

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE LINCEI CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI

# RENDICONTI LINCEI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI

---

EDOARDO VESENTINI

## On a class of inner maps

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Serie 9, Vol. 16 (2005), n.4, p. 215–226.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN\\_2005\\_9\\_16\\_4\\_215\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN_2005_9_16_4_215_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)  
SIMAI & UMI

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 2005.

EDOARDO VESENTINI

ON A CLASS OF INNER MAPS

To Guido Zappa on the occasion of his 90<sup>th</sup> birthday

ABSTRACT. — Let  $f$  be a continuous map of the closure  $\bar{A}$  of the open unit disc  $A$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  into a unital associative Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , whose restriction to  $A$  is holomorphic, and which satisfies the condition whereby  $0 \notin \sigma(f(z)) \subset \bar{A}$  for all  $z \in A$  and  $\sigma(f(z)) \subset \partial A$  whenever  $z \in \partial A$  (where  $\sigma(x)$  is the spectrum of any  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ ). One of the basic results of the present paper is that  $f$  is *spectrally constant*, that is to say,  $\sigma(f(z))$  is then a compact subset of  $\partial A$  that does not depend on  $z$  for all  $z \in \bar{A}$ . This fact will be applied to holomorphic self-maps of the open unit ball of some  $J^*$ -algebra and in particular of any unital  $C^*$ -algebra, investigating some cases in which not only the spectra but the maps themselves are necessarily constant.

KEY WORDS: Associative Banach algebra; Holomorphic map; Spectrum; Spectral radius.

An inner function on the open unit disc  $A$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  defines a holomorphic map of  $A$  into itself such that the radial limits of  $f(re^{i\theta})$  as  $r \uparrow 1$  exist and have modulus one almost everywhere on the unit circle  $\partial A$ . The inner function  $f$  is injective if and only if it is a holomorphic automorphism of  $A$ ; hence, it has a (unique) continuous extension to  $\bar{A}$ , which is a homeomorphism of this latter set onto itself. At the other extreme, if the inner function  $f$  is the restriction to  $A$  of a continuous complex-valued function on  $\bar{A}$  – which will be denoted by the same symbol  $f$  – and if  $f(A) \neq A$ , then, by the maximum modulus theorem,  $f$  is constant:  $f(\bar{A})$  is a point in  $\partial A$ .

The situation changes radically if  $A$  is replaced by the open unit ball  $B$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (for some  $n > 1$ ) endowed with the euclidean norm. In which case, a non-constant inner function  $f$  on  $B$  (whose existence was established by A. B. Aleksandrov in 1983; see [8] also for historical and bibliographical references) has quite an irregular behaviour on  $\partial B$ . For example, if  $f$  extends continuously to one point of  $\partial B$ , then  $f$  is constant (see, e.g., [7, 8]). On the other hand, if  $B$  is the open unit polydisc in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (for some  $n > 1$ ), non-constant holomorphic maps  $B \rightarrow A$  having continuous extensions of modulus one at each point of the distinguished boundary of  $B$ , do exist, for which the validity of a similar conclusion to the one stated at the beginning in the case in which  $B = A$  can then be investigated.

A possible explanation of these different behaviours may be found in the fact that the polydisc  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is the unit ball of an algebra, whereas the unit euclidean ball is not when  $n > 1$ . In the present paper we shall test this explanation by replacing  $B$  by the unit ball of a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $f$  by a holomorphic function on  $B$ , satisfying suitable boundary

conditions on  $\partial B$ , with values in a unital Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . These latter conditions can be weakened in the case in which  $\mathcal{M}$  is any unital  $C^*$ -algebra (or, more in general, any  $J^*$ -algebra, [3], for which the set of extreme points of  $\bar{B}$  is not empty).

One of the main results is expressed by the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $B$  be the open unit ball of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $f$  be a holomorphic map of  $B$  into a complex unital Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , which has a continuous extension to the set  $\Gamma$  of all extreme points of  $\bar{B}$ .*

*If, for every  $x \in B$ , the spectrum  $\sigma(f(x))$  of  $f(x)$  is contained in  $\bar{\Delta}$  and if*

$$(1) \quad \sigma(f(x)) \subset \partial \Delta \quad \forall x \in \Gamma$$

*then, either  $f(x)$  is not invertible in  $\mathcal{A}$  at some point  $x \in B$  or  $\sigma(f(x))$  does not depend on  $x$ .*

*If  $f$  maps  $B$  into the open unit ball of  $\mathcal{A}$ , if (1) holds and if there is  $x_0 \in B$  for which  $f(x_0)$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{A}$  and*

$$(2) \quad \|f(x_0)^{-1}\| = 1,$$

*then, either  $f(x)$  is not invertible in  $\mathcal{A}$  at some  $x \in B$  or  $f(x)$  does not depend on  $x$ .*

Assuming in particular  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  for some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , if  $\|f(x)\| \leq 1$  for all  $x \in B$ , if  $f(x_0)$  is invertible and (2) holds for some  $x_0 \in B$ , then either  $f(x)$  is not invertible for some  $x \in B$  or there is a linear isometric automorphism  $\gamma$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $f(x) = \gamma|_B$  for all  $x \in B$ .

The basic ideas in the proofs, which are already present in the case  $B = \Delta$  that will be discussed in Section 2, rely heavily on maximum theorems for spectra and on properties of holomorphic families of linear automorphisms.

The results of Section 2 are instrumental in investigating the general case in which the role of  $\Delta$  is played by the open unit ball of a  $J^*$ -algebra, leading in particular to the theorem stated above for unital  $C^*$ -algebras and to a similar result holding in the case of E. Cartan's spin factors.

### 1. INNER SPECTRAL RADIUS

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an associative unital Banach algebra <sup>(1)</sup>. For  $u \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\sigma(u)$  or  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(u)$  and  $\rho(u)$  or  $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(u)$  will indicate respectively the spectrum and the spectral radius of  $u$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$  be the set of all invertible elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

We will denote by  $\kappa(u)$  or  $\kappa_{\mathcal{A}}(u)$ , and call *inner spectral radius* of  $u$ , the non-negative real number

$$\kappa(u) = \inf\{|\zeta| : \zeta \in \sigma(u)\}.$$

Thus,  $\kappa(u) = 0$  if  $u$  is not invertible, or (by the spectral mapping theorem)

$$\kappa(u) = \frac{1}{\rho(u^{-1})}$$

if  $u \in \mathcal{A}^{-1}$ .

<sup>(1)</sup> Throughout this article, all Banach algebras will be tacitly assumed to be associative.

Assume now that  $\mathcal{A}$  is not unital, and recall that  $u \in \mathcal{A}$  is a quasi-regular element of  $\mathcal{A}$  if there is  $v \in \mathcal{A}$  (which is unique and is called sometimes the quasi-inverse of  $u$ ) for which

$$(3) \quad uv - u - v = 0, uv = vu.$$

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$  be the Banach algebra obtained by adjoining an identity (denoted by 1 or  $1_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ ) to  $\mathcal{A}$ , equipped with the norm

$$\|u + \zeta 1_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{A}} + |\zeta| \quad (u \in \mathcal{A}, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}).$$

As is well known, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is non-unital, for any  $u \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $0 \in \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(u) = \sigma_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}(u)$ , and therefore  $\kappa(u) = 0$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{A}$ .

On the other hand, by (3)  $u \in \mathcal{A}$  is quasi-regular if, and only if,  $u - 1$  is invertible in  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  (in which case

$$(u - 1_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}})^{-1} = v - 1_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}},$$

so that  $u$  is quasi-regular if, and only if,  $1 \notin \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(u)$ . Thus, in the case of a non-unital Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , some of the roles of the inner spectral radius and of the spectral radius are played by two numerical indicators  $\beta(u)$  and  $\gamma(u)$ , where:

$\beta(u)$  is the distance, in  $\mathbb{C}$ , of 1 from  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(u)$ , i.e.,

$$\beta(u) = \inf\{|\zeta - 1| : \zeta \in \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(u)\},$$

and  $\gamma(u)$  is the supremum of the distances, in  $\mathbb{C}$ , from 1 to the points of  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(u)$ :

$$\gamma(u) = \sup\{|\zeta - 1| : \zeta \in \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(u)\}.$$

Hence,

$$\beta(u) \leq \gamma(u),$$

and, by the spectral mapping theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(u) &= \sup\{|\zeta - 1| : \zeta \in \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(u)\} = \sup\{|\zeta| : \zeta \in \sigma_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}(u) - 1\} \\ &\leq \rho(u) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

## 2. ONE COMPLEX VARIABLE

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the open unit disc in  $\mathbb{C}$ , let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital Banach algebra and let  $g$  be a holomorphic map of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $g$  satisfies the following conditions (\*):*

i)  $\rho(g(z)) \leq 1 \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{A}$ ;

(\*) *Note added in proofs.* In a forthcoming article (*Inner maps and Banach algebras*), condition iii) has been replaced by the weaker hypotheses:

there exist  $k > 0$  and  $r_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$1 > |z| > r_0 \implies \kappa(g(z)) \geq k;$$

there exist a measurable set  $H \subset [0, 2\pi]$ , with Lebesgue measure  $2\pi$ , such that

$$\lim_{r \uparrow 1} \kappa(g(re^{i\theta})) = 1$$

for all  $\theta \in H$ .

- ii)  $g(z)$  is invertible, i.e.  $\kappa(g(z)) > 0 \forall z \in \Delta$ ;
- iii) for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  there is some  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$(4) \quad 1 > |z| > 1 - \delta \implies \kappa(g(z)) > 1 - \varepsilon,$$

then  $\rho(g(z)) = \kappa(g(z)) = 1$  at all  $z \in \Delta$  and  $\sigma(g(z))$  is a compact subset of  $\partial\Delta$  that does not depend on  $z$ .

PROOF. The function  $h : \Delta \ni z \mapsto g(z)^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$  is holomorphic;

$$\rho(h(z)) = \rho(g(z)^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\kappa(g(z))} > 0 \forall z \in \Delta.$$

If  $\rho(h(z)) < 1$  at some point  $z \in \Delta$ , there is some  $\tau \in \Delta$ ,  $\tau \neq 0$ , such that  $\tau \in \sigma(h(z))$ . Since

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \in \sigma(g(z)),$$

then  $\rho(g(z)) > 1$ , contradicting *i*) and showing thereby that

$$(5) \quad \rho(h(z)) \geq 1 \forall z \in \Delta.$$

For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  there is  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  satisfying (4).

The function  $\mu : \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  defined by

$$\mu(z) = \begin{cases} \rho(h(z)) & \text{if } z \in \Delta \\ 1 & \text{if } z \in \partial\Delta, \end{cases}$$

is upper-semicontinuous on  $\bar{\Delta}$ , and therefore it reaches a maximum at some point of  $\bar{\Delta}$ . By (5) and the maximum theorem for the spectral radius, [11, 12],  $\rho(h(z)) = 1$  for all  $z \in \Delta$  and the peripheral spectrum of  $h(z)$  (i.e. the set  $\partial\Delta \cap \sigma(h(z))$ ) is a compact subset of  $\partial\Delta$  which does not depend on  $z$ .

By (4), the entire spectrum of  $h(z)$  is a compact subset of  $\partial\Delta$  which does not depend on  $z$ . The same conclusion holds for  $\sigma(g(z))$ . □

COROLLARY 1. Let  $g$  be a continuous map of  $\bar{\Delta}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $g|_{\Delta}$  is holomorphic. If  $g$  satisfies conditions *i*) and *ii*), and is such that

$$\text{iv) } \kappa(g(z)) = 1 \forall z \in \partial\Delta,$$

then  $\kappa(g(z)) = 1$  at all  $z \in \Delta$  and  $\sigma(g(z))$  is a compact subset of  $\partial\Delta$  that does not depend on  $z$ .

REMARK. If  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}$ , in Corollary 1 – where  $g$  is a (scalar valued) inner function, and therefore  $\sigma(g(z)) = g(z)$ ,  $\rho(g(z)) = \kappa(g(z)) = |g(z)|$  – then *i*) and *ii*) are expressed by:

$$v) \quad 0 < |g(z)| \leq 1 \forall z \in \Delta,$$

and *iii*) reads:

*vi*) for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  there is some  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$1 > |z| > 1 - \delta \implies |g(z)| > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

The conclusion of Theorem 1, whereby  $g$  is now equal to a constant of modulus one on  $\Delta$ , can be reached through a direct application of the maximum modulus principle for scalar-valued holomorphic functions.

Following [4] we will come to the same conclusion showing, by a different argument, that if an inner function  $g$  does not vanish on  $\Delta$  and satisfies condition  $\nu i)$ , then it is constant.

The first hypothesis implies that  $g$  is a singular function, *i.e.*, up to the product by a complex number of modulus one,  $g$  is represented by the integral

$$g(z) = \exp \left( - \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d\mu(\theta) \right),$$

where  $\mu$  is a singular positive measure on  $\partial\Delta$ . The holomorphic function  $b$  on  $\Delta$  expressed by

$$b(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d\mu(\theta),$$

is such that

$$|g(z)| = e^{-\Re b(z)} \quad \forall z \in \Delta.$$

If  $\{z_\nu\}$  is a sequence in  $\Delta$ , converging non tangentially to any pre-assigned point of  $\partial\Delta$ , then, by condition  $\nu i)$ ,  $\Re b(z_\nu) \rightarrow 0$ , *i.e.* the non-tangential limits of  $\Re b$  vanish at all points of  $\partial\Delta$ . That implies that the derivative  $d\mu/d\theta$  vanishes identically on the unit circle, proving thereby that  $g$  is constant.

The representation of an inner function as the product of a singular function and of a Blaschke product yields then

LEMMA 1. *An inner function  $g$  is the restriction to  $\Delta$  of a continuous function on  $\bar{\Delta}$  if, and only if, it satisfies condition  $\nu i)$  and vanishes on a finite set of points of  $\Delta$ .*

If the unital Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is commutative, for any character  $\chi$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  the function  $\chi \circ g$  is a scalar-valued holomorphic function which satisfies all the hypotheses stated above for  $g$ , and therefore is a constant of modulus one on  $\Delta$ . That yields a different proof of Theorem 1, in the case of commutative unital Banach algebras.

EXAMPLE. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is the uniform algebra  $C(T)$  of all continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space  $T$ , for every  $x \in C(T)$   $\sigma(x)$  is the image  $x(T)$  of  $T$  by  $x$ . Thus, by Theorem 1, if the holomorphic map  $g : \Delta \rightarrow C(T)$  is such that

$$0 \notin g(z)(T) \subset \bar{\Delta} \quad \forall z \in \Delta,$$

and if, for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  there is  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  for which

$$1 - \delta < |z| < 1 \implies 1 - \varepsilon < |g(z)(t)| \leq 1 \quad \forall t \in T,$$

then there is a function  $y \in C(T)$  with  $y(T) \subset \partial\Delta$ , such that  $g(z)(T) = y(T)$  for all  $z \in \Delta$ . We shall come back to this example in Proposition 6.

REMARK. When  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}$ , the conclusion of Theorem 1 involves the values of the function  $g$  and not only some gauges of those values, as in the general case. This gap can be overcome by appealing to the theory of holomorphic set-valued functions developed by K. Oka ([6], see also [1]), i.e. to functions  $k$  defined on  $\Delta$ , such that, for any  $z \in \Delta$ , the set  $k(z) \subset \mathbb{C}$  is compact,

$$\{(z, \zeta) : z \in \Delta, \tau \notin k(z)\} \subset \Delta \times \mathbb{C}$$

is a domain of holomorphy and the compact set-valued function  $k$  is upper semi-continuous.

According to a theorem of Z. Slodkowski ([10], Theorem IV, 365, 378-386), if

$$(6) \quad l = \sup\{\max\{|\tau| : \tau \in k(z)\} : z \in \Delta\} < \infty,$$

there is a separable complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and a holomorphic map  $F : \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $k(z) = \sigma(F(z))$  for all  $z \in \Delta$ .

Hence, Theorem 1 yields

PROPOSITION 1. *Let  $k$  be an Oka-analytic set-valued function defined on  $\Delta$  and satisfying (6) with  $l \leq 1$ . If, for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  there is some  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that, whenever  $1 - \delta < |z| < 1$ ,  $k(z)$  is contained in the annulus  $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : 1 - \varepsilon < \zeta \leq 1\}$ , then  $k(z)$  is a compact subset of  $\partial\Delta$  which does not depend on  $z$ , for all  $z \in \Delta$ .*

Suppose now that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a closed unital subalgebra of the algebra  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ , of all bounded linear operators on a complex Banach space  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Let  $z_0 \in \Delta$  and let  $g(z_0)$  be a linear isometry of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Since  $\sigma(g(z_0)) = \bar{\Delta}$  or  $\sigma(g(z_0)) \subset \partial\Delta$  if  $g(z_0)$  is respectively non-surjective or surjective, then the peripheral spectrum of  $g(z_0)$  covers the entire unit circle if  $g(z_0)$  is not surjective and coincides with  $\sigma(g(z_0))$  if  $g(z_0)$  is surjective. The maximum principles for the spectral radius and for the peripheral spectrum, [11, 12], yield

LEMMA 2. *If the holomorphic map  $g : \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is such that  $\rho(g(z)) \leq 1$  for all  $z \in \Delta$  and if  $g(z_0)$  is a linear isometry for some  $z_0 \in \Delta$ , then  $\rho(g(z)) = 1$  for all  $z \in \Delta$ , and the peripheral spectrum of  $g(z)$  is a compact subset of  $\partial\Delta$  which does not depend on  $z$ .*

We will now investigate under which conditions the function  $g$  itself is constant.

First of all, if  $g$  satisfies *i)*, *ii)* and *iii)*, the conclusions of Theorem 1 hold also for the map  $z \mapsto g(z)^{-1}$  (and the constant compact subsets  $\sigma(g(z)^{-1})$  is the image of  $\sigma(g(z))$  by the map  $\zeta \mapsto \bar{\zeta}$ ).

If *i)* is replaced by the stronger condition

$$i') \quad \|g(z)\| \leq 1 \quad \forall z \in \Delta,$$

Theorem 1 implies that, if  $g$  satisfies *i')*, *ii)* and *iii)*, then

$$\|g(z)\| = 1 \quad \forall z \in \Delta.$$

As for  $g(z)^{-1}$ , one can only say that  $\|g(z)^{-1}\| \geq 1$  at all  $z \in \Delta$ .

Assume now that there is  $z_0 \in \Delta$  at which

$$(7) \quad \|g(z_0)^{-1}\| = 1.$$

Since, for any vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$\|\xi\| = \|g(z_0)^{-1}g(z_0)\xi\| \leq \|g(z_0)\xi\| \leq \|\xi\|,$$

then  $\|g(z_0)\xi\| = \|\xi\|$  for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ , i.e. the holomorphic family of linear contractions  $z \mapsto g(z)$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  contains the automorphism  $g(z_0)$ . Hence, [2, Proposition V.1.10],  $g(z)$  is independent of  $z$ , and the following theorem holds.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $g : \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$  be a holomorphic function mapping  $\Delta$  into the open unit ball  $B$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $g(z)$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{A}$  for all  $z \in \Delta$ , if, for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  there is some  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that, whenever  $1 - \delta < |z| < 1$ ,  $\sigma(g(z))$  is contained in the annulus  $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : 1 - \varepsilon < |\zeta| \leq 1\}$  and if moreover, (7) holds at some point  $z_0 \in \Delta$ , then  $g(z)$  is (the restriction to  $B$  of) a linear isometric automorphism of  $\mathcal{E}$  which does not depend on  $z$ .*

**REMARK.** A similar statement to Theorem 1 in the case in which the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is not unital can be established substituting invertible elements with quasi-regular elements and replacing the hypotheses *i*), *ii*), *iii*) by the following two conditions: *vii*)  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(g(z)) \subset \overline{\Delta(1, 1)} \setminus \{1\} \forall z \in \Delta$  (where  $\Delta(1, 1)$  is the open disc in  $\mathbb{C}$  with center 1 and radius 1); *viii*) for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  there is some  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$(8) \quad 1 > |z| > 1 - \delta \implies \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(g(z)) \subset \{\zeta : \zeta \in \overline{\Delta(1, 1)} \setminus \{1\}, |\zeta - 1| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Theorem 1 yields then

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If  $g$  satisfies both conditions *vii*) and *viii*), then  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(g(z))$  is a compact subset of  $\partial\Delta(1, 1)$  which does not depend on  $z \in \overline{\Delta}$ .*

### 3. BANACH ALGEBRAS

Here and in the following  $\sigma$ ,  $\rho$  and  $\kappa$  will stand for the spectrum, the spectral radius and the inner spectral radius in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $D$  be a bounded, convex, circular domain in a complex Banach algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and let  $f$  be a holomorphic map of  $D$  into  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$(9) \quad \rho(f(x)) \leq 1 \forall x \in D$$

and that, given any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  there exists in  $\mathcal{B}$  an open set  $U_\varepsilon \supset \partial D$  satisfying the conditions:

$$(10) \quad x \in U_\varepsilon \cap D \implies \kappa(f(x)) > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

The intersection of  $D$  with the complex affine line in  $\mathcal{B}$  defined by any two distinct points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in  $D$  is a bounded convex domain  $D(x_1, x_2)$  which is biholomorphically equivalent to  $\Delta$ . By Theorem 1 applied to the holomorphic function  $g = f|_{D(x_1, x_2)}$ , either

$0 \in \sigma(f(x))$  for some  $x \in D(x_1, x_2)$  or there is a compact set  $K \subset \partial\Delta$  such that  $\sigma(f(x)) = K$  for all  $x \in D(x_1, x_2)$ . Letting  $x_2$  vary in  $D$ , we obtain the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3. *If (9) and (10) are satisfied, either  $f(x)$  is not invertible in  $\mathcal{A}$  for some  $x \in D$  or there is a compact set  $K \subset \partial\Delta$  such that  $\sigma(f(x)) = K$  for all  $x \in D$ .*

Assume now, as in Section 2,  $\mathcal{A}$  to be a closed unital subalgebra of the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$  is, as before, a complex Banach space. Replacing  $D$  by the open unit ball  $B$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ , a similar argument to the one leading to Proposition 3, based now on Theorem 2, yields

PROPOSITION 4. *If (9) and (10) hold for all  $x \in B$ , if  $f$  maps  $B$  into the closed unit ball of  $\mathcal{A}$  and if there is  $x_0 \in B$  for which  $f(x_0)$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and*

$$(11) \quad \|f(x_0)^{-1}\| = 1,$$

*then either  $f(x)$  is not invertible at some  $x \in B$  or  $f(x)$  is a linear isometric automorphism of  $\mathcal{E}$  which does not depend on  $x$ .*

#### 4. $J^*$ -ALGEBRAS

In the case in which the role of  $\mathcal{B}$  is played by a class of  $J^*$ -algebras, some of the foregoing results can be improved by weakening the hypotheses on the boundary behaviour of  $f$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $J^*$ -algebra, [3], let  $B$  be its open unit ball and let  $\Gamma$  be the set of the extreme points of  $\bar{B}$ , which will be always assumed to be non-empty. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a closed unital subalgebra of the algebra  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a complex Banach space, and let  $f: B \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a holomorphic map; as before,  $\sigma$ ,  $\rho$  and  $\kappa$  will stand for the spectrum, the spectral radius and the inner spectral radius in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

THEOREM 3. *If*

$$(12) \quad \rho(f(x)) \leq 1 \quad \forall x \in B$$

*(equivalently, if  $\sigma(f(x)) \in \bar{\Delta} \forall x \in B$ ) and if,*

*ix) for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there is an open set  $U_\varepsilon \supset \Gamma$  such that*

$$(13) \quad x \in U_\varepsilon \cap B \implies \kappa(f(x)) > 1 - \varepsilon,$$

*then either  $f(x) \notin \mathcal{A}^{-1}$  (i.e.  $0 \in \sigma(f(x))$ ) for some  $x \in B$  or, for all  $x \in B$ ,  $\sigma(f(x))$  is a compact subset of  $\partial\Delta$  which does not depend on  $x$ .*

PROOF. Suppose that  $0 \notin \sigma(f(x))$  for all  $x \in B$ .

a) For any  $w \in \Gamma$  let  $g: \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be defined by  $g: z \mapsto f(zw)$ . By Theorem 1,

$\sigma(g(z)) = \sigma(f(zw))$  is a compact subset  $K \subset \partial A$  which does not depend on  $z \in A$ . Since  $\sigma(g(0)) = \sigma(f(0))$ ,  $K$  is the same for all  $w \in \Gamma$ .

b) For any  $x \in B$ , let  $M$  be a Moebius transformation of  $B$  mapping 0 to  $x$ . Denoting by the same symbol  $M$  the continuous extension of  $M$  to  $B \cup \Gamma$ , and letting  $v \in M^{-1}(w)$ , for any  $w \in \Gamma$ , the map

$$A \ni z \mapsto zv$$

is the unique complex geodesic for the Carathéodory metric of  $B$  whose support  $S$  is such that  $0 \in S$  and  $v \in \bar{S}$  ([13], see also [5]).

The image by  $M$  of the disc  $\{zv : z \in A\}$  is the only complex geodesic in  $B$  the closure of whose support contains  $x$  and  $w$ . More exactly, if  $A$  is the support of a complex geodesic  $\lambda : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $x \in A$  and  $w \in \bar{A}$ , then  $A = M(S)$  and there is a Moebius transformation  $\varphi$  of  $A$  onto  $A$  such that

$$M(\varphi(z)v) = \lambda(z) \quad \forall z \in A.$$

Setting

$$g : A \ni z \mapsto f \circ M(zv),$$

a) yields the conclusion. □

As a consequence of Lemma 2 the following proposition holds.

**PROPOSITION 5.** *If (12) is satisfied and if  $f(x_0)$  is a linear isometry for some  $x_0 \in B$ , then either  $f(x) \notin A^{-1}$  for some  $x \in B$  or  $\rho(f(x)) = 1$  for all  $x \in B$ , and the peripheral spectrum of  $f(x)$  is a compact subset of  $\partial A$  which does not depend on  $x$ .*

The peripheral spectrum of  $f(x)$  covers the entire unit circle if  $f(x_0)$  is not surjective and coincides with  $\sigma(f(x)) = \sigma(f(x_0))$  if  $f(x_0)$  is surjective. A similar argument replacing Theorem 1 by Theorem 2 yields

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $f$  be a holomorphic map of the open unit ball  $B$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  into the open unit ball of  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ . If, condition ix) is satisfied, if there is  $x_0 \in B$  for which  $f(x_0)$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{A}$  and*

$$(14) \quad \|f(x_0)^{-1}\| = 1,$$

*then either  $f(x)$  is not invertible at some  $x \in B$  or  $f(x)$  is (the restriction to  $B$  of) a linear isometric automorphism of  $\mathcal{E}$  which does not depend on  $x$ .*

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is not unital, Proposition 2 and a similar argument to the proof of Theorem 3 leads to the following Theorem.

**THEOREM 5.** *If the holomorphic map  $f : B \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is such that:*

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(f(x)) \subset \overline{A(1, 1)} \quad \forall x \in B,$$

for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there is an open set  $U_\varepsilon \supset \Gamma$  such that

$$x \in U_\varepsilon \cap B \implies \sigma(f(x)) \subset \{\zeta : \zeta \in \overline{A(1, 1)}, |\zeta - 1| < \varepsilon\},$$

then either  $f(x)$  is not quasi-regular for some  $x \in B$  or, for all  $x \in B$ ,  $\sigma(f(x))$  is a compact subset of the circle  $\partial A(1, 1)$  which does not depend on  $x$ .

Relevant examples of  $J^*$ -algebras to which Theorems 3 and 4 apply are unital  $C^*$ -algebras. Given such an algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ , which will be identified with one of its  $*$ -isomorphic images as a uniformly closed, unital, self-adjoint subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  on some complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (see, e.g., [9]), we will now assume  $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{A}$  in Theorems 3 and 4. Theorem 4 implies then

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $f : B \rightarrow B$  is a holomorphic map satisfying condition ix) and if there is some  $x_0 \in B$  for which  $f(x_0)$  is invertible in the unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  and (14) is satisfied, then either  $f(x)$  is not invertible at some  $x \in B$  or  $f(x)$  is (the restriction to  $B$  of) an isometric automorphism of  $\mathcal{M}$  which does not depend on  $x$ .*

If the unital  $C^*$  algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  is commutative, by the Gelfand Theorem  $\mathcal{M}$  is isometrically  $*$ -isomorphic to the function algebra  $C(T)$  of all complex-valued continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space  $T$ , endowed with the uniform norm  $\|x\| = \max\{|x(t)| : t \in T\}$ .

Since in this case  $\|x\| = \rho(x)$  for all  $x \in C(T)$ , the following proposition holds.

**PROPOSITION 6.** *Let  $B$  be the open unit ball of  $C(T)$ , and let  $f : \bar{B} \rightarrow C(T)$  be a continuous map whose restriction to  $B$  is holomorphic. If*

$$|x(t)| = 1 \ \forall t \in T \implies |f(x)(t)| = 1 \ \forall t \in T,$$

then either  $f(x)(t) = 0$  for some  $x \in B$  and some  $t \in T$  or there is  $y \in C(T)$  with  $|y(t)| = 1 \ \forall t \in T$ , such that  $f(x) = y$  for all  $x \in B$ .

### 5. SPIN FACTORS

As examples of  $J^*$ -algebras *stricto sensu* we will now consider spin factors. A spin factor – or Cartan factor of type four <sup>(2)</sup> – is a closed, self-adjoint linear subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  (where  $\mathcal{K}$  is a complex Hilbert space) such that, if  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $u^2$  is a scalar multiple of the identity operator  $I$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ :

$$(15) \quad u^2 = aI \quad \text{for some } a \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The space  $\mathcal{M}$  is endowed with two norms, with respect to which it is complete: the operator norm  $\| \cdot \|$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  and the Hilbert-space norm  $\| \cdot \|$  associated to the inner product in  $\mathcal{M}$  defined on  $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$  by

$$2(u|v)I = uv^* + v^*u,$$

<sup>(2)</sup> See [3, 14] for definitions and basic results.

where  $u^*$  is the adjoint of  $v$  <sup>(3)</sup>.

The two norms are equivalent and are related by the formula

$$\|u\|^2 = \|u\|^2 + \sqrt{\|u\|^4 + |(u|u^*)|^2}.$$

Therefore the open unit ball  $B = \{u \in \mathcal{M} : \|u\| < 1\}$  is defined also by

$$B = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{M} : \|u\|^2 < \frac{1 + |(u|u^*)|^2}{2} < 1 \right\}.$$

It turns out that the set  $\Gamma$  of all extreme points of  $\bar{B}$ , [14], is given by

$$\Gamma = \{e^{i\theta}u : \theta \in \mathbb{R}, u \in \mathcal{M}, u = u^*, u^2 = I\}.$$

It follows from (15) that  $\sigma(u)$  is contained in the set  $\{-a^{1/2}, a^{1/2}\}$ , and therefore  $\rho(u) = |a|^{1/2}$ . Furthermore, the spectrum of  $u$  coincides with the point-spectrum  $p\sigma(u)$ , [14]; moreover, if  $a \neq 0$ , if  $a^{1/2} \in p\sigma(u)$  and if  $\Pi_u$  is the spectral projector associated to  $u$  and  $\{a^{1/2}\}$ , then

$$u = a^{1/2}(2\Pi_u - I).$$

If  $\sigma(u) = \{a^{1/2}\}$ , then  $\Pi_u = I$  and  $u = a^{1/2}I$ , whilst, if  $a = 0$ , i.e.  $\sigma(u) = \{0\}$ , then  $u = 0$ . Theorems 3 and 4 imply

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $f$  be a holomorphic map of the open unit ball  $B$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  into  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  with  $\rho(f(x)) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in B$ . If, for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there is an open set  $U_\varepsilon \supset \Gamma$  such that*

$$x \in U_\varepsilon \cap B \implies \rho(f(x)) > 1 - \varepsilon,$$

*then, either  $f(x) = 0$  for some  $x \in B$  or there exists  $v \in \mathcal{M}$  with*

$$b \in \sigma(v) \subset \{b, -b\}$$

*for some  $b \in \partial\mathcal{A}$ , such that, for all  $x \in B$ ,*

$$f(x) = b(2\Pi_v - I) = b \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

*for all  $x \in B$ , where  $\Pi_v$  is the spectral projector associated to  $b$  and to  $v$ .*

**PROOF.** By Theorem 3 there is  $b \in \partial\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$(16) \quad f(x) = b(2\Pi_{f(x)} - I) = b \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where:  $\Pi_{f(x)}$  is the spectral projector associated to  $b$  and  $f(x)$ ;  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are the identity operators on  $\mathcal{K}_1 = \text{Ran } \Pi_{f(x)}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2 = \text{Ker } \Pi_{f(x)}$ .

<sup>(3)</sup> Since

$$(u + v^*)^2 = u^2 + (v^*)^2 + uv^* + v^*u,$$

$uv^* + v^*u$  is a scalar multiple of  $I$ .

Since

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K}_2,$$

setting, for  $\xi \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $\xi_1 = \Pi_{f(x)}\xi$ ,  $\xi_2 = \xi - \Pi_{f(x)}\xi$ , then, by (16),

$$\|f(x)\xi\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2 = \|\xi_1\|_{\mathcal{K}_1}^2 + \|\xi_2\|_{\mathcal{K}_2}^2 = \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{K}$ . Theorem 4 yields the conclusion.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. AUPETIT, *Propriétés spectrales des algèbres de Banach*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 735, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1979.
- [2] T. FRANZONI - E. VESENTINI, *Holomorphic maps and invariant distances*. North Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1980.
- [3] L.A. HARRIS, *Bounded symmetric homogeneous domains in infinite dimensional spaces*. In: T.L. HAYDEN - T.J. SUFFRIDGE (eds.), *Proceedings on infinite dimensional holomorphy*, University of Kentucky 1973. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 364, Springer, Berlin 1974, 13-40.
- [4] K. HOFFMAN, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962.
- [5] M. JARNICKI - P. PFLUG, *Invariant distances and metrics in complex analysis*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York 1993.
- [6] K. OKA, *Note sur les familles de fonctions analytiques multiformes etc*. J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A, 4, 1934, 93-98.
- [7] W. RUDIN, *Function theory in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$* . Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin 1980.
- [8] W. RUDIN, *New constructions of functions holomorphic in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$* . Conference Board Math. Sci., 63, 1985.
- [9] S. SAKAI,  *$C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin 1971.
- [10] Z. SLODKOWSKI, *Analytic set-valued functions and spectra*. Math. Ann., 256, 1981, 363-386.
- [11] E. VESENTINI, *Maximum theorems for spectra*. Essays on topology and related topics, Memoires dédiés à Georges de Rham, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1970, 111-117.
- [12] E. VESENTINI, *Maximum theorems for vector valued holomorphic functions*. University of Maryland Technical Report, 69-132, 1969; Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano, 40, 1970, 1-34.
- [13] E. VESENTINI, *Complex geodesics*. Compositio Math., 44, 1981, 375-394.
- [14] E. VESENTINI, *Holomorphic isometries of spin-factors*. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino, 50, 4, 1992, 427-455.

Dipartimento di Matematica  
Politecnico di Torino

Corso Duca degli Abruzzi, 24 - 10129 TORINO