## Atti Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

## Classe Scienze Fisiche Matematiche Naturali RENDICONTI

## Solomon G. Mikhlin

## Some theorems on the stability of numerical processes

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 72 (1982), n.2, p. 71-76.<br>Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei<br>[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1982_8_72_2_71_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1982_8_72_2_71_0)

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

> Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
> bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
> SIMAI \& UMI
> http://www.bdim.eu/

Analisi numerica. - Some theorems on the stability of numerical processes ${ }^{(*)}$. Nota ${ }^{(* *)}$ del Socio straniero Solomon G. Mikhlin.

Riassunto. - Nell'articolo si dimostrano alcuni teoremi sulla stabilità dei processi numerici di Ritz e della collocazione in rapporto agli errori di "distorsione».

1. Let us consider a numerical process which consists in solving a sequence of independent equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{n} x^{(n)}=f^{(n)} ; \quad n=1,2, \cdots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\boldsymbol{X}^{(n)} \in \mathrm{X}_{n}, f^{(n)} \in \mathrm{Y}_{n} ; \mathrm{A}_{n}$ is an operator acting from $\mathrm{X}_{n}$ into $\mathrm{Y}_{n} ; \mathrm{X}_{n}, \mathrm{Y}_{n}$ are metric spaces. In this paper we only consider the case when $X_{n}, \mathrm{Y}_{n}$ are separable Banach spaces (in the sections 2-4-Hilbert spaces) and $A_{n}$ are linear operators. Processes (1) arise, for example, when one uses the Ritz method (particularly, the finite elements method) for solving linear equations. In these cases the operators $A_{n}$ and the right-hand terms $f^{(n)}$ are not given a priori. How it is natural, they are calculated with some errors. As a result we have to solve equations of a certain " distorted" sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}+\Gamma_{n}\right) z^{(n)}=f^{(n)}+\delta^{(n)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead of sequence (1).
We say that the process (1) is stable, with respect to the distortions errors, in the sequence of pairs of spaces $\left(\mathrm{X}_{n}, \mathrm{Y}_{n}\right)$ if there exist positive numbers $p, q, r$, such that the inequality $\left\|\Gamma_{n}\right\|_{\mathrm{x}_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}_{n}} \leq r$ involves the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z^{(n)}-x^{(n)}\right\|_{\mathrm{x}_{n}} \leq p\left\|\Gamma_{n}\right\|_{\mathrm{x}_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}_{n}}+q\left\|\delta^{(n)}\right\|_{\mathrm{x}_{n}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some other definitions of stability are also possible.
It is demonstrated in [1] that the process (1) is stable, according to the above definition if and only if the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathrm{A}_{n}^{-1}\right\|_{\mathrm{y}_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}_{n}} \leq c_{1},\left\|\mathrm{~A}_{n}^{-1} \mathrm{~B}_{n} x^{(n)}\right\|_{\mathrm{X}_{n}} \leq c_{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are fulfilled; here $c_{1}, c_{2}$ do not depend on $n, x^{(n)}$ is the solution of (1) and $\mathrm{B}_{n}$ is an arbitrary operator with unit norm, acting from $\mathrm{X}_{n}$ into $\mathrm{Y}_{n}$.
2. Let us consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A} x=f \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(*) Dedicated to Prof. G. Fichera on the occasion of his 60th birthday.
(**) Presentata nella seduta del 13 febbraio 1982.
where A is a positive definite [2] operator acting in a separable Hilbert space $\mathbf{H}$; we designate by $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ the energy space of the operator A , for the definition see [2]. We choose a sequence of finite-dimensional subspaces $H_{A}^{(n)} \subset H_{A}$; let this sequence be complete in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$. We put $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(n)}=\mathrm{N}(n)=\mathrm{N}$. Further let $\left(\varphi_{n 1}, \varphi_{n 2}, \cdots, \varphi_{n \mathrm{~N}}\right)$ be a basis in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(n)}$. Following the Ritz method one constructs the approximate solution $x^{(n)}$ of (5) as an element of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(n)}$

$$
x^{(n)}=\sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{k}^{(n)} \varphi_{n k}
$$

with coefficients $a_{n}^{(k)}$ satisfying the system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}_{n} a^{(n)}=f^{(n)} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathrm{M}_{n}$ is the matrix of elements $\left[\varphi_{n k}, \varphi_{n j}\right] ; a^{(n)}$ and $f^{(n)}$ are vectors in $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}$ with components $\left(a_{1}^{(n)}, a_{2}^{(n)}, \cdots, a_{\mathrm{N}}^{(n)}\right)$ and $\left(f, \varphi_{n 1}\right),\left(f, \varphi_{n 2}\right), \cdots,\left(f, \varphi_{n \mathrm{~N}}\right)$ respectively. The indices $j, k$ change in the limits $1 \leq j, k \leq \mathrm{N}$; the square and round brackets designate the inner product in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and H respectively.

Remark. We obtain the classical Ritz method, if $\forall n, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(n)} \subset \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(n+1)}$ [3]. The idea of using subspaces $H_{A}^{(n)} \notin \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(n+1)}$ is due to Courant [4]; this idea contains the basis of the finite elements method.

Let $A_{n}$ be the operator acting in $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and generated by the matrix $\mathrm{M}_{n}$. If $a^{(n)}$ and $f^{(n)}$ are treated as elements of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}$, then one can write the equation (6) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{n} a^{(n)}=f^{(n)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is demonstrated in [5] (see also [6]) that the numerical process (7) for the classical Ritz process is stable in the sequence $\left(R_{N}, R_{N}\right)$ if and only if the least eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}^{(n)}$ of the matrix $\mathrm{M}_{n}$ is bounded below by a positive constant. The proof can be transferred without change on the case of non-expanding subspaces $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$.
3. We investigate now the stability of the Ritz process in the general case inf $\lambda_{1}^{(n)} \geq 0$. We introduce two $N$-dimensional Hilbert spaces $X_{N}$ and $Y_{N}$ with the norms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall b \in \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}} ;\|b\|_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}}=\sqrt{\lambda_{1}^{(n)}}\|b\|_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}},\|b\|_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}^{(n)}}}\|b\|_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us designate here by $A_{n}$ the operator generated by the matrix $M_{n}$ and acting from $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}}$ into $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{N}}$; the vectors $a^{(n)}$ and $f^{(n)}$ are treated as elements of $X_{N}$ and $Y_{N}$ respectively.

Theorem 1. The process (7) is stable in the sequence $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$.
It is sufficient to prove that the inequalities (4) are satisfied.
Let $v^{(n)} \in H_{A}^{(n)}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{(n)}=\sum_{k=1}^{N} b_{k}^{(n)} \varphi_{n k} ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

if we put $b^{(n)}=\left(b_{1}^{(n)}, b_{2}^{(n)}, \cdots, b_{\mathrm{N}}^{(n)}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v^{(n)}\right\|^{2}=\left(\mathrm{M}_{n} b^{(n)}, b^{(n)}\right)_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}} \geq \lambda_{1}^{(n)}\left\|b^{(n)}\right\|_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}}^{2}=\left\|b^{(n)}\right\|_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}}^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

|. 1 designates the norm in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$. Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathrm{A}_{n}^{-1}\right\|_{\mathrm{Y}_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}_{n}}=\sup _{b \in \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}} \frac{\left\|\mathrm{~A}_{n}^{-1} b\right\|_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}}}{\|b\|_{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{N}}}}=\lambda_{1}^{(n)} \sup _{b \in \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}} \frac{\left\|\mathrm{M}_{n}^{-1} b\right\|_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}}}{\|b\|_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}}}=1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence the first inequality (4) is proved.
The Ritz method converges in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ for the equation (5), because A is positive definite [2]. Consequently, $\left|x^{(n)}\right| \leq c_{3}=$ const; according to (10), $\left\|a^{(n)}\right\| \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} \leq c_{3}$. Now $\left\|\mathrm{A}_{n}^{-1} \mathrm{~B}_{n} a^{(n)}\right\| \leq c_{3}$, and the second inequality (4) is also proved.
4. Formula (9) defines an operator $\Pi_{n}$ which transforms any vector $b^{(n)} \in \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}$ in an element $v^{(n)} \in \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(n)}$, so that $v^{(n)}=\Pi_{n} a^{(n)}$. The operator $\Pi_{n}$ is invertible: $b^{(n)}=\Pi_{n}^{-1} v^{(n)}$; particularly, $a^{(n)}=\Pi_{n}^{-1} x^{(n)}$. Substituting this in (7), we obtain the numerical process giving the approximate solution $x^{(n)}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{n} \Pi_{n}^{-1} x^{(n)}=f^{(n)} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. The numerical process (12) is stable in the sequence $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(n)}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$.
We use the method of [7] in order to prove Theorem 2.
Let $\Gamma_{n}$ and $\delta^{(n)}$ be the distortions of $\mathrm{A}_{n}$ and $f^{(n)}$ respectively, and let $c^{(n)}$ be the solution of the distorted equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}+\Gamma_{n}\right) c^{(n)}=f^{(n)}+\delta^{(n)} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The distorted approximate Ritz solution is $\mathfrak{z}^{(n)}=\Pi_{n} c^{(n)}$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|z^{(n)}-x^{(n)}\right\|^{2}=\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\left(c^{(n)}-a^{(n)}\right), c^{(n)}-a^{(n)}\right)_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}} \leq \\
\leq\left\|\mathrm{A}_{n}\left(c^{(n)}-a^{(n)}\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}} \cdot\left\|c^{(n)}-a^{(n)}\right\|_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

According to Theorem 1 there exist numbers $p, q, r>0$ with the following property: if $\left\|\Gamma_{n}\right\|_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} \rightarrow \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{N}}} \leq r$, then

$$
\left\|c^{(n)}-a^{(n)}\right\| \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} \leq p\left\|\Gamma_{n}\right\|_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}}+q\left\|\delta^{(n)}\right\|_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}}
$$

It follows from (7) and (13) that

$$
\left(\mathrm{A}_{n}+\Gamma_{n}\right)\left(c^{(n)}-a^{(n)}\right)=\left(\mathrm{I}_{n}+\Gamma_{n} \mathrm{~A}_{n}^{-1}\right) \mathrm{A}_{n}\left(c^{(n)}-a^{(n)}\right)=\delta^{(n)}-\Gamma_{n} a^{(n)}
$$

where $\mathrm{I}_{n}$ is the identical operator in $\mathrm{Y}_{n}$. Let $r^{\prime}$ be a number in the interval $(0,1)$, and let $\left\|\mathrm{A}_{n}^{-1}\right\| \cdot\left\|\Gamma_{n}\right\|_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}}} \leq r^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\left\|\left(\mathrm{I}_{n}+\Gamma_{n} \mathrm{~A}_{n}^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right\| \leq\left(1-r^{\prime}\right)^{-1}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\mathrm{A}_{n}\left(c^{(n)}-a^{(n)}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-r^{\prime}}\left[c_{3}\left\|\Gamma_{n}\right\|_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}} \rightarrow \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{N}}}+\left\|\delta^{(n)}\right\|_{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{N}}}\right]
$$

Now obviously

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z^{(n)}-x^{(n)}\right| \leq p^{\prime}\left\|\Gamma_{n}\right\|_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}} \rightarrow \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{N}}}+q^{\prime}\left\|\delta^{(n)}\right\|_{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{N}}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}$ are suitable constants. Theorem 2 is proved.
Remark. One can define the norms in $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{N}}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall b \in \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}} ;\|b\|_{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}}}=\gamma(n)\|b\|_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}},\|b\|_{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{N}}}=\frac{1}{\gamma(n)}\|b\|_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\gamma(n)$ is any positive function of $n$, satisfying the inequality

$$
\forall b \in \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}},\left|\Pi_{n} b\right| \geq \mathrm{C}_{\gamma}(n)\|b\|_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}} ; \mathrm{C}=\text { const },
$$

or, what is the same,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{(n)} \geq \mathrm{C} \gamma^{2}(n) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, it is sufficient that $\mu_{1}^{(n)} \geq \mathbf{C} \gamma^{2}(n)$, where $\mu_{1}^{(n)}$ is the least eigenvalue of the matrix of inner products $\left(\varphi_{n k}, \varphi_{n j}\right)_{\mathrm{H}} ; j, k=1,2, \cdots, \mathrm{~N}$. Theorems 1 and 2 with their proofs still hold, only the relation (11) must be replaced by the inequality $\left\|A_{n}^{-1}\right\|_{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{N}} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}} \leq \mathrm{C}^{-1}$, where C is the constant of (16).

The theorems on stability of the finite elements method given in [8] are particular cases of the Theorems 1 and 2. The function $\gamma(n)$ used in [8] is equal to $h^{m / 2}$, where $h$ is the step of the net and $m$ is the dimension of the space of coordinates.
5. We consider now the problem of stability of the collocation method; this method was first formulated in [9]. The main points of the collocation method are the following. Let be given the problem of solving the equation (5) where $A$ is a linear operator acting from a Banach space $X$ into a Banach space $Y$, so that the domain $D(A)$ and the range $R(A)$ are dense in $X$ and $Y$ respectively. We suppose that $Y$ consists only of functions which are continouus on a certain compact $\mathrm{K} \subset \mathrm{R}_{m}$. We choose a sequence of finite-dimensional
subspaces $\mathrm{X}_{n} \subset \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{A})$ which is complete in X and put $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{X}_{n}=\mathrm{N}(n)=\mathrm{N}$, $\mathrm{Y}_{n}=\mathrm{AX}_{n}$. If the inverse operator $\mathrm{A}^{-1}$ exists then $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Y}_{n}=\mathrm{N}$. Further, if $\left\{\varphi_{n k}\right\}, 1 \leq k \leq \mathrm{N}$, is a basis in $\mathrm{X}_{n}$ and $\psi_{n k}=\mathrm{A} \varphi_{n k}$, then $\left\{\psi_{n k}\right\}$ is a basis in $\mathrm{Y}_{n}$.

Let us choose some points $t_{k}^{(n)} \in \mathrm{K}, 1 \leq k \leq \mathrm{N}$, the so-called collocation knots. One constructs the approximate solution of (5) as an element of $\mathrm{X}_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{(n)}=\sum_{k=1}^{\mathrm{N}} a_{k}^{(n)} \varphi_{n k} ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

the coefficients $a_{k}^{(n)}$ are to be defined from the algebraic system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\mathrm{N}} a_{k}^{(n)} \psi_{k n}\left(t_{j}^{(n)}\right)=f\left(t_{j}^{(n)}\right) ; \quad 1 \leq j \leq \mathrm{N} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

6. Let $t_{j}^{(n)}$ be the vertices of a certain parallelepipedal net. Further let $h_{k}^{(n)}$ be the length of the edge of the parallelepiped which is parallel to the $k$-th coordinate axis. Suppose

$$
c_{1} h_{k}^{(n)} \leq h_{n} \leq c_{2} h_{k}^{(n)} \quad ; \quad c_{1}, c_{2}=\text { const }, h_{n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{ } 0
$$

One can write down (18) in the form (6); the meaning of the notations is obvious. We consider $a^{(n)}$ as an element of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $f^{(n)}$ as an element of the N -dimensional Hilbert space $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N} s}$ with the norm $\|\cdot\| \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N} s}=h^{s / 2}\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}}$.

Let $A_{n}$ be the operator generated by the matrix $M_{n}$ and acting from $R_{N}$ into $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N} s}$. One can write the system (18) in the form (7).

Let $s_{n}^{(1)}$ designate the least singular number of the matrix $\mathrm{M}_{n}$, i.e., the least eigenvalue of the non-negative matrix $\mathrm{M}_{n}^{*} \mathrm{M}_{n}$.

Theorem 3. If $\left\|a^{(n)}\right\| \leq c_{3}=$ const and $s_{1}^{(n)} \geq c_{4} h_{n}^{-s}$, where $c_{3}, c_{4}=$ $=$ const $>0$, then the process (7) for the collocation method is stable in the sequence $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N} s}\right)$. If $s_{1}^{(n)} \leq \gamma(n) h_{n}^{-s}, \gamma(n) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$, then the same process in unstable.

Any numerical process of the kind (1) is stable if and only if the conditions (5) are fulfilled. It is easy to see that $\left\|\mathrm{A}_{n}^{-1}\right\|=h_{n}^{-s / 2}\left\|\mathrm{M}_{n}^{-1}\right\|_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}}$. The greatest singular number of $\mathrm{M}_{n}^{-1}$ is equal to $1 / s_{1}^{(n)}$, hence $\left\|\mathrm{M}_{n}^{-1}\right\|_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}}=1 / / s_{1}^{(n)}$; consequently $\left\|\mathrm{A}_{n}^{-1}\right\|=\left(h^{s} s_{1}^{(n)}\right)^{-1 / 2}$. If $s_{1}^{(n)} \leq \gamma(n) h_{n}^{-s}$ then $\left\|A_{n}^{-1}\right\| \geq 1 / \sqrt{\gamma(n)} \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty$, and the process (7) is unstable. On the contrary, if $s_{1}^{(n)} \geq c_{4} h_{n}^{-s}$ then $\left\|\mathrm{A}_{n}^{-1}\right\| \leq 1 / / \overline{c_{4}}$ and the first condition (5) is satisfied. The second condition (5) is satisfied by assumption, and the collocation process is stable.
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