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Teoria dei controlli. - Exact controllability of shells in minimal time. Nota di Paola Loreti, presentata (*) dal Socio C. Baiocchi.

Abstract. - We prove an exact controllability result for thin cups using the Fourier method and recent improvements of Ingham type theorems, given in a previous paper [2].
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Riassunto. - Controllabilità esatta di calotte in tempo minimo. Dimostriamo un risultato di controllabilità esatta per calotte sottili, utilizzando il metodo di Fourier e miglioramenti recenti di teoremi di tipo Ingham, dati in un precedente articolo [2].

## 1. Introduction and formulation of the main result

Since the introduction of the Hilbert Uniqueness Method by J.-L. Lions in 1986, see [14, 15], many works were devoted to the controllability and stabilizability of different plate models, see e.g. [12, 13]. The similar study of the more complex shell models is more recent, see e.g. [4-7], etc. The purpose of this paper is to prove optimal results for spherical shells with a central hole.

By the Love-Koiter linear shell theory $[17,19]$ we can formulate the mathematical model of a spherical cup of opening angle $0<\theta_{0}<\pi$ with a hole of opening angle $0<\theta_{1}<\theta_{0}$. In the case $\theta_{0}=\frac{\pi}{2}$ a similar analysis can be done also in the absence of a hole, see [16]. We only consider axially symmetric deformations. Then the meridional and radial displacements $u(\theta, t)$ and $w(\theta, t)$ of a point $P$, belonging to the middle surface of the shell, satisfy in $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{0}\right) \times \mathbb{R}$ the following coupled system of partial differential equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d u_{t t}-\mathcal{L}(u)+(1+\nu) w^{\prime}-e \mathcal{L}\left(u+w^{\prime}\right)=0  \tag{1.1}\\
d w_{t t}-\frac{1+\nu}{\sin \theta}(u \sin \theta)^{\prime}+\frac{e}{\sin \theta}\left[\mathcal{L}\left(u+w^{\prime}\right) \sin \theta^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+2(1+\nu) w=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where ' and the subscript $t$ stand for the derivatives with respect to $\theta$ and $t$,

$$
\mathcal{L}(v):=v^{\prime \prime}+v^{\prime} \cot \theta-\left(\nu+\cot ^{2} \theta\right) v,
$$

and $d, c, \nu$ are given constants. More precisely, denoting by $R$ and $h$ the radius and the half-thickness of the middle surface, by $\lambda$ and $\eta$ the Lamé constants, by $d_{0}$ the density and by $E$ the Young modulus, we have

$$
c=\frac{h^{2}}{3 R^{2}}, \quad \nu=\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+2 \eta} \quad \text { and } \quad d=\frac{d_{0} E}{1-\nu^{2}} R^{2} .
$$

Note that $-1<\nu<1 / 2$ and $c, d>0$.

According to the Hilbert Uniqueness method, the exact controllability of this system holds true in suitable function spaces provided a special uniqueness property is satisfied. This was explained for the present context in [6], so that in this paper we only study the required uniqueness of the solutions of (1.1) completed by the following boundary and initial conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{cases}u\left(\theta_{0}, t\right)=u\left(\theta_{1}, t\right)=0, \\
w^{\prime}\left(\theta_{0}, t\right)=w^{\prime}\left(\theta_{1}, t\right)=0, \\
\mathcal{L}\left(u+w^{\prime}\right)\left(\theta_{0}, t\right)=\mathcal{L}\left(u+w^{\prime}\right)\left(\theta_{1}, t\right)=0,\end{cases}  \tag{1.2}\\
& \begin{cases}u(\theta, 0)=u_{0}, \quad u_{t}(\theta, 0)=u_{1}, & \theta_{1}<\theta<\theta_{0} \\
w(\theta, 0)=w_{0}, \quad w_{t}(\theta, 0)=w_{1},\end{cases} \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from more general results established in [7] that the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) is well posed in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{H}$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{V}:=H_{0}^{1}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{0}\right) \times\left(H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}\right)\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{0}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{H}:=L^{2}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{0}\right) \times L^{2}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{0}\right) .
$$

In [7] more complex spaces are used, but under the present assumption $\theta_{1}>0$ they are equivalent to the above ones. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. For all but countably many exceptional values of $c$, the following uniqueness property holds true. If a solution of (1.1)-(1.3) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(\theta_{0}, t\right)=0, \quad 0<t<T \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $T>2 \sqrt{d}\left(\theta_{0}-\theta_{1}\right)$, then in fact $v=(u, w)$ vanishes identically in $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{0}\right) \times \mathbb{R}$.
Remark. The same conclusion was obtained in [4] for the particular case of the half-sphere $\left(\theta_{0}=\pi / 2, \theta_{1}=0\right)$, for some very particular choices of the parameters. The proof had two important ingredients:

- thanks to the particular choice of the angles the eigenfunctions of the infinitesimal generator $\mathcal{A}$ of the corresponding semigroup have an explicit representation by Legendre polynomials;
- thanks to the choice of the parameters the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies a crucial gap condition, enabling one to apply a classical generalization of Parseval's equality, due to Ingham [8].

In order to treat the present general case, we have to modify substantially our approach:

- without determinig explicitly the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}$, we can establish the existence of a Riesz basis of $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{H}$, formed by eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{A}$, and we can obtain a sufficiently precise information on the distribution of the corresponding eigenvalues by applying the spectral theory of ordinary differential operators as exposed by Titchmarsh in [20].
- The study of the eigenvalues shows that the gap condition needed for the application of Ingham's theorem is not satisfied in general. However, a weaker gap condition still holds, and this is still sufficient for our purposes because we may apply a recent generalization of Ingham's theorem, given in [1] (see also [2, 9]) which also extends a celebrated theorem of Beurling [3].


## 2. Representation of the solutions

Let us clarify the structure of the solutions of (1.1)-(1.3). We refer to [18] for the study of the spectrum in the general case. In the present particolar case, following [19], it is useful to introduce a primitive $s$ of $u$ with respect to $\theta$ and to use the differential operator

$$
\mathcal{D}(s)=s^{\prime \prime}+s^{\prime} \cot \theta+2 s
$$

Then, setting also

$$
k:=(1+c)(1+\nu)
$$

for brevity, (1.1) can be rewritten in a more convenient form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d s_{t t}=\mathcal{D}(s)+(c \mathcal{D}-k)(s+w)  \tag{2.1}\\
d w_{t t}=(1+\nu) \mathcal{D}(s)-\left(c \mathcal{D}^{2}-c(3+\nu) \mathcal{D}+2 k\right)(s+w)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consider the following eigenvalue problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\mathcal{D}\left(f_{j}\right)=\alpha_{j} f_{j} \quad \text { in } \quad\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{0}\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
f_{j}^{\prime}\left(\theta_{0}\right)=f_{j}^{\prime}\left(\theta_{1}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thanks to our assumption $0<\theta_{1}<\theta_{0}<\pi$ the coefficients of $\mathcal{D}$ are continuous on the compact interval $\left[\theta_{1}, \theta_{0}\right]$. (The assumption on the existence of a hole is crucial here.) We may therefore apply the spectral theory as developed in the first chapter of Titchmarsh's book [20]. Thus there exists a Riesz basis $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots$ of $L^{2}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{0}\right)$, formed by eigenfunctions of the problem (2.2). Furthermore, the following asymptotic relations are satisfied as $j \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{\alpha_{j}} & =\frac{j \pi}{\theta_{0}-\theta_{1}}+O\left(\frac{1}{j}\right),  \tag{2.3}\\
f_{j} & =\sqrt{\frac{2}{\theta_{0}-\theta_{1}}} \cos \left(\frac{j \pi \theta}{\theta_{0}-\theta_{1}}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{j}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Rewriting (2.1) in the operational form

$$
d v_{t t}=\mathcal{A} v, \quad v=(s, w)
$$

and using these eigenfunctions we can find a Riesz basis of $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{H}$, formed by eigenfunctions of the form $\left(\omega_{j} f_{j}, f_{j}\right)$ of $\mathcal{A}$. Indeed, the equation $\mathcal{A}\left(\omega_{j} f_{j}, f_{j}\right)=\lambda_{j}\left(\omega_{j} f_{j}, f_{j}\right)$ leads to the algebraic system

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(1+c) \alpha_{j}+k+\lambda_{j} & c \alpha_{j}+k \\
c \alpha_{j}^{2}+c(3+\nu) \alpha_{j}+(1+\nu) \alpha_{j}+2 k & c \alpha_{j}^{2}+c(3+\nu) \alpha_{j}+2 k+\lambda_{j}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\omega_{j}}{1}=0
$$

Proceeding as e.g. in [7] we have two solutions:

$$
\lambda_{j}^{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-B_{j} \pm \sqrt{B_{j}^{2}-4 C_{j}}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{j} & =c \alpha_{j}^{2}+[(1+c)+c(3+\nu)] \alpha_{j}+3(1+c)(1+\nu), \\
C_{j} & =c \alpha_{j}^{3}+2 c \alpha_{j}^{2}+(1+c)\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) \alpha_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\omega_{j}^{ \pm}=\frac{c \alpha_{j}+(1+c)(1+\nu)}{\lambda_{j}^{ \pm}+(1+c) \alpha_{j}+(1+c)(1+\nu)}
$$

Moreover, we may assume that the numbers $\lambda_{0}^{ \pm}, \lambda_{1}^{ \pm}, \ldots$ are pairwise distinct and different from zero (this holds for all but countably many exceptional values of $c$ ).

Since $\alpha_{j} \rightarrow \infty$, one obtains easily the asymptotic relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j}^{+} \sim-\alpha_{j}, \quad \lambda_{j}^{-} \sim-c \alpha_{j}^{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{j}^{+} \sim 1, \quad \omega_{j}^{-} \sim-1 / \alpha_{j} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Proposition 2.1 from [11], we conclude that the vectors

$$
\left(\omega_{j}^{ \pm} f_{j}, f_{j}\right), \quad j=0,1, \ldots
$$

form a Riesz basis in $\mathcal{H}$ and that the solutions of (2.1), (1.2), (1.3) (with $u=s^{\prime}$ ) are given by the series

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
(s, w)(t)=\sum_{j}\left(a_{j} e^{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{+} / d} t}\right. & \left.+b_{j} e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{+} / d} t}\right)\left(\omega_{j}^{+}\right.
\end{array} f_{j}, f_{j}\right)+\quad . \quad \begin{aligned}
& \quad+\sum_{j}\left(c_{j} e^{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{-} / d} t}+d_{j} e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{-} / d} t}\right)\left(\omega_{j}^{-} f_{j}, f_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with suitable complex coefficients $a_{j}, b_{j}, c_{j}$ and $d_{j}$, depending on the initial data.

## 3. Proof of the uniqueness theorem

We begin by formulating a special case of a generalization of a classical theorem due to Beurling [3], proved in [1] and [2]. Let $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of real numbers. Assume that there exists a number $\gamma^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\lambda_{n+2}-\lambda_{n} \geq 2 \gamma^{\prime}
$$

for all $n$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}:=\left\{n \in \mathbb{Z}: \lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n-1} \geq \gamma^{\prime} \text { and } \lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n} \geq \gamma^{\prime}\right\}, \\
& A_{2}:=\left\{n \in \mathbb{Z}: \lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n-1} \geq \gamma^{\prime} \text { and } \lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}<\gamma^{\prime}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and consider the sums of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\sum_{n} b_{n} e^{i \lambda_{n} t} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with complex coefficients $b_{n}$. We only consider «finite» sums, i.e., we assume that only finitely many coefficients are different from zero. Put

$$
E(f):=\sum_{n \in A_{1}}\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}+\sum_{n \in A_{2}}\left[\left|b_{n}+b_{n+1}\right|^{2}+\left(\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right)^{2}\left(\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}+\left|b_{n+1}\right|^{2}\right)\right]
$$

for brevity. Furthermore, set

$$
D^{+}:=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{+}(r)}{r}
$$

where $n^{+}(r)$ denotes the largest number of terms of the sequence $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ contained in an interval of length $r$.

The following result is a special case of a theorem proved in [2].
Theorem 3.1. For every bounded interval I of length $|I|>2 \pi D^{+}$there exist two constants $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} E(f) \leq \int_{I}|f(t)|^{2} d t \leq C_{2} E(f) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all functions $f$ of the form (3.1).
Remarks.

- By a standard density argument, the estimates (3.2) remain valid also for all infinite sums such that $E(f)<\infty$.
- Using a theorem of [11], the above theorem remains valid if there is also a finite number of nonreal exponents $\lambda_{n}$.
Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1. Let $T>2 \sqrt{d}\left(\theta_{0}-\theta_{1}\right)$ and assume that $w\left(\theta_{0}, t\right)=0$ for all $0<t<T$. Then, using the representation (2.7) we have

$$
\sum_{j} a_{j} f_{j}\left(\theta_{0}\right) e^{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{+} / d} t}+b_{j} f_{j}\left(\theta_{0}\right) e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{+} / d} t}+c_{j} f_{j}\left(\theta_{0}\right) e^{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{-} / d} t}+d_{j} f_{j}\left(\theta_{0}\right) e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{-} / d} t}=0
$$

for all $0<t<T$.
Let us apply Theorem 3.1 and the above remarks for the sequence $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ is formed of the numbers $\pm \sqrt{\lambda_{j}^{ \pm}}$. Thanks to the asymptotic relations (2.3) and (2.5) we have $D^{+}=\sqrt{d}\left(\theta_{0}-\theta_{1}\right) / \pi$. Since $T>2 \pi D^{+}$, we conclude that

$$
a_{j} f_{j}\left(\theta_{0}\right)=b_{j} f_{j}\left(\theta_{0}\right)=c_{j} f_{j}\left(\theta_{0}\right)=d_{j} f_{j}\left(\theta_{0}\right)=0
$$

for every $j$. Since the variational problem (2.2) is regular, none of the numbers $f_{j}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ is equal to zero. Hence all coefficients $a_{j}, b_{j}, c_{j}$ and $d_{j}$ vanish. Using again the representation (2.7) we conclude that the solution $(s, w)$ and then also $(u, w)$ vanishes identically.

Remark. There exist effectively exceptional values of the parameters $c$. Indeed, one can find by direct computation two different indices $j<k$ and values $c, \nu$ such that $\lambda_{j}^{+}=\lambda_{k}^{-}$. Denoting this common value by $\lambda$, the formula

$$
(s, w)(t)=e^{\sqrt{\lambda / d} t}\left(f_{k}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\left(\omega_{j}^{+} f_{j}, f_{j}\right)-f_{j}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\left(\omega_{k}^{-} f_{k}, f_{k}\right)\right)
$$

defines a nontrivial solution of (1.1)-(1.3) for which $w\left(\theta_{0}, t\right)=0$ for all real $t$.
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