

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE LINCEI CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI

# RENDICONTI LINCEI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI

---

GIUSEPPE ZAMPIERI

## Existence and regularity of solutions of the $\bar{\delta}$ -system on wedges of $\mathbb{C}^N$

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Serie 9, Vol. 10 (1999), n.4, p. 271–278.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

[<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN\\_1999\\_9\\_10\\_4\\_271\\_0>](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN_1999_9_10_4_271_0)

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)  
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1999.

**Funzioni di variabile complessa.** — *Existence and regularity of solutions of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -system on wedges of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ .* Nota di GIUSEPPE ZAMPIERI, presentata (\*) dal Corrisp. C. De Concini.

ABSTRACT. — For a wedge  $W$  of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , we introduce two conditions of weak  $q$ -pseudoconvexity, and prove that they entail solvability of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -system for forms of degree  $\geq q + 1$  with coefficients in  $C^\infty(W)$  and  $C^\infty(\bar{W})$  respectively. Existence and regularity for  $\bar{\partial}$  in  $W$  is treated by Hörmander [5, 6] (and also by Zampieri [9, 11] in case of piecewise smooth boundaries). Regularity in  $\bar{W}$  is treated by Henkin [4] (strong  $q$ -pseudoconvexity by the method of the integral representation), Dufresnoy [3] (full pseudoconvexity), Michel [8] (constant number of negative eigenvalues), and Zampieri [10] (more general  $q$ -pseudoconvexity and wedge type domains). This is an announcement of our papers [10, 11]; it contains refinements both in statements and proofs and, mainly, a parallel treatment of regularity in  $W$  and  $\bar{W}$ . All our techniques strongly rely on the method of  $L^2$  estimates by Hörmander [5, 6].

KEY WORDS:  $L^2$  estimates; Cauchy-Riemann system; C.R. structures.

RIASSUNTO. — *Esistenza e regolarità delle soluzioni del sistema  $\bar{\partial}$  in «wedges» di  $\mathbb{C}^N$ .* Si introducono due condizioni di  $q$ -pseudoconvessità debole per un «wedge» di  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , e si dimostra che esse sono sufficienti per la risolubilità del sistema  $\bar{\partial}$  per forme di grado  $\geq q + 1$  a coefficienti in  $C^\infty(W)$  e  $C^\infty(\bar{W})$  rispettivamente. Esistenza e regolarità in  $W$  per il  $\bar{\partial}$  sono trattate da Hörmander [5, 6] (e anche da Zampieri [9, 11] per bordi  $C^2$  a tratti). Regolarità in  $\bar{W}$  è trattata da Henkin [4] ( $q$ -pseudoconvessità forte con il metodo della rappresentazione integrale), Dufresnoy [3] (pseudoconvessità «completa»), Michel [8] (costanza del numero di autovalori negativi) e Zampieri [10] ( $q$ -pseudoconvessità più generale e domini di tipo «wedge»). Questa è una nota preliminare agli articoli [10, 11]; contiene miglioramenti negli enunciati e nelle dimostrazioni e, soprattutto, una trattazione parallela della regolarità in  $W$  e  $\bar{W}$ . Tutte le tecniche qui impiegate si basano profondamente sul metodo delle stime  $L^2$  introdotto da Hörmander in [5, 6].

Let  $W$  be a wedge of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  defined, in a neighborhood of a point  $z_0 \in \partial W$  by  $r_j < 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l$  with  $\partial r_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \partial r_l \neq 0$ . We shall use the following notations:  $M_j$  will denote the hypersurfaces  $\{r_j = 0\}$ ,  $\widehat{M}_j$  the «faces»  $M_j \cap \partial W$ ,  $R$  the union of the «wedges»  $\{r_j = 0, r_i = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j\}$ .  $\bar{\partial}\partial r_j$  (resp.  $\bar{\partial}\partial r_j|_{\partial r_j^\perp}$ ) will denote the Levi form of the function  $r_j$  (resp. of the hypersurface  $M_j$ ) where  $\partial r_j^\perp$  denotes the plane orthogonal to  $\partial r_j$  i.e. the complex tangent plane to  $M_j$ . We shall formulate two different conditions of weak  $q$ -pseudoconvexity. For an orthonormal system of  $(1, 0)$ -forms  $\omega' = \{\omega_1 \dots \omega_q\}$  on  $\partial W$  at  $z_0$  whose dual tangent derivations  $\partial_{\omega'}$  verify  $\text{Span}\{\partial_{\omega'}\}|_{\widehat{M}_i} \subset T^{1,0}M_i \forall i$ , which are  $C^0(\partial W) \cap C^2(\partial W \setminus R)$  with bounded first and second derivatives, and for an orthonormal completion  $\omega''$  (possibly different on each

(\*) Nella seduta del 14 maggio 1999.

$M_i$ ), we have

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}\partial r_i(z)(\bar{v}'', v') &= 0, \quad \bar{\partial}\partial r_i(z)(\bar{v}'', v') \geq 0, \\ \forall v = (v', v'') \in \partial r_i^\perp (= \mathbb{C}^{N-1}) \quad \forall z \in \widehat{M}_i \cap U. \end{aligned}$$

(Here  $\{U\}$  denotes a system of neighborhoods of  $z_o$ ). We shall also deal with a slight improvement of (1):

$$(2) \quad \text{We have (1) and } \bar{\partial}\partial r_i(z)(\bar{v}'', v'') \geq \bar{\partial}\partial r_i(z)(\bar{v}', v') \quad \forall z \in \widehat{M}_i \quad \forall |v'|=1, |v''|=1.$$

Note that (1) means that  $\text{Span}\{\partial_{\omega'}\}$  is engendered by a system of eigenvectors (the first  $q$  in case of (2)) which contains all negative ones.

REMARK 1. It shall be clear from our proofs that we can allow a «thin» set  $R'$  of  $C^0$ -discontinuity for the coefficients of the forms  $\{\omega'_i\}$  i.e. a set verifying codimension  $\partial W R' \geq 2$ .

Let us discuss our conditions (1) and (2) by means of some examples. We assume that  $W$  is a half-space  $\{r < 0\}$  with  $C^4$ -boundary  $M = \{r = 0\}$ , denote by  $\mu_1(z) \leq \mu_2(z) \dots$  the ordered eigenvalues of the Levi form  $L_M(z) := \bar{\partial}\partial r(z)|_{\partial r(z)^\perp}$  and let  $s^+(z)$ ,  $s^-(z)$ ,  $s^0(z)$  be the numbers of its positive, negative and null eigenvalues respectively. With these notations it is clear that a sufficient condition for (2) is

$$(3) \quad \mu_q(z) < \mu_{q+1}(z) \text{ and } \mu_{q+1}(z) \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in M.$$

As for (3) three many cases are given.

- (a)  $q = N - 1 - s^+(z_o)$  (strong  $q$ -pseudoconvexity). In this case (3) clearly holds.
- (b)  $q = s^-(z_o)$ . The first of (3) holds but the second generally fails.
- (c)  $q \equiv s^-(z) \quad \forall z$ . Then (3) clearly holds.

We can also easily exhibit an example, in  $\mathbb{C}^4$  in which (1) holds (for  $q = 2$ ) but (2) fails:

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \{z \in \mathbb{C}^4 : x_1 > -|z_2|^2 + x_2|z_3|^2\} \\ \text{Span}\{\partial_{\omega'}\} &= \text{the projection of } \text{Span}\{\partial_{z_2}, \partial_{z_3}\} \text{ on } T^{1,0}M. \end{aligned}$$

We come back to the general case of a wedge  $W$  and aim to rephrase (1) and (2) into properties for an exhaustion function of  $W$ . We choose complex coordinates  $z = x + \sqrt{-1}y$  in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  and represent  $\partial W$  as a graph  $x_1 = h(y_1, z_2, \bar{z}_2, \dots)$ ,  $W$  as  $x_1 > h$  and each  $M_i$  as  $x_1 = h_i$ . We put  $r = -x_1 + h$ ,  $\delta = -r$  and define  $\phi = -\log \delta + \lambda|z|^2$  ( $\lambda$  a large constant to be fixed in the following). Let  $S := R + \mathbb{R}_{x_1} = \{z \in W : h_i = h_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$ .  $S$  is a manifold with boundary whose conormal  $n_S$  at generic points verifies

$$n_S = \frac{\partial(h_i - h_j)}{|\partial(h_i - h_j)|} \left( = \mathcal{J} \left( \frac{\partial r}{|\partial r|} \right) \right) \text{ the «jump» of } \frac{\partial r}{|\partial r|} \text{ between the «} i \text{ and } j \text{ sides of } S \text{»}.$$

We shall deal with vectors  $(w_J)_J$  ( $J = (j_1 \dots j_k)$ ) with alternate complex coefficients. We also extend the forms  $\{\omega'_i\}$  of (1), (2) from  $\partial W$  to  $W$  in a neighborhood of  $z_o$  by prescribing a constant value on the fibers of the projection  $W \rightarrow \partial W \quad z \mapsto z^*$  along the

$x_1$ -axis and complete to a full system of forms  $\omega', \omega''$  in  $T^{1,0}X|_W$ . (Here the forms  $\omega''$  have rank  $N - q$  and not  $N - 1 - q$  as was the case of (1), (2)). We shall denote by  $\phi_{ji}$  the matrix of  $\bar{\partial}\partial\phi$  in the basis  $\omega', \omega''$ .

THEOREM 2. (i) Let (1) hold. Then for  $k \geq q + 1$ , we have (in a neighborhood of  $z_0$  on  $W$ ):

$$(4) \quad \sum'_{|K|=k-1 \text{ or } j \geq q+1} \sum \phi_{ji} \bar{w}_{jK} w_{iK} \geq \lambda |w|^2 \quad \forall z \in W \setminus S, \forall (w_j).$$

(ii) Let (2) hold. Then for  $k \geq q + 1$ , we have :

$$(5) \quad \sum'_{|K|=k-1 \text{ or } j=1, \dots, N} \sum \phi_{ji} \bar{w}_{jK} w_{iK} - \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j \leq q} \phi_{jj} |w_j|^2 \geq \lambda |w|^2 \quad \forall z \in W \setminus S, \forall (w_j).$$

PROOF. We begin by the proof of (ii) which is more involved. We first observe that

$$(6) \quad \bar{\partial}\partial r(z)|_{\partial r(z)^\perp} = \bar{\partial}\partial r(z^*)|_{\partial r(z^*)^\perp} \quad \forall z \in W,$$

where  $z \mapsto z^*$  is the projection along  $\mathbb{R}_{x_1}$ . Let  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$  and  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots$  denote the eigenvalues of  $\bar{\partial}\partial\phi$  and  $\bar{\partial}\partial r|_{\partial r^\perp}$  respectively. Note that

$$(7) \quad \bar{\partial}\partial\phi = \delta^{-1} \bar{\partial}\partial r + \delta^{-2} \bar{\partial} r \wedge \partial r + \lambda d\bar{z} \wedge dz.$$

In particular by (6), (7) the eigenvalues of  $\bar{\partial}\partial\phi(z)|_{\partial r(z)^\perp}$  are  $\delta^{-1} \mu_j(z^*) + \lambda$ . Also, by (7) if  $\partial^\tau$  and  $\partial^\nu$  denote the derivatives of type  $(1, 0)$  normal to (resp. parallel to)  $\partial r$  on  $W \setminus S$ , then we have for a suitable  $c$ :

$$(8) \quad \bar{\partial}\partial\phi \geq \delta^{-1} \bar{\partial}^\tau \partial^\tau r - c d\bar{z}^\tau \wedge dz^\tau + \lambda d\bar{z} \wedge dz.$$

It follows

$$(9) \quad \sum_{j=1, \dots, k} \lambda_j(z) \geq \delta^{-1} \sum_{j=1, \dots, k} \mu_j(z^*) + (\lambda - c)k,$$

and

$$(10) \quad \sum_{j=1, \dots, q} \phi_{jj}(z) = \delta^{-1} \sum_{j=1, \dots, q} \mu_j(z^*) + \lambda q.$$

In conclusion the left hand side (I) of (5) verifies (for  $|w| = 1$ )

$$(I) \geq \left( \sum_{j=1, \dots, k} \lambda_j(z) - \sum_{j=1, \dots, q} \phi_{jj}(z) \right) \geq \delta^{-1} \sum_{j=q+1, \dots, k} \mu_j(z^*) + \lambda(k - q) - ck \geq \geq \lambda' \quad (\text{for suitable } \lambda \text{ and for a new } \lambda').$$

(i): Let us put  $w'_K = (w_{jK})_{j \leq q}$ ,  $w''_K = (w_{jK})_{j \geq q+1}$ . Then (8) implies, on account of (6) and (1)

$$(11) \quad \sum_{i \text{ or } j \geq q+1} \phi_{ji} \bar{w}_{jK} w_{iK} \geq -c(|w'_K|^2 + |w''_K|^2) + \lambda |w''_K|^2.$$

Observe now that any  $J$  with  $J = k \geq q + 1$  can be written as  $J = jK$  for some  $j \geq q + 1$ ; hence  $\sum'_{|K|=k-1} |w''_K|^2 \geq c'|w|^2$ . It follows that if we take summation of (11) over  $K$  we get (4) for a new  $\lambda$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.** (i) Let  $W$  be a wedge of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  at  $z_0$  which satisfies (1). Then for any  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form  $f$  of degree  $k \geq q + 1$  with  $C^\infty(W \cap U)$ -coefficients, there is a solution  $u$  of degree  $k - 1$  with  $C^\infty(W \cap U)$ -coefficients to the equation  $\bar{\partial}u = f$ .

(ii) Let  $W$  satisfy (2) at  $z_0$ . Then the same statement as above holds for forms with  $C^\infty(\bar{W} \cap U)$ -coefficients.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 3 (ii).** We start by (ii) which is more difficult. We denote by  $L^2_\phi(W)$  ( $\phi$  a real positive function) the space of square integrable functions on  $W$  in the measure  $e^{-\phi} dV$  ( $dV$  being the Euclidean element of volume). We denote by  $\|\cdot\|_\phi$  the norm in the above space. We denote by  $L^2_\phi(W)^k$  the space of  $(0, k)$ -forms  $f = \sum'_{|J|=k} f_J \bar{\omega}_J$  with coefficients  $f_J$  in  $L^2_\phi(W)$ . (Here  $\sum'$  denotes summation over ordered indices,  $\{\omega_j\}$  denotes a basis of  $(1, 0)$  forms, and finally  $\bar{\omega}_J = \bar{\omega}_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\omega}_{j_k}$ ). Also the forms  $\omega_j$ 's are supposed to fulfill all assumptions in Theorem 2 and in particular have bounded first and second derivatives in  $\bar{W} \cap U$ . We denote by  $(\phi_{ji})$  the matrix of the Hermitian form  $\bar{\partial}\partial\phi$  in the chosen basis. If  $\psi$  is another real positive function, which shall be fixed according to our future need, we shall deal with the complex of closed densely defined operators

$$(12) \quad L^2_{\phi-2\psi}(W)^{k-1} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} L^2_{\phi-\psi}(W)^k \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} L^2_\phi(W)^{k+1}.$$

We denote by  $\bar{\partial}^*$  the adjoint of  $\bar{\partial}$  and also define the operator  $\delta_{\omega_j}(\cdot) = e^\phi \partial_{\omega_j}(e^{-\phi} \cdot)$ . We have

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}^* f &= - \sum'_{|K|=k-1} \sum_{j=1, \dots, N} e^{-\psi} \delta_{\omega_j}(f_{jK}) \bar{\omega}_K - \sum'_{|K|=k-1} \sum_{j=1, \dots, N} e^{-\psi} f_{jK} \partial_{\omega_j} \psi \bar{\omega}_K + e^{-\psi} R_f \\ \bar{\partial} f &= \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j=1, \dots, N} \bar{\partial}_{\omega_j}(f_J) \bar{\omega}_j \wedge \bar{\omega}_J + R_f, \end{aligned}$$

where  $R_f$  are errors which involve products of the  $f_j$ 's by derivatives of coefficients of the  $\omega_j$ 's. By means of (13) we then get the following estimate which generalizes [6, (4.2.8)]

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum'_{|K|=k-1} \sum_{ij=1, \dots, N} \int_W e^{-\phi} (\delta_{\omega_i}(f_{iK}) \overline{\delta_{\omega_j}(f_{jK})} - \bar{\partial}_{\omega_j}(f_{iK}) \overline{\bar{\partial}_{\omega_i}(f_{jK})}) dV + \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j=1, \dots, N} \int_W e^{-\phi} \\ &|\bar{\partial}_{\omega_j}(f_J)|^2 dV \leq 3 \|\bar{\partial}^* f\|_{\phi-2\psi}^2 + 2 \|\bar{\partial} f\|_\phi^2 + c \|f\|_\phi^2 + 3 \|\partial \psi f\|_\phi^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c$  depends on the sup-norm of the derivatives of the coefficients of the  $\omega_j$ 's over the support of  $f$ . Since these are bounded in  $\bar{W} \cap U$  (maybe with a smaller  $U' \subset \subset U$ ),

then there is an uniform  $c \forall f \in C_c^\infty(W \cap U)^k$ . We have the commutation relations

$$(15) \quad [\delta_{\omega_i}, \bar{\partial}_{\omega_j}] = \partial_{\bar{\omega}_j} \partial_{\omega_i} \phi + \sum_b c_{ji}^b \partial_{\omega_b} - \sum_b \bar{c}_{ij}^b \partial_{\bar{\omega}_b} = \phi_{ji} + \sum_b c_{ji}^b \delta_{\omega_b} - \sum_b \bar{c}_{ij}^b \partial_{\bar{\omega}_b},$$

for suitable functions  $c_{ji}^b$ . We apply (15) to all terms in the first sum on the left of (14) and to the terms with  $j \leq q$  in the second. We obtain, if  $f$  belongs to  $C_c^\infty(W)^k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum'_{|K|=k-1} \sum_{ij=1, \dots, N} \cdot + \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j=1, \dots, N} \cdot = \\ & = \left( \sum'_{|K|=k-1} \sum_{ij=1, \dots, N} \int_{\Omega} e^{-\phi} \phi_{ji} f_{iK} \bar{f}_{jK} dV - \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j \leq q} \int_{\Omega} e^{-\phi} \phi_{jj} |f_j|^2 dV \right) + \\ (16) \quad & + \left( \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j \leq q} \|\delta_{\omega_j} f_j\|_{\phi}^2 + \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j \geq q+1} \|\partial_{\bar{\omega}_j} f_j\|_{\phi}^2 \right) + \\ & + \left( \sum'_{|K|=k-1} \sum_{ij=1, \dots, N} \int_S e^{-\phi} J(\partial_{\omega_i} \phi) \bar{n}_j f_{iK} \bar{f}_{jK} dS - \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j \leq q} \int_S e^{-\phi} J(\partial_{\omega_j} \phi) \bar{n}_j |f_j|^2 dS \right) + \\ & + \text{Error}, \end{aligned}$$

where the error term has the estimate

$$(17) \quad |\text{Error}| \leq \left( \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j \leq q} \|\delta_{\omega_j} f_j\|^2 + \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j \geq q+1} \|\bar{\partial}_{\omega_j} f_j\|^2 \right) + c \|f\|_{\phi}^2,$$

(where  $c$  depends now also on the second derivatives of the coefficients of the  $\omega_i$ 's). Note that  $n' = 0$  whence  $\sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j \leq q} \int_S \cdot dS = 0$ . Also, since  $n = \frac{\mathcal{J}(\partial\phi)}{|\mathcal{J}(\partial\phi)|}$ , then  $\sum_{ij=1, \dots, N} \mathcal{J}(\partial_{\omega_i} \phi) \bar{n}_j f_{iK} \bar{f}_{jK}$  is a square; hence the third term on the right of (16) is positive (thus negligible). Assume that  $\phi$  satisfies (5) of Theorem 2 on the whole  $W$ . Then by (16) we have the estimate:

$$(18) \quad \sum'_{|K|=k-1} \sum_{ij=1, \dots, N} \cdot + \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j=1, \dots, N} \cdot \geq \lambda \|f\|_{\phi}^2 - c \|f\|_{\phi}^2.$$

By plugging together (14) and (18) we get with a new  $c$

$$(19) \quad \lambda \|f\|_{\phi}^2 \leq 3 \|\bar{\partial}^* f\|_{\phi-2\psi}^2 + 2 \|\bar{\partial} f\|_{\phi}^2 + c \|f\|_{\phi}^2 + 3 \|\partial \psi |f|\|_{\phi}^2 \quad \forall f \in C_c^\infty(W)^k.$$

We fix now a compact subset  $K \subset\subset W$ , and choose  $\psi$  according to [6, Lemma 4.1.3]; (in particular we can choose  $\psi|_K \equiv 0$ ). This ensures density of  $C_c^\infty$  into  $L^2$ -forms; hence now (19) holds for  $L^2$  instead of  $C_c^\infty$  forms. We assume w.l.o.g. that  $K = \{\phi \leq n\}$ ; we replace the above  $\phi$  by

$$\chi(\phi) + (3+c)|z|^2,$$

where  $\chi$  is a positive convex function of a real argument  $t$  which satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \chi(t) \equiv 0, & \text{for } t \leq n \\ \dot{\chi}(t) \geq \sup_{\{z: \phi(z) \leq t\}} \frac{3(|\partial\psi|^2 + e^\psi - 1)}{\lambda}, & \text{for } t \geq n. \end{cases}$$

Under this choice of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  we conclude, for  $k \geq q + 1$ ,

$$(20) \quad \|f\|_{\phi-\psi}^2 \leq \|\bar{\partial}^* f\|_{\phi-2\psi}^2 + \|\bar{\partial} f\|_{\phi}^2 \quad \forall f \in D_{\bar{\partial}}^k \cap D_{\bar{\partial}^*}^k,$$

where  $D_{\bar{\partial}}^k$  and  $D_{\bar{\partial}^*}^k$  are the domains in  $L_{\phi}^2(W)^k$  of  $\bar{\partial}$  and  $\bar{\partial}^*$  respectively. Moreover for any compact subset  $K \subset \subset \Omega$ , we may choose  $\psi|_K \equiv 0$  and  $\phi|_K \equiv c|z|^2$  where we still write  $c$  instead of  $3 + c$ . Let us point out that the estimate (20), with the additional condition  $\phi|_K \equiv c|z|^2$ , will be the main ingredient of our proof. Let us recall that it was obtained by assuming that  $\phi$  satisfies (5) on the whole  $W \setminus S$ .

END OF PROOF OF THEOREM 3 (ii). We come back to our wedge  $W$  which satisfies (2). We suppose  $W$  be locally defined by  $-x_1 + h < 0$  and then set  $W_{\nu} = \{-x_1 + h < \frac{\eta^{2\nu}}{2}\}$  for  $0 < \eta < \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $U_{\nu}$  (resp.  $U$ ) be the sphere with center  $z_0$  and radius  $\rho + \frac{\eta^{2\nu}}{2}$  (resp.  $\rho$ ) with  $\rho$  small. By an easy variant of Theorem 2 (ii), the functions  $\phi := -\log(-r + \frac{\eta^{2\nu}}{2}) + \lambda|z|^2 + \log(-|z - z_0|^2 + (\rho + \frac{\eta^{2\nu}}{2})^2)$  will be exhaustion functions for the domains  $W_{\nu} \cap U_{\nu}$  which satisfy (5) (globally). Thus (20) holds on each  $W_{\nu} \cap U_{\nu}$ . This easily implies that for  $k \geq q + 1$  and for any form  $f \in L_{c|z|^2}^2(W_{\nu} \cap U_{\nu})^k$  with  $\bar{\partial} f = 0$ , there exists  $u \in L_{c|z|^2}^2(W_{\nu} \cap U_{\nu})^{k-1}$  such that

$$(21) \quad (\bar{\partial} u = f, \bar{\partial}^* u = 0) \quad \|u\|_{c|z|^2}^2 \leq \|f\|_{c|z|^2}^2.$$

We note now that

$$(22) \quad \{z \in \mathbb{C}^N : \text{dist}(z, W) < \eta^{2\nu+1}\} \subset W_{\nu} \subset \left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^N : \text{dist}(z, W) < \frac{\eta^{2\nu}}{2}\right\},$$

(in a neighborhood of  $z_0$ ). According to [3] we can show that (21) implies, by the aid of (22), that for  $k \geq q + 1$ , for  $f_{\nu} \in C^{\infty}(\overline{W_{\nu} \cap U_{\nu}})^k$  with  $\bar{\partial} f_{\nu} = 0$ , there is  $u_{\nu} \in C^{\infty}(\overline{W_{\nu+1} \cap U_{\nu+1}})^{k-1}$  such that

$$(23) \quad (\bar{\partial} u_{\nu} = f_{\nu}, \bar{\partial}^* u_{\nu} = 0) \quad \|u_{\nu}\|_{(s+1)} \leq \frac{M_s}{\eta^{2\nu+1(s+1)}} \|f_{\nu}\|_{(s)},$$

(where  $\|u_{\nu}\|_{(s+1)}$  (resp.  $\|f_{\nu}\|_{(s)}$ ) are the norms in the Sobolev spaces  $H^{s+1}(W_{\nu+1} \cap U_{\nu+1})$  (resp.  $H^s(W_{\nu} \cap U_{\nu})$ ).

We are ready to conclude. Let  $f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{W} \cap U_1)^k$  satisfy  $\bar{\partial} f = 0$ . Extend  $f$  to  $\tilde{f}$  such that

$$\|\bar{\partial} \tilde{f}\|_{(s)} \leq M_s \eta^{r2\nu} \text{ on } W_{\nu} \cap U_{\nu} \text{ for any } r, s \text{ and for suitable } M_s.$$

However  $\tilde{f}$  is no more  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed. To overcome this problem we take a solution  $h_{\nu}$  on



$W_{\nu+1} \cap U_{\nu+1}$  of

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\partial} h_\nu = \bar{\partial} \tilde{f} \\ \|h_\nu\|_{(s+1)} \leq M_s (\eta^{2\nu+1})^{-s-1} \|\bar{\partial} \tilde{f}\|_{(s)}, \end{cases}$$

provided by (23). Now  $\bar{\partial}(\tilde{f} - h_\nu) = 0$ . We then solve on  $W_2$  the equation  $\bar{\partial} g_1 = \tilde{f} - h_1$ , and, inductively on  $W_{\nu+2} \cap U_{\nu+2}$ :

$$\bar{\partial} g_{\nu+1} = h_\nu - h_{\nu+1},$$

with the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_{\nu+1}\|_{(s+2)} &\leq M_{s+1} (\eta^{2\nu+2})^{-(s+2)} \|h_\nu - h_{\nu+1}\|_{(s+1)} \leq M'_s (\eta^{2\nu+2})^{-2s-3} M_s \eta^{r2\nu} \leq \\ &\leq M'_s \frac{1}{2^\nu} \quad (r, \nu \text{ large}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} g_\nu$  converges in  $C^\infty(\bar{W} \cap U)$  and solves on  $\bar{W} \cap U$  the equation:

$$\bar{\partial} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} g_\nu \right) = \tilde{f} - \lim_{\nu} h_\nu = \tilde{f}. \quad \square$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 3 (i). We shall prove that if there is an exhaustion function  $\phi$  which satisfies (4) globally on  $W \setminus S$ , then an estimate of type (20) will still hold. But in this case we shall have  $\phi|_K = c|z|^2$ ,  $c = c_K$ ; i.e.  $c$  will be no more uniform on compact subsets of  $\bar{W}$ . However this suffices for  $C^\infty(W)$  regularity of  $\bar{\partial}$  [5, 6]. We recall (14) and decompose the term in the left side as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_K' \sum_{ij} \cdot + \sum_J' \sum_j \cdot &= \sum_K' \sum_{i \text{ or } j \geq q+1} \cdot + (1 - \epsilon) \left( \sum_K' \sum_{i, j \leq q} \cdot + \sum_J' \sum_{j \leq q} \cdot \right) + \\ &+ \epsilon \sum_K' \sum_{i, j \leq q} \cdot + \left( \epsilon \sum_J' \sum_{j \leq q} \cdot + \sum_J' \sum_{j \geq q+1} \cdot \right). \end{aligned}$$

We apply (15) to the first term in the right

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_K' \sum_{i \text{ or } j \geq q+1} \cdot &= \sum_K' \sum_{i \text{ or } j \geq q+1} \int_W e^{-\phi} \phi_{j\bar{i}} \bar{f}_{jK} f_{iK} dV + \\ &+ \sum_K' \sum_{i \text{ or } j \geq q+1} \int_S e^{-\phi} \mathcal{J}(\partial_{\omega_i} \phi) \bar{n}_j f_{iK} \bar{f}_{jK} dS + \text{Error}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the projection of  $n = \frac{\mathcal{J}(\partial\phi)}{|\mathcal{J}(\partial\phi)|}$  on the plane of  $\text{Span}\{\partial_{\omega_i}\}$  is 0. Hence the term which involves  $\int_S \cdot$  is a square. On the other hand if  $\phi$  satisfies (4) we have

$$\sum_K' \sum_{i \text{ or } j \geq q+1} \int_W e^{-\phi} \phi_{j\bar{i}} \bar{f}_{jK} f_{iK} dV \geq \lambda \|f\|_\phi^2.$$

We remark now that  $(1 - \epsilon)(\cdot)$  equals  $\|\bar{\partial}^* f\|_\phi^2 + \|\bar{\partial} f\|_\phi^2$  up to a term  $\|\partial\psi f\|_\phi^2 + \text{Error}$ . Also if  $\nu$  is an upper bound for the  $|\phi_{j\bar{i}}|$  for  $i, j \leq q$ , then  $\epsilon(\cdot) \geq -\epsilon\nu \|f\|_\phi^2 +$

$+ \epsilon \sum_j' \sum_{ij \leq q} \|\bar{\partial}_{\omega_j} f_j\|_\phi^2 + \text{Error.}$  Collecting all together:

$$(\lambda - \epsilon\nu) \|f\|_\phi^2 \leq 3 \|\bar{\partial}^* f\|_{\phi-2\psi}^2 + 2 \|\bar{\partial} f\|_\phi^2 + \epsilon^{-1} c \|f\|_\phi^2 + 4 \|\partial\psi f\|_\phi^2.$$

We then choose  $\epsilon = \frac{\lambda}{2\nu}$  and replace  $\phi$  by  $\chi(\phi) + 6|z|^2$  where

$$\chi(t) \geq \sup_{\{z: \phi(z) \leq t\}} \frac{2}{\lambda} \left( \frac{2\nu c}{\lambda} + 4|\partial\psi| + 3e^\psi - 3 \right).$$

This gives the same estimate as (20) (but with no uniform control for  $\phi$ ). With this estimate in hands we get existence in  $L^2$  and then gain of one derivative for solutions of the system  $(\bar{\partial}, \bar{\partial}^*)$ , in the same way as in Theorem 3 (ii). This entails existence in  $C^\infty$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.A. AIRAPETYAN - G.M. HENKIN, *Integral representation of differential forms on Cauchy-Riemann manifolds and the theory of CR-functions*. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk., 39 (3), 1984, 39-106.
- [2] A. ANDREOTTI - H. GRAUERT, *Théorèmes de finitude pour la cohomologie des espaces complexes*. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 90, 1962, 193-259.
- [3] A. DUFRESNOY, *Sur l'opérateur  $\bar{\partial}$  et les fonctions différentiables au sens de Whitney*. Ann. Inst. Fourier, 29 (1), 1979, 229-238.
- [4] G.M. HENKIN, *H. Lewy's equation and analysis on pseudoconvex manifolds (Russian)*. I. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk., 32 (3), 1977, 57-118.
- [5] L. HÖRMANDER,  $L^2$  estimates and existence theorems for the  $\bar{\partial}$  operator. Acta Math., 113, 1965, 89-152.
- [6] L. HÖRMANDER, *An introduction to complex analysis in several complex variables*. Van Nostrand, Princeton N.J. 1966.
- [7] J.J. KOHN, *Regularity at the boundary of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 49, 1963, 206-213.
- [8] V. MICHEL, *Sur la régularité  $C^\infty$  du  $\bar{\partial}$  au bord d'un domaine de  $\mathbb{C}^n$  dont la forme de Levi a exactement s valeurs propres strictement négatives*. Math. Ann., 195, 1993, 131-165.
- [9] G. ZAMPIERI,  $L^2$ -estimates with Levi-singular weight, and existence for  $\bar{\partial}$ . J. d'Analyse Math., 74, 1998, 99-112.
- [10] G. ZAMPIERI, *Solvability of  $\bar{\partial}$  with  $C^\infty$  regularity up to the boundary on wedges of  $\mathbb{C}^N$* . Israel J. of Math., 1999, in press.
- [11] G. ZAMPIERI,  $C^\infty$  solvability of the  $\bar{\partial}$  system on wedges of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . Preprint 1998.

---

Pervenuta il 23 ottobre 1998,  
in forma definitiva il 13 maggio 1999.

Dipartimento di Matematica  
Università degli Studi di Padova  
Via Belzoni, 7 - 35131 PADOVA  
zampieri@math.unipd.it