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Analisi matematica. - Multiplicity of bomoclinic orbits for a class of asymptotically periodic Hamiltonian systems. Nota di Piero Montecchiari, presentata (*) dal Corrisp. A. Ambrosetti.

Abstract. - We prove the existence of infinitely many geometrically distinct homoclinic orbits for a class of asymptotically periodic second order Hamiltonian systems.
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Riassunto. - Molteplicità di orbite omocline per sistemi bamiltoniani asintoticamente periodici. Si dimostra l'esistenza di infinite orbite omocline geometricamente distinte per una classe di sistemi Hamiltoniani del secondo ordine asintoticamente periodici.

## 1. Introduction

In this work we study the problem of existence of homoclinic solutions of a second order asymptotically periodic Hamiltonian system: find $q \in C^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}^{m}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{q}=q-\nabla V(t, q), \quad q(t) \rightarrow 0 \text { and } \dot{q}(t) \rightarrow 0 \text { as }|t| \rightarrow \infty \tag{HS}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\nabla V$ being asymptotic, as $t \rightarrow-\infty$, to a periodic function $\nabla V_{-}$. Precisely we assume that $V, V_{-} \in C^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{R} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{m}, \boldsymbol{R}\right)$ satisfy
V1) $|\nabla V(t, x)|, \quad\left|\nabla V_{-}(t, x)\right|=o(x) \quad$ as $x \rightarrow 0$,
$V 2) \quad|\nabla V(t, \cdot)|, \quad\left|\nabla V_{-}(t, \cdot)\right|$ are locally lipschitz continuous functions,
V3) $\exists \mu>2 / 0<\mu V(t, x) \leqslant \nabla V(t, x) x$ and $0<\mu V_{-}(t, x) \leqslant \nabla V_{-}(t, x) x \forall x \neq 0$, uniformly with respect to $t \in \boldsymbol{R}$, and

$$
\exists T_{-}>0 / V_{-}\left(t+T_{-}, x\right)=V_{-}(t, x) \forall(t, x) \in \boldsymbol{R} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{m}
$$

$V 5) \quad\left|\nabla V(t, x)-\nabla V_{-}(t, x)\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$ unif. on the compacts of $\boldsymbol{R}^{m}$.
This setting is a natural generalization of the case in which $V$ is periodic in time (see [1] for a study of the asymptotically periodic problem for a class of semilinear elliptic equations on $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ ). We note that the periodic problem always admits at least one non trivial solution, see $[3,5,8]$. This is not the case for the asymptotically periodic problem which presents situations in which there are no solutions different from $q=0$, like for example the case in which $V(t, x)=(\pi+$ $+\arctan (t)) \cdot|x|^{4}$. This does not happen if we make a discreteness hypothesis on the set of critical points of the functional associated to the problem at $-\infty: \varphi_{-}(u)=$ $=(1 / 2)\|u\|_{1,2}^{2}-\int_{R} V_{-}(t, u) d t, u \in W^{1,2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}^{m}\right)$. To be precise, letting $c$ be the mountain pass level of $\varphi_{-}$, and noting that $\varphi_{-}$is invariant under the $Z$-action:

[^0]$j \rightarrow u\left(\cdot-j T_{-}\right)$we require that
there exists a $c^{*}>c$ such that $K_{-}^{c^{*}} / \boldsymbol{Z}$ is finite,
where $K_{-}^{c^{*}}$ is the set of critical points of $\varphi_{-}$with critical value less or equal to $c^{*}$.
In this setting we are able to prove our main theorem:
Theorem 1.1. If $V 1$ )-V5) and (*) bold then (HS) admits infinitely many bomoclinic solutions.

Precisely there exists a bomoclinic solution $u \neq 0$ of the equation $\ddot{q}=q-\nabla V_{-}(t, q)$ for which we bave that $\forall r>0$ there exists $M=M(r)>0$ and $n_{0}=n_{0}(r) \in Z$ such that for each finite sequence $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right\} \subset \boldsymbol{Z}$ that verifies $p_{j}-p_{j+1}>M, j=1, \ldots, k-1$ and $p_{1}<n_{0}$, there exists a bomoclinic solution $x$ of (HS) such that, if we put $p_{0}=+\infty$, $p_{k+1}=-\infty$, then $\left|x(t)-u\left(t-p_{j} T_{-}\right)\right|<r \forall t \in\left((1 / 2)\left(p_{j}+p_{j+1}\right) T_{-},(1 / 2)\left(p_{j}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.+p_{j-1}\right) T_{-}\right), j=1, \ldots, k$.

In particular for $k=1$ we obtain that if $p \in Z$ is smaller than a certain value $n_{0}$, then near $u\left(\cdot-p T_{-}\right)$there is a homoclinic solution of $(H S)$. For $k>1$ we obtain homoclinic solutions of $(H S)$ which go away from zero and return near it, $k$ times, staying near translates of $u$.

We call this type of solution $k$-bump solution.
The first proof of existence of 2-bump solutions, under the hypothesis ( $*$ ), was given in [9] for a class of first order Hamiltonian systems, and then in [4] was proved the existence of $k$-bump solutions for any $k \in N$ for a class of second order Hamiltonian systems.

Independence from $k$ of the distance of the bumps was proved by Eric Séré [10] for first order convex and periodic Hamiltonian systems and its main consequence is the existence of a new class of solutions, which seems to be related to the chaotic behavior of this type of systems. We note that in [10], instead of (*), it is assumed only that the set of critical points of the functional associated to the problem, with critical value less then or equal to $c^{*}$, is denumerable.

Our result is the analogous of the Séré' one for a second order, asymptotically periodic Hamiltonian system. When $V$ is periodic, there are no restrictions on $p_{1}$, and Theorem 1.1 strengthens the result in [4], showing that the distance between any two bumps of a $k$-bump solution is independent of $k$. In particular, from Theorem 1.1, as in [10], we deduce:

Corollary 1.2. Assume $V 1)-V 5)$ and (*). Then for the same $u$ of Theorem 1.1 we bave that $\forall r>0$ there exists $M=M(r)>0, n_{0}=n_{0}(r) \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ such that if $\left\{p_{j}\right\}_{j \in N} \subset \boldsymbol{Z}$ satisfies $p_{1}<n_{0}, p_{j}-p_{j+1} \geqslant M, \forall j \in \boldsymbol{N}$ then there exists $x \in C^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}^{m}\right)$ such that $\ddot{x}(t)=$ $=x(t)-\nabla V(t, x(t)), \forall t \in \boldsymbol{R}$ and such that if we put $p_{0}=+\infty$, then $\forall j \in \boldsymbol{N} \mid x(t)-$ $-u\left(t-p_{j} T_{-}\right) \mid<r \forall t \in\left((1 / 2)\left(p_{j}+p_{j+1}\right) T_{-},(1 / 2)\left(p_{j}+p_{j-1}\right) T_{-}\right)$.

Obviously an analogous of Theorem 1.1 holds if the potential $V$ is asymptotic at $+\infty$ in the sense of $V 5$ ), to a certain periodic potential $V_{+}$which satisfies also $V 1$ )-V4) and (*).

## 2. Preliminaries

We set $X=W^{1,2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}^{m}\right),\|\cdot\|=\|\cdot\|_{1,2}$, and, for $u \in X$,

$$
\varphi(u)=(1 / 2)\|u\|^{2}-\int_{R} V(t, u) d t, \quad \varphi_{-}^{\prime}(u)=(1 / 2)\|u\|^{2}-\int_{R} V_{-}(t, u) d t
$$

We have that $\varphi, \varphi_{-} \in C^{1}(X, \boldsymbol{R})$ and if $K_{-}=\left\{u \in X \backslash\{0\} / \varphi_{-}^{\prime}(u)=0\right\}, K=\{u \in$ $\left.\in X \backslash\{0\} / \varphi^{\prime}(u)=0\right\}$ then $\Lambda=\inf _{K_{-} \cup K}\|u\|>0$. We have that $\varphi$ and $\varphi$ - satisfy the geometrical hypotheses of the Mountain Pass theorem. The Palais Smale condition, see [2], does not hold for the invariance of $\varphi$ - under the action of the non compact group of translations by integer multiples of $T_{-}$. In any case, by $V 1$ ) and the continuity of the embedding $X \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}^{m}\right)$, we get that there exists $\rho_{0}>0$ such that if $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ is a Palais Smale sequence of $\varphi$; with $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leqslant 2 \rho_{0}$, then $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From this simple fact and using the concentration-compactness lemma [6], if we put for $A$ measurable $\subset \boldsymbol{R},\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{A}^{2}=\int_{A}\left|\dot{u}_{n}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} d t$, we get:

Proposition 2.1. Assume V1)-V5) and let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in N} \subset X$ such that $\varphi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow b$, $\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and finally $\exists R>0$ such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{t>R} \leqslant \rho_{0}$. Then there exist a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}\left(\right.$ still denoted with $\left.\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}\right)$, a critical point $u$ of $\varphi$, an integer $k \in N \cup\{0\}, k$ sequences $\left\{t_{n}^{i}\right\}_{n \in N} \subset \boldsymbol{Z}$ and $k$ non zero critical points of $\varphi_{-}, v_{i} \in K_{-}$, $i=1, \ldots, k$ such that

1) $t_{n}^{1} \rightarrow-\infty$ and $t_{n}^{j}-t_{n}^{j-1} \rightarrow-\infty, \quad j=2, \ldots, k$,
2) $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $X$,
3) $\left\|u_{n}-u-\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}\left(\cdot-t_{n}^{i} T_{-}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
4) $b=\varphi(u)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \varphi_{-}\left(v_{i}\right)$.

In particular if a Palais Smale sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ at a level $b$ of $\varphi$ does not converge and satisfies for an $R>0,\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{t>R} \leqslant \rho_{0}$, then for any $R_{-}<0$, we have that, up to a subsequence, $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{t<R_{-}}>(1 / 2) \Lambda$ for $n$ sufficiently large.

Lemma 2.2. Assume V1)-V5) and let $r^{\prime}=(1 / 2) \min \left\{\Lambda, \rho_{0}\right\}$. Then any Palais Smale sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ at a level $b$ of $\varphi$ such that there exists $R>0$ with $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{|t| \geqslant R} \leqslant r^{\prime} \forall n \in N$ admits a converging subsequence.

By the concentration-compactness lemma it is also possible to characterize the Palais Smale sequences of $\varphi$. This characterization together with the hypothesis $(*)$, allow us to bound from below $\left|\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(u)\right|$ in certain regions of $X$ even if $\varphi$ - does not satisfy the Palais Smale condition. In fact by $(*)$ we get that there exists a $\rho_{1}>0$ which is smaller than the distance between any two point of $K_{-}^{c^{*}}$. If for $r>0$ we set $N_{r}\left(K_{-}^{c^{*}}\right)=$ $=\left\{x \in X / \inf _{y \in K c_{-}^{* *}}\|x-y\| \leqslant r\right\}$ and if $r^{\prime \prime}=\min \left\{r^{\prime}, \rho_{1} / 3\right\}$ then it is possible to prove
that:

Lemma 2.3. Assume V1)-V5) and (*). Then $\forall r_{1}<r_{2} \in\left(0, r^{\prime \prime}\right), \exists \mu_{1}=\mu_{1}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)>0$ such that: $q \in N_{r_{2}}\left(K_{-}^{c^{*}}\right) \backslash N_{r_{1}}\left(K_{-}^{c^{*}}\right)$ and $\varphi_{-}(q)<c^{*} \Rightarrow\left|\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(q)\right| \geqslant \mu_{1}$.

Another important consequence of the hypothesis $(*)$ together with the characterization of the Palais Smale sequence of $\varphi_{-}$is that the critical levels of $\varphi_{-}$are isolated points of the set of asymptotic critical level of $\varphi_{-}$(we say that $b \in \boldsymbol{R}$ is an asymptotic critical level of $\varphi_{-}$if there exists at this level a Palais Smale sequence of $\varphi_{-}$):

Lemma 2.4. Assume V1)-V5) and (*). Then for any critical level (of $\varphi_{-}$) $b<c *$ there exists $\lambda_{0}=\lambda_{0}(b) \in\left(0, c^{*}-b\right)$ such that $\left(b-\lambda_{0}, b+\lambda_{0}\right)$ does not contain asymptotic critical levels different from $b$.

From this we get that if $b \in \varphi_{-}\left(K_{-}^{c^{*}}\right), b<c^{*}$, and $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4} \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}(b)\right)$, $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}<\lambda_{4}$, then there exists $\mu_{2}=\mu_{2}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in \varphi_{-}^{-1}\left(\left(b-\lambda_{4}, b-\lambda_{3}\right) \cup\left(b+\lambda_{1}, b+\lambda_{2}\right)\right) \Rightarrow\left\|\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(x)\right\| \geqslant \mu_{2} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last property we give here is connected with the asymptotic assumption on $V$ :
Lemma 2.6. $\forall \varepsilon>0, \forall C>0$ there exists $n_{0}=n_{0}(\varepsilon, C) \in Z$ such that: $u \in B(0, C)$, $u(t)=0 \quad \forall t \geqslant n_{0} \Rightarrow\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(u)-\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(u)\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon$.

## 3. Sketch of the Proof of Theorem 1.1.

From now on we will assume for simplicity that $T_{-}=1$ and if $f: X \rightarrow R$ and $a, b \in \boldsymbol{R}$ we set $f^{a}=\{x \in X / f(x) \leqslant a\}, f_{a}=(-f)^{-a}, f_{a}^{b}=f^{b} \cap f_{a}$. Also if $s \in \boldsymbol{R}$ and $x \in X$ we put $s * x=x(\cdot-s)$.

Given $n \in Z, k, N \in N$, we say that $p=\left(p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}, p_{k+1}\right) \in P(k, n, N)$ if $p_{0}=+\infty, p_{k+1}=-\infty, p_{j} \in Z, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k, p_{j}-p_{j+1} \geqslant 2 N(N+3 / 2), 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$, and finally $p_{1}<n-N(N+1)$. If $p \in P(k, n, N)$, then for $i=1, \ldots, k$ we set $\mathcal{U}_{i}=\left(\left(p_{i}+p_{i+1}\right) / 2, \quad\left(p_{i}+p_{i-1}\right) / 2\right)$ and we define the functionals, $\varphi_{-, i}(x)=$ $=(1 / 2)\|x\|_{U_{i}}^{2}-\int_{u_{i}} V_{-}(t, x) d t, x \in X$, which are in $C^{1}(X, \boldsymbol{R})$. Also, if $r_{2}>r_{1} \geqslant 0$, $u \in X$ and $p \in P(k, n, N)$, we set $B_{p}^{u}\left(r_{2}, r_{1}\right)=\left\{x \in X / r_{1} \leqslant \max _{i=1, \ldots, k}\left\|x-p_{i} * u\right\|_{\mathcal{U}_{i}}<r_{2}\right\}$. Putting $K_{-}(c)=K_{-} \cap\left\{x \in X / \varphi_{-}(x)=c\right\}$, Theorem 1.1 will be proved if we show that

Theorem 3.1. Assume V1)-V5) and (*). Then there exists $u \in K_{-}(c)$ such that $\forall r>0$ $\exists N=N(r)>0, n=n(r) \in Z$ such that $K \cap B_{p}^{u}(r, 0) \neq \emptyset, \forall k \in N, \forall p \in P(k, n, N)$.

Proof. We give first two technical lemmas.
From Prop. 2.22 of [4] and Lemma 2.3, we can prove that $\exists r^{\prime \prime \prime} \in\left(0, r^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for which

Lemma 3.2. $\exists u \in K_{-}(c)$ for which $\forall r \in\left(0, r^{\prime \prime \prime}\right), \forall b_{+}>0, \exists h_{-}=h_{-}(r)>0, \exists R=$ $=R\left(r, h_{+}\right)>0$ and $\exists \bar{g} \in C([0,1], X)$ such that:

1) $\operatorname{supp}(\bar{g}(t)) \subset(-R, R) \forall t \in[0,1]$,
2) $\bar{g}(0), \bar{g}(1) \in \partial B(u, r)$ and $\bar{g}(t) \in B(u, r) \forall t \in[0,1]$,
3) $\max _{t \in[0,1]} \varphi_{-}(\bar{g}(t))<c+h_{+}$,
4) $\bar{g}(t) \notin B(u, r / 2) \Rightarrow \varphi_{-}(\bar{g}(t)) \leqslant c-b_{-}$,
5) $\forall g \in C([0,1], X)$ with $g(0)=\bar{g}(0), g(1)=\bar{g}(1)$ we have $\max _{[0,1]} \varphi_{-}(g(t)) \geqslant c$.

We claim that Theorem 3.1 holds with this $u$. In fact by Lemmas 2.3, 2.4, 2.6 and by (2.5), we can prove also that

Lemma 3.3. $\forall r_{1}<r_{2}<r_{3} \in\left(0, r^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ there exists $\mu_{1}=\mu_{1}\left(r_{1}, r_{3}\right)>0$ and, if we fix $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4} \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}(c)\right), \lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}<\lambda_{4}$, there exists $n_{0} \in Z, \varepsilon_{1}>0$, such that $\forall \varepsilon \in$ $\in\left(0, \varepsilon_{1}\right), \exists N_{\varepsilon} \in N$, for which $\forall k \in N$ and $\boldsymbol{p} \in P\left(k, n_{0}, N_{\varepsilon}\right)$ there exists a locally lipschitz continuous function $\mathfrak{\vartheta}: X \rightarrow X$ such that $\mathcal{O}(x) \in B_{p}^{0}(2,0) \quad \forall x \in X, \mathcal{V}(x)=0 \quad \forall x \in$ $\in X \backslash B_{p}^{u}\left(r_{3}, 0\right)$ and

1) $x \in B_{p}^{u}\left(r_{2}, r_{1}\right) \Rightarrow \varphi^{\prime}(x) \mathcal{O}(x) \geqslant \mu_{1} ; \quad\left\|x-p_{i} * u\right\|_{U_{i}} \in\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \Rightarrow \varphi_{-, i}^{\prime}(x) \mathcal{V}(x) \geqslant \mu_{1}$,
2) $x \in B_{p}^{u}\left(r_{3}, r_{2}\right) \Rightarrow \varphi^{\prime}(x) \mathcal{V}(x)>0 ;\left\|x-p_{i} * u\right\|_{U_{i}} \in\left(r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \Rightarrow \varphi_{-, i}^{\prime}(x) \mathcal{V}(x)>0$,
3) $x \in B_{p}^{u}\left(r_{3}, 0\right) \cap\left(\left(\varphi_{-, i}\right)_{b+\lambda_{1}}^{b+\lambda_{2}} \cup\left(\varphi_{-, i}\right)_{b-\lambda_{4}}^{b-\lambda_{3}}\right) \Rightarrow \varphi_{-, i}^{\prime}(x) \mathcal{Y}(x)>0$,
4) $x \in B_{p}^{u}\left(r_{3}, 0\right)$ and $\max _{0 \leqslant l \leqslant K}\|x\|_{E_{l}}^{2} \geqslant 4 \varepsilon \Rightarrow\langle x, \mathcal{O}(x)\rangle_{E_{l}}>0 \quad l=0, \ldots, k$, where $E_{l}=\left(p_{l+1}+N(N+1), p_{l}-N(N+1)\right)$ and $\langle x, \mathcal{O}(x)\rangle_{E_{l}}=\int_{E_{l}} \dot{x} \dot{\mathcal{O}}(x)+x \mathcal{O}(x) d t$.
Moreover if $K \cap B_{p}^{u}\left(r_{1}, 0\right)=\emptyset$, then $\exists \mu_{p}>0$ such that 5) $x \in B_{p}^{u}\left(r_{1}, 0\right) \Rightarrow \varphi^{\prime}(x) \mathcal{V}(x) \geqslant \mu_{p}$.

If we consider the flow associated to this pseudogradient field, we call it $\eta(\cdot, x)$, we get that, if $K \cap B_{p}^{u}\left(r_{1}, 0\right)=\emptyset$, then $\varphi$ is always decreasing along the trajectories of $\vartheta$ and, if for an $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\},\left\|\eta(s, x)-p_{i} * u\right\|_{\mathcal{U}_{i}} \geqslant r_{1} \forall s \in\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$, then also the function $s \rightarrow \varphi_{-, i}(\eta(s, x))$ is decreasing on $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$. Moreover, thanks to (3) of Lemma 3.3, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{-, i}^{c+\lambda_{1}}, \varphi_{-, i}^{c-\lambda_{4}} \text { are positively invariant sets, } \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is $\eta\left(t, \varphi_{-, i}^{c+\lambda_{1}}\right) \subset \varphi_{-, i}^{c+\lambda_{1}}, \eta\left(t, \varphi_{-, i}^{c-\lambda_{4}}\right) \subset \varphi_{-, i}^{c-\lambda_{4}}, \forall t \geqslant 0$.
Setting $\mathcal{E}=\left\{x \in X / \max _{0 \leqslant l \leqslant k}\|x\|_{E_{l}}^{2} \leqslant 4 \varepsilon\right\}$ by (4) of Lemma 3.3, we get also that
$\delta$ is a positively invariant set.
Assume now by contradiction that there exists $\bar{r}>0$, such that $\forall N>0, \forall n \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ there exist $k \in N$ and $p \in P(k, n, N)$ for which $K \cap B_{p}^{u}(\bar{r}, 0)=\emptyset$. Fixing $r_{0}=$ $=(1 / 2) \min \left\{r^{\prime \prime \prime}, \bar{r}\right\}$, we can use Lemma 3.2 with $h_{+}=(1 / 3) \min \left\{\lambda_{0}(c),(1 / 12) \mu_{1} r_{0}\right\}$ and $r=r_{0}$ getting that $\exists h_{-} \in\left(0, h_{+}\right), R>0, \bar{g} \in C^{1}([0,1], X)$, which satisfy the listed properties (1)-(5).

Put also $r_{1}=r_{0} / 2, r_{2}=2 r_{0} / 3, r_{3}=5 r_{0} / 6, \lambda_{1}=(4 / 3) b_{+}, \lambda_{2}=(5 / 3) b_{+}, \lambda_{4}=$ $=(1 / 2) b_{-}, \lambda_{3}=(1 / 3) b_{-}$and fix a suitable small $\varepsilon$. By the contradiction hypothesis there exist $N>\max \left\{R, N_{\varepsilon}\right\}, n<n_{0}, k \in N, p \in P(k, n, N) \subset P\left(k, n_{0}, N_{\varepsilon}\right)$, for which $K \cap B_{p}^{u}\left(r_{0}, 0\right)=\emptyset$, so by Lemma 3.3, we get a field $\mathcal{V}$ which satisfies the properties (1)-(6) with this $k$ and $p$.

Consider the function $G:[0,1]^{k} \rightarrow X, G(\theta)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i} * \bar{g}\left(\theta_{i}\right)$.
For any $\theta \in[0,1]^{k}$ we have $\operatorname{supp}(G(\theta)) \subset \boldsymbol{R} \backslash\left(\bigcup_{l=0}^{k} E_{l}\right)$ therefore $G(\theta) \in \mathcal{E}$. Moreover, by construction, $G(\theta) \in B_{p}^{u}\left(r_{0}, 0\right) \cap\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k}\left(\varphi_{-, i}\right)^{c+\lambda_{1}}\right)$ and if for a $\theta \in[0,1]^{k}$ we have $G(\theta) \in X \backslash B_{p}^{u}\left(r_{1}, 0\right)$ then there exists $i_{\theta} \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $G(\theta) \in$ $\in\left(\varphi_{-, i_{\theta}}\right)^{c-\lambda_{4}}$.

From this, using the pseudogradient flow, if $\varepsilon$ was chosen sufficiently small, it is possible to prove that

Lemma 3.6. $\theta \in \partial[0,1]^{k} \Rightarrow \eta(t, G(\theta))=G(\theta) \forall t>0$.
Lemma 3.7. $\exists \mathscr{T}>0: \forall \theta \in[0,1]^{k} \exists i_{\theta} \in\{1, \ldots, k\} / \varphi_{-, i_{\theta}}(\eta(\mathcal{T}, G(\theta))) \leqslant c-\lambda_{4}$.
From Lemma 3.7, if $0_{i}=\left\{\theta \in[0,1]^{k} / \theta_{i}=0\right\}, 1_{i}=\left\{\theta \in[0,1]^{k} / \theta_{i}=1\right\}, i=1, \ldots, k$, and if we put $\bar{G}(\theta)=\eta(\mathscr{T}, G(\theta)), \theta \in[0,1]^{k}$ we get

Lemma 3.8. $\exists i_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, k\} \quad \exists \alpha \in C\left([0,1],[0,1]^{k}\right) / \alpha(0) \in 0_{i_{0}}, \alpha(1) \in 1_{i_{0}}$, $\bar{G}(\alpha(s)) \in\left(\varphi_{-, i_{0}}\right)^{c-\lambda_{4} / 2} \forall s \in[0,1]$.

Defining the cutoff function $\beta \in C(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$, such that $\beta(t)=0$ if $t \notin \mathcal{U}_{i_{0}}, \beta(t)=1$ if $t \in \mathcal{U}_{i_{0}} \backslash\left(E_{i_{0}} \cup E_{i_{0}-1}\right)$ and in such a way it is linear on the intervals $\mathcal{U}_{i_{0}} \cap E_{i_{0}-1}, \mathcal{U}_{i_{0}} \cap$ $\cap E_{i_{0}}$, we set $\gamma(s)=\beta \bar{G}(\alpha(s)), s \in[0,1]$. By Lemma 3.6 we have that $\gamma(0)=p_{i_{0}} * \bar{g}(0)$ and $\gamma(1)=p_{i_{0}} * g(1)$; moreover, by (3.5), $\bar{G}(\alpha(s)) \in \mathcal{E}$ for any $s \in[0,1]$, therefore $\left|\varphi_{-, i_{0}}(\gamma(s))-\varphi_{-, i_{0}}(\bar{G}(\alpha(s)))\right| \leqslant C \varepsilon \forall s \in[0,1]$, with $C=C\left(r^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)>0$. From this, if $\varepsilon$ was chosen such that $C \varepsilon \leqslant(1 / 4) \lambda_{4}$, we get

$$
\varphi_{-}(\gamma(s))=\varphi_{-, i_{0}}(\gamma(s)) \leqslant \varphi_{-, i_{0}}(\bar{G}(\alpha(s)))+\lambda_{4} / 4 \leqslant c-\lambda_{4} / 4, \quad \forall s \in[0,1]
$$

which is in contradiction with Lemma 3.2. q.e.d.
The complete proofs and other results are contained in [7].
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[^0]:    (*) Nella seduta del 18 giugno 1993.

