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Analisi matematica. - Existence of Solutions Across Resonance in the Large for Semilinear Problems. Nota di P. J. McKenna; presentata (*) dal Socio D. Graffi.

Riassunto. -. L'Autore considera l'equazione astratta:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E} x+\lambda x=\mathrm{N} x \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

con $E$ operatore lineare, $N$ operatore non lineare, $\lambda$ parametro. Detti $\lambda_{0}$ e $\lambda_{1}$ due successivi autovalori di ( I ) ( $\operatorname{con} \mathrm{N}=0$ ), e sotto opportune condizione per N , dimostra che esiste un $\varepsilon>0$, tale che per $\lambda_{0}-\varepsilon<\lambda<\lambda_{1}$ la (I) ammette un insieme di soluzioni uniformemente limitate.

## Introduction

The study of the existence of solutions across resonance was introduced by Cesari [I] where he studied the existence of solutions to equations of the form $\mathrm{E} x+\alpha x=\mathrm{N} x$, for small values of $\alpha$, with suitable conditions on the linear operator $E$ at resonance and the nonlinear operator N. Again in the framework of the alternative method, Mc Kenna [6, 7] and Cesari [2] showed that similar theorems could be proved for equations of the type $E x+\varepsilon \mathrm{N}_{1} x=$ $=\mathrm{N} x$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$ and suitable nonlinear $\mathrm{N}_{1}$.

In this paper, we adopt a different approach, and show that in the presence of a now well understood geometric condition on N , the equation $\mathrm{E} x+$ $+\alpha x=\mathrm{N} x$ can be solved from as close to one eigenvalue as we desire to some point across the next eigenvalue.

## The Main Result

Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a Hilbert space, and let N be a continuous nonlinear bounded map from $\mathscr{H}$ to $\mathscr{H}$. We assume that E has a sequence of eigenvalues $\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{i} \rightarrow+\infty$ with associated orthonormal eigenvectors $\phi_{i}$.

If $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}_{m+1}^{m+k}$ are the eigenvalues associated with eigenvalue zero, $\lambda_{1} \leq \cdots$ $\cdots \leq \lambda_{m}<0<\lambda_{m+k+1} \leq \cdots$, then we define a partial inverse $K$ on the space of functions of the type

$$
x=\sum_{0}^{m} c_{i} \phi_{i}+\sum_{m+k+1}^{\infty} c_{i} \phi_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{K} x=\sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\lambda_{i}} c_{i} \phi_{i}+\sum_{m+k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} c_{i} \phi_{i}
$$

[^0]If $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}$ is the orthogonal projection onto these functions $x$, then $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}) \mathscr{H} \rightarrow(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}) \mathscr{H}$ is compact and since

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\mathrm{K} x, x)=\sum_{0}^{m} \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\lambda_{i}} c_{i}^{2}+\sum_{m+k+1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\lambda_{i}} c_{i}^{2} \quad \text { so } \\
\frac{\mathrm{I}}{\lambda_{m}}\|x\|^{2} \leq(\mathrm{K} x, x) \leq \frac{\mathrm{I}}{\lambda_{m+k+1}}\|x\|^{2} . \tag{I}
\end{gather*}
$$

We assume

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}\right) & \|\mathrm{N} x\| \leq \mathrm{M} & \text { for } \text { all } x \in \mathscr{H}  \tag{1}\\
\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right) & \forall \mathrm{R}_{1}>0, & \exists \mathrm{R}_{2}>0
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \delta:[0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)
$$

such that if

$$
x_{0} \in \mathrm{P} \mathscr{H},\left\|x_{0}\right\| \geq \mathrm{R}_{0} \quad x_{1} \in(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}) \mathscr{H},\left\|x_{1}\right\| \leq \mathrm{R}_{1}
$$

then

$$
\left(\mathrm{N}\left(x_{0}+x_{1}\right), x_{0}\right)>\delta\left(\left\|x_{0}\right\|\right)>0 .
$$

Theorem I. Under the foregoing general assumptions on E and the particular assumptions $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ ) and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ) on N , there exists $\alpha_{0}<0$ so that for every $\alpha, \alpha_{0} \leq \alpha<\lambda_{m+k+1}$, the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E} x-\alpha x=\mathrm{N} x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at least one solution. Moreover for every $\alpha_{1}, 0 \leq \alpha_{1}<\lambda_{m+k+1}$ there exists a uniformly bounded connected set of solutions for $\alpha \in\left[\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}\right]$.

Proof. We shall search for solutions (cfr. [2], [6] and [10]) of the coupled equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{o}=x-\{\mathrm{P} x-\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{N} x+\alpha \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}) x-\mathrm{PN} x-\alpha \mathrm{P} x\}=\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T}_{a}\right) x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define a region $\Omega$ in $\mathscr{H}$ so that $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{LS}}(\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T}, \Omega)$ is equal to one. For any given $\alpha_{1}, o<\alpha_{1}<\lambda_{m+k+1}$, let

$$
\Omega=\left\{x_{0}+x_{1}, x_{0} \in \mathrm{P} \mathscr{H}, x_{1} \in(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}) \mathscr{H},\left\|x_{0}\right\| \leq \mathrm{R}_{0},\left\|x_{1}\right\| \leq \mathrm{R}_{1} \|\right\}
$$

where $R_{0}$ and $R_{1}$ are chosen so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{1}>2\left(\mathrm{I}-\alpha_{1} / \lambda_{m+k+1}\right)^{-1}\|\mathrm{~K}\| \mathrm{M}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is the constant in $\left(N_{2}\right)$ and $R_{0}$ is then the corresponding constant in $\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right)$.

We shall determine below $\alpha_{0}, \lambda_{m}<\alpha_{0}<0$, and show that for $\alpha \in\left[\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}\right]\left(\mathrm{I}-\lambda \mathrm{T}_{\alpha}\right) z \neq 0$ for $z \in \partial \Omega$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq \mathrm{I}$.
a) Consider $z=x_{0}+x_{1}\left\|x_{0}\right\| \leq \mathrm{R}_{0},\left\|x_{1}\right\|=\mathrm{R}_{1}$. Then

$$
\left(\left(\mathrm{I}-\lambda \mathrm{T}_{\alpha}\right) z, x_{1}\right)=\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{2}-\lambda\left(\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{N}\left(x_{0}+x_{1}\right), x_{1}\right)-\lambda \alpha\left(\mathrm{K} x_{1}, x_{1}\right) .
$$

In the case where $\alpha \leq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(\mathrm{I}-\lambda \mathrm{T}_{a}\right) z, x_{1}\right) & \geq \mathrm{R}_{1}^{2}-\|\mathrm{K}\| \mathrm{MR}_{1}+\alpha_{0}\|\mathrm{~K}\| \mathrm{R}_{1}^{2} \\
& \geq \mathrm{R}_{1}\|\mathrm{~K}\| \mathrm{M}+\alpha_{0}\|\mathrm{~K}\| \mathrm{R}_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\left|\alpha_{0}\right|<M / 2 R_{1}$, then $\left(\left(I-\lambda T_{\alpha}\right) z, x_{1}\right)>\delta$.
In the remaining case where $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(\mathrm{I}-\lambda \mathrm{T}_{\alpha}\right) z, x_{1}\right) & \geq\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{2}-\|\mathrm{K}\| \mathrm{M}\left\|x_{1} \lambda \alpha-\alpha_{0} / \lambda_{m+k+1}\right\| x_{1} \|^{2} \\
& \geq \mathrm{R}_{1}^{2}-\|\mathrm{K}\| \mathrm{MR}_{1}-\alpha \lambda_{m+k+1}^{-1} \mathrm{R}_{1}^{2} \geq \mathrm{R}_{1}\|\mathrm{~K}\| \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

the last inequality coming from (4).
Thus for $\alpha_{0}$ sufficiently small, there exists $\delta>0$ so that if $z=x_{1}+x_{1}$, $\left\|x_{0}\right\| \leq \mathrm{R}_{0},\left\|x_{1}\right\|=\mathrm{R}_{1}$ then $\left(\left(\mathrm{I}-\lambda \mathrm{T}_{\alpha}\right) z, x_{1}\right) \geq \delta$ for all $\lambda_{1} \circ \leq \lambda \leq 1$.
b) We now consider $z=x_{0}+x_{1},\left\|x_{0}\right\|=\mathrm{R}_{0},\left\|x_{1}\right\| \leq \mathrm{R}_{1}$.

Then

$$
\left(\left(\mathrm{I}-\lambda \mathrm{T}_{\alpha}\right) z, x_{0}\right)=(\mathrm{I}-\lambda)\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2}+\lambda\left(\mathrm{N}\left(x_{0}+x_{1}\right), x_{0}\right)+\lambda \alpha\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{2} .
$$

Since $\left(N\left(x_{0}+x_{1}\right), x_{0}\right) \geq \delta\left(\left\|x_{0}\right\|\right)>0$ on this part of the boundary, taking $\delta_{1}=\delta\left(\mathrm{R}_{0}\right)$ and $\left|\alpha_{0}\right|<\delta_{1} / 2 \mathrm{R}_{0}^{2}$, we have $\left(\left(\mathrm{I}-\lambda \mathrm{T}_{a}\right) z, x_{0}\right)>\delta_{2}>0$ for all $\lambda_{1} \circ \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

Thus the equations $\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T}_{\alpha}\right) z=0$ have solutions in $\Omega$ for all $\alpha, \alpha_{0} \leq$ $\leq \alpha \leq \alpha_{1}$.

To establish the connectedness of a set of solutions, we need only quote the following Theorem, which is a slight variation of one found in [9].

Theorem A. Let $\mathrm{F}(t, x)$ be a continuous compact map from $\left[\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}\right] \times \mathscr{H}$ into $\mathscr{H}$, such that $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{LS}}(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{F}(t, x), 0, \Omega)=\mathrm{I}$ for all $t \in\left[\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}\right]$, and $\|\mathrm{F}(t, x)\| \geq \delta$ on $\partial \Omega$ where $\Omega$ is a bounded open set of $\mathscr{H}$. Then there is a connected set of points $\left\{(t, x) \mid t \in\left[\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}\right], x \in \Omega, \mathrm{~F}(t, z)=z\right\}$ that meets both $\left\{\alpha_{0}\right\} \times \bar{\Omega}$ and $\left\{\alpha_{1}\right\} \times \bar{\Omega}$.

Taking $\mathrm{F}(t, z)=\mathrm{T}_{\alpha} z$, it is clear that the theorem implies that there exists a connected set of solutions $x_{\alpha}$ to $\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T}_{\alpha}\right) x=0$ for all $\alpha \in\left[\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}\right]$. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

The reader will observe that in the proof of the theorem, we showed that for all $\alpha \in\left[\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}\right]$ the inequality $\left\|\left(\mathrm{I}-\lambda \mathrm{T}_{\alpha}\right) z\right\|>\delta>0$ held for all $z \in \partial \Omega$, $\lambda \in[0, I]$. This observation would allow us to include an additional nonlinear term $\varepsilon \mathrm{N}_{1}$ in the equation $\mathrm{E} x+\alpha x=\mathrm{N} x+\varepsilon \mathrm{N}_{1}(x)$, with the assumption that $\mathrm{N}_{1}: \mathscr{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}$ maps bounded sets into bounded sets. Then for $\mathrm{T}_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\mathrm{P} x-$ $-\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P})\left(\mathrm{N} x+\varepsilon \mathrm{N}_{1} x\right)-\alpha \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}) x-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{N} x+\varepsilon \mathrm{N}_{1} x\right)-\alpha \mathrm{P} x$ we would have $\left\|\left(\mathrm{I}-\lambda \mathrm{T}_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) z\right\| \geq \delta / 2$ and Theorem I would apply.

In the event of the reverse inequality $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}^{\prime}\right)\left(\mathrm{N}\left(x_{0}+x_{1}\right) x_{0}\right) \leq \delta<0$ being satisfied instead of $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$, a slight modification of the proof of Theorem I would yield.

Theorem II. Under the previous assumptions on E and the assumptions $\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ on N , there exists $\alpha_{0}>0$ so that for every $\alpha, \lambda_{m}<\alpha<\alpha_{0}$ the equations $\mathrm{L} x-\alpha x=\mathrm{N} x$ has at least one solution. Moreover, for every $\alpha_{1}, \lambda_{m}<\alpha_{1} \leq 0$, there exists a connected uniformly bounded set of solutions for $\alpha \in\left[\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{0}\right]$.

If only the inequality ( $\left.\mathrm{N}_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(\mathrm{N}\left(x_{0}+x_{1}\right), x_{0}\right) \geq 0$ is satisfied instead of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ) the following result holds.

Theorem III. Under the same general assumptions on E and assumptions $\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. on N , then for every $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha<\lambda_{m+k+1}$, the equation $\mathrm{E} x-\alpha x=\mathrm{N} x$ has at least a solution $x_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{H}$. Moreover, for every $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_{1}<\lambda_{m+k+1}$ the solutions $x_{\alpha}$ are uniformly bounded, and there exists a connected subset of the $x_{\alpha}$ 's for $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha_{1}\right)$.

Remarks. The connection between the geometric conditions $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{N}_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and the conditions of Landesman and Lazer [4], Lazer and Leach [5], Williams [Io], and others is now well understood [3]. The observation that the Landesman and Lazer condition implies ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ) we first made by Williams [10], and has been used extensively by Cesari [2], McKenna [6], and others.

In particular if $\mathrm{E} x=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} x}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}}+m^{2}$ with periodic boundary conditions on $[0,2 \pi]$ and $\mathscr{H}$ is the space of $\mathrm{L}^{2}[0,2 \pi]$, and $\mathrm{N} x=f(x)-h(t)$, then as Lazer and Leach [5], the condition $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is impled by

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
f(+\infty)=\mathrm{D} \quad, \quad f(-\infty)=\mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{~A}=\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(t) \sin m t \mathrm{~d} t \quad \mathrm{~B}=\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbf{0}}^{2 \pi} h(t) \cos m t \mathrm{~d} t
\end{array}
$$

and $2(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{C})>\left(\mathrm{A}^{2}+\mathrm{B}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$.
In particular, if $\|h\|<\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{C}$, then condition $\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}\right)$ is satisfied uniformly at each eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}=i^{2}$ and thus all solutions of $+x^{\prime \prime}+m^{2} x=g(x)+$ $+h(t)$, are bounded for $m^{2} \in[\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{R}]$, with bound depending only on R .

## References

[! ] L. Cesari - (a) Teoremi di esistenza al passaggio attraverso valori critici, «Rend. Accad. Naz. Lincei». To appear. (b) An abstract existence theorem across a point of resonance, Intern. Symposium on Dynamical Systems, Gainesville, Fla., i976. To appear. (c) Nonlinear oscillations cross a point of resonance for nonselfadjoint systems, "Journ. Diff. Equations». To appear.
[2] L. Cesari - Nonlinear Analysis. A Volume in Honor of E. H. Rothe, Academic Press (to appear).
[3] L. Cesari (1976) - Functional Analysis and Nonlinear Differential Equations, in a volume of the same title (Cesari, Kannan, Schuur, eds.), Dekkar.
[4] E. M. Landesman and A. C. Lazer (1970) - Nonlinear perturbations of elliptic boundary value problems at resonance, "J. Math. Mech." (19), 609-623.
[5] A. C. LAZER and D. E. LEACH (1969) - Bounded perturbations of forced nonlinear oscilla: tions at resonance, "Ann. di Mat. pura applic.", 72, 49-68.
[6] P. J. McKenna (1976) - Nonselfadjoint Semilinear Equations in the Alternative Method, Ph. D. thesis, University of Michigan.
[7] P. J. McKenna - Discontinuous Perturbations of Elliptic Boundary Value Problems at Resonance (to appear).
[8] H. SHAW - A nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem at resonance, "Trans. Amer. Math. Soc." (to appear).
[9] H. Shaw - Nonlinear Elliptic Boundary Value Problems at Resonance, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Michigan.
[10] S. Williams (1970) - A sharp sufficient condition for solutions of a nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem, "J. Diff. Equations», 8, 580-586.


[^0]:    (*) Nella seduta dell'8 gennaio 1977.

