
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

ALEKSANDER A. LASHKHI

$\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphisms of Lie algebras

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 70 (1981), n.2, p. 64–68.

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1981_8_70_2_64_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Algebra. — *$\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphisms of Lie algebras.* Nota di ALEXANDER A. LASHI, presentata (*) dal Socio G. ZAPPA.

RIASSUNTO. — Si studiano gli omomorfismi reticolari ($\mathcal{L}$ -omomorfismi) di algebre di Lie sopra anelli commutativi con unità. Le algebre di Lie sopra un campo e le p -algebre di Lie non ammettono $\mathcal{L}$ -omomorfismi propri. Si assegnano condizioni necessarie e sufficienti affinché un'algebra di Lie periodica o mista possieda un $\mathcal{L}$ -omomorfismo su una catena di lunghezza n .

INTRODUCTION

Let A be an universal algebra; $\mathcal{L}(A)$ denotes the lattice of all subalgebras of A .

DEFINITION. By *lattice homomorphism* or *$\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism* of an universal algebra A we mean a homomorphism f of the lattice $\mathcal{L}(A)$ onto some lattice $\mathcal{L}(f: \mathcal{L}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{L})$.

In the case when A is a group this problem is well known and was studied by M. Suzuki [5], G. Zappa [3], [4], [6], D. G. Higman [7], S. Sato [8], [9] and others.

The aim of this article is the study of $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphisms for Lie algebras. We will make use of generally accepted terminology and notations (see, for example, [1], [2], [10]).

Throughout this paper, the term "algebra" will always refer to a Lie algebra L over a commutative ring K which contains an identity and no zero divisors. These properties of K will not always be explicitly stated in what follows; moreover, additional conditions on K will be sometimes specified.

An element $l \in L$ will be called *proper* if $kl \neq 0$ for every $k \in K$ ($k \neq 0$); otherwise, it will be called *periodic*; a Lie algebra L will be called *periodic* if all of its elements are periodic; L will be called *mixed* (or *nonperiodic*) if L contains both proper and periodic elements; and it will be called *proper* if all of its elements are proper.

The set of all periodic elements of L will be denoted by $t(L)$. It is clear that $t(L)$ is an ideal in L .

The dimension of L , denoted by $\dim L$, is defined to be the maximal number of linearly independent elements. It is clear that $\dim(L/t(L)) = \dim L$.

Notations. Z_n is an n -dimension chain ($n \geq 1$); $Z_\infty = \cup_{k=1}^\infty Z_k$; $\bar{Z}$ is a free K -module, when $\dim Z = 1$; $\text{alg}(X)$ is the subalgebra generated by the set X .

(*) Nella seduta del 14 febbraio 1981.

A $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism f will be called *proper* if f is neither a lattice isomorphism nor a trivial homomorphism ⁽¹⁾.

1. LIE ALGEBRAS OVER A FIELD

Suppose now that L is a Lie algebra, not necessarily finite dimensional, over a field P .

LEMMA. *A two dimensional Lie algebra L over a field does not admit a $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphisms onto Z_2 .*

Proof. Suppose that $\dim L = 2$ and $f: \mathcal{L}(L) \rightarrow Z_2 = (0, 1)$ is a $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism. For each subalgebra $A_i \subseteq L$ we have

$$f(A_i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } A_i = 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } \dim A_i = 1 \quad \text{and } i \in 1_1; \\ 1, & \text{if } \dim A_i = 1 \quad \text{and } i \in 1_2; \\ 1, & \text{if } A_i = L. \end{cases}$$

One of the sets 1_1 or 1_2 is necessary it contains more than two elements. If 1_1 , then we have

$$f(A_i) = f(A_j) = 0 \Rightarrow 1 = f(L) = f(A_i \cup A_j) \neq f(A_i) \cup f(A_j) = 0.$$

If 1_2 has more than two elements, then

$$f(A_i) = f(A_j) = 1 \Rightarrow 0 = f(A_i \cap A_j) \neq f(A_i) \cap f(A_j) = 1.$$

COROLLARY 1.1. *If a Lie algebra L over a field admits no trivial $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism onto a chain Z_2 , then $\dim L = 1$.*

COROLLARY 1.2. *Let L and L_1 be Lie algebras over a field P and $f: \mathcal{L}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L_1)$ is $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism, then f is a lattice isomorphism.*

To make use of these facts, one may prove

THEOREM 1. *A Lie algebra L over a field admits no proper $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism.*

In the rest of this note we always mean that K is not a field and study $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphisms of Lie algebras over K .

2. $\mathcal{L}$ -HOMOMORPHISMS OF ALGEBRAS OVER RINGS

A Subalgebra $X \subset L$ is called *cyclic* if $X = \text{alg}(x)$, for some $x \in L$. A Lie algebra L is called *locally cyclic* if each finite set of its elements generates a cyclic subalgebra. A proper Lie algebra L is locally cyclic if and only if $\dim L = 1$.

(1) We must not choose proper algebra with proper homomorphism.

DEFINITION. The $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism $f: \mathcal{L}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ will be called *full* if it satisfies the conditions

$$f(\cup_i L_i) = \cup_i f(L_i) \quad , \quad f(\cap_i L_i) = \cap_i f(L_i) ,$$

for every set of indexes $(i \in I)$.

The simple example shows that not each $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism is full.

Example. Define the $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism $f: \mathcal{L}(\bar{Z}) \rightarrow Z_2$ as follows

$$f(X_i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } X_i = 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } 0 \subset X_i \subseteq Z. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see, that the $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism f is not full.

PROPOSITION 2.1. If L is a proper locally cyclic Lie algebra over a ring K , then there exists a full $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism $f: \mathcal{L}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\bar{Z})$.

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let L be a Lie algebra over a principal ideal domain and $f: \mathcal{L}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\bar{Z})$ be a full $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism, then L is a proper Lie algebra and $\dim L = 1$.

So we have

THEOREM 2. A Lie algebra L over a principal ideal domain K admits a full $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism onto $\mathcal{L}(\bar{Z})$ if and only if L is a proper locally cyclic algebra.

PROPOSITION 2.3. A mixed Lie algebra L admits an $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism onto Z_n , when $\dim L = 1$ and admits an $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism onto Z_2 if and only if, $\dim L = 1$.

3. $\mathcal{L}$ -HOMOMORPHISMS OF PERIODIC AND MIXED LIE ALGEBRAS

In the rest of this note we always mean that K is a *principal ideal domain*.

DEFINITION. Let p be a prime element of K ; a periodical Lie algebra L over K will be called a *Lie p -algebra* if for each element $l \in L$ we have $\text{Ann } l = p^n$ ($1 \leq n < \infty$).

THEOREM 3. A Lie p -algebra admits a proper $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism if and only if it is locally cyclic.

If L is a periodical Lie algebra over a principal ideal domain K , then L has expression in the direct product

$$(*) \quad L = L_{p_1} + L_{p_2} + \cdots + L_{p_i} + \cdots$$

where L_{p_i} are Lie p_i -algebras and $p_i \neq p_j$ ($i \neq j$).

This decomposition will be called *natural* or *N-decomposition* of L .

An algebra L is said to be $\mathcal{L}$ -decomposable if $\mathcal{L}(L)$ is decomposed into a direct product of two or more lattices none of which is one-element lattice.

An analogous to a M. Suzuki's theorem for Lie algebras holds.

LEMMA (Suzuki). *A Lie algebra L is $\mathcal{L}$ -decomposable and its lattice of subalgebras $\mathcal{L}(L) = \prod_i \mathcal{L}_i$ ($i \in 1$) if and only if L is N -decomposable in the form (*) and $\mathcal{L}(L_i) = \mathcal{L}_i$ ($i \in 1$).*

So it is clear that the study of $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphisms onto a periodical cyclic Lie algebra is the same as studying $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphisms onto the lattice

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} + \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}.$$

COROLLARY 3.1. *If a periodical Lie algebra L is not a p -algebra, then L admits proper $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphisms.*

COROLLARY 3.2. *A periodical Lie algebra L admits proper $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphisms if and only if L is locally cyclic or is not a p -algebra.*

COROLLARY 3.3. *A periodical Lie algebra L admits proper $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphisms onto the lattice $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} + \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}$ if and only if L is N -decomposable in the form*

$$L = X_{p_1} + X_{p_2} + \cdots + X_{p_m} + L_{j_1} + L_{j_2} + \cdots + L_{j_t} + \cdots$$

where X_{p_i} are locally cyclic p_i -algebras, $\text{Ann } X_{p_i} = p^{k_i}$ ($k_i \leq \infty$), $n \leq k_i$.

Remark 1. This question for groups was studied only in finite cases and the structure of those groups which admit $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphisms onto a finite cyclic group is rather difficult.

Remark 2. There is the possibility to find necessary and sufficient conditions for the $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism of a periodical Lie algebra onto the lattice

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} + \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}_{n_k} + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}_{\infty} + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}_{\infty} + \cdots.$$

DEFINITION. Let $f: \mathcal{L}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be a $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism and 0 the least element of $\mathcal{L}$, then the lower kernel $\ker(l, f, L, \mathcal{L})$ is determined as following

$$\ker(l, f, L, \mathcal{L}) = \cup_{\lambda} L_{\lambda} \quad , \quad f(L_{\lambda}) = 0, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

If 1 is the greatest element of $\mathcal{L}$, then the upper kernel $\ker(u, f, L, \mathcal{L})$ is determined as dya

$$\ker(u, f, L, \mathcal{L}) = \cap_{\lambda} L_{\lambda} \quad , \quad f(L_{\lambda}) = 1, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

PROPOSITION 3.1. $\ker(l, f, L, \mathcal{L}) \Delta L$; $\ker(u, f, L, \mathcal{L}) \Delta L$.

DEFINITION. A $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism $f: \mathcal{L}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is called regular if $f(\ker(l, f, L, \mathcal{L})) = 0$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *If f is not regular, then $\ker(l, f, L, \mathcal{L}) = L$.*

THEOREM 4. *A mixed Lie algebra L admits a regular $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism onto Z_n with $\ker(l, f, L, \mathcal{L})$ if and only if $\dim L = 1$ and satisfies one of the following*

1) $t(L) \supseteq \ker(l, f, L, \mathcal{L})$ and $t(L)$ admits an $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism onto Z_{n-1}

2) $t(L) \subseteq \ker(l, f, L, \mathcal{L})$, $L/\ker(l, f, L, \mathcal{L})$ is a p -algebra of Lie and $t(L)$ has no p -elements.

THEOREM 5. *A mixed Lie algebra L admits a regular $\mathcal{L}$ -homomorphism onto the lattice $\mathcal{L} = \underbrace{Z_2 + Z_2 + \dots + Z_2}_k$ if and only if $\dim L = 1$ and for some prime elements $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k \in K$ the subalgebra $t(L)$ has no p_i -elements.*

Remark, that an element $l \in L$ is called a p -element if $\text{alg}(l)$ is a p -algebra of Lie.

The theorems 2, 4, 5 and corollaries 3.2, 3.3 contain all analogues to theoretical group results (see [1], [3]–[9]).

Acknowledgments. This note was written during the author's visit in Italy, Universities of Florence and Padova. The author thanks all his Italian colleagues. Author wishes his best thanks to prof. G. Zappa for his attentions.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. SUZUKI (1956) – *Structure of a group and the structure of its subgroups*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [2] J. A. BAHTURIN (1978) – *Lectures on Lie algebras*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin.
- [3] G. ZAPPA (1949) – *Determinazione dei gruppi finiti in omomorfismo strutturale con un gruppo ciclico*, « Rend. Seminar. Mat. Univ. Padova » 18, 140–162.
- [4] G. ZAPPA (1949) – *Sulla condizione perchè un omomorfismo ordinario sia anche un omomorfismo strutturale*, « Giorn. Mat. Battaglini », (4), 78, 182–192.
- [5] M. SUZUKI (1951) – *On the L -homomorphisms of finite groups*, « Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. », 70, 372–386.
- [6] G. ZAPPA (1951) – *Sugli omomorfismi del reticolo dei sottogruppi di un gruppo finito*, « Ricerche mat. », 1, 78–106.
- [7] D. G. HIGMAN (1951) – *Lattice homomorphisms induced by group homomorphisms*, « Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. », 2, 467–478.
- [8] S. SATO (1952) – *On the lattice homomorphisms of infinite groups, I*, « Osaka Math. J. », 4, 229–234.
- [9] S. SATO (1954) – *On the lattice homomorphisms of infinite groups II*, « Osaka Math. J. » 6, 109–118.
- [10] A. A. LASHI (1976) – *Lattice isomorphisms of Lie rings and algebras*, « Soviet Math. Dokl. », v. 17, n. 3, 770–773.
- [11] M. SUZUKI (1951) – *On the lattice of subgroups of finite groups*, « Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. », 70, 345–371.