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Geometria algebrica. - Some remarks on Set-theoretic Intersection Curves in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Nota (*) di Roberto Paolettr, presentata dal Corrisp. M. Cornalba.


#### Abstract

Motivated by the notion of Seshadri-ampleness introduced in [11], we conjecture that the genus and the degree of a smooth set-theoretic intersection $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ should satisfy a certain inequality. The conjecture is verified for various classes of set-theoretic complete intersections.
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#### Abstract

Riassunto. - Alcune osservazioni sulle Curve Intersezioni Complete Insiemistiche in $\mathrm{P}^{3}$. Con motivazione dalla nozione di Seshadri-ampiezza discussa in [11], si congettura che il genere e il grado di un'intersezione completa insiemistica liscia $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ soddisfino un'opportuna diseguaglianza. La congettura è verificata per varie classi di intersezioni complete insiemistiche.


## 1. Introduction

A notion of positivity for curves in a projective 3 -fold, called Seshadri-ampleness, has been introduced in [11]. It is stronger than requiring that the normal bundle be ample and that the cohomological dimension of the complement should be one. In fact, it is satisfied by only «relatively few» curves in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, inasmuch it implies an inequality involving the genus and the degree, that we write down explicitly below.

It is an open question whether any space curve is a set-theoretic intersection. While for surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$ the cohomological dimension of the complement suffices to separate set-theoretic complete intersection surfaces from most other surfaces, for $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ some finer positivity measure is required. We might ask whether in characteristic zero any settheoretic complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ is Seshadri-ample. This would imply the following:

Conjecture $1.1(\operatorname{char}(k)=0)$. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be a smooth connected set-theoretic complete intersection of degree $d$ and genus $g$; then $g>(1 / 2) d(\sqrt{d}-4)+1$.

This is false in positive characteristic [7]. In this paper, we shall check the above inequality in some examples, and then prove it under a strong assumption on the singularities of the surface cutting out $C$. We shall also make a step towards proving it for any set-theoretic complete intersection with semistable normal bundle, by giving a lower bound on the Seshadri constant.
2. Example 1.1. It is easy to produce a large class of set-theoretic intersections for which the conjecture is true. Suppose that the ideal sheaf of $C$ has a minimal resolution of the form

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-m)^{r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(m-t)^{r} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-a) \rightarrow J_{C} \rightarrow 0,
$$

ove $m, t, a$ are positive integers with $2 m>t>((2 r-1) / r) m$ and $a=r(2 m-t)$, and
(*) Pervenuta all'Accademia il 27 luglio 1995.
the induced morphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}^{3}}(-m)^{r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}^{3}}(m-t)^{r}$ is represented by a symmetric matrix of homogeneous form (of positive degrees). Then $C$ is projectively Cohen-Macauley and self-linked, by the theory of $[12,13]$. By Proposition 2.3 of [11], $C$ is ample, and therefore $g>(1 / 2) d(\sqrt{d}-4)+1$. This can be generalized to include the examples of Gallarati and Catanese [2], as we next show.

Example 1.2. Following ideas of Barth [1], Rao has constructed examples of selflinked subschemes of codimension two in Grassmanians, which may be pulled-back to provide self-linked curves in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ [13, Example 11]. Namely, to a general choice of a symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form $Q$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and of a perturbation $Q^{\prime}=Q+\left(s_{i} s_{j}\right)$ of $Q$, there is associated a reduced locally CM codimension two self-linked subscheme $Z \subset G(n-r, n)$ (the Grassmanian of $n-r$-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ ), having a resolution

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow S(-2) \oplus Q^{*}(-2) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_{G}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ and $\mathcal{Q}$, are, respectively, the universal subbundle and the universal quotient bundle on $G(n-r, n)$. Briefly, $Z$ arises as follows. Set $s=n-r$ and define $D=\{x \in$ $\left.\in G(s, n) \mid \operatorname{rk}\left(\left.Q\right|_{s(x)}\right) \leqslant s-2\right\}, D^{\prime}=\left\{x \in G(s, n) \mid \operatorname{rk}\left(\left.Q^{\prime}\right|_{s(x)}\right) \leqslant s-2\right\}$. Then $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ are irreducible hypersurfaces, whose singular locus has codimension 3 in $G(s, n)$, and they touch along $Z$, that is $D \cap D^{\prime}=2 Z$.

Let now $\&$ be a nontrivial globally generated rank-r vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, $n \leqslant b^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, 8\right)$ and $V \subset H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, \mathcal{8}\right)$ a general $n$-dimensional linear subspace generating 8. Then $V$ determines a morphism $\gamma: \mathbb{P}^{3} \rightarrow G(n-r, V) \cong G(n-r, n)$, and $C=\gamma^{-1}(Z) \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ will be a reduced locally CM self-linked curve. Set $E=\gamma^{*}(S(-2) \oplus$ $\left.\oplus \mathcal{Q}^{*}(-2)\right)$ and $F=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} \operatorname{det}(\delta)^{-2}$; then by (1) $C$ has a resolution

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}^{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us suppose that $C$ is irreducible and non-singular (this is the case of the examples of Catanese). Then $\operatorname{deg}(C)=c_{2}(F-E)$ and $\operatorname{deg}(N)=c_{3}(F-E)$, where $N$ is the normal bundle to $C$. We have the isomorphisms $\gamma^{*}(\mathcal{Q}) \cong \varepsilon, \gamma^{*}(S) \cong M_{\varepsilon}$, where $M_{\varepsilon}=$ $=\operatorname{Ker}\left(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{P}^{3}} \rightarrow 8\right)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{t}(F-E)=c_{t}\left(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{E}^{-2}\right) \cdot c_{t}\left(\mathcal{E} \otimes \operatorname{det} \mathcal{E}^{-2}\right) \cdot c_{t}\left(\mathcal{E}^{*} \otimes \operatorname{det} \mathcal{E}^{-2}\right)^{-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A rather long direct computation then gives $c_{2}(F-E)=2 c_{1}^{2}$ and $c_{3}(F-E)=2 c_{3}+$ $+6 c_{1}^{3}-2 c_{1} c_{2}$, where $c_{i}=c_{i}(\delta)$. Set $x_{i}=c_{i}(F-E) \cdot H^{3-i}$; the inequality in Conjecture 1.1 is equivalent to the other $x_{3}>x_{2} \sqrt{x_{2}}$. The latter is in turn equivalent to $c_{3}+(3-\sqrt{2}) c_{1}^{3}-c_{1} c_{2}>0$; the left-hand side can be rewritten $(2-\sqrt{2}) c_{1}^{3}+$ $+\left(c_{3}+c_{1}^{3}-2 c_{1} c_{2}\right)+c_{1} c_{2}$. This expression is non-negative, because $\delta$ is globally generated [4, ch. 12]; [5, esp. the remark on page 57]. If it vanishes, then $c_{1}=0$ and $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial.

Example 1.3. Let us consider the following explicit case. Let $d>0$ be a fixed integer, and for $i, j>0$ let $a_{i j}=a_{j i}$ be a homogeneous form on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree $d=s$. For each $b$ consider the simmetric matrix $A_{b}=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant b}$, and let $F_{b}:=\operatorname{div}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(A_{b}\right)\right) \subset$
$\subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. Then $\operatorname{deg}\left(F_{b}\right)=b s$, and if the $a_{i j}$ 's are general, then the singular locus of $F_{b}$ consists of $t_{b}=s^{3} b\left(b^{2}-1\right) / 3$ nodes [2, §1]. Then, by Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 of [2], $F_{b}$ and $F_{b+1}$ are tangent along a smooth curve $C_{b}$, which contains all the nodes of both $F_{b}$ and $F_{b+1}$. Furthermore, we have $g \geqslant 1+s^{2} b(b+1)(s(7 b+8)-8) / 3$, $d=s^{2} h(b+1) / 2$; it easily follows that $g>(1 / 2) d(\sqrt{d}-4)+1$.

Example 1.4. In his study of canonical surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, Ciliberto has constructed smooth self-linked curves of genus $g=(n-7)(n-8)(2 n-9) / 6$ and degree $d=$ $=(n-5)(n-6) / 2$, for $n=7, \ldots, 10$ [3]; these examples also fall in the range described by the conjecture.

Example 1.5. This example is taken from [8]. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic zero, and let $S=\left\{(d, g) \mid \exists C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}\right.$ smooth set-theoretic complete intersection of a cone with some other surface, having degree $d$ and genus $g\}$. Then $S=S_{1} \cup S_{2}$, where $S_{1}=$ $=\{(m l,(1 / 2) m l(m+l-4)+1) \mid m, l \in N\}$, and $S_{2}=\{(m l+1,(1 / 2) m l(m+l-$ $-4)+m) \mid m, l \in N, 2 \leqslant l \leqslant m+2\}$. It is then easy to check that $g>(1 / 2) d(\sqrt{d}-$ $-4)+1$ for all such pairs.

Example 1.6. Let $\&$ be a rank-2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{4}$, with $s \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{4}, \mathcal{E}\right)$ such that $S=Z(s)$ (the zero-locus of $s$ ) is non-singular and connected. Let $\pi: \mathbb{P}^{4} \rightarrow \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be a general projection with center $P \in \mathbb{P}^{4}, f=\left.\pi\right|_{s}$. Then we can assume that $f(S)$ has only ordinary singularities. Hence, $f$ is birational, generically 2-1 over the double curve $C$ C $\subset f(S)$, and 3-1 on a finite set points $P_{i}$ of $C$; $C$ is smooth away from the $P_{i}$ 's, the inverse images of the $P_{i}$ 's are nodes of the double point curve $D=f^{-1}(C) \subset S$, and $D$ is otherwise smooth; the other singularities of $f(S)$ are pinch points, at whose inverse images $D \rightarrow C$ is simply ramified. The pinch points are the images of the tangents to $S$ going through the center $P$ of the projection. By Theorem 15 of [13], $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is self-linked, and therefore a set-theoretic complete intersection. Let $K_{S}$ denote the canonical bundle of $S, n=\operatorname{deg}(S)$ and $c_{2}(S)=c_{2}\left(T_{S}\right)$. Then the number $t$ of triple points is given by $6 t=n\left(n^{2}-12 n+44\right)+4 K_{S}^{2}-2 c_{2}(S)-3 H \cdot K_{S}(n-8)$ [9, p. 59]. In our case, $n=$ $=c_{2}(8), K_{S} \cong \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(c_{1}(\delta)-5\right)$, and $c_{t}\left(T_{S}\right)=(1+t H)^{5} \cdot c_{t}(\delta)^{-1}$. Hence, $6 t=c_{2}\left\{c_{2}^{2}+\right.$ $\left.+2 c_{1}^{2}-6 c_{1}+5 c_{2}-3 c_{1} c_{2}+4\right\}$, where $c_{i}=c_{i}(8)$ (viewed as integers). Let us assume that $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are such that $t=0$; this happens for example if $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=(4,4),(5,6)$, $(6,8)$. Then, both $C \subset f(S)$ and $D \subset S$ are nonsingular.

By the double point formula $[4, \S 9.3]$ we find that $\left.D \equiv\left(c_{2}+1-c_{1}\right) H\right|_{s}$, and in particular that $D$ is an ample divisor on $S$; thus it is connected, and being non-singular it is irreducible. Hence the same holds for $C$. Since $g=\left.f\right|_{D}: D \rightarrow C$ has degree 2, $\operatorname{deg}(D)=2 \operatorname{deg}(C)$; set $d=\operatorname{deg}(C)$, and denote by $g_{D}$ and $g_{C}$, respectively, the genera of $D$ and $C$. By Riemann-Hurwitz, we have $2 g_{D}-2=2\left(2 g_{C}-2\right)+\operatorname{deg}(R)$, where $R$ is the ramification divisor of $g$, and therefore $\operatorname{deg}(R)$ is simply the number of pinch points of $f(S)$. Clearly $\operatorname{deg}(D)=c_{2}\left(c_{2}+1-c_{1}\right)$, and so $\operatorname{deg}(C)=(1 / 2) c_{2}\left(c_{2}+1-\right.$ $\left.-c_{1}\right)$; adjunction gives $2 g_{D}-2=c_{2}\left(c_{2}-4\right)\left(c_{2}+1-c_{1}\right)$.

To compute $\operatorname{deg}(R)$, we argue as follows. Let $V=\mathbb{C}^{4}$, and view $\mathbb{P}^{4}=\mathbb{P}(V)$ as the projective space of lines in $V$. The Gauss map $\gamma_{S}: S \rightarrow G\left(2, \mathbb{P}^{4}\right)=G(3, V)$ is
given by $\gamma_{S}(x)=\left[\overline{T_{x} S}\right]$, where $\overline{T_{x} S} \subset \mathbb{P}^{4}$ is the projective tangent space. The pull-back of the tautological sequence on $G(3, V)$ is the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow E(-1) \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S} \rightarrow N(-1) \rightarrow 0$, where $N=N_{S / \mathrm{P}^{4}}$, and $E$ also sits in the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S} \rightarrow E \rightarrow T_{S} \rightarrow 0$. The fiber of $E(-1)$ at $x \in S$ gets identified with the cone over $\overline{T_{x} S}$. Let $l \subset V$ be the line corresponding to the center $P$ of the given projection $\pi$. Then we have a composition $\phi: E(-1) \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S} \rightarrow(V / l) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S}$. Set $F=E(-1)$; then $\phi$ drops rank at $x \in S$ if and only if $V \supset F(x) \supset l$, i.e. if and only if $P \in \bar{T}_{x} S$, which is equivalent to saying that $x$ lies over a pinch point. Hence, $\operatorname{deg}(R)=\operatorname{deg}\left(X_{2}(\phi)\right)$, where $X_{2}(\phi)=$ $=\{x \in S \mid \operatorname{rank}(\phi(x)) \leqslant 2\}$. Since $c(F)^{-1}=c(N(-1))$, and $N=\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{S}$, Porteous' formula $[4, \S 14.4]$ yields

$$
\operatorname{deg}(R)=c_{2}(\delta)^{2}-c_{1}(\delta) c_{2}(\delta)+c_{2}(\delta) .
$$

The inequality $g>(1 / 2) d(\sqrt{d}+4)+1$ is then equivalent to the other $c_{2}^{2}-5 c_{2}+$ $+c_{1} c_{2}+2 \geqslant 0$; the pairs $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ for wich this fails may be excluded by use of Scharzenberger's condition $c_{2}\left(c_{2}+1-3 c_{1}-2 c_{1}^{2}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 12)[10$, ch. I].

Example 1.7. Let $\&$ be the Horrocks-Mumford bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{4}$, and fix $x \in \mathbb{P}^{4}$ a general point. Barth has shown that the family of jumping lines of $\varepsilon$ through $x$ is parametrized by a nonsingular curve $R_{x} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, having degree 8 and genus 5 , which is the curve of contact of two Kummer surfaces, both having all of their nodes on $R_{x}$ [1]. Clearly the conjecture is satisfied in this case. The curve $R_{x}$ is not projectively normal.

Example 1.8. Let $C$ be a smooth irreducible curve of genus $g$ and degree $d$, which is the set-theoretic complete intersection of two integral hypersurfaces $V$ and $W$, and let $\langle V, W\rangle$ be as in Proposition 2.2.

Proposition 2.1. Suppose that for a general $S \in\langle V, W\rangle$ the exceptional divisor in the blow up of $S$ along $C$ irreducible. Then $g>(1 / 2) d(\sqrt{d}-4)+1$.

To see this, as in Proposition 2.2 below we let $s$ be the multiplicity of a general $S \in\langle V, W\rangle$ along $C$. Let $H \subset P_{C}$ be the inverse image of a general hyperplane; then $\widetilde{S}_{C} \in$ $\in\left|a H-s E_{C}\right|$ moves with no fixed components on $H$, and therefore $\left(a H-s E_{C}\right)^{2} \cdot H \geqslant$ $\geqslant 0$. This inequality is equivalent to $s / a \leqslant 1 / \sqrt{d}$.

On the other hand, for a general $S \in\langle V, W\rangle$, as we have seen, one has $\widetilde{S}_{C}=\phi^{*} \widetilde{S}_{G}$, and thus $\widetilde{S}_{C} \backslash E_{C} \cong \widetilde{S}_{G} \backslash E_{G}$. However, for $S$ general the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{S}_{G}}\left(E_{G}\right)$ is ample (for such is $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}_{G}}\left(E_{G}\right)$ ) and so the latter open set is affine. Now we only need to prove the following lemma, which is basically a specialization of a result of Goodman [6]:

Claim 2.1. Let $S$ be an irreducible projective surface, and $E \subset S$ an irreducible Cartier divisor, such that $U=S \backslash E$ is affine. Then $E^{2}>0$.

Proof. Let $f$ be a nonconstant function on $U$. We may regard $f$ as a rational function on $S$, with polar divisor supported on $E$. Let $(f)_{\infty}$ and $(f)_{0}$ be the polar and the zero divisors of $f$. Then $E^{2}$ is a positive multiple of $(f)_{\infty}^{2}=(f)_{0} \cdot(f)_{\infty} \geqslant 0$.

If equality held, $U$ would contain the complete curve supporting $(f)_{0}$, absurd.

By the claim, we have $E^{2} \cdot(a H-s E)>0$, which can be rewritten $(s / a) \operatorname{deg}(N)>d$. Thus we conclude $\operatorname{deg}(N)>d \sqrt{d}$, and the proposition easily follows.

Example 1.8 should serve as a toy model for a general proof of the conjecture, which in this case follows from the simoultaneous inequalities $(a H-s E) \cdot H^{2}>0$ and $\operatorname{deg}(N)>d \sqrt{d}$. The following should then be a step towards a generalization:
$P_{\text {Roposrrion }} 2.2(\operatorname{char}(k)=0)$. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be a smooth and irreducible curve, with semistable normal bundle. Assume that $C$ is the set-theoretic complete intersection of two integral surfaces $V$ and $W$, of degree $a \geqslant b$ respectively. Let $\langle V, W\rangle$ be the linear series of all surfaces with equations $\lambda F_{V}+G \cdot F_{W}$, where $F_{V}, F_{W}$ are the defining equations of $V$ and $W$, $\lambda \in \mathrm{C}$ and $G$ ranges over all polynomials of degree $a-b$. Let s be the multiplicity along $C$ of a general $S \in\langle V, W\rangle$. Then $\varepsilon(C) \geqslant s / a$.

Proof. Let $G=V \cap W$ be the scheme-theoretic complete intersection of $V$ and $W$, and denote by $P_{C}$ and $P_{G}$ the blow-ups of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ along $C$ and $G$, respectively, and by $E_{C}$ and $E_{G}$ the corresponding exceptional divisors. The inclusion of ideals $J_{G} \subset J_{C}$ induces a rational map $\phi: P_{C} \rightarrow P_{G}$. Since $P_{C}$ is smooth, the singular locus $\Sigma$ of $\phi$ has dimension $\leqslant 1 . \phi$ induces the identifications $P_{C} \backslash E_{C} \cong P_{G} \backslash E_{G}$, and then $\Sigma \subset E_{C}$. For a general $S \in\langle V, W\rangle$, it is easy to see that $\widetilde{S}_{G} \in\left|a H-E_{G}\right|$. On the other hand, by definition, the proper transform of $S$ in $P_{C}$ is $\tilde{S}_{C} \equiv a H-s E_{C}$.

Lemma 2.1. For $S \in\langle V, W\rangle$ general, $\widetilde{S}_{C} \equiv \phi^{*} \widetilde{S}_{G}$.
Proof. There is an isomorphism $\langle V, W\rangle \cong\left|a H-E_{G}\right|$, and on the other hand the latter linear series is base point free. Hence, $\overline{\phi\left(E_{C} \backslash \Sigma\right)} \nsubseteq \widetilde{S}_{G}$ for a general $S$.

Since the normal bundle $N$ is semistable, the smooth surface $E_{C} \cong \mathbb{P N}$ does not contain any curve of negative self-intersection. Hence, the proof of the Proposition is reduced to the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2. Let $X$, $Y$ be projective threefolds, with $X$ smooth, and $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ a rational map. Let $\Sigma \subset X$ be the singular locus of $\phi$, and suppose that there exists a smooth surface ScX, containing $\Sigma$ and such that for each 1-dimensional component $\Sigma_{i}$ of $\Sigma$ one has $\Sigma_{i} \cdot \Sigma_{i} \geqslant 0$. Let $L$ be a globally generated line bundle on $Y$. Then $\phi^{*} L$ is nef.

In fact, the lemma implies that $a H-s E_{C}$ is nef, and therefore that $\varepsilon(C) \geqslant$ $\geqslant s / a$.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Let $D \subset X$ be an irreducible curve. If $D \not \subset \Sigma$, then there is $Z \in$ $\in|L|$ such that $Z \not \supset \phi(D \backslash \Sigma)$. Then $\phi^{*} Z \not \supset D$, and thus $\phi^{*} L \cdot D \geqslant 0$. Now suppose that $D$ is an irreducible component of $\Sigma$. Let $\widetilde{\phi}$ be the maximal extension of $\left.\phi\right|_{s}$. Since the sin-
gular locus of $\tilde{\phi}$ is at most 0 -dimensional, $\tilde{\phi}^{*} L$ is nef. In fact, there exists $Z \in|L|$ such that $\widetilde{\phi}^{*} Z \not \supset \Sigma_{\tilde{i}}$, for all $i$. Hence $\widetilde{\phi}^{*}(Z) \cdot D \geqslant 0$. Now, $\widetilde{\phi}=\left.\phi\right|_{s}$ away from $\Sigma$, and so $\phi^{*} Z \cap S=\widetilde{\phi}^{*} Z+T$, where $T$ is an effective curve in $S$ supported on $\Sigma$. The statement of the lemma then follows by the hypothesis.

## References

[1] W. Barth, Kummer suffaces associated with the Mumford-Horrocks bundle. In: A. Beauville (ed.), Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d'Angers (Angers, 1979). Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1980, 29-48.
[2] F. Catanese, Babbage's conjecture, contact of surfaces, symmetric determinental varieties and applications. Inv. Math., 63, 1981, 433-466.
[3] C. Culberto, Canonical Surfaces with $p_{g}=p_{a}=4$ and $K^{2}=5, \ldots, 10$. Duke Math. J., 48, 1981, 121-157.
[4] W. Fulton, Intersection Theory. Springer-Verlag, 1984.
[5] W. Fulton - R. Lazarsfeld, Positive polynomials for ample vector bundles. Ann. Math., 118, 1983, 35-60.
[6] J. E. Goodman, Affine open subsets of algebraic varieties and ample divisors. Ann. Math., 89, 1969, 160-183.
[7] R. Hartshorne, Complete intersections in characteristic $p>0$. Am. J. Math., 101, 1979, 380-383.
[8] D. Jaffe, Smooth curves on a cone which pass through its vertex. Manuscripta Mathematica, 73, 1991, 187-205.
[9] P. Le Barz, Formules pour les trisécantes des surfaces algébriques. L’Enseign. Math., 33, 1987, 1-66.
[10] C. Okonek - M. Schneder - H. Spindler, Vector bundles on complex projective spaces. Progr. in Math., vol. 56, Birkhäuser, Boston 1985.
[11] R. Paoletti, Seshadri positive curves in a smooth projective 3-fold. Rend. Mat. Acc. Lincei, s. 9, v. 6, 1995, 259-274.
[12] C. Peskine - L. Szpiro, Liaison des variétés algébriques. Inv. Math., 26, 1974, 271-302.
[13] P. Rao, On self-linked curves. Duke Math. J., 49, 1982, 251-273.
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università degli Studi di Pavia Via Abbiategrasso, 209-27100 Pavia

