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Algebra. - On subgroups of certain alternating groups. Nota di Rudy J. List, presentata (*) dal Socio G. Zappa.

Riassunto. - Siano $S_{n}$ e $A_{n}$ rispettivamente il gruppo simmetrico e il gruppo alterno su $n$ lettere, e sia $G$ un sottogruppo di $S_{n}$. Per le seguenti coppie $(\mathrm{G}, n)$, se $\mathrm{G} \subseteq \mathrm{H} \subseteq \mathrm{S}_{n}$, si ha che $o \mathrm{H} \subseteq$ Aut G o $\mathrm{H} \supseteq \mathrm{A}_{n}$.
(i) G è il gruppo semplice eccezionale scoperto da Higman e Sims, e $n=100$;
(ii) G è come in (i), e $n=176$;
(iii) G è il gruppo semplice eccezionale scoperto da McLaughlin, e $n=275$;
(iv) G è il più piccolo gruppo semplice eccezionale scoperto da Conway, e $n=276$;
(v) G è $\mathrm{PSU}_{4}\left(3^{2}\right)$, e $n=112$.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega$ denote a finite set, and let $S(\Omega)$ and $A(\Omega)$ be the symmetric and alternating groups on $\Omega$ respectively. A general approach to problems involving the question of maximality of a primitive permutation group $G$ in $\mathrm{A}(\Omega)$ or $\mathrm{S}(\Omega)$ is to consider whether an overgroup H must be more highly transitive than $G$. The general idea is to examine the extent to which the orbits on $\Omega-U$ of the stabilizer in $G$ of a subset $U$ of $\Omega$ must join together when passing to the stabilizer of U in H . In this note we illustrate some aspects of this approach by examining the pairs ( $G, \Omega$ ) in the following cases:
a) $G$ is the exceptional simple group discovered by Higman and Sims, and $|\Omega|=$ Ioo.
b) $G$ is again the Higman-Sims group, and $|\Omega|=176$.
c) $\mathrm{G} \simeq \operatorname{PSU}_{4}\left(3^{2}\right)$, and $|\Omega|=112$.
d) G is the simple group discovered by McClaughlin , and $|\Omega|=275$.

In each case we prove that if $G \subseteq H \subseteq S(\Omega)$, either $H \subseteq$ Aut $(G)$, or $H \supseteq A(\Omega)$. If $G$ is the $M c C l a u g h l i n$ group $A u t(G) \simeq G .2$, and this is the stabilizer of a point in the smallest Conway group when it is represented on 276 points. Hence the smallest Conway group is a maximal subgroup of $\mathrm{A}_{276}$.

If $M$ is a permutation group on a set $\Lambda$, and if $\{\alpha, \beta, \cdots, \gamma\} \subseteq \Lambda$, the pointwise stabilizer of $\{\alpha, \beta, \cdots, \gamma\}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{M}_{\alpha \beta \cdots \gamma}$, and the setwise stabilizer is denoted by $\mathrm{M}_{(\alpha \beta \cdots \gamma)}$. $\mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{N}$ denotes an extension of M by N . When convenient an orbit of length $m$ is denoted by $\mathrm{O}_{m}$. If there are several orbits of length $m$, they may be denoted by $\mathrm{O}_{m}^{1}, \mathrm{O}_{m}^{2}, \cdots$ If $\Delta \subseteq \Lambda, \mathrm{M} \mid \Delta$
(*) Nella seduta dell'8 marzo 1980.
denotes the restriction of $M$ to $\Delta$. If $m$ and $n$ are integers, $m \mid n$ means $m$ divides $n$. Thus $|H|||(G \mid \Delta)|$ means the order of $H$ divides the order of $G$ restricted to $\Delta$.

## 2. In this section we prove a) , b) , $c$ ), $d$ )

a) Higman and Sims construct a graph $\mathscr{I}$ on 100 vertices, and G is a subgroup of index $2 \operatorname{in} \operatorname{Aut}(\mathscr{I})$. $G$ is rank-3 on $\Omega$ and $G_{\alpha} \simeq M_{22}$, with subdegrees $\mathrm{I}, 22,77$. Hence if $\mathrm{H} \ddagger \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{G}), \mathrm{H}$ is 2 -transitive on $\Omega . \mathrm{O}_{22}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{77}$ correspond to the points and blocks of a Steiner system $\mathscr{S}=\mathscr{S}(3,6,22)$, and edges of $\mathscr{I}$ may be described in terms of incidence in $\mathscr{S}$. A detailed description of the geometry of $\mathscr{S}$ has been given in [II]. We use results and easy consequences from [II] without further reference to it. If $\beta \in O_{22}, \gamma \in O_{77}$ the orbits of $G_{\alpha \beta}, G_{\alpha \gamma}$ may be diagrammatically summarized as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G}_{\alpha \beta}: \alpha \beta, \frac{21}{21} \\
& \mathrm{G}_{\alpha \gamma}: \alpha \gamma, \frac{6}{16}, 16
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, for example, $\Omega-\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is the union of three orbits $\mathrm{O}_{21}^{1}, \mathrm{O}_{21}^{2}, \mathrm{O}_{56}$. Set $\mathrm{O}_{21}^{2} \cup \mathrm{O}_{56}=\mathrm{O}_{77}$.

The orbits of $H_{\alpha \beta}, H_{\alpha \gamma}$ are unions of orbits of $G_{\alpha \beta}, G_{\alpha \gamma}$ respectively, and since $H$ is 2-transitive, the orbit diagrammes of $H_{\alpha \beta}$ and $H_{\alpha \gamma}$ are equivalent. This can only happen if H is 3 -transitive.

Take $\rho \in \mathrm{O}_{21}^{1}, \delta \in \mathrm{O}_{56}$. From the geometry of $\mathscr{S}$ the following situation occurs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G}_{\alpha \beta p}, \beta \in \mathrm{O}_{22}, \rho \in \mathrm{O}_{21}^{1}: \alpha \beta \rho 5^{5} \\
& \mathrm{G}_{\alpha \beta \delta}, \beta \in \mathrm{O}_{22}, \delta \in \mathrm{O}_{56}: \alpha \beta \delta:_{6}^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

By 3-transitivity the orbits of $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha \beta \rho}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha \beta \delta}$ are equivalent. Clearly the only possibilities are $\mathrm{O}_{16}, \mathrm{O}_{36}, \mathrm{O}_{45}$, or $\mathrm{O}_{45}, \mathrm{O}_{52}$. $(45,16)=(45,52)=\mathrm{I}$, so $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha \beta}$ is imprimitive by a theorem of Weiss [19; 17.5]. Considering the divisors of 98 this is clearly impossible. Therefore $H$ is 4 -transitive and so $H \supseteq A(\Omega)$ [19; 13.9].
b) $\Omega$ may be taken to be the cosets of a $\mathrm{P} \Sigma \mathrm{U}_{3}\left(5^{2}\right) \subseteq \mathrm{G}$. Using the $P \Sigma U_{3}\left(5^{2}\right)$ located explicitly in $G$ by Magliveras generators of $G$ on $\Omega$ were constructed. Much information about $G$ represented as a subgroup of $A(\Omega)$ is contained in [9], and we assemble some of it here.

G is 2-transitive on $\Omega$. If $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega, \mathrm{G}_{\alpha \beta}$ has orbits $\{\alpha\},\{\beta\}$, and $\Delta(\beta)$, $\Gamma(\beta), \Sigma(\beta)$ of lengths $12,72,90$ respectively. $G_{\alpha \beta} \simeq$ Aut $\left(S_{6}\right)$. Following [9] $\Delta(\beta)=D \cup D^{*}$, where $D \cap D^{*}=\varnothing,|D|=\left|D^{*}\right|=6$. Denote $G_{(\{a, \beta\} \cup D)}$ by $\mathrm{K} . \mathrm{K} \simeq \mathrm{S}_{8}$, and the action of K restricted to $\Omega-(\{\alpha, \beta\} \cup \mathrm{D})$ is impri-
mitive of block length 6 , the blocks of imprimitivity being conjugates of $D^{*}$ under K . For $\gamma \in \mathrm{D}$ the diagrammes of $\mathrm{G}_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$ and $G_{(\alpha \beta \gamma)}$ are respectively:

$G_{(\alpha \beta \gamma) /} / G_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \simeq S_{3}$ and acts on the orbits of length 6 and 30 .
Take $\sigma \neq \mathrm{I}, \sigma \in \mathrm{H}_{\alpha \beta}-\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{\alpha} \beta}$. Some conjugate of $\sigma$ restricts nontrivially to $\Delta(\beta)$, since $\Omega-(\{\alpha, \beta\} \cup D)$ is union of conjugates of $D^{*}$. Aut $\left(\mathrm{S}_{6}\right)$ is a maximal subgroup of $\mathrm{M}_{12}$. Thus if $\Delta(\beta)$ is an orbit of $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha \beta}, \mathrm{H}_{\alpha \beta} \mid \Delta(\beta)$ contains $\mathrm{M}_{12}$, so $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha \beta}$ has an orbit $\mathrm{O}_{i}, i>12, i \mid 11.12$ [19; 17.7]. This is impossible given the subdegrees of $\mathrm{G}_{\alpha \beta}$. Thus if H is not 3 -transitive, $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha \beta} \mid \Omega-\{\alpha, \beta\}$ has orbits (i) $\Delta(\beta) \cup \Gamma(\beta), \Sigma(\beta)$ or (ii) $\Delta(\beta) \cup \Sigma(\beta), \Gamma(\beta)$. In case (i) take $\rho \in \Delta(\beta), \gamma \in \Gamma(\beta)$. Then $|(\Delta(\rho) \cup \Gamma(\rho)) \cap \Sigma(\beta)|=|(\Delta(\gamma) \cup \Gamma(\gamma)) \cap \Sigma(\beta)|$. Taking $\beta=2, \rho=14, \gamma=13$ and consulting the appendix the cardinalities are 50 and 30 respectively.

In case (ii) $\mathrm{H} \mid \mathrm{O}_{174}$ has orbits $\mathrm{O}_{72}, \mathrm{O}_{102}$, and an element of order 17 when restricted to $\mathrm{O}_{72}$ has $4+k .17$ fixed points, $\mathrm{o} \leq k \leq 3$. Clearly $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha \beta} \mid \mathrm{O}_{72}$ is primitive. Using the fact that $\mathrm{G}_{\alpha \beta}$ contains elements of order 5 fixing two points of $\mathrm{O}_{72}$ and arguing as in a) $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{u} \mathrm{\beta}} \mid \mathrm{O}_{72}$ is 2-transitive. But 71 is prime. Therefore $\mathrm{H} \supseteq \mathrm{A}(\Omega)$ [19; 13.10].

Hence H is 3 -transitive. From the diagrammes of $\mathrm{G}_{\alpha \beta \gamma}, \mathrm{G}_{(\alpha \beta \gamma)}$ above, either $H_{\alpha \beta} \mid \Omega-\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is primitive or imprimitive with block length 6 and image of imprimitivity in $\mathrm{S}_{29}$. $\mathrm{G}_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \supseteq \mathrm{S}_{5}$, so $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha \beta}$ is not solvable. Therefore $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha \beta}$ acting on the blocks contains $\mathrm{A}_{29}$ [ I ]. Hence a Sylow 17 -subgroup of H fixes 74 points, and $\mathrm{H} \supseteq \mathrm{A}(\Omega)[19 ;$ I 3.10$]$. If $H_{\alpha \beta} \mid \Omega-\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is primitive $H_{\alpha \beta \gamma}, \gamma \in \mathrm{D}$, has no $\mathrm{O}_{5}$ by [19; 17.7]. The possibilities for orbits of $\mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{a} \beta \gamma)}$ obtained by joining $\mathrm{O}_{5}$ to other orbits of $\mathrm{G}_{(\alpha \mathrm{\beta r})}$ are $\mathrm{O}_{i}, i=23,65,95,83,113, \mathrm{I} 55, \mathrm{I} 73$. By the prime factorization of these $i$, if $\mathrm{O}_{i}$ is an orbit of $\mathrm{H}_{(\alpha, \beta \gamma)}$ it must also be one of $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$. Hence $i=173$ [19; 17.5], and $\mathrm{H} \supseteq \mathrm{A}(\Omega)[19 ; 13.9]$.
c) $\Omega$ may be taken to be the set of maximal isotropic subspaces of $\mathrm{V}_{4}\left(3^{2}\right)$ with a unitary geometry. This geometry is classical and we assume familiarity with it. If $\alpha \in \Omega$, let $\Delta(\alpha)$ and $\Gamma(\alpha)$ of lengths 30 and 8I respectively be the nontrivial orbits of $\mathrm{G}_{\alpha}$. Denote the set of blocks of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{a}} \mid \Delta(\alpha)$ by $B(\alpha)$ Take $a_{1} \in \Delta(\alpha)$ and let $\left\{a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}=\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta\left(a_{1}\right)$. Set $\{i, j, k\}=\{\mathrm{I}, 2,3\}$. Then $\Delta\left(a_{i}\right)=\left\{\alpha, a_{k}, a_{j}\right\} \cup \mathrm{O}_{27}^{i}$, where $\mathrm{O}_{27}^{i}=\Gamma(\alpha) \cap \Delta\left(a_{i}\right) ; \mathrm{O}_{27}^{i} \cap \mathrm{O}_{27}^{j}=\varnothing$, $i \neq j ; \Delta\left(a_{i}\right) \cap \Delta\left(a_{j}\right)=\left\{\alpha, a_{k}\right\} ; \mathrm{O}_{27}^{t}, t=\mathrm{I}, 2,3$ are the orbits of $\mathrm{K}_{\alpha a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \mid \Gamma(\alpha)}$ where K is the kernel of $\mathrm{G}_{\alpha}$ acting on $\mathrm{B}(\alpha)$. The orbits of a Sylow 3-subgroup P of $\mathrm{G}_{\alpha a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$ are $\{\alpha\},\left\{a_{i}\right\}, i=1,2,3, \Delta(\alpha)-\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}, \mathrm{O}_{27}^{i}, i=\mathrm{I}, 2,3$.

Aut $(G) / G \simeq D_{4}$, and $\operatorname{Aut}(G)_{\alpha} \simeq \mathrm{K} .\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \times \mathrm{PDL}_{2}(9)\right)$. The central involution in $\mathrm{C}_{2} \times \mathrm{PCL}_{2}(9)$ inverts every element of K .

Since $A_{6}$ cannot be represented reducibly as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{4}$ (3), $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{GL}_{4}(3)}\left(\mathrm{A}_{6}\right)=\mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{4}(3)\right)=\mathrm{C}_{2}$. Also maximal elementary abelian 2-groups of
$\mathrm{GL}_{4}$ (3) are of order 16, and $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ can be represented in $\mathrm{GL}_{4}(2)$ in just one way; hence if $L$ is a 2 -group and $L . A_{6} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{1}(3), \mathrm{L} \simeq \mathrm{C}_{2}$.

Now suppose that $H$ is rank-3. Then $H_{a} \mid \Delta(\alpha)$ is faithful, since $G_{a} \mid \Gamma(\alpha)$ is primitive. Suppose $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha} \mid \Delta(\alpha)$ is imprimitive, and let $J$ be the kernel of imprimitivity. $\mathrm{K} \mid \Gamma(\alpha)$ is self centralizing in $\mathrm{S}(\Gamma(\alpha))$, so $\mathrm{J}|\Gamma(\alpha)=\mathrm{K}| \Gamma(\alpha)$. It follows that if $\sigma$ is of order 3 in $\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{K}, \sigma(\Delta(b)) \neq \Delta(b)$ while $\sigma(b)=b$, for some $b \in \Gamma(\alpha)$. This is impossible. By the remarks concerning embedding $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{4}(3)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{4}(2)$ it now follows that $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{K}$ or else $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{K} . \mathrm{C}_{2}$, and $C_{2}$ inverts each element of $K$. Hence $H_{\alpha}$ represented on $B(\alpha)$ contains $A(B(\alpha))=A_{10}$. But $A_{10} \nsubseteq G L_{4}(3)$. This is impossible $\left(K \simeq V_{4}(3)\right)$. Suppose, therefore, that $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha} \mid \Delta(\alpha)$ is primitive, so that $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha} \mid \Delta(\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha} \mid \Gamma(\alpha)$ are both faithful. Considering the orbits of P it follows that $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha} \mid \Delta(\alpha)$ is 2 -transitive [19; 13.1]. An element of order 29 fixes at least 25 points of $\Omega$. Therefore $\mathrm{H} \supseteq \mathrm{A}(\Omega)$ [19; 13.10]. Therefore H is 2-transitive, and $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha} \mid \Omega-\{\alpha\}$ is primitive, since $I I=3.37$ and $G_{\alpha} \mid \Gamma(\alpha)$ is primitive. Therefore $H$ is 3-transitive [13]. If $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha \beta} \mid \Omega-\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is imprimitive, the block containing $\gamma, \gamma \neq \alpha, \beta$, consists of $\gamma$ and a union of orbits of P , i.e., blocks must have length 2 or 55 . For $\beta \in \Gamma(\alpha), \mathrm{G}_{\alpha \beta} \simeq \mathrm{A}_{6}$ has orbit diagramme $\left.\alpha \beta\right|^{10}$ 20 ${ }^{20}$ by [4]. Clearly 55 is impossible. Since $A_{6} \nsubseteq S_{5}$, so is 2. Hence $H_{\alpha \beta} \mid \Omega-\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is primitive. Arguing now as in $a$ ) and $b$ ) using theorems of Cameron and Weiss [19; 17.5], H is 4-transitive and therefore $\mathrm{H} \supseteq \mathrm{A}(\Omega)$ [19; 13.9].
d) For $x \in \Omega, \mathrm{G}_{x} \simeq \mathrm{PSU}_{4}\left(3^{2}\right)$ with suborbits $\Delta(x), \Gamma(x)$ of lengths 112, 162 respectively. Sylow 3-subgroups of $G$ fix two points and have nontrivial orbits $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{O}_{27}, \mathrm{O}_{81}^{j}, j=\mathrm{I}, 2,3$. If $y \in \Gamma(x), \mathrm{G}_{x y} \supseteq \mathrm{~A}_{8}$ with orbits $\mathrm{O}_{10}, \mathrm{O}_{j}^{1}, \mathrm{O}_{j}^{2}, j=2 \mathrm{o}, 3 \mathrm{O}, 36,45$ [4].

Suppose H is rank-3. By c) $[\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{G}] \mid 8$, and $\mathrm{G} \unlhd \mathrm{H}$. G contains one class of $\mathrm{PSU}_{4}\left(3^{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{PSU}_{3}\left(5^{2}\right)$ [4], and each of these has trivial centralizer in $\mathrm{S}_{275}$. Hence $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{G}$ is faithfully represented in $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathrm{J}) / \mathrm{J} \simeq \mathrm{C}_{6}, \mathrm{D}_{4}$, for $\mathrm{J} \simeq \mathrm{PSU}_{4}\left(3^{2}\right), \mathrm{PSU}_{3}\left(5^{2}\right)$ respectively. Hence $[\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{G}] \mid 2$ and $\mathrm{H} \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{G})$.

Hence $\mathrm{H} \not \ddagger$ Aut $(\mathrm{G})$, and so H is 2 -transitive. $274=2.137$ and $\mathrm{H}_{x}$ is primitive. Therefore $H$ is 3 -transitive [19; 3I.I]. If $H \nsubseteq$ Aut ( G ), then $\mathrm{H} \cap \mathrm{A}(\Omega) \neq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{G})$, so $\mathrm{H} \cap \mathrm{A}(\Omega)$ is 3 -transitive, and so we may assume that $\mathrm{H} \subseteq \mathrm{A}(\Omega)$. Then if $|\langle\sigma\rangle|=137$ and $\mathrm{H} \neq \mathrm{A}(\Omega)$, $\sigma$ fixes one point and is self centralizing. If $\rho$ normalizes but does not centralize $\sigma$, then, $|\langle p\rangle| \mathrm{I} 36, \rho$ fixes exactly 3 points $a, b, c$ of $\Omega$ and acts semiregularly on $\Omega-\{a, b, c\}=\Omega^{\prime}$. Further $\left|\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}(\sigma)\right| \neq 2 . \mathrm{I} 37$ [io].

Let S be a Sylow 3-subgroup of $\mathrm{G}_{x y}$ such that $\{a, b, c\}=\mathrm{O}_{3}$. By 3-transitivity there is an $\mathrm{A}_{6} \subseteq \mathrm{H}_{a b c}$ with orbits $\mathrm{O}_{16}, \mathrm{O}_{j}^{1}, \mathrm{O}_{j}^{2}, j=20,30,36,45$. Set $\mathrm{H}_{(a b c)}=\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{M} \supseteq\left\langle\mathrm{A}_{6}, \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{p}\right\rangle$, where $\rho$ has order 4 or 17 . From the orbits of $A_{6}$ and $S$ and the semiregularity of $\rho$ on $\Omega^{\prime}$ it follows that $M$ is transitive on $\Omega^{\prime}$. If $M \mid \Omega^{\prime}$ is imprimitive, the orbits of $S$ force block length 2. Then $O_{10}$ is a union of 5 blocks, whereas $A_{6} \nsubseteq S_{5}$. Therefore $M \mid \Omega^{\prime}$ is primitive. Since
$\mathrm{H}_{a b c} \triangleleft \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{H}_{a b e}$ is transitive on $\Omega^{\prime}[19 ; 8.8]$, so H is 4 -transitive on $\Omega$. Consider $\mathrm{M}_{x}, x \in \Omega^{\prime}$. By 4-transitivity, there is an element of order 5 fixing $\{a, b, c, x, y\}$. Hence orbits of $\mathrm{M}_{x} \mid \Omega^{\prime}-\{x\}$ are unions of $\{x\}, \mathrm{O}_{27}, \mathrm{O}_{81}^{j}$, $j=1,2,3$, and exactly one has length congruent to $1(\bmod 5)$, all others being congruent to $0(\bmod 5)$. The possibilities are: 1,$270 ; 190,81$. These both imply that $\mathrm{H} \supseteq \mathrm{A}(\Omega)$ by arguing as in $a), b$ ), $c$ ), and using the fact that $\mathrm{A}_{27}$ has no proper subgroup of index dividing 190 .

## APPENDIX

I. Generators of the Higman-Sims group as a subgroup of $\mathrm{A}_{176}$.

```
a=(1)(i,i+1, i+2,i+3,i+4,i+5,i+6), 2\leqi\leq 176, i=2(mod 7)
b=(1,2)(3,9)(4,16) (5,23) (6,30) (7,37) (8,44) (10,25) (11,51) (12,58) (13,65) (14) (15,72)
    (17,49) (18,79) (19,45) (20,86) (21,93) (22,100) (24,107) (26,108) (27,32) (28,114)
    (29,121) (31,87) (33,128) (34,77) (35,46) (36,48) (38) (39,135) (40,129) (41,75)
    (42,54) (43,116) (47) (50,132) (52,59) (53) (55) (56,97) (57,130) (60) (61,142) (62,149)
    (63,127) (64,92) (66,88) (67,133) (68,156) (69,118) (70,113) (71,163) (73,148)
    (74,165) (76,81) (78,164) (80,159) (82,106) (83) (84,167) (85,104) (89) (90,168)
    (91,139) (94,124) (95,105) (96,119) (98) (99,170) (101,162) (102,117) (103,141)
    (109) (110,160) (III,140) (112,157) (115,154) (120) (122,147) (123,137) (125,150)
    (126,175) (13I,144) (134,17I) (136,158) (138) (143,161) (145,176) (146,169) (151)
    (152,172) (153,155) (166) (173) (174).
```

II. Orbits of $\mathrm{G}_{1,2} ;|\Omega|=176$.
$\mathrm{A}=\{1\}, \mathrm{B}=\{2\}, \mathrm{C}=\{14,35,38,43,46,83,102, \mathrm{II} 6, \mathrm{II} 7,136,15 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I} 58\}$,
$\mathrm{D}=\{3,4,7,8,9,12,15,16,19,2 \mathrm{I}, 24,26,28,29,3 \mathrm{I}, 34,37,39,40,4 \mathrm{I}, 44,45,52$, $56,58,62,63,67,69,71,72,75,76,77,81,84,85,87,91,93,94,97$, Іог, Іо4, 107, 108, 112, 114, i18, 121, 124, 127, 129, 131, 133, 134, 135, 139, 144, 149, 152, 153, 155, 157, 162, 163, 167, 171, 172, 176\},
$E=\Omega-(A \cup B \cup C \cup D)$.
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