
BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

PHILIP HARTMAN

On oscillators with large frequencies.

Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 3, Vol. 14
(1959), n.1, p. 62–65.

Zanichelli

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_1959_3_14_1_62_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)*

SIMAI & UMI

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

On oscillators with large frequencies. ⁽¹⁾

Nota di PHILIP HARTMAN (a Baltimore)

Summary. - *A simple proof is given for the theorem of ARMELLINI, SAN-
SONE and TONELLI that if $q(t)$ is continuous for $t \geq 0$, is monotone,
 $q(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $\log q(t)$ is of "regular growth," then all solu-
tions of $d^2x/dt^2 + q(t)x = 0$ tend to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$.*

Let $q(t)$ be a continuous, non-decreasing, unbounded function
for $0 \leq t < \infty$:

$$(1) \quad q > 0, \quad dq \geq 0, \quad q \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

As is well known, every solution $x = x(t)$ of

$$(2) \quad x'' + q(t)x = 0$$

is bounded; in fact the "conjugate energy" $E = E(t)$ belonging to $x(t)$,

$$(3) \quad E = x^2 + x'^2/q,$$

is non-increasing,

$$(4) \quad dE = -x'^2 dq/q^2 \leq 0.$$

In answering a question raised by Biernacki, Milloux [2] has shown
that (1) implies that (2) has a non-trivial ($\neq 0$) solution satisfying

$$(5) \quad x \rightarrow 0, \text{ that is, } E \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

⁽¹⁾ This research was supported by the United States Air Force through
the Air Force Office of Scientific Research of the Air Research and
Development Command under contract No. AF 18(603)-41. Reproduction
in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States
Government.

A simple proof of Milloux's theorem and a generalization of it, involving a system of linear first order equations instead of (2), were given in [1].

It is not true that (1) implies (5) for every solution $x = x(t)$ of (2). In this direction, one has the following theorem:

(*) Let $q(t)$ be continuous for $t \geq 0$ and satisfy (1). In addition, let $\log q(t)$ be of "regular growth". Then every solution $x = x(t)$ of (2) satisfies (5).

This theorem was stated and proved in part by G. ARMELLINI; its proof was completed independently by G. SANSONE and L. TONELLI. For references, see [4], p. 61. For a generalization of (*), see [3].

According to ARMELLINI, a continuous, non-decreasing, unbounded function $Q(t)$, where $t \geq 0$, is called of "irregular growth" if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an unbounded sequence of numbers $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots$ such that if $C(n)$ and B are the open sets

$$(6) \quad C(n) = \bigcup_{k=1}^n (t_{2k-1}, t_{2k}), \quad B = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} (t_{2k}, t_{2k+1}),$$

then

$$(7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{C(n)} t_{2n}^{-1} \int dt < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \int_B dQ < \infty.$$

If $Q(t)$ is not of irregular growth, then it is said to be of "regular growth".

The object of this note is to give a short, simple proof of (*), avoiding the awkward use for STURM's second comparison theorem in TONELLI's proof. (The argument below can be modified to give the result of OPJAL).

Proof of ().* For a non-trivial solution $x = x(t)$ of (2), let $\varphi(t)$ be the continuous function defined by

$$(8) \quad \varphi(t) = \arctan q^{1/2}(t)x(t)/x'(t) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \varphi(0) < \pi.$$

Then, by (2),

$$(9) \quad d\varphi = q^{1/2}dt + (\sin 2\varphi) dq/4q,$$

while (4) can be written as

$$(10) \quad -dE/E = (\cos^2 \varphi) d(\log q).$$

Suppose that (5) fails to hold for some solution $x=x(t)$; so that $E(\infty) > 0$ and $-\int_0^\infty dE/E < \infty$. Then

$$(11) \quad \int_0^\infty (\cos^2 \varphi) d(\log q) < \infty.$$

Let $0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots$ be the sequence of positive zeros of $x=x(t)$. Since $dx = q^{1/2} dt > 0$ at $t = s_n$, it follows that $\varphi(s_n) = n\pi$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and that $n\pi < \varphi(t) < (n+1)\pi$ for $s_n < t < s_{n+1}$. If $0 < \mu < 1$ and $n > 1$, there exists a unique pair of t -values, $t = t_{2n}$ and $t = t_{2n+1}$ satisfying

$$s_{n-1} < t_{2n} < s_n < t_{2n+1} < s_{n+1}, \quad |\cos \varphi(t_{2n})| = |\cos \varphi(t_{2n+1})| = \mu$$

and

$$(12) \quad |\cos \varphi(t)| > \mu \text{ for } t_{2n} < t < t_{2n+1}.$$

(The numbers t_0, t_1 can be defined arbitrarily and will not be considered below). The positive numbers

$$(13) \quad \gamma_0 = \varphi(t_{2n}) - \varphi(t_{2n-1}), \quad \gamma_1 = \varphi(t_{2n+1}) - \varphi(t_{2n})$$

are independent of n . If $\varepsilon > 0$ is given and $\mu = \mu_\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small, then

$$(14) \quad 0 < \gamma_0 / \gamma_1 < \varepsilon (< 1).$$

Let $\Delta = \Delta(n)$ be the t -interval (t_{2n-1}, t_{2n}) . By (9),

$$\int_{\Delta} dt \leq \int_{\Delta} q^{-1/2} d\varphi + \int_{\Delta} q^{-3/2} q' dt.$$

In the first integral on the right, replace $\varphi(t)$ by $\varphi(t) - \varphi(t_{2n})$ and integrate by parts. It follows, from $|\varphi(t) - \varphi(t_{2n})| \leq \pi < 4$ on Δ , that

$$\int_{\Delta} dt \leq \gamma_0 q^{-1/2}(t_{2n-1}) + 3 \int_{\Delta} q^{-3/2} q' dt.$$

Similarly, if $\delta = \delta(n)$ is the t -intervall (t_{2n-2}, t_{2n-1}) ,

$$\int_{\delta} dt \geq \gamma_1 q^{-1/2}(t_{2n-1}) - 3 \int_{\delta} q^{-3/2} q' dt.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\Delta} dt \leq (\gamma_0/\gamma_1) \int_{\delta} dt + 3 \int_{\Delta} q^{-3/2} q' dt + (3 \gamma_0/\gamma_1) \int_{\delta} q^{-3/2} q' dt$$

and so, by (14),

$$\int_{\Delta} dt \leq \varepsilon \int_{\Delta \cup \delta} dt + 3 \int_{\Delta \cup \delta} q^{-3/2} q' dt.$$

Since $\int_{\Delta \cup \delta}^{\infty} q^{-3/2} q' dt < \infty$, the set $C(n)$ in (6) satisfies the first part of (7).

It follows from (11) and (12) that the second part of (7) holds if $Q = \log q(t)$. Consequently, $\log q(t)$ is of irregular growth. Since this contradicts the hypothesis of (*), the assumption that (5) fails for some solution $x = x(t)$ is untenable. This proves (*).

REFERENCES

- [1] P. HARTMAN, *On a theorem of Milloux*, « American Journal of Mathematics », vol. 70 (1948), pp. 395-399.
- [2] H. MILLOUX, *Sur l'équation différentielle $x'' + A(t)x = 0$* . « Prace Matematyczne - Fizyczne », vol. 41 (1934), pp. 39-53.
- [3] Z. OPIAL, *Sur l'équation différentielle $u'' + a(t)u = 0$* , « Annales Polonici Mathematici », vol. 5 (1958), pp. 77-93.
- [3] G. SANSONE, *Equazioni differenziali nel campo reale*, vol. 2, Bologna (1949).