_1 + |a_3|, a_2 + |a_3|).$$

Therefore (42)-(47) imply

(48)

with

(49)
$$d = \frac{A|I|}{k_2}, d_1 = \frac{\delta_1}{k_1^{1+k}} V^{1+k}$$

It follows that

$$(50) V_0^k < \frac{d}{d_1}$$

implies

(51)
$$\frac{dV}{dt} < -\eta V$$

with

(52)
$$\eta = d\left(1 - \frac{d_1}{d}V_0^k\right)$$

and hence

(53)

4. INSTABILITY

 $V \leq V_0 e^{-\eta t}$.

 $\frac{dV}{dt} \le -dV + d_1 V^{1+k}$

77 7

We consider now the linear instability of the null solution of (1). Precisely, let $\{\bar{a}_n, \varphi_n\}$, $(n = 1, 2, ...; \bar{a} = a_1)$ be the sequence of the eigenvalues (with the associated eigenfunctions in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $H_*^1(\Omega)$ according to (3) and (4)-(5), respectively) of (1). We study the instability of the null solution of

(54)
$$\begin{cases} u_{,t} = a_1 u + a_2 v + \gamma_1 \varDelta u \\ v_{,t} = a_3 u + a_4 v + \gamma_2 \varDelta v \end{cases}$$

with respect to the perturbations

(55)
$$\begin{cases} u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n, & v = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n \\ u_n = X_n(t)\varphi_n, & v_n = Y_n(t)\varphi_n \\ X_n \in C^1(\Re^+), & Y_n \in C^1(\Re^+). \end{cases}$$

$L^2\mbox{-}STABILITY$ OF THE SOLUTIONS TO A NONLINEAR BINARY \dots

Then, by virtue of the linearity and

(56)

(8) gives

(57)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX_n}{dt} = b_{1n}X_n + a_2Y_n\\ \frac{dY_n}{dt} = a_3X_n + b_{4n}Y_n \end{cases}$$

with

(58)
$$\begin{cases} b_{1n} = a_1 - \gamma_1 \bar{a}_n \\ b_{4n} = a_4 - \gamma_2 \bar{a}_n \end{cases}$$

Setting

(59)
$$\begin{cases} A_n = b_{1n}b_{4n} - a_2a_3\\ I_n = b_{1n} + b_{4n} \end{cases}$$

it follows that (for $\gamma_2 \ge \gamma_1$, $\gamma_2 = \gamma_1 + \xi$)

(60)
$$\begin{cases} A_n = A_1 + [\gamma_1^2(a_n - \bar{a}) + \xi(\bar{a}_n\gamma_1 - a_1) - \gamma_1 I_1](\bar{a}_n - \bar{a}) \\ I_n = I_1 - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(\bar{a}_n - \bar{a}) . \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 2. The linear instability of the null solution of (1) is implied by each n such that either

 $\Delta \varphi_n = -\bar{a}_n \varphi_n$

(61) $I_n > 0$

or

PROOF. See *i*) of Remark 1.

Remark 2.

i) Generally the coefficients a_i depend on some dimensionless parameters characteristic of the phenomenon at hands. Assuming that the parameters are only two and denoted by R and C, (61)-(62) can be written:

(63)
$$I(n, R, C) = b_{1n} + b_{4n} > 0$$

(64)
$$A(n,R,C) = b_{1n}b_{4n} - a_2a_3 < 0$$

respectively. Let (63)-(64) imply respectively

$$(65) R \le F(n,C)$$

$$(66) R \le G(n,C)$$

S. RIONERO

and set

(67)
$$\begin{cases} R_c^{(1)} = \inf_{N^+} F(n, C) \\ R_c^{(2)} = \inf_{N^+} G(n, C) \end{cases}.$$

Then the critical value $R^{(c)}$ of R guaranteeing that $R > R_C$ implies instability is given by (68) $R^{(c)} = \inf (R_c^{(1)}, R_c^{(2)}).$

ii) By virtue of $(60)_2$, I_n is a decreasing function of $\bar{a}_n - \bar{a}$. Hence exists an \bar{n} such that

 $0\leq I_{\bar{n}}\,,\quad I_{\bar{n}+1}<0,$

which implies

$$R_c^{(1)} = \inf_{n \le \bar{n}} F(n, C) \,.$$

Analogously, in view of $(60)_1$, it follows that exists a n^* such that

$$A_{n^*} < 0, \qquad A_{n^*+1} \ge 0$$

which imply

$$R_c^{(2)} = \inf_{n \le n^*} G(n, C)$$
.

iii) In the case $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$ the destabilizing effect of diffusion can appear. We refer to [7-8] for the details.

5. FINAL REMARKS

i) The L^2 -asymptotic stability implies the analogous stability with respect to the essential sup, in the weak sense of the asymptotic (Lebesgue) measure stability. In fact denoting by $\widehat{\Omega}(\varepsilon, |\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)|)$ the largest subdomain of Ω on each point of which, at time $t, |\varphi|$ is bigger than $\varepsilon > 0$ and by $\widetilde{\mu}(\varepsilon, |\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)|)$ the Lebesgue measure of $\widehat{\Omega}$, for $p \ge 1$, the following inequality holds [9]

(69)
$$\widetilde{\mu}\left(\left\|\varphi(\boldsymbol{x},t)\right\|_{p}^{\frac{p}{p+1}},\left|\varphi(\boldsymbol{x},t)\right|\right) \leq \left\|\varphi(\boldsymbol{x},t)\right\|_{p}^{\frac{p}{p+1}},\forall t\geq 0.$$

In particular for p = 2, it follows that

(70)
$$\widetilde{\mu}\Big(\|\varphi(\mathbf{x},t)\|_2^{\frac{2}{3}}, |\varphi(\mathbf{x},t)|\Big) \le \|\varphi(\mathbf{x},t)\|_2^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

and hence

(71)
$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \|\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)\| = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \tilde{\mu}(\varepsilon, |\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)|) = 0.$$

ii) If $\Psi \leq 0$, then Theorem 1 guarantees global L^2 -asymptotic exponential stability.

236

 $L^2\mbox{-}{\rm STABILITY}$ of the solutions to a nonlinear binary ...

iii) The stability-instability theorems 1-2 continue to hold for the more general system

(72)
$$\begin{cases} u_t = a_1 u + a_2 v + \boldsymbol{e} \cdot \nabla u + \gamma_1 \varDelta u + f \\ v_t = a_3 u + a_4 v + \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \nabla v + \gamma_2 \varDelta v + g \end{cases}$$

with \boldsymbol{e} and \boldsymbol{b} divergence free vectors, at least when either $a_3 = 0$ or $\boldsymbol{e} = \boldsymbol{b}$ in the case (3). In fact the contribution of $\boldsymbol{e} \cdot \nabla u, \boldsymbol{e} \cdot \nabla v$ to $\frac{dV}{dt}$ is

$$\langle a_1 u - a_3 v, \boldsymbol{e} \cdot \nabla u \rangle + \langle a_2 v - a_3 u, \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \nabla v \rangle =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[\langle a_1, \boldsymbol{e} \cdot \nabla u^2 \rangle + \langle u, \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \nabla v \rangle \right] =$$

$$= -a_3 \langle v, (\boldsymbol{e} - \boldsymbol{b}) \cdot \nabla u \rangle.$$

In the case (4), the additional conditions $\boldsymbol{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ are needed.

iv) By virtue of theorems 1-2 it turns out that either when Lemma 1 or Lemma 3 hold, the coincidence between the condition of linear and nonlinear stability is reached without restriction on γ_1 , γ_2 . This coincidence – without restriction on γ_1 , γ_2 – can be obtained also in the case

(73)
$$a_2a_3 > 0$$

by choosing as Liapunov functional $E = \frac{1}{2} [\|\bar{u}\|^2 + \|\bar{v}\|^2]$ with a suitable choice of $\frac{a}{\beta}$. In fact (1), in view of (9)₁, (9)₂ can be written

(74) $\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_t = \mathcal{L}\bar{\boldsymbol{u}} + \mathcal{N}\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}$

with

(75)
$$\mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + \gamma_1 \Delta & \frac{\beta}{a} a_2 \\ \frac{a}{\beta} a_3 & a_4 + \gamma_2 \Delta \end{pmatrix}$$

(76)
$$\mathcal{N} = \begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ & \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\boldsymbol{u}} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \bar{v} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the case (73) the linear operator \mathcal{L} can be symmetrized by choosing

(77)
$$\frac{a}{\beta} = \left(\frac{a_2}{a_3}\right)^{1/2}.$$

This choice allows to obtain the coincidence between linear and nonlinear stability in the $L^2(\Omega)$ -norm (we refer to [4, pp. 80-82] for the proof). Further from

(78)
$$\frac{1\,d}{2\,dt}\|\boldsymbol{u}\|^2 = <\mathcal{L}\bar{\boldsymbol{u}},\,\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}>+<\mathcal{N}\bar{\boldsymbol{u}},\,\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}>,$$

S. RIONERO

it follows that if

 $(79) \qquad \qquad < \mathcal{N}\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}, \, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}} > \leq 0$

then one obtains the global stability. This happens for instance in the case

(80)
$$f = \boldsymbol{e} \cdot \nabla \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}, \qquad \boldsymbol{g} = \boldsymbol{e} \cdot \nabla \bar{\boldsymbol{v}}$$

with e divergence free vector depending on (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) , under the additional condition $e \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ when (4) hold. In fact it follows that

(81)
$$\langle \mathcal{N}\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}, \bar{\boldsymbol{u}} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{e}, \nabla(\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}^2 + \bar{\boldsymbol{v}}^2) \rangle = 0.$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been performed under the auspicies of the G.N.F.M. of I.N.D.A.M. and M.I.U.R. (P.R.I.N.): «Propagazione non lineare e stabilità nei processi termodinamici del continuo».

References

- A. OKUBO S.A. LEVIN, Diffusion and ecological problems: modern prospectives. 2nd ed., Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics, vol. 14, Springer-Verlag, New York 2001, 488 pp.
- [2] J.D. MURRAY, Mathematical Biology. I. An Introduction. 3rd ed., Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics, vol. 17, Springer-Verlag, New York 2002, 600 pp.
- [3] J.D. MURRAY, Mathematical Biology. II. Spatial Models and Biomedical Applications. 3rd ed., Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics, vol. 18, Springer-Verlag, New York 2003, 811 pp.
- [4] B. STRAUGHAN, The energy method, stability, and nonlinear convection. 2nd ed., Appl. Math. Sci. Ser. vol. 91, Springer-Verlag, New York-London 2004, 240 pp.
- [5] R.S. CANTRELL C. COSNER, Spatial Ecology via Reaction-Diffusion Equations. Wiley Series in Mathematical and Computational Biology, Wiley, Chichester 2003, 411 pp.
- [6] J.N. FLAVIN S. RIONERO, Qualitative estimates for partial differential equations: an introduction. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida 1996, 360 pp.
- [7] S. RIONERO, A nonlinear L²-stability analysis for two-species population dynamics with dispersal. Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering, vol. 3, n. 1, 2006, 189-204.
- [8] S. RIONERO, A rigorous reduction of the L^2 -stability of the solutions to a nonlinear binary reaction-diffusion system of P.D.Es. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, to appear.
- [9] S. RIONERO, Asymptotic properties of solutions to nonlinear possibly degenerated parabolic equations in unbounded domains. Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids, vol. 10, 2005, 541-557.

Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni «Renato Caccioppoli» Università degli Studi di Napoli «Federico II» Complesso Monte Sant'Angelo Via Cintia - 80126 NAPOLI