
BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

T. PATI, M. S. RAMANUJAN

**On iteration products preserving absolute
convergence.**

Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 3, Vol. 17
(1962), n.4, p. 385–393.

Zanichelli

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_1962_3_17_4_385_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

On iteration products preserving absolute convergence

by T. PATI (Allahabad, India) and M. S. RAMANUJAN
(Madras, India) (*)

Summary. - Given two summation processes A and B , we shall write $|A| \subset |AB|$ if every sequence (or function), belonging to a suitable class, and absolutely A -summable has the property that its B -transform is also absolutely A -summable. In this paper we discuss certain pairs of methods A, B with the above property.

1. Introduction.

The question as to when A -summability of a sequence would imply its AB -summability, where AB denotes the usual iteration product, has been discussed for various pairs A, B by several authors (see [2] thru [13]). The analogous question for absolute summability is discussed here. Each section in the sequel contains at its start the relevant definitions.

2. The product of $[J, f]$ and Hausdorff transformations:

DEFINITIONS.

THE $[J, f]$ TRANSFORMATIONS. Let $f(x)$ be a real or complex valued function defined for $x > x_0 \geq 0$ and possessing derivatives of all orders. The transform defined by

$$t(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \frac{x^m}{m!} f^{(m)}(x) s_m$$

(for all sufficiently large x) is called the $[J, f]$ -transform of the sequence $\{s_n\}$. $\{s_n\}$ is defined to be $[J, f]$ -summable to s if $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} t(x) = s$. Analogously we define a sequence $\{s_n\}$ to be absolutely summable $[J, f]$ or simply $[J, f]$ -summable if $t(x) \in BV(h, \infty)$, where h is some finite positive constant.

As shown by JAKIMOVSKI [2], the well-known Abel and Borel methods are special cases of the $[J, f]$ method. Also, it has been established by JAKIMOVSKI that the $[J, f]$ transformation is convergence-preserving if and only if there exists a function $\alpha(t)$ of

(*) Pervenuta alla Segreteria dell'U. M. I. il 12-11-61.

bounded variation in $[0, 1]$ such that

$$f(x) = \int_0^1 t^x dx(t).$$

The familiar Hausdorff or (H, μ_n) - transform of a sequence is defined by the matrix $\lambda = (\lambda_{nv})$, where

$$\lambda_{nv} = \binom{n}{v} \Delta^{n-v} \mu_v, \quad (n \geq v), \text{ and } = 0, \quad (n < v).$$

This method is known to be regular if and only if μ_n is a regular moment constant, i.e. it has the representation

$$\mu_n = \int_0^1 t^n d\chi(t), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $\chi(t)$ is a function of bounded variation over $[0, 1]$ and $\chi(0) = \chi(+0) = 0$ and $\chi(1) = 1$. (For further details see HARDY [1]).

We shall call the transform $\{\sigma_n\}$ of the sequence $\{s_n\}$, defined by

$$\sigma_n = \sum_v \lambda_{nv} s_v,$$

the Hausdorff or (H, μ_n) - transform of the sequence $\{s_n\}$.

We are now in a position to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let $[J, f]$ be a convergence-preserving transformation. If $\{s_n\}$ is a sequence such that*

$$i) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} |f^{(n)}(x)| s_n^*, \quad (s_n^* = \max_{m \leq n} |s_m|), \text{ is}$$

convergent for $x > 0$

and ii) the $[J, f]$ - transform of the sequence $\{s_n\}$, denoted by

$$A(x, s), \in BV_x[0, \infty],$$

then any regular (H, μ_n) - transform of $\{s_n\}$ is also summable $[J, f]$.

PROOF. Under the condition i) it follows after a brief calculation (which we suppress here for brevity and borrow from

JAKIMOVSKI [2], that

$$A(x, t) = \int_0^1 A(xu, s) d\lambda(u),$$

or equivalently,

$$F(x) = \int_0^1 g(xu) d\lambda(u),$$

where $A(x, t)$ denotes the $[J, f]$ -transform of $\{t_n\}$ which is the (H, μ_n) -transform of $\{s_n\}$ and $A(x, s)$ denotes the $[J, f]$ -transform of $\{s_n\}$. Thus, by hypothesis, $g(x) \in BV[0, \infty]$ and we need to show that $F(x) \in BV[B, \infty]$.

For $x \in [0, X]$, where X is arbitrary, and any arbitrary partition $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = X$ of $[0, X]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x_i) - F(x_{i-1})| &= \left| \int_0^1 [g(x_i u) - g(x_{i-1} u)] d\lambda(u) \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |g(x_i u) - g(x_{i-1} u)| d\lambda(u), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |F(x_i) - F(x_{i-1})| \leq \int_0^1 \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |g(x_i u) - g(x_{i-1} u)| \right\} d\lambda(u).$$

Since, by ii), $g(x) \in BV[0, \infty]$ and also since $xu \leq x$ for $0 \leq u \leq 1$, we get $\text{Var}_x [g(xu)] \leq \text{Var}_x [g(x)]$, uniformly, for each u in $0 \leq u \leq 1$, and both the variations being taken over the same range $[0, X]$. Now the conclusion follows from the bounded variation of $\lambda(u)$ over $[0, 1]$, ensured by the regularity of the (H, μ) -method.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

A specialised form of Theorem 1 will be the following analogue of a known theorem of RAJAGOPAL'S ([8], Theorem 1). We omit the proof of the theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let T be a regular sequence-to-sequence transform-*

mation, defined by

$$T|s_n, x| = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n x^n s_n}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n x^n}, \quad p_n \geq 0, \quad 0 < x < \rho,$$

where $\rho (\leq \infty)$ is the radius of convergence of the power series $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n x^n$, it being assumed that $\sum p_n \rho^n = +\infty$, and that for every u in $0 < u < 1$,

$$(i) \quad T_u|s_n, x| = \frac{1}{f(x)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(x - xu)}{n!} x^n u^n s_n, \quad (0 < x < \rho)$$

is absolutely convergent,

$$(ii) \quad T_u|s_n|, x| \leq F(x), \quad F(x) \in BV(0, \rho)$$

and

$$(iii) \quad T_u|s_n, x| \in BV_r(0, \rho)$$

uniformly in $0 < u < 1$

Then for every sequence $\{s_n\}$ which is $|T|$ -summable its transform by a regular (H, μ_n) method is also $|T|$ -summable.

3. In this section we consider the iteration product of the $[K, c_n]$ -summability method of JAKIMOVSKI [4] with the quasi-Hausdorff transformations.

DEFINITIONS. Given a sequence $\{c_n\}$, the $[K, c_n]$ transform $t(x)$ of a sequence $\{s_n\}$ is defined by

$$t(x) = x^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^m \binom{n}{m} c_m x^{-m}, \quad x > x_0 > 0.$$

The sequence $\{s_n\}$ is said to be summable $[K, c_n]$ to s if $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} t(x) = s$, and by analogy we say that $\{s_n\}$ is summable $[K, c_n]$ if $t(x) \in BV(k, \infty)$, where k is some fixed positive number.

JAKIMOVSKI [3] has shown that $[K, c_n]$ is conservative if

$$c_n = \int_0^1 t^{n+1} dx(t), \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $x(t) \in BV[0, 1]$.

The quasi-HAUSDORFF or (H^*, μ_n) -transform of a sequence is defined by

$$\sigma_n^* = \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \binom{m}{n} \Delta^{m-n} \mu_n s_m$$

and this is known to be a conservative transformation if and only if

$$\mu_n = \int_0^1 u^{n+1} d\lambda(u),$$

where $\lambda(u)$ is of bounded variation in $[0, 1]$. This result is due to RAMANUJAN [9]

We now prove

THEOREM 3. *Let $[K, c_n]$ be a conservative transformation. If the sequence $\{s_n\}$ is bounded and summable $|K, c_n|$, then its transform σ_n^* by any conservative quasi-Hausdorff method (H^*, μ_n) is also summable $|K, c_n|$.*

PROOF. Let σ_n^* denote the (H^*, μ_n) — transform of the sequence $\{s_n\}$ and $G(x)$ the $[K, c_n]$ — transform of $\{\sigma_n^*\}$. Following the analysis of JAKIMOVSKI ([3], Theorem 4. 1) we obtain

$$G(x) = \int_0^1 g(x/u) d\lambda(u).$$

Now, given that $g(x) \in BV[A, \infty]$, we have to show that $G(x) \in BV[B, \infty]$. Since $g(x) \in BV[A, X]$ for each X , given an arbitrarily small positive ε , there exists a number x' , such that $\text{var } g(x) \leq \varepsilon$ for $x \geq x'$; that is, in each interval $[x', X]$, $\text{var } g(x) < \varepsilon$.

Let us fix $\delta > 0$ such that $x'\delta = A$: evidently $0 < \delta < 1$.

Let $x \in [A, X]$, $X > A$ arbitrary. Then for u in $[0, \delta]$ we have

$x/u \geq x/\delta \geq A/\delta = x'$ and therefore

$$\text{var}_x \int_0^\delta g(x/u) d\lambda(u) \leq N,$$

where N is finite, independent of δ and in fact as small as we like. Also, for u in $[\delta, 1]$ and x in $[A, X]$, we have $A \leq x/u \leq x/\delta = X$, say, and therefore

$$\text{var}_x \int_{\delta}^1 g(x/u) d\lambda(u) \leq M$$

since $g(x/u) \in BV[A, X]$ for each X .

This completes the proof of the theorem.

REMARK. The condition of boundedness on the sequence $\{s_n\}$ in the hypothesis of the theorem above may be relaxed to an apparently weaker restriction, as indicated by JAKIMOVSKI ([3], Theorem 4 2).

4. In this last section we give results analogous to those in Theorems 1 and 3, for the products of a Wienerian transformation with a continuous function-to-function Hausdorff transformation and with the $[M, \alpha(u)]$ -transformation, defined by JAKIMOVSKI [4], as a function-to-function analogue of the quasi-Hausdorff methods. We start with the relevant definitions.

The function-to-function Wienerian transformation of any function $s(x)$ is given by

$$T_s(t) = t^{-1} \int_0^\infty \Psi(x/t) s(x) dx,$$

where $\Psi(u)$ is a positive, monotonic decreasing function of u for $u \geq 0$ and the T -summability of $s(x)$ to s is defined by:

$$T_s(t) \rightarrow s \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty,$$

with the implication that, for every $t < \infty$, $T_s(t)$ is an absolutely

convergent integral. The *summability* $|T|$ of $s(x)$ may be defined by: $T_s(t) \in BV[A, \infty]$, where A is some positive constant. Without any loss of generality it may be taken to be zero.

The *function-to-function Hausdorff transformation* of $s(x)$ is

$$\sigma_s(x) = \int_0^1 s(xu) d\lambda(u),$$

where, with $\lambda(u)$ as defined in the sequence-to-sequence method, the method becomes conservative or regular. (for further details, see HARDY [1]).

THE $[M, \alpha(u)]$ TRANSFORMATION. Let $\alpha(u)$ be defined in $[0, 1]$. The $[M, \alpha(u)]$ -transform $\sigma_s^*(x)$ of a given function $s(x)$ is given by

$$\sigma_s^*(x) = \int_0^1 s(x/u) d\alpha(u).$$

This method has been defined by JAKIMOVSKI [4] and he shows that $\alpha(u) \in BV[0, 1]$ is a sufficient condition for the method to be conservative. In what follows we assume that this condition of BV of $\alpha(u)$ is satisfied.

THEOREM 4. For a given function $s(x)$ let its Wienerian transform $T_s(t)$ be of $BV[0, \infty]$. Then any regular function-to-function Hausdorff transformation of $s(x)$ is also summable $|T|$.

PROOF. In this case

$$T_\sigma(t) = t^{-1} \int_0^\infty \Psi(x/t) \sigma_s(x) dx,$$

where

$$\sigma_s(x) = \int_0^1 s(xu) d\lambda(u).$$

By a reasoning given in PATI [6], we have

$$T_\sigma(t) = \int_0^1 T_s(tu) d\lambda(u).$$

Hence, proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1, we get the result.

We conclude the paper with the demonstration of

THEOREM 5. *Let $[M, \alpha(u)]$ be a conservative transformation corresponding to the function $\alpha(u) \in BV[0, 1]$. Then for every bounded function $s(x)$ its $|T|$ -summability implies the $|T|$ -summability of its $[M, \alpha(u)]$ -transform.*

PROOF. Using the notation already indicated, we have

$$\sigma^*(x) = \sigma_s^*(x) = \int_0^1 s(x/u) dx(u),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\sigma^*}(t) &= t^{-1} \int_0^\infty \Psi(x/t) \sigma_s^*(x) dx \\ &= t^{-1} \int_0^\infty \Psi(x/t) \left[\int_1^\infty s(x/u) dx(u) \right] dx \\ &= t^{-1} \int_0^1 \left[\int_0^\infty \Psi(x/t) s(x/u) dx \right] dx(u), \end{aligned}$$

(the inversion in the order of integration being validated by the absolute convergence of the double integral, guaranteed by the bounded nature of $s(x)$ and also by our choice of $[M, \alpha(u)]$ as one corresponding to the function $\alpha(u)$ assumed to be of bounded variation in $[0, 1]$). Thus, putting $x = uv$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\sigma^*}(t) &= \int_0^1 \left[\int_0^\infty \Psi(uv/t) s(v)(u/t) dv \right] dx(u) \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[\int_0^\infty (t/u)^{-1} \Psi(v/(t/u)) s(v) dv \right] dx(u) \\ &= \int_0^1 T_s(t/u) dx(u). \end{aligned}$$

Now, proceeding with arguments similar to those employed in the proof of Theorem 3, we obtain the complete proof of the theorem.

In conclusion we wish to thank Professor C. T. RAJAGOPAL for the useful discussion which went into the preparation of the paper in its present form.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. H. HARDY, *Divergent Series*, (Oxford, 1949).
- [2] A. JAKIMOVSKI, *The sequence-to-function analogues to HAUSDORFF transformations*. « Bull. Res. Council Israel, Sect. F », 8 (1960), 135-154.
- [3] A. JAKIMOVSKI, *The sequence-to-function analogues to quasi-HAUSDORFF transformations* « Acad. Serbe Sci. Publ. Inst. Math. », 14 (1960), 1-8.
- [4] A. JAKIMOVSKI, *On the iteration product of summability methods; New classes of transformations and their properties*, « Technical Report No. 2, Contract No. AF 61 (052)-187 », 1959; 62 pp.
- [5] T. PATI, *Product of summability methods*, « Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India. Part A », 20 (1954), 348-351.
- [6] T. PATI, *Product of summability methods and MERCERIAN transformations*, « Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India. Part A », 23 (1957), 514-521.
- [7] T. PATI and S. N. LAL, *The product of a logarithmic method and the sequence-to-sequence quasi-HAUSDORFF method*, « Proc. Japan Academi », vol. 38, No. 8.
- [8] C. T. RAJAGOPAL, *Theorems on the product of summability methods with applications*, « J. Indian Math. Soc. (New Series) », 18 (1954), 89-105.
- [9] M. S. RAMANUJAN, *Series-to-series quasi-HAUSDORFF transformations*, « J. Indian Math. Soc. (New Series) », 17 (1953), 47-53.
- [10] M. S. RAMANUJAN, *Theorems on the product of quasi-HAUSDORFF and ABEL transforms*, « Math. Z. », 64 (1956), 442-447.
- [11] M. S. RAMANUJAN, *On products of summability methods*, « Math. Z. », 69 (1958), 423-428.
- [12] O. SZÁSZ, *On products of summability methods*, « Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. », 3 (1952), 257-263.
- [13] O. SZÁSZ, *On the product of two summability methods*, « Ann. Soc. Polon. Math. », 25 (1952/53), 75-84.