
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE LINCEI CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI

RENDICONTI LINCEI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI

VIOREL BARBU, GIUSEPPE DA PRATO, ARNAUD
DEBUSSCHE

Essential m-dissipativity of Kolmogorov operators corresponding to periodic 2D-Navier Stokes equations

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e
Applicazioni, Serie 9, Vol. 15 (2004), n.1, p. 29–38.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN_2004_9_15_1_29_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 2004.

Analisi matematica. — *Essential m -dissipativity of Kolmogorov operators corresponding to periodic 2D-Navier Stokes equations.* Nota (*) di VIOREL BARBU, GIUSEPPE DA PRATO e ARNAUD DEBUSSCHE, presentata dal Socio G. Da Prato.

ABSTRACT. — We prove the essential m -dissipativity of the Kolmogorov operator associated with the stochastic Navier-Stokes flow with periodic boundary conditions in a space $L^2(H, \nu)$ where ν is an invariant measure.

KEY WORDS: Stochastic Navier-Stokes equations; Kolmogorov operators; Invariant measures.

RIASSUNTO. — *Essenziale m -dissipatività dell'operatore di Kolmogorov associato all'equazione di Navier-Stokes stocastica.* Si dimostra l'essenziale m -dissipatività dell'operatore di Kolmogorov associato al flusso dell'equazione di Navier-Stokes stocastica con condizioni periodiche in uno spazio $L^2(H, \nu)$ dove ν è una misura invariante.

1. INTRODUCTION

We are here concerned with the following stochastic Navier-Stokes equation,

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} dX = (\nu_0 \Delta X + (X \cdot \nabla) X) dt + \nabla p dt + \sqrt{C} dW & \text{in } D \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \operatorname{div} X = 0 & \text{in } D \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ X(t, \cdot) \text{ is periodic with period } 2\pi, \quad \int_D X(t, \xi) d\xi = 0 \\ X(0, \xi) = x(\xi) & \text{in } D, \end{cases}$$

where D is the square $[0, 2\pi]^2$. The unknown X represents the velocity and p is the pressure, $C \in L(H)$ is a linear operator and W is a cylindrical Wiener process in H associated with a stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0})$. The Hilbert space H is defined as

$$H = \left\{ x \in (L^2(D))^2 : \operatorname{div} x = 0 \text{ in } D, \int_D x(\xi) d\xi = 0 \right\}.$$

Moreover we set

$$V = (H_{\#}^1(D))^2 \cap H,$$

where the subscript $\#$ means periodicity.

The operator $C \in L(H)$ is nonnegative, symmetric and of trace class and W is H -valued.

Moreover, we introduce the Stokes operator

$$A = \nu_0 P \Delta, \quad D(A) = V \cap (H_{\#}^2(D))^2, \quad V = \{y \in (H_{\#}^1(D))^2 : \operatorname{div} y = 0\},$$

and set

$$(By, v) = b(y, y, v), \quad v \in V,$$

where

$$b(y, z, v) = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int_D y_i D_i z_j v_j d\xi.$$

Then it is classical that problem (1.1) is equivalent to

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) = (AX(t) + BX(t)) dt + \sqrt{C} dW(t) \\ X(0) = x. \end{cases}$$

We shall assume in all the paper that

$$\text{HYPOTHESIS 1.1. } \text{Tr}[C(-A)] < \infty.$$

It is well known, see *e.g.* [6] that (1.1) has a unique solution $X = X(t, x)$. We shall denote by P_t the associated transition semigroup

$$(1.3) \quad P_t \varphi(x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X(t, x))], \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in H, \quad \varphi \in B_b(H),$$

where $B_b(H)$ is the space of all Borel bounded mappings $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and \mathbb{E} means expectation. Under Hypothesis 1.1, there exists at least one invariant measure ν for P_t . Even if this fact is well known, we shall present a proof in §2 for the reader's convenience and also because we shall obtain, as a byproduct, an estimate needed later. Moreover, under some mild non degeneracy conditions, this invariant measure is unique [2, 6-9, 11]. We do not need any non degeneracy condition here and fix one invariant measure ν from now on. Therefore, P_t can be uniquely extended to a contraction Markov semigroup in $L^2(H, \nu)$, still denoted by P_t . We shall denote by N its infinitesimal generator. The main result of this paper is that N is the closure in $L^2(H, \nu)$ of the Kolmogorov operator N_0

$$(1.4) \quad N_0 \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[QD^2 \varphi(x)] - (Ax + B(x), D\varphi(x)), \quad x \in H, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H),$$

where $\mathcal{E}_A(H)$ (the space of exponential functions) is the linear span of all functions (more precisely all real and imaginary parts of all functions)

$$\varphi_b(x) = e^{i(b, x)}, \quad x, b \in D(A).$$

In other words, we show that N is an extension of N_0 and that $\mathcal{E}_A(H)$ is a core for N .

This result generalizes a similar one, see [1] that was proved under the unpleasant assumption that the viscosity ν_0 is sufficiently large (notice, however, that the result in [1] is valid also for Dirichlet boundary conditions). In the present paper the viscosity ν_0 will not play any role, it will be put equal to 1 in what follows.

This result of m -dissipativity allows to identify the abstract generator N with the closure of a concrete differential operator. This has important consequences as the ex-

istence of strong solutions in the sense of Friedrichs of the elliptic Kolmogorov equation

$$(1.5) \quad \lambda \varphi - N\varphi = f.$$

This means that for any $\lambda > 0$ and $f \in L^2(H, \nu)$ there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n\} \subset \mathcal{E}_A(H)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n = \varphi, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N_0 \varphi_n = N\varphi \quad \text{in } L^2(H, \nu).$$

Another consequence, see Theorem 4.2 below, is the following integration by parts formula

$$(1.6) \quad \int_H N\varphi \varphi d\nu = -\frac{1}{2} \int_H |C^{1/2} D\varphi|^2 d\nu,$$

valid for all $\varphi \in D(N)$. Formula (1.6) is the starting point for studying the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(H, \nu)$ and several properties of the measure ν . This will be the object of a future research.

Let us conclude this section with some technical remarks that will be used in what follows. First we recall that

$$(1.7) \quad b(y, z, z) = 0, \quad b(y, z, v) = -b(y, v, z), \quad y, v, z \in V$$

and, in view of the periodicity condition, we also have the basic identity

$$(1.8) \quad b(y, y, Ay) = 0, \quad y \in D(A).$$

We shall denote by $|\cdot|$ the norm in H , by (\cdot, \cdot) the inner product in H and by $\|\cdot\|$ the norm in V . It is well known that

$$(1.9) \quad \|x\| \geq \pi^2 |x|.$$

Finally, we recall the Sobolev embedding theorem

$$(1.10) \quad H^\alpha(D) \subset L^{\frac{2}{1-\alpha}}(D), \quad \alpha \in (0, 1),$$

and the classical interpolatory estimate

$$(1.11) \quad \|x\|_{H^b(D)} \leq \|x\|_{H^a(D)}^{\frac{c-b}{c-a}} \|x\|_{H^c(D)}^{\frac{b-a}{c-a}}, \quad 0 \leq a < b < c,$$

where $\|x\|_{H^b(D)} = |x|$.

2. EXISTENCE OF INVARIANT MEASURE

It is convenient to introduce the following approximating problems,

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} dX_\varepsilon(t) = AX_\varepsilon(t) dt + B_\varepsilon(X_\varepsilon(t)) dt + \sqrt{C} dW(t) \\ X_\varepsilon(0) = x \in H \end{cases}$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad B_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} B(x) & \text{if } \|x\| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \\ \frac{B(x)}{\varepsilon^2 \|x\|^2} & \text{if } \|x\| > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}. \end{cases}$$

Since, for $\varepsilon > 0$, B_ε is regular and bounded, problem (2.2) has a unique solution which we denote by $X_\varepsilon(t, x)$. Moreover, it is well known that there exists an invariant measure ν_ε for problem (2.1).

We shall denote by N_ε the Kolmogorov operator,

$$(2.3) \quad N_\varepsilon(x) = L\varphi(x) - (B_\varepsilon(x), D\varphi(x)), \quad x \in H, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H),$$

where L is the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator in $C_b(H)$, the Banach space of all uniformly continuous and bounded real functions in H , and $D(L)$ is its domain,

$$(2.4) \quad L\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[CD^2\varphi(x)] - (Ax, D\varphi(x)), \quad x \in H, \quad \varphi \in D(L).$$

We recall that $D(L)$ can be defined throughout its resolvent as follows, see [3]; we consider the Laplace transform of P_t ,

$$F(\lambda) f(x) := \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P_t f(x) dt, \quad f \in C_b(H), \quad \lambda > 0, \quad x \in H.$$

Then, it is easy to see that $F(\lambda)$ is one-to-one and that fulfills the resolvent identity. Consequently, there exists a unique closed operator L in $C_b(H)$ such that $F(\lambda) = (\lambda - L)^{-1}$ for any $\lambda > 0$.

To prove the existence of an invariant measure ν for P_t we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $\delta < \delta_0 := \frac{1}{\|C\|}$. Then, there exists $C > 0$ independent of δ such that*

$$(2.5) \quad \int_H |Ax|^2 e^{\delta \|x\|^2} \nu_\varepsilon(dx) \leq C.$$

PROOF. Let us compute $N_\varepsilon \varphi$ for $\varphi(x) = e^{\delta \|x\|^2}$ with $\delta < \delta_0$. (Notice that φ does not belong to $D(L)$, however it can easily be approximated by functions of $D(L)$).

We have

$$D\varphi(x) = -2\delta e^{\delta \|x\|^2} Ax, \quad D^2\varphi(x) = 4\delta^2 e^{\delta \|x\|^2} Ax \otimes Ax - 2\delta e^{\delta \|x\|^2} A.$$

It follows, in view of (1.8), that

$$\begin{aligned} N_\varepsilon \varphi &= (\delta \text{Tr}[(-A)C] + 2\delta^2 |C^{1/2}Ax|^2 - 2\delta |Ax|^2) e^{\delta \|x\|^2} \leq \\ &\leq (\delta \text{Tr}[(-A)C] + 2\delta^2 \|C\| |Ax|^2 - 2\delta |Ax|^2) e^{\delta \|x\|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_H N_\varepsilon \varphi d\nu_\varepsilon = 0$ due to the invariance of ν_ε , we have

$$(2.6) \quad \int_H |Ax|^2 e^{\delta \|x\|^2} \nu_\varepsilon(dx) \leq \frac{\text{Tr}[(-A)C]}{2(1 - \delta \|C\|)} \int_H e^{\delta \|x\|^2} \nu_\varepsilon(dx).$$

It follows that for any $R > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_H e^{\delta \|x\|^2} \nu_\varepsilon(dx) &= \int_{\{\|x\| \leq R\}} e^{\delta \|x\|^2} \nu_\varepsilon(dx) + \int_{\{\|x\| > R\}} e^{\delta \|x\|^2} \nu_\varepsilon(dx) \leq \\ &\leq e^{\delta R^2} + \frac{1}{R^2} \int_H \|x\|^2 e^{\delta \|x\|^2} \nu_\varepsilon(dx) \leq e^{\delta R^2} + \frac{1}{\pi^2 R^2} \int_H |Ax|^2 e^{\delta \|x\|^2} \nu_\varepsilon(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Now, taking into account (2.6), it follows that

$$\int_H e^{\delta \|x\|^2} \nu_\varepsilon(dx) \leq e^{\delta R^2} + \frac{\text{Tr} [(-A) C]}{2(\pi^2 R^2 (1 - \delta \|C\|))} \int_H e^{\delta \|x\|^2} \nu_\varepsilon(dx)$$

and choosing

$$R^2 = \frac{\text{Tr} [(-A) C]}{2(\pi^2 (1 - \delta \|C\|))},$$

we see that

$$\int_H e^{\delta \|x\|^2} \nu_\varepsilon(dx) \leq 2 e^{\frac{\delta \text{Tr} [(-A) C]}{2(\pi^2 (1 - \delta \|C\|))}}.$$

Finally, the conclusion follows using again (2.6). \square

Since the embedding $D(A) \subset H$ is compact, we find by (2.5) that $\{\nu_\varepsilon\}$ is tight and consequently it has a weak limit ν . The following result is straightforward.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *The measure ν is invariant for P_t . Moreover for any $\delta < \delta_0 := \frac{1}{\|C\|}$ we have*

$$(2.7) \quad \int_H |Ax|^2 e^{\delta \|x\|^2} \nu(dx) < +\infty.$$

3. A PRIORI ESTIMATES

LEMMA 3.1. *Assume that $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{\|C\|}$. Then we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{E} \left(e^{\alpha \int_0^t |AX(s, x)|^2 ds} \right) \leq e^{\alpha \|x\|^2} e^{t \text{Tr} [(-A) C]}, \quad x \in H, \quad t \geq 0.$$

PROOF. Fix $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{\|C\|}$. Let us estimate, using the Itô formula,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(e^{\alpha \|X(t, x)\|^2 + \alpha \int_0^t |AX(s, x)|^2 ds} \right).$$

Set

$$V(t) = \|X(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t |AX(s)|^2 ds.$$

We have, taking into account (1.3),

$$\begin{aligned} d\|X(t)\|^2 &= -2\langle AX(t), AX(t) + b(X(t)) \rangle dt + \text{Tr} [(-A) C] - \langle AX(t), \sqrt{C}dW(t) \rangle = \\ &= -2\|AX(t)\|^2 dt + \text{Tr} [(-A) C] - \langle AX(t), \sqrt{C}dW(t) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$dV(t) = -\|AX(t)\|^2 dt + \text{Tr} [(-A) C] dt - \langle AX(t), \sqrt{C}dW(t) \rangle.$$

Moreover

$$de^{\alpha V(t)} = \alpha e^{\alpha V(t)} dV(t) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 e^{\alpha V(t)} \|\sqrt{C}AX(t)\|^2 dt.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} de^{\alpha V(t)} &= \alpha e^{\alpha V(t)} (-\|AX(t)\|^2 dt + \text{Tr} [(-A) C] dt - \langle AX(t), \sqrt{C}dW(t) \rangle) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 e^{\alpha V(t)} \|\sqrt{C}AX(t)\|^2 dt \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha e^{\alpha V(t)} (\alpha \|C\| - 1) \|AX(t)\|^2 dt + \text{Tr} [(-A) C] dt - \langle AX(t), \sqrt{C}dW(t) \rangle \leq \\ &\leq \text{Tr} [(-A) C] e^{\alpha V(t)} dt - \langle AX(t), \sqrt{C}dW(t) \rangle e^{\alpha V(t)}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{\|C\|}$. Consequently, we have that

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\alpha V(t)}) \leq e^{\alpha \|x\|^2} + \text{Tr} [(-A) C] \int_0^t \mathbb{E}(e^{\alpha V(s)}) ds$$

and the conclusion follows from the Gronwall lemma. \square

We now set, for any $b \in H$, $\eta^b(t, x) = D_x X(t, x) \cdot b$. It can be checked that $\eta^b(t, x)$ is a solution of the following problem

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \eta^b(t, x) = A \eta^b(t, x) + X(t, x) \cdot \nabla \eta^b(t, x) + \eta^b(t, x) \cdot \nabla X(t, x) \\ \eta^b(0, s) = b. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 3.2. *There exists $\kappa > 0$, $q \in (0, 2)$ such that*

$$(3.3) \quad \|\eta^b(t, x)\|^2 \leq e^{\kappa \int_0^t \|AX(s, x)\|^q ds} \|b\|^2, \quad x, b \in H.$$

PROOF. Multiplying both sides of (3.2) by $\eta^b(t, x)$ and taking into account (1.7) yields, for $p > 2$ and $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\eta^b(t, x)\|^2 + \|\eta^b(t, x)\|^2 &= b(\eta^b(t, x), X(t, x), \eta^b(t, x)) \leq \\ &\leq \|\nabla X(t, x)\|_{L^p(D)} \|\eta^b(t, x)\|^2_{L^q(D)} = \|\nabla X(t, x)\|_{L^p(D)} \|\eta^b(t, x)\|_{L^{2q}(D)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the Sobolev embedding theorem (1.10) there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\|\nabla X(t, x)\|_{L^p(D)} \leq c \|AX(t, x)\|$$

and

$$|\eta^b(t, x)|_{L^{2q}(D)} \leq c |\eta^b(t, x)|_{1-\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Moreover, in view of (1.11),

$$|\eta^b(t, x)|_{1-\frac{1}{q}} \leq c |\eta^b(t, x)|^{\frac{1}{q}} \|\eta^b(t, x)\|^{1-\frac{1}{q}}$$

and consequently

$$|\nabla X(t, x)|_{L^p(D)} |(\eta^b(t, x))^2|_{L^q(D)} \leq c |AX(t, x)| |\eta^b(t, x)|^{\frac{2}{q}} \|\eta^b(t, x)\|^{2(1-\frac{1}{q})}.$$

Since for any $a, b \geq 0$ the following inequality holds

$$ab \leq \frac{1}{q} a^q + \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) b^{\frac{q}{q-1}},$$

there exists $c' > 0$ such that

$$|\nabla X(t, x)|_{L^p(D)} |(\eta^b(t, x))^2|_{L^q(D)} \leq c' |AX(t, x)|^q |\eta^b(t, x)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\eta^b(t, x)\|^2.$$

Then by (3.4) we obtain that

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\eta^b(t, x)|^2 \leq 2c' |AX(t, x)|^q |\eta^b(t, x)|^2$$

and the conclusion follows. \square

The following corollary is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 3.2.

COROLLARY 3.3. *For any $\sigma > 0$ there exists $\kappa_\sigma > 0$ such that*

$$(3.5) \quad |\eta^b(t, x)|^2 \leq e^{\kappa_\sigma t + \sigma \int_0^t |AX(s, x)|^2 ds} |b|^2, \quad x, b \in H.$$

By Corollary 3.3 and Lemma 3.1 we obtain immediately the following basic estimate.

COROLLARY 3.4. *For any $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{\|C\|}$ there exists $\omega_\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbb{E}(|\eta^b(t, x)|^2) \leq e^{2\omega_\alpha t} e^{\alpha \|x\|^2} |b|^2, \quad t \geq 0 \quad x, b \in H.$$

4. m -DISSIPATIVITY

We now consider the transition semigroup P_t defined by (1.3) and a fixed invariant measure ν for P_t . It is well known that P_t can be uniquely extended to a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions in $L^2(H, \nu)$, still denoted by P_t . We shall denote by N its infinitesimal generator. We first prove that N is an extension of N_0 .

PROPOSITION 4.1. *For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H)$, we have $\varphi \in D(N)$ and $N\varphi = N_0\varphi$.*

PROOF. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H)$. Then by the Itô formula we have that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (P_h \varphi(x) - \varphi(x)) = N_0 \varphi(x), \quad x \in H.$$

Therefore, it is enough to show that

$$\sup_{b \in (0, 1]} \frac{1}{b} \|P_b \varphi - \varphi\|_{L^2(H, \nu)} < +\infty.$$

We have in fact, again by the Itô formula,

$$|P_b \varphi(x) - \varphi(x)| \leq \|\varphi\|_1 \int_0^b \mathbb{E}(|AX(s, x)| + |B(X(s, x))|) ds.$$

It follows that, by the invariance of ν , that

$$\|P_b \varphi - \varphi\|_{L^2(H, \nu)}^2 \leq b^2 \|\varphi\|_1^2 \int_H (|Ax| + |B(x)|)^2 \nu(dx) < +\infty,$$

thanks to (2.7). \square

Finally, we can prove the following result.

THEOREM 4.2. *Assume that $\text{Tr} [(-A)C] < \infty$ and that there exists an invariant measure ν for the transition semigroup P_t defined by (1.3). Let N be the infinitesimal generator of P_t in $L^2(H, \nu)$. Then N is the closure of the Kolmogorov operator N_0 defined by (1.4).*

Moreover, if $\varphi \in D(N)$ then $|C^{1/2} D\varphi| \in L^2(H, \nu)$ and

$$(4.1) \quad \int_H N\varphi \varphi d\nu = -\frac{1}{2} \int_H |C^{1/2} D\varphi|^2 d\nu.$$

PROOF. We have to prove that $\overline{N_0} = N$. Let $f \in C_b^1(H)$ and $\lambda > 0$. Since B_ε is bounded and regular, there exists a unique $\varphi_\varepsilon \in D(L) \cap C_b^1(H)$ such that

$$\lambda \varphi_\varepsilon(x) - L\varphi_\varepsilon(x) - \langle B_\varepsilon(x), D\varphi_\varepsilon(x) \rangle = f(x), \quad x \in H.$$

Moreover, φ_ε is given by

$$\varphi_\varepsilon(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}[f(X_\varepsilon(t, x))] dt, \quad x \in H.$$

Fix $\alpha \in (0, \|C\|^{-1})$. Then, in view of Corollary 3.4, it follows that for any $\lambda > \omega_\alpha$ we have

$$(D\varphi_\varepsilon(x), b) = \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} (Df(X_\varepsilon(t, x)), \eta_\varepsilon^b(t, x)) dt, \quad x, b \in H$$

and

$$|(D\varphi_\varepsilon(x), b)| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda - \omega_\alpha} e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\|x\|^2} \|f\|_1 |b|^2, \quad b, x \in H.$$

Thus, by the arbitrariness of b , we have that

$$(4.2) \quad |D\varphi_\varepsilon(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda - \omega_\alpha} e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\|x\|^2} \|f\|_1, \quad x \in H.$$

We now fix $\lambda > \omega_\alpha$.

Claim 1. We have $\varphi_\varepsilon \in D(\overline{N}_0)$ and

$$\lambda \varphi_\varepsilon - \overline{N}_0 \varphi_\varepsilon = (B_\varepsilon(x) - B(x), D\varphi_\varepsilon) + f.$$

In fact, by [4, Proposition 2.6], there exists a multisequence $\{\psi_{\overline{n}}\} \in \mathcal{E}_A(H)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\overline{n} \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{\overline{n}}(x) &= \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \quad x \in H, \\ \lim_{\overline{n} \rightarrow \infty} D\psi_{\overline{n}}(x) &= D\varphi_\varepsilon(x), \quad x \in H, \\ \lim_{\overline{n} \rightarrow \infty} L\psi_{\overline{n}}(x) &= L\varphi_\varepsilon(x), \quad x \in H. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 and the dominated convergence theorem, it follows that

$$\lim_{\overline{n} \rightarrow \infty} N_0 \psi_{\overline{n}}(x) = \overline{N}_0 \varphi_\varepsilon(x) = L\varphi_\varepsilon + \langle B_\varepsilon(x), D\varphi_\varepsilon \rangle, \quad x \in H.$$

So, Claim 1 is proved.

Claim 2. We have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (B_\varepsilon(x) - B(x), D\varphi_\varepsilon) = 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(H, \nu).$$

Once Claim 2 is proved, we deduce that the closure of the range of $\lambda - \overline{N}_0$ is dense in $L^2(H, \nu)$ and so, in view of a theorem by Lumer and Phillips, that $\overline{N}_0 = N$ as claimed.

To prove Claim 2, we notice that, taking into account (4.2), that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_H |(B_\varepsilon(x) - B(x), D\varphi_\varepsilon)|^2 d\nu &= \int_{\{\|x\| \geq 1/\varepsilon\}} |(B_\varepsilon(x) - B(x), D\varphi_\varepsilon)|^2 d\nu \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(\lambda - \omega_\alpha)^2} \|f\|_1^2 \int_{\{\|x\| \geq 1/\varepsilon\}} |B(x)|^2 \frac{\varepsilon \|x\|^2 - 1}{\varepsilon \|x\|^2} e^{\alpha|x|^2} d\nu \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(\lambda - \omega_\alpha)^2} \|f\|_1^2 \int_{\{\|x\| \geq 1/\varepsilon\}} |B(x)|^2 e^{\alpha|x|^2} d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it is enough to show that

$$(4.3) \quad \int_H |B(x)|^2 e^{\alpha|x|^2} \nu(dx) < +\infty.$$

We have in fact

$$|B(x)|^2 \leq \int_D |x|^2 |\nabla x|^2 d\xi \leq |Ax|^2 \|x\|^2.$$

It follows that if $\alpha' < \alpha$

$$\int_H |(B(x), D\varphi)|^2 \nu(dx) \leq \int_H |Ax|^2 \|x\|^2 e^{\alpha'|x|^2} d\nu \leq c \int_H |Ax|^2 e^{\alpha|x|^2} d\nu,$$

and the conclusion follows from (2.7). Finally, (4.1) follows integrating with respect to

ν the identity

$$N_0(\varphi^2) = 2\varphi N_0\varphi + |Q^{1/2}D\varphi|^2.$$

The proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] V. BARBU - G. DA PRATO, *The transition semigroup of stochastic Navier-Stokes equations in 2-D*. Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and related topics, to appear.
- [2] J. BRICMONT - A. KUPIAINEN - R. LEFEVERE, *Exponential mixing of the 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes dynamics*. Commun. Math. Phys., 230, n. 1, 2002, 87-132.
- [3] S. CERRAI, *A Hille-Yosida theorem for weakly continuous semigroups*. Semigroup Forum, 49, 1994, 349-367.
- [4] G. DA PRATO - L. TUBARO, *Some results about dissipativity of Kolmogorov operators*. Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 51, 126, 2001, 685-699.
- [5] G. DA PRATO - J. ZABCYK, *Second Order Partial Differential Equations in Hilbert spaces*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes n. 293, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [6] F. FLANDOLI, *Dissipativity and invariant measures for stochastic Navier-Stokes equations*. NoDEA, 1, 1994, 403-423.
- [7] F. FLANDOLI - B. MASLOWSKI, *Ergodicity of the 2-D Navier-Stokes equation under random perturbations*. Commun. Math. Phys., 171, 1995, 119-141.
- [8] S. KUKSIN - A. SHIRIKYAN, *A coupling approach to randomly forced nonlinear PDE's. I*. Commun. Math. Phys., 221, n. 2, 2001, 351-366.
- [9] S. KUKSIN - A. PIATNISKI - A. SHIRIKYAN, *A coupling approach to randomly forced nonlinear PDE's. II*. Commun. Math. Phys., 230, n. 1, 2002, 81-85.
- [10] R. TEMAM, *Navier-Stokes equations. Theory and numerical analysis*. North-Holland, 1977.
- [11] E. WEINAN - J.C. MATTINGLY - YA. SINAI, *Gibbsian dynamics and ergodicity for the stochastically forced Navier-Stokes equation*. Commun. Math. Phys., 224, n. 1, 2001, 83-106.

Pervenuta l'8 settembre 2003,
in forma definitiva il 30 ottobre 2003.

V. Barbu:
University of Iasi
6600 IASI (Romania)
barbu@uaic.ro

G. Da Prato:
Scuola Normale Superiore
Piazza dei Cavalieri, 7 - 56126 Pisa
daprato@sns.it

A. Debussche:
Ecole Normale Supérieure de Cachan
Antenne de Bretagne, Campus de Ker-Lann
35170 BRUZ (Francia)
debussche@bretagne.ens-cachan.fr