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# Matematica. - Comparison theorems for fourth order quasilinear matrix differential inequalities. Nota di C. Y. Chan e E. C. Young, presentata ${ }^{(*)}$ dal Socio M. Picone. 

RiASSunto. - Nel presente articolo si stabilisce una《identità Picone» per una classe di matrici di quasilineari diseguaglianze differenziali del quart'ordine. La citata identità servirà a mostrare teoremi di confronto del tipo di Sturm.

## I. Introduction

Picone integral identity [r, p. 266] for second order ordinary differential equations is used mainly in establishing a more general comparison theorem than that originally given by Sturm [i, pp. 224-226]. It was extended to second order elliptic differential equations by Picone [2], Kreith [3-4], Dunninger [5], and Dunninger and Weinacht [6], to self-adjoint strongly elliptic systems by Kreith [7-8], and Kreith and Travis [9], and to fourth order elliptic equations by Dunninger [io]. Sturm comparison theorems for second order self-adjoint elliptic systems were given by Kuks [ir], Bochenek [I2], Diaz and McLaughlin [13], Kreith [7-8], Kreith and Travis [9], and Swanson [14] while Noussair [15] extended the result of Swanson [16] for quasilinear self-adjoint systems to the nonself-adjoint case. Higher order elliptic equations and inequalities were considered by Dunninger [Io], and Diaz and Dunninger [17-18].

The purpose of this paper is to extend Picone identity and Sturm comparison theorems to a class of fourth order quasilinear elliptic systems. We establish Picone integral identity in section 2, and then prove Sturm comparison theorems for self-adjoint as well as nonself-adjoint systems in section 3 .

## 2. Picone integral identity

Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty bounded domain in the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathrm{E}^{n}, \bar{\Omega}$ its closure and $\partial \Omega$ its boundary. Let $x$ denote a point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ of $\mathrm{E}^{n}, \mathrm{D}_{i}$ differentiation with respect to $x_{i}$, and $\Delta$ the Laplace operator in the variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$.

We consider the matrix differential system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{LV} \equiv \Delta[\mathrm{~A}(x, \mathrm{~V}) \Delta \mathrm{V}]+2 \mathrm{~B}(x, \mathrm{~V}) \Delta \mathrm{V}+\mathrm{P}(x, \mathrm{~V}) \mathrm{V} \tag{2.I}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]for $x$ in $\Omega$, and V with range in $\mathrm{H}^{m}$ where H is a domain in $\mathrm{E}^{m}$ containing the origin. The coefficients $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and P are real $m \times m$ matrix functions such that A belongs to $\mathrm{C}^{2}\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathrm{H}^{m}\right)$, and both B and P belong to $\mathrm{C}\left(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathrm{H}^{m}\right)$. We also consider the system
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
l u \equiv \Delta[a(x, u) \Delta u]+2 b(x, u) \Delta u+p(x, u) u \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

for a $m \times \mathrm{I}$ vector function $u$ with range in H . The coefficients $a, b$ and $p$ are real $m \times m$ matrix functions such that $a$ belongs to $\mathrm{C}^{2}(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathrm{H})$ and both $b$ and $c$ belong to $\mathrm{C}(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathrm{H})$. The domain D of $l$ is the set of all real-valued functions of class $\mathrm{C}^{4}(\Omega) \cap \mathrm{C}^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ with range in H . The set of all $m \times m$ matrix functions whose column vectors $\mathrm{V}_{i} \in \mathrm{D}(i=\mathrm{I}, 2, \cdots, m)$ is denoted by $\mathrm{D}^{m}$.

In analogy to the case of second order elliptic systems (cf. Kreith and Travis [9], and Swanson [14]), we say that a matrix $V$ is L-prepared if

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A} \Delta \mathrm{~V} & =\left(\Delta \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathrm{AV},  \tag{2.3}\\
\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathrm{A} \Delta \mathrm{~V} & =\left(\Delta \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathrm{AD}_{i} \mathrm{~V} \quad \text { for } \quad i=\mathrm{I}, 2, \cdots, n, \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}}$ denotes the transpose of V . Conditions (2.3) and (2.4) are obviously true in the scalar case when $m=\mathrm{I}$. When $m=2, n=\mathrm{I}$, and A is the identity matrix,

$$
\mathrm{V}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
e^{x} & e^{-x} \\
e^{-x} & e^{x}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for example is a L-prepared matrix.
We use the convention that whenever the index $i$ is repeated in a term, such a term is to be summed from I to $n$. Let $\mathrm{V}^{-1}$ denote the inverse of V , and G be an arbitrary $m \times m$ matrix. Let us define

$$
\begin{gathered}
f[u] \equiv \int_{\Omega}\left[(\Delta u)^{\mathrm{T}} a \Delta u+2 u^{\mathrm{T}} b \Delta u+u^{\mathrm{T}} p u\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
\mathrm{~F}[u, \mathrm{~V}] \equiv \int_{\Omega}\left[(\Delta u)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A} \Delta u+2 u^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~B} \Delta u+u^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{G}) u\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
\mathrm{~J}[u, \mathrm{~V}] \equiv-2 \int_{\Omega}\left[\mathrm{D}_{i} u-\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \mathrm{~V}\right) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A}(\Delta \mathrm{~V}) \mathrm{V}^{-1}\left[\mathrm{D}_{i} u-\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \mathrm{~V}\right) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
\mathrm{Q}[u, \mathrm{~V}] \equiv \int_{\Omega}\left\{\left[\Delta u-(\Delta \mathrm{V}) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A}\left[\Delta u-(\Delta \mathrm{V}) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u\right]\right. \\
\\
\\
\left.+2 u^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~B}\left[\Delta u-(\Delta \mathrm{V}) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u\right]+u^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{G} u\right\} \mathrm{d} x .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem i. If $\partial \Omega$ is piecewise smooth and $u \in \mathrm{D}$, then every L -prepared matrix $\mathrm{V} \in \mathrm{D}^{m}$ such that $\mathrm{V}^{-1} u \in \mathrm{C}^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ with range in H satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left[u^{\mathrm{T}} l u\right. & \left.-u^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{LV}) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u\right] \mathrm{d} x=f[u]-\mathrm{F}[u, \mathrm{~V}]+\mathrm{J}[u, \mathrm{~V}]+\mathrm{Q}[u, \mathrm{~V}]  \tag{2.5}\\
& +\int_{\partial \Omega}\left[u^{\mathrm{T}} \frac{\partial(a \Delta u)}{\partial n}-\frac{\partial u^{\mathrm{T}}}{\partial n} a \Delta u-u^{\mathrm{T}} \frac{\partial(\mathrm{~A} \Delta \mathrm{~V})}{\partial n} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} u\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\partial u^{\mathrm{T}}}{\partial n}(\mathrm{~A} \Delta \mathrm{~V}) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u+u^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A}(\Delta \mathrm{~V}) \frac{\partial\left(\mathrm{V}^{-1} u\right)}{\partial n}\right] \mathrm{d} s
\end{align*}
$$

where $\partial / \partial n$ denotes the outward normal derivative.
Proof. By direct computation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\mathrm{T}} \Delta(\mathrm{~A} \Delta \mathrm{~V}) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathrm{D}_{i}\left[u^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{D}_{i}(\mathrm{~A} \Delta \mathrm{~V}) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u-\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} u^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathrm{A}(\Delta \mathrm{~V}) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u-u^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A}(\Delta \mathrm{~V}) \mathrm{D}_{i}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{-1} u\right)\right] \\
& +\left(\Delta u^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathrm{A}(\Delta \mathrm{~V}) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u+2\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} u^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathrm{A}(\Delta \mathrm{~V}) \mathrm{D}_{i}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{-1} u\right)+u^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A}(\Delta \mathrm{~V}) \Delta\left(\mathrm{V}^{-1} u\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the relation $\mathrm{D}_{i} \mathrm{~V}^{-1}=-\mathrm{V}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \mathrm{~V}\right) \mathrm{V}^{-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{i}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{-1} u\right)=-\mathrm{V}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \mathrm{~V}\right) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u+\mathrm{V}^{-1} \mathrm{D}_{i} u \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta\left(\mathrm{V}^{-1} u\right)= & 2 \mathrm{~V}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \mathrm{~V}\right) \mathrm{V}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \mathrm{~V}\right) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u-\mathrm{V}^{-1}(\Delta \mathrm{~V}) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u  \tag{2.8}\\
& -2 \mathrm{~V}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \mathrm{~V}\right) \mathrm{V}^{-1} \mathrm{D}_{i} u+\mathrm{V}^{-1} \Delta u
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}(\Delta \mathrm{~V}) \mathrm{V}^{-1}=\left(\mathrm{V}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\Delta \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathrm{A} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (2.4), (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9), the last three terms on the right hand side of (2.6) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left[\mathrm{D}_{i} u-\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \mathrm{~V}\right) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A}(\Delta \mathrm{~V}) \mathrm{V}^{-1}\left[\mathrm{D}_{i} u-\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} \mathrm{~V}\right) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u\right]  \tag{2.10}\\
& -\left[\Delta u-(\Delta \mathrm{V}) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A}\left[\Delta u-(\Delta \mathrm{V}) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u\right]+(\Delta u)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A} \Delta u .
\end{align*}
$$

By Green's second identity, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \Delta(a \Delta u) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\Omega}(\Delta u)^{\mathrm{T}} a \Delta u \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\partial \Omega}\left[u^{\mathrm{T}} \frac{\partial(a \Delta u)}{\partial n}-\frac{\partial u^{\mathrm{T}}}{\partial n} a \Delta u\right] \mathrm{d} s . \tag{2.1I}
\end{equation*}
$$

Picone integral identity (2.5) then follows from (2.1), (2.2); (2.6), (2.10) and (2.II) with the use of the divergence theorem.

We observe that if $a=b=p=0$, then (2.5) reduces to the following form.

Corollary I. If $\partial \Omega$ is piecewise smooth, and $u \in \mathrm{C}^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ with range in H , then every L -prepared matrix $\mathrm{V} \in \mathrm{D}^{m}$ such that $\mathrm{V}^{-1} u \in \mathrm{C}^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ with range in H satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\int_{\Omega} u^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{LV}) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u \mathrm{~d} x=-\mathrm{F}[u, \mathrm{~V}]+\mathrm{J}[u, \mathrm{~V}]+\mathrm{Q}[u, \mathrm{~V}]  \tag{2.12}\\
-\int_{\partial \Omega}\left[u^{\mathrm{T}} \frac{\partial(\mathrm{~A} \Delta \mathrm{~V})}{\partial n} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} u-\frac{\partial u^{\mathrm{T}}}{\partial n} \mathrm{~A}(\Delta \mathrm{~V}) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u-u^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A}(\Delta \mathrm{~V}) \frac{\partial\left(\mathrm{V}^{-1} u\right)}{\partial n}\right] \mathrm{d} s .
\end{gather*}
$$

## 3. Sturm theorems

Let (A) denote the $m n \times m n$ matrix whose $(i, j)$-th block is A for $i, j=\mathrm{I}, 2, \cdots, n$. Also let (B) denote the $m n \times m$ matrix whose ( $i, \mathrm{I}$ )-th block is B for $i=\mathrm{I}, 2, \cdots, n$. In this section, we assume that A is symmetric. If (A) is positive definite for all $(x, \xi)$ in $\Omega \times \mathrm{H}^{m}$, then it follows with slight modification from Gantmacher [19, p. 306] that a diagonal $m \times m$ matrix $\mathrm{G}(x, \xi)$ in $\mathrm{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ can be constructed such that for any $(x, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathrm{H}^{m}$ the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lc}
(\mathrm{A}) & (\mathrm{B})  \tag{3.I}\\
(\mathrm{B})^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathrm{G}
\end{array}\right) \text { is positive definite in } \Omega \times \mathrm{H}^{m} .
$$

We now establish two weak Sturm theorems for L in the sense that the conclusion applies to $\bar{\Omega}$ rather than $\Omega$.

Theorem 2. Let $\partial \Omega$ be piecewise smooth and G satisfy (3.1). If there exists a non-trivial $u \in \mathrm{C}^{2} \overline{(\bar{\Omega})}$ with range in H such that $u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and $\mathrm{F}[u, \mathrm{~V}] \leqq \mathrm{o}$, then every L -prepared matrix $\mathrm{V} \in \mathrm{D}^{m}$ for which $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{LV}$ and $-\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{A} \Delta \mathrm{V}$ are positive semidefinite in $\Omega$ must be singular at some point on $\bar{\Omega}$.

Proof. We first remark that the condition that $-V^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{A} \Delta \mathrm{V}$ is positive semidefinite corresponds to the self-adjoint scalar case (cf. Dunninger [io]) that A is positive in $\Omega, v>0$ for some $x \in \Omega$ and $\Delta v<0$ in $\Omega$. We now proceed to the proof of the theorem.

If V is non-singular on $\bar{\Omega}$, then there exists a unique $w \mathrm{C}^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $u=\mathrm{V} w$ on $\bar{\Omega}$. Thus $\mathrm{V}^{-1} u=w$, and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{LV}) \mathrm{V}^{-1} u=w^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{LV}) w, \\
& \mathrm{~J}[u, \mathrm{~V}]=-2 \int_{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{D}_{i} w\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A}(\Delta \mathrm{~V}) \mathrm{D}_{i} w \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathrm{F}[u, \mathrm{~V}] \leqq 0, \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{LV}$ and $-\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{A} \Delta \mathrm{V}$ are positive semidefinite, and G satisfies (3.1), the left hand side of (2.12) is non-positive while the right hand side is non-negative. Hence each term of (2.12) must vanish. In particular, $Q[u, \mathrm{~V}]=\mathrm{o}$ in $\Omega$. By (3.1), this implies $u \equiv \mathrm{o}$ in $\Omega$, and hence on $\bar{\Omega}$ by
continuity. Thus we have a contradiction to the fact that $u$ is non-trivial. Therefore V must be singular at some point of $\bar{\Omega}$.

We have the following result when L is self-adjoint, that is $\mathrm{B} \equiv \mathrm{o}$.
Theorem 3. Let $\partial \Omega$ be piecewise smooth and (A) be positive semidefinite for $(x, \xi)$ in $\Omega \times \mathrm{H}^{m}$. If there exists a non-trivial $u \in \mathrm{C}^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ with range in H such that $u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and

$$
\mathrm{E}[u, \mathrm{~V}] \equiv \int_{\Omega}\left[(\Delta u)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A} \Delta u+u^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{P} u\right] \mathrm{d} x \leqq \mathrm{o}
$$

then every L -prepared matrix $\mathrm{V} \in \mathrm{D}^{m}$ for which $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{LV}$ is positive semidefinite and $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{A} \Delta \mathrm{V}$ is negative definite in $\Omega$ must be singular at some point of $\bar{\Omega}$.

Proof. If V is non-singular on $\bar{\Omega}$, then as in the proof of Theorem 2, we arrive at each term of (2.12), where $B$ and $G$ are taken to be identically zero, must vanish. In particular, $J[u, V]=0$. Since $V^{T} A \Delta V$ is negative definite in $\Omega$, this implies $\mathrm{D}_{i} w=0$ in $\Omega$, and hence on $\bar{\Omega}$ by continuity. Therefore $u=\mathrm{V} r$ for some constant vector $r \neq 0$. This in turn implies that V is singular on $\partial \Omega$, and we have a contradiction.

The following two theorems give the strong versions of Theorems 2 and 3 .
Theorem 4. Let $\partial \Omega \in \mathrm{C}^{2}$ and G satisfy (3.I). If there exists a non-trivial $u \in \mathrm{D}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} u^{\mathrm{T}} l u \mathrm{~d} x \leqq 0 \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega  \tag{3.2}\\
& u=0=\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f[u] \geqq \mathrm{F}[u, \mathrm{~V}], \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every L -prepared matrix $\mathrm{V} \in \mathrm{D}^{m}$ for which $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{LV}$ and -. $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{A} \Delta \mathrm{V}$ are positive semidefinite in $\Omega$ must be singular at some point in $\Omega$.

Proof. If V is non-singular in $\Omega$, then there exists a unique $w \in C^{4}(\Omega)$ such that $u=\mathrm{V} w$. Since $\partial \Omega \in \mathrm{C}^{2}, u \in \mathrm{C}^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $u=0=\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$ on $\partial \Omega$, it follows from Agmon [20, p. I3 I] that $u$ belongs to the Sobolev space $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{0}(\Omega)$, the completion of the class of $\mathrm{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ functions having compact support in $\Omega$ under the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{2}=\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(|u|^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{j}^{2}}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ be a sequence of $\mathrm{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ functions converging to $u$ in the norm (3.5). Since $u_{k}$ vanishes in a neighbourhood of $\partial \Omega$, it follows that $\mathrm{V}^{-1} u_{k}$ is at least of class $\mathrm{C}^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$, and hence from (2.12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}\left[u_{k}, \mathrm{~V}\right] \geqq \mathrm{Q}\left[u_{k}, \mathrm{~V}\right] \geqq \mathrm{o} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since A, B, P and G are uniformly bounded for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, there exists a positive constant $M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{F}\left[u_{k}, \mathrm{~V}\right]-\mathrm{F}[u, \mathrm{~V}]\right| \leqq \mathrm{M}(n+\mathrm{I})^{2}\left(\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{2}+\|u\|_{2}\right)\left\|u_{k}-u\right\|_{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the use of Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. But $\left\|u_{k}-u\right\|_{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$; hence from (3.6) and (3.7), we have $\mathrm{F}[u, \mathrm{~V}] \geqq 0$. On the other hand, $f[u] \geqq \mathrm{F}[u, \mathrm{~V}]$ from (3.4), and $\mathrm{o} \geqq f[u]$ from (2.11), (3.2) and (3.3). Thus we have a contradiction unless $\mathrm{F}[u, \mathrm{~V}]=\mathrm{o}$.

If $\mathrm{F}[u, \mathrm{~V}]=\mathrm{o}$, then let S be a ball such that $\overline{\mathrm{S}} \subset \Omega$. Also let $u_{k}=\mathrm{V} w_{k}$. Then $w_{k}=\mathrm{V}^{-1} u_{k}$. Since $\mathrm{V}^{-1} \in \mathrm{C}^{2}(\overline{\mathrm{~S}})$, we have $\left\|w_{k}-w\right\|_{2, \omega} \rightarrow 0$ as $\left\|u_{k}-u\right\|_{2} \rightarrow 0$, where the subscript $\omega$ indicates that the integral is evaluated over $\omega$ instead of over $\Omega$. Now $w=\mathrm{V}^{-1} u$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{\omega}[u, \mathrm{~V}]=\int_{\omega}\left\{(\Delta u)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A} \Delta u-w^{\mathrm{T}}(\Delta \mathrm{~V})^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A} \Delta u-(\Delta u)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A}(\Delta \mathrm{~V}) w\right. \\
& \left.+w^{\mathrm{T}}(\Delta \mathrm{~V})^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A}(\Delta \mathrm{~V}) w+2 u^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~B}[\Delta u-(\Delta \mathrm{V}) w]+u^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{G} u\right\} \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the uniform boundedness of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{G}$ and $\Delta V$, we can find a positive constant $N$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|Q_{\omega}\left[u_{k}, \mathrm{~V}\right]-Q_{\omega}[u, \mathrm{~V}]\right| & \leqq \mathrm{N}\left\{\left[(n+\mathrm{I})^{2}\left(\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{2, \omega}+\|u\|_{2, \omega}\right)\right.\right. \\
+n\left\|w_{k}\right\|_{2, \omega} & \left.+(n+2)\|w\|_{2, \omega}\right]\left\|u_{k}-u\right\|_{2, \omega} \\
& +\left[(n+2)\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{2, \omega}+n\|u\|_{2, \omega}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left\|w_{k}\right\|_{2, \omega}+\|w\|_{2, \omega}\right]\left\|w_{k}-w\right\|_{2, \omega}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then as $k \rightarrow \infty, Q_{\omega}\left[u_{k}, \mathrm{~V}\right] \rightarrow Q_{\omega}[u, \mathrm{~V}]$, but $0 \leqq \mathrm{Q}_{\omega}\left[u_{k}, \mathrm{~V}\right] \leqq \mathrm{Q}\left[u_{k}, \mathrm{~V}\right]$. From (3.6) and (3.7), o $\leqq Q_{\omega}[u, \mathrm{~V}] \leqq \mathrm{F}[u, \mathrm{~V}]$. Since $\mathrm{F}[u, \mathrm{~V}]=\mathrm{o}$, we have $Q_{\omega}[u, V]=0$. This implies $u \equiv 0$ in S . Since S is arbitrary, $u \equiv 0$ in $\Omega$ and hence on $\bar{\Omega}$ by continuity. This contradicts $u$ being non-trivial and thus the theorem follows.

When L is self-adjoint, we have the following result.
Theorem 5. Let $\partial \Omega \in \mathrm{C}^{2}$ and (A) be positive semidefinite for $(x, \xi)$ in $\Omega \times \mathrm{H}^{m}$. If there exists a non-trivial $u \in \mathrm{D}$ such that (3.2) and (3.3) hold and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f[u] \geqq \mathrm{E}[u, \mathrm{~V}], \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every $\mathrm{L}-$ prepared matrix $\mathrm{V} \in \mathrm{D}^{m}$ for which $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{LV}$ is positive semidefinite and $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{A} \Delta \mathrm{V}$ is negative definite in $\Omega$ must be singular at some point in $\Omega$ unless there exists a constant vector $r \neq 0$ such that $u=\mathrm{Vr}$ on $\bar{\Omega}$. If the strict inequality holds in (3.8), then V must be singular at some point in $\Omega$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4, except that we use $\mathrm{E}[u, \mathrm{~V}], \mathrm{J}[u, \mathrm{~V}]$ and $\mathrm{J}_{\omega}[u, \mathrm{~V}]$ instead of $\mathrm{F}[u, \mathrm{~V}], \mathrm{Q}[u, \mathrm{~V}]$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{\omega}[u, \mathrm{~V}]$ respectively. The second statement is obvious from the proof.

When $m=\mathrm{I}$, Theorem 5 reduces to a result similar to the main result of Dunninger [IO] and Theorem 4 deals with the nonself-adjoint case.

We can also consider mixed boundary conditions, for example, of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=0 \quad, \quad a \Delta u+\alpha(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leqq \alpha \leqq+\infty$ with $\alpha^{\prime}=+\infty$ denoting $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=0$. A typical result that follows immediately from Picone integral identity (2.5) is given below.

Theorem 6. Let $\partial \Omega$ be piecewise smooth and G satisfy (3.1). If there exists a non-trivial $u \in \mathrm{D}$ satisfying (3.2) and (3.9) such that (3.4) holds, then every L -prepared matrix $\mathrm{V} \in \mathrm{D}^{m}$ for which $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{LV}$ and $-\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{A} \Delta \mathrm{V}$ are positive semidefinite in $\Omega$ must be singular at some point of $\bar{\Omega}$.
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Added in proof. Related work for certain fourth order partial differential equations and systems of second order partial differential equations was done by G. Cimmino in his papers "Sulle equazioni lineari ellittiche autoaggiunte alle derivate parziali di ordine superiore al secondo, "Memorie della Reale Accademia d'Italia», I, 5-15 (1930)" and "Teoremi di confronto fra equazioni o sistemi di equazioni differenziali lineari del second'ordine, "Seminario Matematico della R. Università degli Studi Roma», 14, 3-24 (1936) " respectively.
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