## Atti Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

## Classe Scienze Fisiche Matematiche Naturali RENDICONTI

## Giovanni Prouse

## On the motion of a viscous incompressible fluid in a tube with permeable and deformable wall

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 50 (1971), n.6, p. 663-675.<br>Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1971_8_50_6_663_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1971_8_50_6_663_0)

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

> Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
> bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
> SIMAI \& UMI
> $\mathrm{http}: / / \mathrm{www}$. bdim.eu/

Analisi matematica. - On the motion of a viscous incompressible fluid in a tube with permeable and deformable wall ${ }^{(*)}$. Nota di Giovanni Prouse, presentata (") dal Corrisp. L. Amerio.

Riassunto. - Si dà un teorema di esistenza della soluzione di un problema misto per le equazioni di Navier-Stokes corrispondente al moto di un fluido viscoso incomprimibile in un tubo avente parete permeabile e deformabile.
I. - The problem we shall consider consists in the study of the motion of a viscous incompressible fluid in a tube with deformable and permeable wall; this problem is, for instance, encountered in the investigation of the flow of blood in artificial arteries.

We shall study the two-dimensional case, i.e. the plane flow of the fluid, since all the results we shall give refer to this case and cannot be extended directly to flows in three or more dimensions. In order, moreover, to avoid formal complications, we shall simplify the problem as much as possible, although the results obtained hold also for more general cases.

Let $\Omega_{\varphi}$ be the set of the $x_{1}, x_{2}$ plane: $\Omega_{\varphi}=\left\{0<x_{1}<l, \varphi\left(x_{1}, t\right)<x_{2}<k\right\}$ with boundary $\Gamma_{\varphi}$ constituted by: $\Gamma_{1}=\left\{x_{1}=0,0<x_{2}<k\right\}, \Gamma_{2}=\left\{x_{1}=l\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{o}<x_{2}<k\right\}, \Gamma_{3, \varphi}^{\prime}=\left\{0<x_{1}<l, x_{2}=\varphi\left(x_{1}, t\right)\right\}, \Gamma_{3}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{0<x_{1}<l, x_{2}=k\right\}$, where $\varphi\left(x_{1}, t\right)$ is a function $\in \mathrm{C}^{1}(\mathrm{R})\left(\mathrm{R}=\left\{\mathrm{o} \leq x_{1} \leq l, o \leq t \leq \mathrm{T}\right\}\right)$, with $\varphi(\mathrm{o}, t)=\varphi(l, t)=0 . \quad \Omega_{\varphi}$ is the "tube" we shall consider, which corresponds to the section of an infinite layer with the plane $x_{3}=0 . \quad \Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ represent the initial and final sections of the "tube ", while $\Gamma_{3, \varphi}^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ are the walls, which are supposed permeable; we shall assume that $\Gamma_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ is rigid and that $\Gamma_{3, \varphi}^{\prime}$ is deformable, its deformation depending on the pressure exerted by the fluid according to a law we shall illustrate later.

If in the tube there flows a liquid of unit density and viscosity $\mu$ subject to a force $\vec{f}(x, t)\left(x=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}\right)$, the velocity $\vec{u}(x, t)$ of the liquid and its pressure $p(x, t)$ satisfy the Navier-Stokes equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial t}-\mu \Delta u_{j}+\sum_{k=1}^{2} u_{k} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}+\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_{j}}=f_{j} \quad(j=\mathrm{I}, 2) \\
& \sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{k}}=\mathrm{o} \tag{I.I}
\end{align*}
$$

Our aim is to determine, under appropriate conditions, the motion of the fluid (i.e. the solution of (I.I)) and the shape of $\Omega_{\varphi}$ (i.e. the function $\varphi\left(x_{1}, t\right)$ ).
(*) Istituto Matematico del Politecnico di Milano. Lavoro eseguito nell'ambito del Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Funzionale e le sue applicazioni del C.N.R.
${ }^{(* *)}$ Nella seduta del 18 giugno 197 I.

The problem is " well posed" if we assign:
a) The shape of the tube in the absence of pressure;
b) The relation between the pressure on $\Gamma_{3, \varphi}^{\prime}$ and the function $\varphi\left(x_{1}, t\right)$;
c) The initial conditions of the system;
d) Boundary conditions on $\Gamma_{\varphi}$, which take into account the permeability assumption on $\Gamma_{3, \varphi}^{\prime} \cup \Gamma_{3}^{\prime \prime}=\Gamma_{3, \varphi}$.

Let us examine separately the four conditions given above.
a) We shall assume that, in the absence of pressure, the "tube" is represented by $\Omega_{0}=\left\{o<x_{1}<l, o<x_{2}<k\right\}$.
b) Let $p\left(x_{1}, t\right)$ be the pressure exercised by the fluid on the wall $\Gamma_{3, \varphi}^{\prime}$ and suppose that the external pressure is zero. Let, moreover, R denote the rectangle $\mathrm{o} \leq x_{1} \leq l, \mathrm{o} \leq t \leq \mathrm{T}$, I the interval $\mathrm{o}<x_{1}<l$ and K the set of functions $v\left(x_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}=\left\{v\left(x_{1}\right)\left|v \in \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I}),\left|\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right| \leq \mathrm{M} \text { a.e. }\right\} .\right. \tag{I.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation between $p\left(x_{1}, t\right)$ and $\varphi\left(x_{1}, t\right)$ (i.e. between the pressure and the deformation of the tube) is defined by the following two conditions:

$$
\left.\mathrm{I}_{1}\right) \varphi(t) \in \mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right), \varphi^{\prime}(t) \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right) \cap \mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right),
$$ $\varphi^{\prime}(t) \in \mathrm{K}$ a.e.,

$\left.\mathrm{II}_{1}\right) \varphi(t)$ is a solution, $\forall v(t) \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right)$, with $v^{\prime}(t) \in$ $\in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{H}^{-2}(\mathrm{I})\right), v(t) \in \mathrm{K}$ a.e., of the inequality

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}+\|\varphi(t)\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}-2\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t), v(t)\right)_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}+2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\left\langle v^{\prime}(\eta), \varphi^{\prime}(\eta)\right\rangle-\right.  \tag{I.3}\\
\left.-\left\langle\mathrm{D}^{4} \varphi(\eta), v(\eta)\right\rangle+\left\langle p(\eta), v(\eta)-\varphi^{\prime}(\eta)\right\rangle\right\} d \eta \leq 0 \quad \text { a.e., }
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\langle$,$\rangle denotes the duality between \mathrm{H}^{-2}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})$ and we have set $\mathrm{D}^{4} \varphi(t)=\left\{\frac{\partial^{4} \varphi\left(x_{1}, t\right)}{\partial x_{1}^{4}} ; x_{1} \in \mathrm{I}\right\}$ and assumed that $p(t) \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{H}^{-2}(\mathrm{I})\right)$.

Conditions $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ ), $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ ) correspond to a weak formulation of the following classical problem. Consider the rod $\mathrm{o} \leq x_{1} \leq l$ fixed at both ends and subject to a pressure $p\left(x_{1}, t\right)$ and let $\varphi\left(x_{1}, t\right)$ be the displacement of the point $x_{1}$ at the time $t$; assume, moreover, that the curvature of the rod at any point cannot vary too rapidly, i.e. that, denoting by M a constant, depending on the physical properties of the rod, we have

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{3} \varphi\left(x_{1}, t\right)}{\partial t \partial x_{1}^{2}}\right| \leq \mathrm{M} .
$$

The motion of the rod is therefore governed by the equation

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\hat{\partial}^{4} \varphi}{\partial x_{1}^{4}}=p
$$

in the set $R^{\prime} \subseteq R$ in which $\left|\frac{\partial^{3} \varphi}{\partial t \partial x_{1}^{2}}\right|<M$ and by the equation

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{3} \varphi}{\partial t \partial x_{1}^{2}}\right|=\mathrm{M}
$$

in $\mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$. Moreover, $\varphi\left(x_{1}, t\right)$ satisfies the initial and boundary conditions

$$
\varphi\left(x_{1}, 0\right)=\frac{\partial \varphi\left(x_{1}, o\right)}{\partial t}=\varphi(\mathrm{o}, t)=\varphi(l, t)=\frac{\partial \varphi(\mathrm{o}, t)}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial \varphi(l, t)}{\partial x_{1}}=\mathrm{o}
$$

and obvious compatibility conditions on $\overline{\mathrm{R}}^{\prime} \cap \overline{\mathrm{R}}^{\prime \prime}$.
c) The initial conditions of the system are represented by the velocity $\vec{u}(x, 0)$ of the fluid at the time $t=0$ and by the functions $\varphi\left(x_{1}, 0\right)$, $\frac{\partial \varphi\left(x_{1}, 0\right)}{\partial t}$; as indicated in $\left.b\right)$; we shall assume that $\varphi\left(x_{1}, 0\right)=\frac{\partial \varphi\left(x_{1}, 0\right)}{\partial t}=0$ i.e. that the tube is initially at rest.
d) Denoting by $\vec{v}_{\varphi}$ the exterior normal to $\Gamma_{\varphi}$, the boundary conditions are expressed by the relations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2}|\vec{u}(x, t)|^{2}+p(x, t)=\alpha_{i}(x, t) & \left(x \in \Gamma_{i}, i=\mathrm{I}, 2\right) \\
p(x, t)=\beta(x, t) \vec{u}(x, t) \times \vec{v}_{\varphi}|\vec{u}(x, t)| & \left(x \in \Gamma_{3, \varphi}=\Gamma_{3, \varphi}^{\prime} \cup \Gamma_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
\left|\vec{u}(x, t) \times \vec{v}_{\varphi}\right|=|\vec{u}(x, t)| & \left(x \in \Gamma_{\varphi}\right) . \tag{土.6}
\end{array}
$$

Equation (I.4) assigns the value of the total energy of the fluid on the initial and final sections $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$, while (i.6) imposes the condition that the component of the velocity tangent to $\Gamma_{\varphi}$ vanishes. The permeability condition on the wall $\Gamma_{3, \varphi}$ is given by (I.5), $\beta>0$ being a permeability coefficient, which expresses the experimental law that the velocity of the fluid through the wall, which, by (I.6), is orthogonal to the wall, is proportional to the square root of the jump of pressure: in (I.5) we have assumed that the external pressure is $=0$.

We shall prove that the problem defined above admits, for $t>0$ sufficiently small, a solution in an appropriate generalized sense.

Let us' now define some basic functional spaces ${ }^{(1)}$.
Denoting by $\Omega$ an open, bounded, connected set of the $x_{1}, x_{2}$ plane, satisfying the cone property, let $\mathfrak{O}(\Omega)$ be the manifold of vectors $\vec{v}(x)=$ $=\left\{v_{1}(x), v_{2}(x)\right\}$ infinitely differentiable in $\Omega$, with null divergence and
(I) For a more detailed introduction and explanation of the functional spaces here recalled, see [I], Note I.
such that, when $x \in \partial \Omega,|\vec{v}(x) \times \vec{v}|=|\vec{v}(x)| \vec{v}$ exterior normal to $\partial \Omega)$; let, moreover, $\mathrm{N}^{\sigma}(\Omega)$ denote the closure of $\mathfrak{\mathscr { C }}(\Omega)$ in $\mathrm{H}^{\sigma}(\Omega)$ and set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overrightarrow{(v}, \vec{w})_{\mathbb{N}^{0}(\Omega)}=\int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^{2} v_{j}(x) w_{j}(x) \mathrm{d} \Omega \\
& \overrightarrow{(v}, \vec{w})_{\mathrm{N}^{1}(\Omega)}=\int_{\Omega} \sum_{j, k=1}^{2} \frac{\partial v_{j}(x)}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial w_{j}(x)}{\partial x_{k}} \mathrm{~d} \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

With such definitions, it can easily be seen that $\mathrm{N}^{0}(\Omega)$ and $\mathrm{N}^{1}(\Omega)$ are Hilbert spaces, with $\mathrm{N}^{1}(\Omega)$ dense in $\mathrm{N}^{0}(\Omega)$.

Let $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{A})$ be the set of elements $\vec{u} \in \mathrm{~N}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that the linear form $\vec{v} \rightarrow(\vec{u}, \vec{v})_{\mathrm{N}^{1}(\Omega)}$ is continuous in the topology of $\mathrm{N}^{0}(\Omega) ; \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{A})$ is the domain of a linear, self-adjoint, positive operator $A$, from $D(A)$ to $N^{0}(\Omega)$, such that

$$
\overrightarrow{(u}, \vec{v})_{N^{1}(\Omega)}=(\mathrm{A} \vec{u}, \vec{v})_{N^{0}(\Omega)}=(-\Delta \vec{u}, \vec{v})_{\mathrm{N}^{0}(\Omega)} \quad \forall \vec{u} \in \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{~A}), \vec{v} \in \mathrm{~N}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

We now denote by $\mathrm{A}^{\sigma}$ the power of order $\sigma(\sigma \geq 0)$ of A and by $\mathrm{V}_{\sigma}(\Omega)=$ $=D\left(A^{\sigma / 2}\right)$ the domain of $A^{\sigma / 2} ; V_{\sigma}(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space with scalar product defined by

$$
(\vec{u}, \vec{v})_{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{\sigma}}(\Omega)}=\left(\mathrm{A}^{\sigma / 2} \vec{u}, \mathrm{~A}^{\sigma / 2} \vec{v}_{\mathrm{N}^{0}(\Omega)}\right.
$$

and is such that

$$
\left[\mathrm{V}_{\alpha}(\Omega), \mathrm{V}_{\beta}(\Omega)\right]_{\theta}=\mathrm{V}_{\alpha(1-\theta)+\beta \theta}(\Omega)
$$

Identifying $\mathrm{V}_{0}(\Omega)$ with its dual space $\left(\mathrm{V}_{0}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$, it is possible to define the spaces $\mathrm{V}_{\sigma}(\Omega)$ for $\sigma<0$ setting

$$
V_{-\sigma}(\Omega)=\left(V_{\sigma}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}
$$

Assuming that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vec{f}(t)=\{\vec{f}(x, t) ; x \in \Omega\} \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{V}_{\sigma-1}\left(\Omega_{\varphi}\right)\right),(\sigma \geq 0), \alpha_{i}(t)=\left\{\alpha_{i}(x, t) ;\right. \\
\left.x \in \Gamma_{i}\right\} \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)\right), \beta(t)=\left\{\beta(x, t) ; x \in \Gamma_{3, \varphi}\right\} \in \mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3, \varphi}\right)\right\} \\
\varphi\left(x_{1}, t\right) \in \mathrm{C}^{1}(\mathrm{R})
\end{gathered}
$$

and setting

$$
\left.b_{\varphi} \overrightarrow{(u}(t), \vec{v}(t), \vec{w}(t)\right)=\int_{\Omega_{\varphi}} \sum_{j, k=1}^{2} u_{j}(x, t) \frac{\partial v_{k}(x, t)}{\partial x_{j}} w_{k}(x, t) \mathrm{d} \Omega_{\varphi},
$$

we shall, following the definition given in [r], say that $\vec{u}(t)=\left\{\vec{u}(x, t) ; x \in \Omega_{\varphi}\right\}$
is a solution in [0, T] of system (I.I) satisfying the boundary conditions (I.4), (1.5), (1.6) if:
$\left.\mathrm{I}_{2}\right) \vec{u}(t) \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{V}_{\sigma+1}\left(\Omega_{\varphi}\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{V}_{\sigma}\left(\Omega_{\varphi}\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{V}_{\sigma-1}\left(\Omega_{\varphi}\right)\right)$;
$\left.\mathrm{II}_{2}\right) \vec{u}(t)$ satisfies $\forall \vec{h}(t) \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{V}_{1-\sigma}\left(\Omega_{\varphi}\right)\right)$, the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}\left\{\left\langle\overrightarrow{u^{\prime}}(t)+\mu \mathrm{A} \vec{u}(t)-\vec{f}(t), \vec{h}(t)\right\rangle_{\varphi}+b_{\varphi} \vec{\varphi}(t), \vec{u}(t), \vec{h}(t)\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} t=  \tag{1.7}\\
& =-\int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\Gamma_{i}}\left(\alpha_{i}(x, t)-\frac{1}{2} u_{\mathbf{1}}^{2}(x, t)\right) \vec{h}(x, t) \times \vec{v}_{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \Gamma_{i}+\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\Gamma_{3, \varphi}} \beta(x, t) \vec{u}(x, t) \times \vec{v}_{\varphi}|\vec{u}(x, t)| \vec{h}(x, t) \times \vec{v}_{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \Gamma_{3, \varphi}\right\} \mathrm{d} t
\end{align*}
$$

where $\langle,\rangle_{\varphi}$ denotes the duality, $\forall t \in[0, T]$, between $V_{\sigma-1}\left(\Omega_{\varphi}\right)$ and $V_{1-\sigma}\left(\Omega_{\varphi}\right)$. As explained in greater detail in [r] relation (I.7) is obtained multiplying the first of (I.I) by $h_{j}$, adding, integrating over $\Omega_{\varphi}$ and $[\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{T}]$ and bearing in mind the second of (I.I) and (I.4), (I.5); (I.6).

It is obvious that the flow in the deformable tube is determined by the solution of the system, in the unknown functions $\vec{u}(t), \varphi(t)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}\left\{\overrightarrow{u^{\prime}}(t)+\mu \mathrm{A} \vec{u}(t)-\vec{f}(t), \vec{h}(t)\right\rangle_{\varphi}+b_{\varphi} \overrightarrow{(u}(t), \vec{u}(t), \vec{h}(t)\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} t=  \tag{1.8}\\
= & -\int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\Gamma_{i}}\left(\alpha_{i}(x, t)-\frac{1}{2} u_{1}^{2}(x, t)\right) \vec{h}(x, t) \times \vec{\nu}_{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \Gamma_{i}+\right. \\
+ & \left.\int_{\Gamma_{3, \varphi}} \beta(x, t) \vec{u}(x, t) \times \vec{\nu}_{\varphi}|\vec{u}(x, t)| \vec{h}(x, t) \times \vec{\nu}_{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \Gamma_{3, \varphi}\right\} \mathrm{d} t
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}+\|\varphi(t)\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}-2\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t), v(t)\right)_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}+2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\left\langle v^{\prime}(\eta), \varphi^{\prime}(\eta)\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{D}^{4} \varphi(\eta), v(\eta)\right\rangle+\right.
$$

$$
+\int_{0}^{l} \beta\left(x_{1}, \varphi\left(x_{1}, \eta\right), \eta\right) u\left(x_{1}, \varphi\left(x_{1}, \eta\right), \eta\right) \times \overrightarrow{v_{\varphi}}\left|\vec{u}\left(x_{1}, \varphi\left(x_{1}, \eta\right), \eta\right)\right|
$$

$$
\left.\cdot\left(v\left(x_{1}, \eta\right)-\frac{\partial \varphi\left(x_{1}, \eta\right)}{\partial \eta}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{1}\right\} \mathrm{d} \eta \leq 0
$$

$\forall \vec{h}(t) \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{V}_{1-\sigma}\left(\Omega_{\varphi}\right)\right), v(t) \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right)$, with $v(t) \in \mathrm{K}$ a.e., $v^{\prime}(t)$ $\in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{H}^{-2}(\mathrm{I})\right)$, where $\vec{u}(t), \varphi(t)$ satisfy respectively conditions $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ) and $I_{1}$ ) and $\vec{u}(t)$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{u}(\mathrm{o})=\vec{u}_{0} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.     - Let us now give two auxiliary theorems which will be utilized in § 3 for proving the final existence theorem.

Theorem i. - If $\vec{f}(t) \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{V}_{\sigma-1}\left(\Omega_{\varphi}\right)\right), \alpha_{i}(t) \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)\right)$ $(i=\mathrm{I}, 2), \beta(t) \in \mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3, \varphi}\right)\right), \varphi\left(x_{1}, t\right) \in \mathrm{C}^{1}(\mathrm{R}), \vec{u}_{0} \in \mathrm{~V}_{\sigma}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ and if. $\frac{\mathrm{I}}{4}<\sigma<\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2}$ and T is sufficiently small, there exists one, and only one function $\vec{u}(t)$ satisfying $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ), $\mathrm{II}_{2}$ ) and the initial condition (I.9).

The proof of this theorem (which actually holds under more general assumptions on $\Omega_{\varphi}$ than those made above) is given in [I].

Theorem 2. - If $p(t) \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{H}^{-2}(\mathrm{I})\right)$, there exists a function $\varphi(t)$ satisfying $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ ), $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ ).

We recall (Lions [2], Ch. 3, §5.2) that, since the set K defined by (I.7) is a closed convex set of $\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})$, we can associate to K an operator $\beta$, monotone, bounded and continuous from $\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathrm{H}^{-2}(\mathrm{I})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}=\left\{v\left(x_{1}\right) \mid v \in \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I}), \beta(v)=0\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observing that $\mathrm{D}^{4}$ is a " duality operator " from $\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})$ to $\mathrm{H}^{-2}$ (I) and denoting by $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}}$ the operator, from $\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})$ to K , "projection on K ", we have moreover, in our case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(v)=\mathrm{D}^{4}\left(v-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}} v\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, $\forall w \in \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\mathrm{D}^{4} w, w\right\rangle= & \left\|\mathrm{D}^{4} w\right\|_{\mathrm{H}^{-2}(\mathrm{I})}\|w\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}=\|w\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \left\|\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}} w\right\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})} \leq \mathrm{M}_{0} . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

From the definitions given it also follows that, $\forall w \in H_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I}), z \in \mathrm{~K}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{D}^{4}\left(w-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}} w\right), z-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}} w\right\rangle \leq \mathrm{o} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{g_{j}\right\}$ be a basis in $\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})$; setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j_{n}}(t) g_{j} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we consider the system

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t), g_{j}\right)_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}+\left(\varphi_{n}(t), g_{j}\right)_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}+n\left\langle\beta\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right), g_{j}\right\rangle= & \left\langle p(t), g_{j}\right\rangle  \tag{2.7}\\
& (j=\mathrm{I}, \cdots, n)
\end{align*}
$$

which, as can easily be verified, admits, $\forall n$, a solution, defined in a neighbourhood of $t=0, \varphi_{n}(t)$, satisfying the initial conditions $\varphi_{n}(0)=\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(0)=0$. We now obtain some a priori estimates which ensure the existence of the solution on the whole interval $[\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T}]$.

Multiplying (2.7) by $\alpha_{j n}^{\prime}(t)$ and adding we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\left\|\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}+\right.  \tag{2.8}\\
\left.=\left\|\varphi_{n}(t)\right\|_{\left.\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2} \mathrm{I}\right)}^{2}\right)+n\left\langle\beta\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right), \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle= \\
=\left\langle p(t), \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle,
\end{gather*}
$$

from which follows, integrating between o and $t \in[\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{T}]$, and bearing in mind the initial conditions,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2} & +\left\|\varphi_{n}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}+2 n \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\beta\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right), \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \eta \leq  \tag{2.9}\\
& \leq 2 \int_{0}^{t}\|p(\eta)\|_{\mathrm{H}^{-2}(\mathrm{I})}\left\|\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})} \mathrm{d} \eta .
\end{align*}
$$

We have, on the other hand, by (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (2.5).

$$
\begin{gather*}
\langle\beta(w), w\rangle=\left\langle\mathrm{D}^{4}\left(w-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}} w\right), w-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}} w\right\rangle+  \tag{2.10}\\
+\left\langle\mathrm{D}^{4}\left(w-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}} w\right), \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}} w\right\rangle \geq\left\|w-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}} w\right\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2} \geq\|w\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}+ \\
+\left\|\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}} w\right\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}-2\|w\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}\left\|\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}} w\right\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})} \geq\|w\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}-2 \mathrm{M}_{0}\|w\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, introducing (2.10) in (2.9),
(2.1 I) $\quad\left\|\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}+\left\|\varphi_{n}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}+2 n \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}\left(\left\|\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}-2 \mathrm{M}_{0}\right) \mathrm{d} \eta \leq$

$$
\leq 2 \int_{0}^{t}\|p(\eta)\|_{\mathrm{H}^{-2}(\mathrm{I})}\left\|\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})} \mathrm{d} \eta .
$$

From (2.II) it follows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Sup}_{0 \leq t \leq \mathrm{T}}\left\|\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})} \leq \mathrm{M}_{1} \quad, \quad \sup _{0 \leq t \leq \mathrm{T}}\left\|\varphi_{n}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})} \leq \mathrm{M}_{2},  \tag{2.12}\\
\int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}\left\|\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq \mathrm{M}_{3},
\end{gather*}
$$

with $M_{j}$ independent of $n$.
It is therefore possible to extract from $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ a subsequence (again denoted by $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}(t) \underset{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{II})\right.}{=} \varphi(t) \quad, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t) \underset{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right)}{=} \varphi^{\prime}(t) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the weak-star topologies and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t) \underset{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right)}{=} \varphi^{\prime}(t) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the weak topology.
From (2.9) it also follows, bearing in mind (2.2), (2.3), (2.5), (2.12), that

$$
\text { (2.15) } \begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{M}_{4}}{n} & \geq \int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}\left\langle\beta\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right), \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}\left\langle\mathrm{D}^{4}\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right), \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t= \\
& =\int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}\left\langle\mathrm{D}^{4}\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right), \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t+ \\
& +\int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}\left\langle\mathrm{D}^{4}\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right), \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t \geq \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}\left\|\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

and, consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)-\mathrm{P}_{k} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right)}=\mathrm{c} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)-\mathrm{P}_{k} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t) \underset{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right)}{ } 0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{D}^{4}\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)-\mathrm{P}_{k} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right){ }_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{H}^{-2}(\mathrm{I})\right)}^{=} \mathrm{O} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by (2.14),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right) \underset{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{H}^{-2}(\mathrm{II})\right.}{=} \beta\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t)\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the weak topology and we have therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t)\right)=0 . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, bearing in mind (2.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(t) \in \mathrm{K} \quad \text { a.e. } \quad \text { on }[\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{~T}] \tag{2.2I}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $v(t)$ be any function $\in \mathrm{C}^{1}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right)$, with $v \in \mathrm{~K}$ a.e. and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \zeta_{j}(t) g_{j} \quad, \quad v_{n}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \zeta_{j}(t) g_{j} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (2.7) by $\zeta_{j}(t)+\alpha_{j}^{\prime}(t)$, adding and integrating, we obtain, since $\beta\left(v_{n}\right)=0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\varphi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\eta)+\mathrm{D}^{4} \varphi_{n}(\eta)-p(\eta), v_{n}(\eta)-\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \eta=  \tag{2.23}\\
& \quad=n \int_{0}^{t}-\left\langle\beta\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right), v_{n}(\eta)-\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \eta= \\
& \quad=n \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\beta\left(v_{n}(\eta)\right)-\beta\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right), v_{n}(\eta)-\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \eta .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $\beta$ being monotone,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}+\left\|\varphi_{n}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}-2\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t), v_{n}(t)\right)_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}+ \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\left\langle v_{n}^{\prime}(\eta), \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{D}^{4} \varphi_{n}(\eta), v_{n}(\eta)\right\rangle+\left\langle p(\eta), v_{n}(\eta)-\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right\rangle\right\} \mathrm{d} \eta \leq 0 .
$$

Let $\vartheta(t)$ be an arbitrary function $\in \mathrm{L}^{1}(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T})$, with $\vartheta \geq 0$; it follows from (2.24) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\left\|\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}+\left\|\varphi_{n}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}\right) \vartheta(t) \mathrm{d} t-2 \int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t), v_{n}(t)\right)_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})} \vartheta \vartheta(t) \mathrm{d} t+ \\
& \quad+2 \int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \vartheta(t) \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\left\langle v_{n}^{\prime}(\eta), \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{D}^{4} \varphi_{n}(\eta), v_{n}(\eta)\right\rangle+\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left\langle p(\eta), v_{n}(\eta)-\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right\rangle\right\} \mathrm{d} \eta \mathrm{~d} t \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have then, by (2.13),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}+\|\varphi(t)\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}\right) \vartheta(t) \mathrm{d} t-2 \int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}(\varphi(t), v(t))_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})} \vartheta(t) \mathrm{d} t+  \tag{2.25}\\
& \quad+2 \int_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \vartheta(t) \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\left\langle v^{\prime}(\eta), \varphi^{\prime}(\eta)\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{D}^{4} \varphi(\eta), v(\eta)\right\rangle+\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left\langle p(\eta), v(\eta)-\varphi^{\prime}(\eta)\right\rangle\right\} \mathrm{d} \eta \mathrm{~d} t \leq \mathrm{o} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since the space of the functions $v(t)$ given by (2.22) is dense in that of the test functions of (1.7) and $\vartheta \geq 0$ is arbitrary, from (2.25) it follows that $\varphi(t)$ satisfies $\left.\mathrm{II}_{1}\right)$; by (2.13), (2.14) $\varphi(t)$ satisfies also condition $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ ) and the theorem is therefore proved.
3. - Let us, finally, prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. - If $\|\vec{f}(t)\|_{L^{2}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{V}_{\sigma-1}\left(\Omega_{\varphi}\right)\right)} \leq \mathrm{M}_{1},\|\beta \cdot(t)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3, \varphi}\right)\right)} \leq \mathrm{M}_{2}$ $\forall \varphi(t)$ satisfying $\left.\mathrm{I}_{1}\right), \alpha_{i}(t) \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)\right), \vec{u}_{0} \in \mathrm{~V}_{\sigma}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$, then system ( I .8$)$ admits, for T sufficiently small, a solution satisfying the initial condition (I.9), provided $\frac{\mathrm{I}}{4}<\sigma<\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2}$.

We introduce the transformation $\varphi=\mathrm{S}(\psi)$, from $\mathrm{H}_{0-}^{1}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right)$ to itself $\left(\mathrm{H}_{0-}^{1}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right)=\left\{v \mid v(t) \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right), v(\mathrm{o})=\mathrm{o}\right\}\right.$ ), with $S=\prod_{i=1}^{3} S_{i}$ defined in the following way.
a) $\tilde{\psi}=\mathrm{S}_{1}(\psi)$, with $\psi(t) \in \mathrm{H}_{0-}^{1}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right), \dot{\psi}(t) \in \mathrm{H}_{0-}^{1}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right)$, $\tilde{\psi}^{\prime}(t)$ projection on K a.e. (K defined by (I.2)) of $\psi^{\prime}(t)$.
b) $\vec{u}=\mathrm{S}_{2}(\tilde{\psi})$, with $\vec{u}(t)$ satisfying conditions $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ), $\mathrm{II}_{2}$ ) (in which $\varphi(t)$ has been substituted by $\tilde{\psi}(t)$ ) and the initial condition (I.9). The existence, $\forall \tilde{\psi}(t)$, of such $\vec{u}(t)$ is guaranteed by theorem I. Observe that by the assumptions made, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left(x_{1}, \tilde{\psi}\left(x_{1}, t\right), t\right) \vec{u}\left(x_{1}, \tilde{\psi}\left(x_{1}, t\right), t\right) \times \vec{v}_{\dot{\psi}}\left|\vec{u}\left(x_{1}, \tilde{\psi}\left(x_{1}, t\right), t\right)\right| \in \mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{R}) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

c) $\varphi=\mathrm{S}_{3}(\vec{u})$, with $\varphi(t)$ satisfying $\left.\mathrm{I}_{1}\right)$ and the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\varphi^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}+\|\varphi(t)\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}-2\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t), v(t)\right)_{L^{2}(\mathrm{I})}+  \tag{3.2}\\
& +2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\left\langle v^{\prime}(\eta), \varphi^{\prime}(\eta)\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{D}^{4} \varphi(\eta), v(\eta)\right\rangle+\right. \\
& +\int_{0}^{l} \beta\left(x_{1}, \vec{\psi}\left(x_{1}, \eta\right), \eta\right) \vec{u}\left(x_{1}, \vec{\psi}\left(x_{1}, \eta\right), \eta\right) \times \overrightarrow{\nu_{\tilde{\psi}}}\left|\vec{u}\left(x_{1}, \vec{\psi}\left(x_{1}, \eta\right), \eta\right)\right| \cdot \\
& \left.\cdot\left(v\left(x_{1}, \eta\right)-\frac{\partial \varphi\left(x_{1}, \eta\right)}{\partial \eta}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{1}\right\} \mathrm{d} \eta \leq \mathrm{o} \quad \text { a.e. }
\end{align*}
$$

$\forall v(t) \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right)$, with $v(t) \in \mathrm{K}$ a.e., $v^{\prime}(t) \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{H}^{-2}(\mathrm{I})\right) . \quad \mathrm{By}$ (3.I) and theorem 2, such a function exists.

It is now evident that the problem of finding a solution of system (1.8) is equivalent to that of proving the existence of a fixed point $\varphi^{*}$ for the transformation $\varphi=\mathrm{S}(\psi)$.

Let us prove, at first, that $S$ is weakly continuous, i.e. that, if $\left\{\psi_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{n}(t) \underset{\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right)}{=} \psi(t) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the weak topology, then it is possible to select from $\left\{\psi_{n}\right\}$ a subsequence (again denoted by $\left\{\psi_{n}\right\}$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S\left(\psi_{n}(t)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}(t) \underset{\mathbf{H}^{1}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{II})\right.}{=} \varphi(t) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the weak topology, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=S(\psi) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe, at first, that, as may be verified directly, $S_{1}$ is weakly continuous. Observe moreover that, setting $\vec{u}_{n}=\mathrm{S}_{2}\left(\tilde{\psi}_{n}\right)=\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left(\psi_{n}\right)$, it follows from the proof of Theorem I and the assumptions made that
with $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ independent of $n$ (in the sequel, we shall always denote by $\mathrm{M}_{j}$ quantities independent of $n$ ).

Hence

$$
\left\|\vec{u}_{n}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{H}^{\theta}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{V}_{\sigma+1-2 \theta}\left(\Omega_{\stackrel{\Psi}{\psi}_{n}}\right)\right.} \leq \mathrm{M}_{2}
$$

and, choosing $\theta=\frac{I}{4}+\varepsilon(\varepsilon>0)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\vec{u}_{n}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{H}^{(1 / 4)+\varepsilon}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{V}_{\sigma+(1 / 2)-2} \varepsilon^{(\Omega} \widetilde{\Psi}_{n}\right)} \leq \mathrm{M}_{2} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.7) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\vec{u}_{n}\left(x_{1}, \dot{\Psi}_{n}\left(x_{1}, t\right), t\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{4}(\mathrm{R})} \leq \mathrm{M}_{3} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $x_{1}=\xi_{1}, \quad x_{2}=\xi_{2} \frac{k-\dot{\psi}_{n}\left(\xi_{1}, t\right)}{k}+\tilde{\psi}_{n}\left(\xi_{1}, t\right), \quad \vec{u}_{n}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, t\right)=$ $=\vec{u}_{n}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \frac{k-\dot{\psi}_{n}\left(\xi_{1}, t\right)}{k}+\ddot{\psi}_{n}\left(\xi_{1}, t\right), t\right)$ (so that the functions $\overrightarrow{\tilde{u}}_{n}$ are defined for $\mathrm{o}<\xi_{1}<l, \mathrm{o}<\xi_{2}<k, \mathrm{o} \leq t \leq \mathrm{T}$, we obtain from (3.6), (3.7) (since the functions $\tilde{\psi}_{n}, \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_{n}}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{\psi}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}, \frac{\partial^{3} \tilde{\psi}_{n}}{\partial t \partial x_{1}^{2}}$ are, by the assumptions made, uniformly bounded),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\overrightarrow{\tilde{u}}_{n}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{V}_{\sigma+1}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{V}_{\sigma}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{V}_{\sigma-1}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)\right)} \leq \mathrm{M}_{4}  \tag{3.9}\\
\left\|\overrightarrow{\tilde{u}}_{n}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{H}^{(1 / 4)+\varepsilon}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{V}_{\left.\left.\sigma+(1 / 2)-2 \varepsilon^{(\Omega}\right)\right)} \leq \mathrm{M}_{5}\right.} .
\end{gather*}
$$

It is therefore possible to select from $\left\{\overrightarrow{\tilde{u}}_{n}\right\}$ a subsequence (again denoted by $\left.\left\{\overrightarrow{\tilde{u}}_{n}\right\}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \overrightarrow{\tilde{u}}_{n}(t)_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{V}_{\sigma+1}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)\right)}=\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{V}_{\sigma-1}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \overrightarrow{\tilde{u}}_{n}(t) \underset{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{V}_{\sigma}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)\right)}{ } \overrightarrow{\tilde{u}}(t), \\
\overrightarrow{\tilde{u}}(t)
\end{gather*}
$$

in the weak and weak-star topologies respectively.
Since $\sigma>\frac{\mathrm{I}}{4}$, the embedding
$H^{(1 / 4)+\varepsilon}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{V}_{\sigma+(1 / 2)-2 \varepsilon}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)\right) \subset \mathrm{H}^{(1 / 4)+\varepsilon}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{V}_{3 / 4}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)\right) \subset \mathrm{L}^{4}\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{V}_{3 / 4}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)\right)$
is completely continuous and we can therefore assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \overrightarrow{\tilde{u}}_{n}\left(\xi_{1}, \circ, t\right) \underset{\mathrm{L}^{4}(\mathrm{R})}{=} \overrightarrow{\tilde{u}}\left(\xi_{1}, \circ, t\right) \tag{3.1I}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the strong topology.
On the other hand, bearing in mind that $\ddot{\psi}_{n}^{\prime}(t) \in \mathrm{K}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}{ }_{\mathrm{C}^{0}(\mathrm{R})}=\frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial x_{1}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the strong topology and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}_{n}}{\partial t} \overline{\mathrm{~L}}_{(\mathrm{R})} \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial t} \quad, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{\psi}_{n}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \overline{\mathrm{~L}}_{(\mathrm{R})} \overline{=}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{\psi}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the weak-star topology, with $\tilde{\psi}=S_{1}(\psi)$ (by the weak continuity of $S_{1}$ ).
Setting $\vec{u}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=\overrightarrow{\tilde{u}}\left(x_{1}, k \frac{x_{2}-\tilde{\psi}\left(x_{1}, t\right)}{k-\tilde{\psi}\left(x_{1}, t\right)}, t\right)$, it can then be directly verified, by (3.10), (3.11), (3.12), (3.13), that $\vec{u}(t)$ satisfies equation (I.7), with $\varphi=\tilde{\psi}=S_{1}(\psi), \psi$ defined by (3.3).

By (3.8) and the proof of theorem 2, the sequence $\left\{\varphi_{n}(t)\right\}$ of solutions of the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}+\left\|\varphi_{n}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}^{2}-2\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t), v(t)\right)_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{I})}+  \tag{3.14}\\
& +2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\left\langle v^{\prime}(\eta), \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{D}^{4} \varphi(\eta), v(\eta)\right\rangle+\right. \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} \beta\left(x_{1}, \dot{\psi}_{n}\left(x_{1}, \eta\right), \eta\right) \vec{u}_{n}\left(x_{1}, \dot{\psi}_{n}\left(x_{1}, \eta\right), \eta\right) \times{\overrightarrow{v_{\widetilde{\psi}_{n}}}}\left|\vec{u}_{n}\left(x_{1}, \dot{\psi}_{n}\left(x_{1}, \eta\right), \eta\right)\right| \cdot \\
& \left.\cdot\left(v\left(x_{1}, \eta\right)-\frac{\partial \varphi_{n}\left(x_{1}, \eta\right)}{\partial \eta}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{1}\right\} \mathrm{d} \eta \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

is such that $\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t) \in \mathrm{K}$ a.e. and
(3.15) $\left\|\varphi_{n}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right)} \leq \mathrm{M}_{6} \quad, \quad\left\|\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I}) \cap \mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{~T})\right)\right.} \leq \mathrm{M}_{7}$.

Hence, analogously to (2.13), (2.14),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}(t) \underset{\mathrm{L}_{\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right)}}{=} \varphi(t) \quad, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t) \underset{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{II})\right.}{=} \varphi^{\prime}(t) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the weak-star topologies and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(t) \underset{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right)}{=} \varphi^{\prime}(t) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the weak topology. Relation (3.4) is therefore proved.
If now in (3.14) we let $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain (3.2); this can be proved bearing in mind (3.8), (3.12), (3.16), by the same procedure used to deduce (1.3) from (2.24). Since $\varphi(t)$ obviously satisfies also condition $I_{1}$ ), we conclude that $\varphi(t)$ is a solution corresponding to $\vec{u}(t)$, i.e. $\varphi=\mathrm{S}_{3}(u)=\mathrm{S}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\tilde{\psi})=$ $=S_{3} S_{2} S_{1}(\psi)=S(\psi)$. The weak continuity of $S$ is therefore proved.

Finally, we observe that, since $\varphi(t)$ satisfies condition $I_{1}$ ), when $\|\psi(t)\|_{\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right)}$ is sufficiently large we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varphi(t)\|_{\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right)}<\|\psi(t)\|_{\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(0, \mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{H}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{I})\right)} . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Tychonoff's fixed point theorem, there exists then $\varphi^{*}$ such that $\varphi^{*}=\mathrm{S}\left(\varphi^{*}\right)$. The theorem is therefore proved.
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