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Geometria. - On a Gerber's Conjecture. Nota di Sahib Ram Mandan, presentata ${ }^{(*)}$ dal Socio B. Segre.

[^0]In a letter [5] Gerber writes: " I conjecture the truth of the following statement which would be a fitting complement to the result [14] announced in youy letter of 25 th March.
"Let $p$ be a prime in $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathrm{E}_{n}$, (A) and (B) simplexes with $x^{i}(x=a, b)$ as faces opposite their vertices $\mathrm{X}_{i}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$, and $\mathrm{X}_{i}^{\prime}$ orthogonal projections of $\mathrm{X}_{i}$ on $p$. If the perpendiculars from $\mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime}$ to $b^{i}$ concur (are associated), then those from $\mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime}$ to $a^{i}$ behave the same way '".

It leads to a PORISM as follows:
If $x_{1}^{i}(x=a, b ; i=0, \cdots, n)$ are the 2 sets of normals to a prime $p$ in $\mathrm{E}_{n}$ from 2 general sets $\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$ of points $\mathrm{X}_{i}^{\prime}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$ on p and $(\mathrm{X})$ a pair of simplexes with vertices $\mathrm{X}_{i}$ on $x_{1}^{i}$ and faces $x^{i}$ opposite $\mathrm{X}_{i}$ such that the $n+1$ normals to $b^{i}$ from $\mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime}$ concur or form an associated set with $(n-2)$-parameter family of $(n-2)$-flats meeting them, then it is true for every member of the $(n+\mathrm{I})$-parameter family $f(\mathrm{~B})$ of simplexes like $(\mathrm{B})$, and the $n+\mathrm{I}$ normals from $\mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime}$ to the faces $a^{i}$ of any member of the $(n+\mathrm{I})$-parameter family $f(\mathrm{~A})$ of simplexes like (A) behave the same way. An associated set of lines are said to be in Schläfli position ([15], p. 248).

The purpose of this paper is then to prove the porism from which Gerber's Conjecture follows, and the existence in $\mathrm{E}_{n}(2<n)$ of (i) Orthological Sets ( $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ ) such that each join $\mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{j}^{\prime}$ is normal to the ( $n-2$ )-flat determined by $\mathrm{B}_{k}^{\prime}(k \neq i, j)$, and (ii) Skew Orthological Sets ( $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ ) such that the $n+\mathrm{I}$ pairs of corresponding ( $n-1$ )-simplexes formed of them are skew orthological ([4)]; [14]). The projective equivalent of the porism and its extension in $n$-dimensional projective spaces $S_{n}$ for all values of $n$ are also given besides an immediate deduction of a partly new result.

## i. The Plane Porism Picture

The porism in $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ leads us to the following
THEOREM 1. In $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ if $x_{1}^{i}(x=a, b ; i=0, \mathrm{I}, 2)$ are the 2 triads of perpendiculars to a line $p$ from 2 triads of points $\mathrm{X}_{i}^{\prime}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$ on $p$ and (X) a pair of triangles with vertices $\mathrm{X}_{i}$ on $x_{1}^{i}$ and sides $x^{i}$ opposite $\mathrm{X}_{i}$ such
(*) Nella seduta del 13 novembre 1976.

that the 3 perpendiculars to $b^{i}$ from $\mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime}$ concur at a point G , then it is true for every member of the 3 -parameter family $f(\mathrm{~B})$ of triangles like $(\mathrm{B})$, and the 3 perpendiculars from $\mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime}$ to the sides $a^{i}$ of any member of the 3-parameter family $f(\mathrm{~A})$ like $(\mathrm{A})$ concur at a point $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ if and only if $\mathrm{A}_{0}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{2}^{\prime}=$ $=\mathrm{B}_{0}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{B}_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{2}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Fig. I shows that if the perpendiculars from $\mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime}$ to $b^{i}$ concur at G, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{A}_{0}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{2}^{\prime} & =\sin \mathrm{A}_{0}^{\prime} \mathrm{GA}_{1}^{\prime} \cdot \sin \mathrm{GA}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime} /\left(\sin \mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{GA}_{2}^{\prime} \cdot \sin \mathrm{GA}_{0}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sin \mathrm{B}_{0} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \cdot \sin \mathrm{C} /\left(\sin \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{0} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \cdot \sin \mathrm{~B}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{B}_{0} \mathrm{~B}_{1} / \mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{C} \quad\left(\mathrm{C} \text { meet of } \mathrm{B}_{0} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \text { and } \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{B}_{0}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{B}_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{2}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

a result independent of $(B)$, that is, it is true for all (B) with vertices $B_{i}$ on $b_{1}^{i}$ independent of one another, every vertex having an infinity of choices.

Now if the perpendiculars from $\mathrm{B}_{0}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}_{2}^{\prime}$ to $a^{0}, a^{2}$ meet at $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ and one from $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ to $a^{1}$ meets $p$ at B , by a similar agument we have $\mathrm{B}_{0}^{\prime} \mathrm{B} / \mathrm{BB}_{2}^{\prime}=$ $=\mathrm{A}_{0}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime} / \mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{2}^{\prime}$ that is then true if and only if $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B}_{1}^{\prime}$.

## 2. Orthological and Skew Orthological Sets ( $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ )

The porism in $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ leads us to the following
Theorem 2. In $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ if $x_{1}^{i}(x=a, b ; i=0, \mathrm{I}, 2,3)$ are the 2 tetrads of normals to a plane prom the vertices $\mathrm{X}_{i}^{\prime}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$ of 2 quadrangles ( $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ ) in $p$ and ( X ) a pair of tetrahedra with vertices $\mathrm{X}_{i}$ on $x_{1}^{i}$ and faces $x^{i}$ opposite $\mathrm{X}_{i}$ such that the 4 normals to $b^{i}$ from $\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime}$ (i) concur at a point G , or, (ii) lie in a regulus, then it is true for every member of the 4-parameter family $f(\mathrm{~B})$ of tetrahedra like ( B ), and the 4 normals from $\mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime}$ to the faces $a^{i}$ of any member of the 4-parameter family $f(\mathrm{~A})$ of tetrahedra like (A) (i) concur at a point $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$, or, (ii) lie in a regulus if and only if ( $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ ) are (i) orthological such that each side of one is perpendicular to the corresponding opposite side of the other as in fig. 2 (i), or, (ii) skew orthological such that each pair of their corresponding triangles are orthological unlike (i) as shown in fig. 2 (ii) where $L_{i}^{\prime} \neq \mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime}$ is the point of concurrence of the perpendiculars from $\mathrm{A}_{j}^{\prime}, \mathrm{A}_{k}^{\prime}, \mathrm{A}_{m}^{\prime}$ to $\mathrm{B}_{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{m}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}_{m}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{j}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}_{j}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{k}^{\prime}(i, j, k, m=0, \mathrm{I}, 2,3)$.

Proof. It follows from that of the following Theorem 3 by putting $n=3$ there and noting that lines in a regulus are met by at least 3 lines of its complementary one, and there are no skew orthological triangles which may be orthological only.


Fig. 2.

The porism in $\mathrm{E}_{n}(2<n)$ leads us to the following
THEOREM 3. The porism in $\mathrm{E}_{n}(2<n)$ is true if and only if the given 2 sets of points ( $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ ) on the given prime $p$ are (i) orthological, or, (ii) skew orthological.

Proof. Let $\mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ be the foot of the normal from $\mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime}$ to $b^{i}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{i j}^{\prime}\left(\neq \mathrm{A}_{j i}^{\prime}\right)$ the meet of the normal from $\mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ to $b^{j}$ with $p$. Then the plane $\mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{A}_{i j}^{\prime}$ and similarly $\mathrm{A}_{j}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{A}_{j i}^{\prime}$ are perpendicular to the common $(n-2)$-flat $b_{n-2}^{i j}$ of the pair of faces $b^{i}, b^{j}$ of the simplex (B) and therefore perpendicular to any prime through this flat, in particular to the prime $b^{i j}$ determined by the $n-I$ normals $b_{1}^{k}=\mathrm{B}_{k} \mathrm{~B}_{k}^{\prime}(k \neq i, j)$ from the vertices $\mathrm{B}_{k}$ of $(\mathrm{B})$ to $p$ and hence perpendicular to $p$. Consequently the 2 joins $\mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{i j}^{\prime}, \mathrm{A}_{j}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{j i}^{\prime}$ are both normal to $b^{i j}$ and therefore to its $(n-2)$-flat $\left(b_{n-2}^{i j}\right)^{\prime}$ in $p$ determined by the $n-\mathrm{I}$ points $\mathrm{B}_{k}^{\prime}$ there. Now there arise 2 cases.
(i) If the $n+1$ normals from the points of the set $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$ to the corresponding faces of $(B)$ concur at a point $G$, the plane $G A_{i}^{\prime} A_{j}^{\prime}$ determined by 2 normals $\mathrm{GA}_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{GA}_{j}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ contains their parallels $\mathrm{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{A}_{j i}^{\prime}, \mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{A}_{i j}^{\prime}$ and meets $p$ in a line where then colline the tetrad of points: $\mathrm{A}_{j i}^{\prime}, \mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime}, \mathrm{A}_{j}^{\prime}, \mathrm{A}_{i j}^{\prime}$. Or, the join of any 2 points $\mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime}, \mathrm{A}_{j}^{\prime}$ of $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$ contains both $\mathrm{A}_{i j}^{\prime}, \mathrm{A}_{j i}^{\prime}$ and is then normal to the corresponding $(n-2)$-flat $\left(b_{n-2}^{i j}\right)^{\prime}$ of $\left(\mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right)$ such that the $n(n+\mathrm{I}) / 2$ joins $\mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{j}^{\prime}$ of ( $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ ) are normal respectively to the corresponding $(n-2)$-flats $\left(a_{n-2}^{i j}\right)^{\prime}$ of ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ). Such a mutual relation between the 2 sets ( $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ ) makes them independent of (B). That is, the Theorem is true for every member of the family $f(\mathrm{~B})$ if it is so for one.

Again, when $\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$ are so related, $\mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{j}^{\prime}$ is normal to the prime $a^{i j}$ determined by the $n-\mathrm{I}$ normals $a_{1}^{k}=\mathrm{A}_{k} \mathrm{~A}_{k}^{\prime}$ to $p$ and therefore perpendicular to the $(n-2)$-flat $a_{n-2}^{i j}$ of the simplex (A) common to its faces $a^{i}, a^{j}$
determined by its $n$-I vertices $\mathrm{A}_{k}(k \neq i, j)$ such that the normal from $\mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime}$ to $a^{i}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{j}^{\prime}$ determine a plane perpendicular to this flat and that then contains the normal from $\mathrm{B}_{j}^{\prime}$ to $a^{j}$, or the 2 normals meet. Thus all the $n+1$ normals from the points of ( $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ ) to the corresponding faces of any member (A) of the family $f(\mathrm{~A})$ of simplexes meet one another and hence concur as desired.
(ii) If the $n+1$ normals from the points of ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) to the corresponding faces of (B) form an associated set (lie in a regulus in $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ and concur in $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ ), there exist a ( $n-2$ )-parameter family of ( $n-2$ )-flats meeting them and therefore a ( $n-3$ )-parameter family (unique line in $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ ) of them parallel to each normal such that one parallel to $\mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ meets all other $n$ normals $\mathrm{A}_{j}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime}$, is parallel to the $n$ joins $\mathrm{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{A}_{j i}^{\prime}$ and therefore coprimal with the $n$ planes $\mathrm{A}_{j}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{j}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{A}_{j i}^{\prime}$, or, meets the $n$ joins $\mathrm{A}_{j}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{j i}^{\prime}$ which then meet their ( $n-3$ )-parameter family of ( $n-3$ )-flats (unique point in $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ ) in $p$ and form an associated set by definition ([1], pp. 120-23 and [8] for $n=4,5$; [4]; [9]; [12]; [14]). That is, the $n$ normals from the $n$ vertices $\mathrm{A}_{j}^{\prime}$ of the ( $n$ - I)-simplex $\left(a^{i}\right)^{\prime}$ formed of the $n$ points of ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) other than $\mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime}$ to the corresponding $(n-2)$-flats $\left(b_{n-2}^{i j}\right)^{\prime}$ of the $(n-1)$-simplex $\left(b^{i}\right)^{\prime}$ formed of the $n$ points $\mathrm{B}_{j}^{\prime}$ of ( $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ ) other than $\mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime}$ form an associated set and thereforc makes these $2(n-1)$-simplexes skew orthological ([4]; [15]). Such a relation of the 2 sets $\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is obviously independent of $(\mathrm{B})$, or the Theorem is true for every member of the family $f(\mathrm{~B})$ of simplexes if it is so for one.

Again, if $\mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is the foot of the normal from $\mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime}$ to $a^{i}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{i j}^{\prime}\left(\neq \mathrm{B}_{j i}^{\prime}\right)$ the meet of the normal form $\mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ to $a^{j}$ with $p$, we can prove that the 2 joins $\mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{i j}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}_{j}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{j i}^{\prime}$ are both normal to the $(n-2)$-flat ( $a_{n-2}^{i j}$ ) determined by the $n-\mathrm{I}$ points $\mathrm{A}_{k}(k \neq i, j)$ by interchanging the roles of A , a with $\mathrm{B}, b$ in the above argument. Consequently, by the mutual relation of ( $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ ), the $n$ normals $\mathrm{B}_{j}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{j i}^{\prime}$ from the $n$ vertices of $\left(b^{i}\right)^{\prime}$ to the corresponding ( $n-2$ )-flats $\left(a_{n-2}^{i j}\right)^{\prime}$ of $\left(a_{i}^{i}\right)^{\prime}$ form an associated set and are met by ( $n-3$ )-parameter family of ( $n-3$ )-flats. Hence there exists a ( $n-3$ )-parameter family of $(n-2)$-flats, parallel to $\mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ and therefore to the $n$ joins $\mathrm{B}_{j}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{B}_{j i}^{\prime}$ or comprimal with the $n$ planes $\mathrm{B}_{j}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{j}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{B}_{j i}^{\prime}$, which then meet the $n$ normals $\mathrm{B}_{j}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ from $\mathrm{B}_{j}^{\prime}$ to $a^{j}$. Or, the $n+\mathrm{I}$ normals from the $n+\mathrm{I}$ points of $\left(\mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right)$ to the corresponding faces of any member of the family $f(\mathrm{~A})$ of simplexes form an associated set, as desired, by a Lemma, established in 1965 [II] and used later in [14], that runs as follows:

If through the $n+1$ vertices of a simplex S in $\mathrm{S}_{n} n+1$ lines are drawn such that there pass a (n-3)-parameter family of $(n-2)$-fats through each vertex to meet them, the lines then form an associated set.

Its proof given there holds good also in $\mathrm{E}_{n}$ even for a degenerate S whose vertices may lie in a prime which is one at infinity in the present case.

## 3. Projective Equivalent of Porism

A line $x_{1}^{i}$ is said to be normal or perpendicular to a prime $p$ in $S_{n}$ if its meet P with a fixed prime $a$ (said to be at infinity in $\mathrm{E}_{n}$ ) is pole of $p$ (or of common secondum of $p, a$ ) for a fixed quadric W (called an Absolute or a sphere at infinity) in $a$. Thus the projective equivalent of the porism and Theorems I-3 takes the shape of the following

Porism P. In $\mathrm{S}_{n}$ if $x_{1}^{i}(x=a, b ; i=0, \cdots, n)$ are 2 sets of joins of 2 general sets $\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$ of points $\mathrm{X}_{i}^{\prime}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})$ on a prime $p$ to its pole P for a quadric W (a pair of points $\mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ in $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ and a conic W in $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ ) in a fixed prime a, (X) a pair of simplexes (triangles in $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ and tetrahedra in $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ ) with vertices $\mathrm{X}_{i}$ on $x_{1}^{i}$ and faces (sides in $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ ) $x^{i}$ opposite $\mathrm{X}_{i}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ are poles of $x^{i}$ in a for W such that the $n+1$ joins $\mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ (i) concur at a point G , or, (ii) form an associated set (if $2<n$ ), it is true for every member of the $(n+1)$-parameter family $f(\mathrm{~B})$ of simplexes like $(\mathrm{B})$, and the $n+\mathrm{I}$ joins $\mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ behave the same way for every member of the $(n+\mathrm{I})$-parameter family $f(\mathrm{~A})$ of simplexes like $(\mathrm{A})$ if and only if in $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ the 2 cross ratios $\left(\mathrm{X}_{0}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{X}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$ on the line $p$ are equal with $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ as the common point of the 2 lines $a=\mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime}$ and $p$, and in $\mathrm{S}_{n}(2<n)\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$ are 'projectively' (i) orthological such the each join $\mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{j}^{\prime}$ is conjugate to $(n-2)$-flat $\left(b_{n-2}^{i j}\right)^{\prime}$ determined by the $n-\mathrm{I}$ points $\mathrm{B}_{k}^{\prime}(k \neq i, j)$ for W , or, (ii) skew orthological such that the $n+\mathrm{I}$ pairs of corresponding ( $n-1$ )-simplexes formed of ( $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ ) are projectively skew orthological [14] in the sense that the $n+1$ joins of vertices of one simplex in a pair to the poles for W of the corresponding faces of the other form an associated set.

Proof. It is left as an exercise.

## 4. An Extension of Porism

It is interesting to note that the Porism $P$ is true even if the quadric W in a prime a is replaced by a hyperquadric in $\mathrm{S}_{n}\left(\mathrm{~W}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right.$ by a conic and conic W by a quadric) with certain noteworthy modifications in $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ only as enunciated in the following

Theorem i P. In $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ if P is the pole of a line $p$ for a conic W , ( X ') 2 triads of points $\mathrm{X}_{i}^{\prime}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B} ; i=0, \mathrm{I}, 2)$ on $p,(\mathrm{X})$ a pair of triangles with vertices $\mathrm{X}_{i}$ on the joins $x_{1}^{i}=\mathrm{PX}_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ their polar triangles for W such that the 3 joins $\mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ concur at a point G , then it is true for any member of the 3-parameter family $f(\mathrm{~B})$ of triangles like $(\mathrm{B})$, and the 3 joins $\mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ concur at a point $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ for any member of the 3-parameter family $f(\mathrm{~A})$ of triangles like $(\mathrm{A})$ if and only if there exist the quadrangutar set $\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{A}_{0}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathrm{B}_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathrm{B}_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ leading to $\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{B}_{0}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{A}_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathrm{A}_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ where $\mathrm{X}_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ are poles of $\mathrm{PX}_{i}^{\prime}$ for W .


Proof. $\mathrm{X}_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ are obviously on $p$ and on the sides $\mathrm{X}_{j}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{X}_{k}^{\prime \prime}(j, k=0, \mathrm{I}, 2)$ of the triangles ( $\mathrm{X}^{\prime \prime}$ ) as shown in fig. I P giving rise to $\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{A}_{0}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathrm{B}_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathrm{B}_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ on $p$ by the quadrangle $\mathrm{GB}_{0}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{B}_{1}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{B}_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ ([3], p. 240). Again this quadrangular set is projective to the set of conjugates on $p$ of the 6 points there for $W$ ([I6], p. I 19) leading to another such set $\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{A}_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathrm{A}_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \mathrm{B}_{0}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ on $p$ and that is equivalent to $Q\left(B_{0}^{\prime} B_{1}^{\prime} B_{2}^{\prime}, A_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime} A_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} A_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ in any Pappian plane ([3], p. 24I). Consequently $\mathrm{B}_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ must concur at a point $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ to form a quadrangle $\mathrm{G}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{0}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{A}_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ to give us the last quadrangular set.

## 5. An Immediate Deduction

Any 2 simplexes are said to be orthological or skew orthological according as the normals from the vertices of one to the corresponding faces of the other in a correspondence concur or form an associated set in $\mathrm{E}_{n-1}(2<n)$ such that an orthocentric or orthogonal simplex (whose altitudes concur at its orthocentre H) is always orthological to itself, or, an orthocentric group ([2], p. 320; [7]; [10]) or set formed of H and its vertices is always orthological to itself, and any set of $n+1$ general points is always skew orthological to itself. For the altitudes of a general simplex form an associated set ([4]; [8]; [12]). Thus the condition of the porism in Theorems 2-3 is automatically satisfied if $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right)$ and therefore $f(\mathrm{~A})=f(\mathrm{~B})$ leading to the following.

Theorem G (cf. [14]). If $x_{1}^{i}(i=0, \cdots, n)$ are normals to a prime $p$ from the points $\mathrm{X}_{i}^{\prime}$ of a set ( $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ ) on $p$ in $\mathrm{E}_{n}(2<n)$ and $(\mathrm{X})$ any simplex with vertices $\mathrm{X}_{i}$ on $x_{1}^{i}$ and faces $x^{i}$ opposite $\mathrm{X}_{i}$, the $n+\mathrm{I}$ normals from $\mathrm{X}_{i}^{\prime}$ to $x^{i}$ form an associated set that reduces to a concurrent one if and only if $\left(\mathrm{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is orthocentric.

On identification of $\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\left(\mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right)$ and therefore of $f(\mathrm{~A})$ with $f(\mathrm{~B})$ in Theorem I we have the following well known.

Theorem O (cf. [6]). If $x_{1}^{i}(i=0,1,2)$ are perpendiculars to a line $p$ from a triad of points $\mathrm{X}_{i}^{\prime}$ on $p$ in $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ and $(\mathrm{X})$ any triangle with vertices $\mathrm{X}_{i}$ on $x_{1}^{i}$ and sides $x^{i}$ opposite $\mathrm{X}_{i}$, the 3 perpendiculars from $\mathrm{X}_{i}^{\prime}$ to $x^{i}$ concur at a point o, called the orthopole ([2a], p. 287) of $p$ for (X).

## References

[I] H. F. Baker (1940) - Principles of Geometry, Vol. IV. (Cambridge).
[2] N. A. Court (1964) - Modern Pure Solid Geometry. (Chelsea).
[2 a] N. A. Court (1952) - College Geometry. (Barnes and Noble, N.Y.).
[3] H. S. M. Coxeter (1969) - Introduction to Geometry. (Wiley).
[4] L. Gerber (1975) - Associated and Perspective Simplexes, "Transac. Amer. Math. Soc.", 2OI, 43-55.
[5] L. Gerber (1975) - Personal letter dated April 22.
[6] S. R. Mandan (1946) - Extension of Orthopole and Ortholine, "J. Lahore Phil. Soc.», 8, 60-6I.
[7] S. R. MANDAN (1958) - Semi-orthocentric and Orthogonal Simplexes in 4-Space, «Supplement Bul. Cal. Math. Soc. y, 50, 21-29.
[8] S. R. Mandan (1958) - Altitudes of A General Simplex in 4-Space, "Supplement Bul. Cal. Math. Soc.», 50, 30-35.
[9] S. R. Mandan (1959) - Polarity for A Quadric in An n-space, "Rev. Fac. Scs. Uni, Istanbul», $A$ 24, 21-40.
[10] S. R. Mandan (1962) - Altitudes of A Simplex in n-space, "J. Austral. Math. Soc.», 5, 403-24.
[II] S. R. Mandan (1965) - Pascal's Theorem in n-space, "J. Austral. Math. Soc.», 5, 40I-8.
[12] S. R. Mandan (1965) - Altitudes of A General n-simplex, "J. Austral. Math. Soc.", 5, 409-I 5 .
[13] S. R. Mandan (1965) - Concurrent Lines Related to 2 Plane Triangles, «J. Sc. and Engg. Res.», 9, 47-50.
[14] S. R. Mandan - Skew Orthological Perspective Simplexes (to appear).
[15] B. Segre (1961) - Lectures on Modern Geometry. (Roma).
[16] A. Seidenberg (1962) - Projective Geometry. (Nostrand).


[^0]:    Riassunto. - Vengono stabiliti vari risultati inerenti ad una coppia di $(n+1)$-simplessi riferrti fra loro e situati in uno spazio euclideo o proiettivo ad $n$ dimensioni.

