## BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

RICHARD BELLMAN

# On the non-negativity of solutions of the heat equation.

Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 3, Vol. **12** (1957), n.4, p. 520-523. Zanichelli <http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI\_1957\_3\_12\_4\_520\_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

> Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica) SIMAI & UMI http://www.bdim.eu/

#### On the non-negativity of solutions of the heat equation.

Nota di RICHARD BELLMAN (a Santa Monica · California)

- Sunto. Viene mostrato come una combinazione di classici teoremi di esistenza e unicità e di metodi relativi a differenze finite fornisce una semplicissima dimostrazione di non negatività delle soluzioni dell'equazione del calore.
- Summary. It is shown that a combination of classical existence and uniqueness theorems and finite difference techniques yields a very simple proof of non-negativity.

### 1. • Introduction.

In treating a functional equation, once the question of existence and uniqueness has been disposed of, we turn to a more precise study of the analytic character of the solution. It frequently happens that a method which works very efficiently to establish existence and uniqueness does not yield other properties of the solution in any ready fashion. Conversely, methods which yield non-negativity, convexity, and so forth, may not be ideally suited for the establishment of the basic properties. However, a combination of several techniques may yield the results we desire quite easily.

illustrate these remarks, let us consider the heat equation

(1)  
$$u_{t} = u_{xx} + q[x, t]u,$$
$$u(x, 0) = v(x), 0 \le x \le 1,$$
$$u(0, t) = u(1, t) = 0, t > 0.$$

We shall assume that we have demonstrated, by some means or other, the existence of a solution which depends continuously upon v(x) in the  $L^2$ -norm for  $t \ge 0$ , and that this solution is unique. As we shall see, a method based upon finite differences will enable us to demonstrate the fact that this solution is non-negative for  $t \ge 0$ , provided that it is non-negative at t = 0, i.e. provided that  $v(x) \ge 0$ , for  $0 \le x \le 1$ . On the other hand, the particular proof used to establish existence and uniqueness may not have yielded non-negativity in a simple fashion, and, as is known, an existence and uniqueness proof based upon finite differences is not a completely simple matter.  $2. \cdot u_t = u_{xx}.$ 

To illustrate our ideas, begin with the simpler equation

$$(1) u_t = u_{xa}$$

and consider the difference scheme

(2)  
(a) 
$$w(x, t + \delta^{*}/2) = [w(x + \delta, t) + w(x - \delta, t)]/2,$$
  
(b)  $w(x, 0) = v(x),$ 

where x takes the values  $\delta$ ,  $2\delta$ , ..., 1, and t assumes the values 0,  $\delta^2/2$ ,  $\delta^2$ , .... The function w(x, t) is defined by linearity at non-lattice points.

It is easy to see that, formally, the recurrence relation approaches the partial differential equation as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .

As mentioned above, a rigorous proof that the solution of (2) converges to the solution of (1) as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , starting from first principles, is non-trivial. However, as we shall see below, a proof of this fact is quite simple, once we have established the existence and uniqueness of a solution. The fact that w(x, t) is non-negative for any  $\delta > 0$  is immediate, and this yields the conclusion that  $u(x, t) \geq 0$ .

Since we have assumed the existence of a solution of (1) which is a continuous function of v(x), there is no loss of generality in assuming, for our current purposes, that v(x) possesses appropriate continuity properties, sufficient to ensure that

(3) 
$$\operatorname{Max}_{R} \left[ \left| u_{u}(x, t) \right|, \left| u_{xxxx}(x, t) \right| \right] \leq m < \infty,$$

where R is the bounded region  $0 \le x \le 1$ ,  $0 \le t \le T < \infty$ . We may for example take v(x) to be a trigonometric polynomial. Under the assumption of (3), it is easy to show that in R

(4) 
$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} w(x, t) = u(x, t).$$

We have, by virtue of (2)

(5) 
$$u(x, t + \delta^2/2) = [u(x + \delta, t) + u(x - \delta, t)]/2 + \delta^4 r(x, t),$$

where  $|r(x, t)| \le 2m$  in R. Consequently, the function z(x, t) = w(x, t) - u(x, t) satisfies the recurrence relation of (la) with the initial condition z(x, t) = 0. Let

(6) 
$$d(t) = \max_{0 \le x \le 1} | w(x, t) - u(x, t) |.$$

34

Using the recurrence relation, we see that

(7) 
$$d(t + \delta^2/2) \leq d(t) + 2\delta^4 m$$

for  $t = 0, \delta^2/2, \delta^2, \dots$ , whence

$$(8) d(t) \le 2\delta^4 mN$$

for  $0 \le t \le \delta^2 N$ . Let  $\delta^2 N = T$ . Then

$$(9) d(t) \le 2\delta^{2} m T$$

for x and t in R.

From this, we obtain the desired result as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .

Note that we can also conclude from the foregoing result that u(x, t) is concave in x for any value of t if v(x) is concave in x. This, in turn, implies that u(x, t) is decreasing in t for each fixed value of x.

 $3. \cdot u_t = u_{xx} + q(x, t)u.$ 

To extend the same argument to the general equation of (1.1), we employ the recurrence relation

$$w(x, t + \delta^{2}/2) = \frac{w(x + \delta, t) + w(x - \delta, t)}{2} + \frac{x + q(x, t)\delta^{2}/2}{\int w(y, t)dy}$$

If we assume that  $q(x, t) \ge 0$  for  $0 \le x \le 1$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , the recurrence relation above shows that  $w(x, t) \ge 0$  for all x and t. The proof that w(x, t) converges to u(x, t) as  $\delta \to 0$  follows the same lines as before.

To see that it is sufficient to assume that

(2) 
$$q(x, t) \ge -\lambda > -\infty, \quad 0 \le x \le 1, \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$

for any T > 0, where  $\lambda = \lambda(T)$ , we proceed as follows. Write

$$(3) u = e^{-\lambda t} v.$$

Then the equation of (1.1) becomes

(4) 
$$v_t = v_{xx} + (q(x, t) + \lambda)v,$$

(1)

with the same boundary conditions. The new function

(5)  $q_1(x, t) = q(x, t) + \lambda$ 

is non-negative.

#### 4. - Generalizations.

It is clear that the same method may be employed to obtain corresponding non-negativity results for the solution of the heat equation for higer dimensions and arbitrary regions. The essential part of the proof is the à priori demonstration of the existence and uniqueness of a solution depending continuously upon the initial values, in an appropriate metric.

Similary, a number of corresponding results can be established for various classes of non linear equations.