
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

LUCIANO PANDOLFI

**Stabilization and controllability for a class of control
systems**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 64 (1978), n.2, p. 130–136.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1978_8_64_2_130_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Analisi funzionale. — *Stabilization and controllability for a class of control systems* (*). Nota di LUCIANO PANDOLFI, presentata (**) dal Socio G. SANSONE.

RIASSUNTO. — Si considera un processo di controllo in uno spazio di Hilbert, descritto da una coppia $(E(t, s), B(t))$. $E(t, s)$ è un operatore di evoluzione invertibile. Si prova che questo processo di controllo è stabilizzabile se e solo se esso è uniformemente controllabile.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be an Hilbert space. $E(t, s)$ is defined to be an evolution operator on X if it is defined when $t \geq s \geq t_0$ for some $t_0 \geq -\infty$ and

- i) $E(t, t) = I \quad \forall t \geq t_0$ (I is the identity operator on X).
- ii) $E(t, s)$ is a bounded operator on X and $(t, s) \rightarrow E(t, s)$ is strongly continuous for $t \geq s \geq t_0$.
- iii) $E(t, s)E(s, r) = E(t, r) \quad t \geq s \geq r \geq t_0$.

We focus our attention on those evolution operators which have the following properties:

- a) $E(t, s)$ is defined for every $t, s \geq t_0$.
- b) $E(t, s)E(s, t) = I \quad t \geq t_0, s \geq t_0$.
- c) There exist constants $M, \alpha > 0$ such that

$$\|E(t, s)\| < M \exp(\alpha |t - s|).$$

Observe that property (b) is a consequence of the properties (a) and (iii).

In [4] $E(t, s)$ is called exponentially stable (or simply stable) when we can find t'_0, α', M' such that

$$\|E(t, s)\| \leq M' \exp\{-\alpha'(t - s)\} \quad t \geq s \geq t'_0$$

and α' is positive.

Let U be another Hilbert space and let $B(t) \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$ (the space of linear continuous operators from U in X), $F(t) \in \mathcal{L}(X, U)$ be strongly measurable and bounded on $[t_0, +\infty)$.

(*) Lavoro svolto secondo i programmi del Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Funzionale e le sue Applicazioni del Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche.

(**) Nella seduta dell'11 febbraio 1978.

With the evolution operator $E(t, s)$ we associate the evolution operator $E_F(t, s)$ which is the solution of the Volterra equation

$$(1) \quad E_F(t, s) = E(t, s) + \int_s^t E(t, r) B(r) F(r) E_F(r, s) dr$$

$E_F(t, s)$ satisfies conditions (a), (b), (c).

The function $F(t)$ will be called a feedback. We say that the couple $(E(t, s), B(t))$ is *stabilizable* if we can find a feedback $F(t)$ such that $E_F(t, s)$ is stable.

We say that $(E(t, s), B(t))$ is *fully stabilizable* if $(\exp(\mu(t-s)) E(t, s) B(t))$ is stabilizable for each $\mu > 0$.

If P, Q are linear operators on X which are symmetric and positive, we say that $P \geq Q$ when $P - Q$ is (symmetric) and positive ([3]).

From [4] we recall the following result:

THEOREM 1. *Let $t \rightarrow Q(t)$ be a function from a half line $[t_0, +\infty)$ in $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(X, X)$, which is bounded and strongly measurable. Assume that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for each $t \geq t_0$, $Q(t) \geq cI$ (I is the identity operator on X). Then $E(t, s)$ is stable if and only if the equation*

$$(2) \quad L(\tau) = E(t, \tau)^* L(t) E(t, \tau) + \int_\tau^t E(s, \tau)^* Q(s) E(s, \tau) ds$$

has a positive symmetric solution $L(t)$ which is bounded for $t \geq t_0$.

The couple $(E(t, s), B(t))$ is stabilizable if and only if the equation

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} P(\tau) = & E(t, \tau)^* P(t) E(t, \tau) + \\ & + \int_\tau^t E(s, \tau)^* [I - P(s) B(s) B^*(s) P(s)] E(s, \tau) ds \end{aligned}$$

has a positive symmetric solution which is bounded for $t \geq t_0$.

Now we want to prove that full stabilization of $(E(t, s), B(t))$ is related to the following property of the pair $(E(t, s), B(t))$:

We say that the pair $(E(t, s), B(t))$ is *uniformly zero controllable* if we can find $\gamma > 0, \sigma > 0$ such that

$$(4) \quad \gamma I \leq \int_t^{t+\sigma} E(t, s) B(s) B^*(s) E^*(t, s) ds = H(t, t + \sigma)$$

for each t , at least if $t \geq t'_0$, for some $t'_0 \geq -\infty$.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. *Under the hypothesis a, b, c, the couple $(E(t, s), B(t))$ is fully stabilizable if and only if it is uniformly zero controllable.*

Remark. If X is a finite dimensional space, this result is well known and it has been proved by R. Kalman. A proof may be found in [1]. If $E(t, s) = E(t - s)$ and if $B(t) = B$ (a constant operator), see [6], [7]. The next section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.

2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2

The proof that we are going to give is a generalization of the proof found in [1] for finite dimensional spaces.

We need the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1. Let $E(t, s)$ be an evolution operator which satisfies conditions a, b, c . Let $P(t)$ be a positive symmetric solution of Eq. (3) for $t \geq t_0$.

Then $P^{-1}(t)$ exists in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and $t \rightarrow P^{-1}(t)$ is bounded on $[t_0, +\infty)$.

Proof. Let $E_\infty(t, \tau) = E_F(t, \tau)$ with $F(t) = -B^*(t)P(t)$.

Then we can prove that

$$P(\tau) = E_\infty^*(t, \tau) P(t) E_\infty(t, \tau) + \\ + \int_\tau^t E_\infty^*(s, \tau) [P(s) B(s) B^*(s) P(s) + I] E_\infty(s, \tau) ds$$

([2]), so that, by condition c ,

$$\langle x, P(\tau)x \rangle \geq \int_\tau^t \|E_\infty(s, \tau)x\|^2 ds \geq \int_\tau^t \|E_\infty(\tau, s)\|^{-2} \|x\|^2 ds.$$

Since:

$$\|E_\infty(\tau, s)\| \geq M' \exp[\alpha'(\tau - s)]$$

(it is not restrictive to assume that $\alpha' > 0$), then

$$\langle x, P(\tau)x \rangle \geq M' \int_\tau^t \exp[\alpha'(\tau - s)] ds \|x\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} (M'/\alpha') \|x\|^2,$$

at least for large t . This completes the proof of the Lemma.

LEMMA 2. If $P(t)$ is a positive symmetric solution of Eq. (3), then $P^{-1}(t)$ is a solution of the equation

$$P^{-1}(\tau) = E(\tau, t) P^{-1}(t) E^*(\tau, t) + \\ + \int_\tau^t E(\tau, s) [B(s) B^*(s) - P^{-2}(s)] E^*(\tau, s) ds.$$

Proof. From Eq. (3) it is clear that

$$t \rightarrow E^*(t, \tau) P(t) E(t, \tau)$$

is differentiable. We have

$$\frac{d}{dt} E^*(t, \tau) P(t) E(t, \tau) = E^*(t, \tau) [P(t) B(t) B^*(t) P(t) - I] E(t, \tau)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} (E^*(t, \tau) P(t) E(t, \tau))^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} (E^*(t, \tau) P(t) E(t, \tau)) (E^*(t, \tau) P(t) E(t, \tau))^{-1} = \\ = E(\tau, t) [B(t) B^*(t) - P^{-2}(t)] E^*(\tau, t). \end{aligned}$$

Equation (6) follows by integrating both members of this equality.

Now we can prove that full stabilizability implies uniform controllability.

We assume that $E(t, \tau) \exp(\mu(t - \tau))$ is stabilizable for every μ .

Then from Theorem 1 and Lemma 2 we can find a solution $Z_\mu(t)$ of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} -\exp(2\mu(\tau - t)) E(\tau, t) Z_\mu(t) E^*(\tau, t) + Z_\mu(\tau) = \\ = \int_{\tau}^t \exp(\mu(\tau - s)) E(\tau, s) [B(s) B^*(s) - Z_\mu^2(s)] E^*(\tau, s) \exp(\mu(\tau - s)) ds \end{aligned}$$

at least if $t \geq t_0$, for some $t_0 \geq -\infty$ which does not depend on μ . Then $\|Z_\mu(t)\| \leq \gamma_\mu$.

Now we can write

$$\begin{aligned} -\exp(2\mu(\tau - t)) E(\tau, t) Z_\mu(t) E^*(\tau, t) + Z_\mu(\tau) \leq \\ \leq \int_{\tau}^t \exp(\mu(\tau - s)) E(\tau, s) B(s) B^*(s) E^*(\tau, s) \exp(\mu(\tau - s)) ds \leq \\ \leq \int_{\tau}^t E(\tau, s) B(s) B^*(s) E^*(\tau, s) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e.} \quad Z_\mu(\tau) \leq H(\tau, t) + \exp(2\mu(\tau - t)) E(\tau, t) Z_\mu(t) E^*(\tau, t).$$

Assume now that $(E(t, s), B(t))$ is not uniformly controllable.

Then for each $\sigma > 0$, $\rho > 0$ and some $\tau, y, y' \in X$ (depending on σ, ρ) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Z_\mu(\tau) y, y' \rangle \leq \langle H(\tau, \tau + \sigma) y, y' \rangle + \\ + \exp(-2\mu\sigma) \langle E(\tau, \tau + \sigma) Z_\mu(\tau + \sigma) E^*(\tau, \tau + \sigma) y, y' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If $M_\mu = \sup \|Z_\mu(t)\|$ and $P_\mu(\tau)x = y$ ($\|P_\mu(\tau)\| \leq \bar{M}_\mu$ for some constant $\bar{M}_\mu$) we have

$$\langle x, P_\mu(\tau)x \rangle \leq \bar{M}_\mu [\rho + M^2 \exp(-2\mu\sigma) M_\mu \exp(2\alpha\sigma)] \|x\|^2,$$

because $P_\mu(\tau)^{-1} = Z_\mu(\tau)$. Let $\mu > 0$ be fixed. If $\rho \rightarrow 0, \sigma \rightarrow +\infty$ we find that for $\varepsilon > 0$ there is τ_ε such that $\|P_\mu(\tau_\varepsilon)\| < \varepsilon$, which contradicts Lemma 1.

This proves the first part of the Theorem.

Now we prove the sufficiency part. We want to prove that for every $\mu > 0$ we can stabilize $(\exp(\mu(t-s)) E(t, s), B(t))$.

We begin to show that if $(E(t, s), B(t))$ is uniformly zero controllable, then also $(\exp(\mu(t-s)) E(t, s), B(t))$ has the same property. This is shown by the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+\sigma} \exp(\mu(t-s)) E(t, s) B(s) B^*(s) E^*(t, s) \exp(\mu(t-s)) ds &\geq \\ &\geq \exp(-2\mu\sigma) H(t, t+\sigma) \geq \exp(-2\mu\sigma) \gamma I. \end{aligned}$$

Now we define the operator $H_\mu(t, t+\sigma)$ by:

$$H_\mu(t, t+\sigma) = \int_t^{t+\sigma} \exp(2\mu(t-s)) E(t, s) B(s) B^*(s) E^*(t, s) \exp(2\mu(t-s)) ds.$$

Then for some $\sigma > 0$ we can find $h > 0$ which satisfies $hI < H_\mu(t, t+\sigma)$ $t \geq t_0$.

Now we consider the function $L(t) = H^{-1}(t, t+\sigma)$. Clearly

$$\|L(t)\| \leq 1/h$$

for each t .

We prove that $L(t)$ is a bounded solution of Eq. (2) written for $(\exp(\mu(t-s)) E(t, s))_{-B^*L}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} Q(t) &= E(s, s+\sigma) B(s+\sigma) B^*(s+\sigma) E^*(s, s+\sigma) \exp(-2\mu\sigma) + \\ &+ 2\mu H_\mu(s, s+\sigma) + B(s) B^*(s) \geq 2\mu H_\mu(s, s+\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity we call $E_0(t, s)$ the evolution operator $\exp(\mu(t-s)) E(t, s)$. So we can write

$$\begin{aligned} [E_0^*(t, \tau) L(t) E(t, \tau)]^{-1} &= \\ E(\tau, t) \exp(\mu(\tau-t)) \int_t^{t+\sigma} \exp(2\mu(t-s)) E(t, s) B(s) B^*(s) E^*(t, s) &\cdot \\ \cdot \exp(2\mu(t-s)) ds E^*(\tau, t) \exp(\mu(\tau-t)) &= \\ = \int_t^{t+\sigma} \exp(\mu(\tau-s)) E(\tau, s) B(s) B^*(s) E(\tau, s) &\cdot \\ \cdot \exp(\mu(\tau-s)) \exp(2\mu(t-s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} [E_0^*(t, \tau) L(t) E_0(t, \tau)]^{-1} = \\ E(\tau, t + \sigma) \exp(2\mu(\tau - t - \sigma)) B(t + \sigma) B^*(t + \sigma) E^*(\tau, t + \sigma) \cdot \\ \cdot \exp(-2\mu\sigma) - E(\tau, t) \exp(2\mu(\tau - t)) B(t) B^*(t) E^*(\tau, t) + \\ + 2\mu \int_t^{t+\sigma} E(\tau, s) \exp(\mu(\tau - s)) B(s) B^*(s) E^*(\tau, s) \cdot \\ \cdot \exp(\mu(\tau - s)) \exp(2\mu(t - s)) ds \end{aligned}$$

so that, as in the proof of Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} [E_0^*(t, \tau) L(t) E_0(t, \tau)] = - [E_0^*(t, \tau) L(t) E_0(t, \tau)] \cdot \\ \cdot \{ \exp(2\mu(\tau - t - \sigma)) E(\tau, t + \sigma) B(t + \sigma) B^*(t + \sigma) E^*(\tau, t + \sigma) \cdot \\ \cdot \exp(-2\mu\sigma) - E(\tau, t) B(t) B^*(t) E^*(\tau, t) \exp(2\mu(\tau - t)) + \\ + 2\mu \int_t^{t+\sigma} E_0(\tau, s) B(s) B^*(s) E_0^*(\tau, s) \exp(2\mu(t - s)) ds \cdot \\ E_0^*(t, \tau) L(t) E_0(t, \tau), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} [E_0^*(t, \tau) L(t) E_0(t, \tau)] = E_0^*(t, \tau) L(t) \{ B(t) B^*(t) - \\ - \exp(-4\mu\sigma) E_0(t, t + \sigma) B(t + \sigma) B^*(t + \sigma) E^*(t, t + \sigma) - \\ - L^{-1}(t) \} L(t) E_0(t, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the primitives we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(\tau) = E_0^*(t, \tau) L(t) E_0(t, \tau) + \int_t^t E_0(s, \tau) L(s) \cdot \\ (7) \quad \cdot \{ - \exp(-4\mu\sigma) E_0(s, s + \sigma) B(s + \sigma) B^*(s + \sigma) E_0^*(s, s + \sigma) + \\ + B(s) B^*(s) - 2\mu L^{-1}(s) \} L(s) E_0(s, \tau) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now it is easy to prove that $\bar{E}(t, s)$, the evolution operator solution of the Volterra equation

$$\bar{E}(t, s) = E_0(t, s) + \int_s^t E_0(t, r) [-B(r) B^*(r) L(r)] \bar{E}(r, s) ds$$

is stable.

We observe that (7) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} L(\tau) = & \bar{E}^*(t, \tau) L(t) \bar{E}(t, \tau) + \int_{\tau}^t \bar{E}(s, \tau)^* L(s) \{B(s) B^*(s) + \\ & + 2L^{-1}(s) + \exp(-4\mu\sigma) E_0(s, s+\sigma) B(s+\sigma) B^*(s+\sigma) E_0^*(s, s+\sigma)\} \cdot \\ & \cdot L(s) \bar{E}(s, \tau) ds \end{aligned}$$

as a consequence of Lemma 1 in [2] (see also [5]).

This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.

Remark: Observe that the sufficiency part of Theorem 2 has been proved without using hypothesis c .

REFERENCES

- [1] R. CONTI (1977) - *Linear Differential Equations and Control*, *Institutiones Mathematicae*, Vol. 1, Academic Press, New York.
- [2] R. CURTAIN (1975) - *The Infinite Dimensional Riccati Equation with Application to Affine Hereditary Differential Systems* «SIAM J. Control», 13, 48-88.
- [3] A. N. KOLMOGOROF and S. V. FOMIN (1974) - *Elements de la Théorie des fonctions et de l'Analyse Fonctionnelles*, Editions MIR, Moscow.
- [4] L. PANDOLFI - *Stabilization of Control Processes in Hilbert Spaces*, To appear on «Roy. Math. Soc. of Edinburg», ser. A.
- [5] L. PANDOLFI - *Non Autonomous Regulator Problem in Hilbert Spaces*, To appear, «J. Opt. Theory Appl.».
- [6] J. ZABCZYK (1976) - *Complete Stabilizability Implies Exact Controllability*, *Seminarul de Ecuatii Functionale*, Universitatea din Timisoara, Romania.
- [7] M. SLEMROD (1974) - *A note on complete controllability and stabilizability for linear control systems in Hilbert space*, «SIAM J. Control», 12, 500-508.