
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE LINCEI CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI

RENDICONTI LINCEI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI

M. CHIARA TAMBURINI, PAOLA ZUCCA

On a question of M. Conder

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Serie 9, Vol. 11 (2000), n.1, p. 5–7.

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN_2000_9_11_1_5_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 2000.

Teoria dei gruppi. — *On a question of M. Conder.* Nota (*) di M. CHIARA TAMBURINI e PAOLA ZUCCA, presentata dal Socio C. De Concini.

ABSTRACT. — We show that the special linear group $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$, over the integers, is not $(2, 3)$ -generated. This gives a negative answer to a question of M. Conder.

KEY WORDS: Linear Groups; Simple groups; $(2, 3)$ -generation.

RIASSUNTO. — *Su un problema di M. Conder.* Dimostriamo che il gruppo speciale lineare $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$, sugli interi, non è $(2, 3)$ -generato.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently M. Conder raised the question whether the special linear group $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$, over the integers, is $(2, 3)$ -generated. It appears as Problem 14.49 in the 14^o edition of the Kourovka Notebook [8]. The aim of this paper is to show that this question has a negative answer. Such an outcome is not so obvious as, for all primes p , the groups $SL(3, p)$ are $(2, 3)$ -generated. This fact can easily be deduced from the result that $PSL(3, q)$ is $(2, 3)$ -generated for all prime powers $q \neq 4$ (see [1, 3]).

Our motivation is founded on the vast literature concerning the $(2, 3)$ -generation problem. So we find it appropriate to mention some relevant results in this area. We recall that a group G is said to be $(2, 3)$ -generated if it can be generated by an involution and an element of order 3. Equivalently if it is a non-trivial epimorphic image of $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, by a well-known result (see, for example, [10, p. 164]). Furthermore, for each natural number k , G is said to be $(2, 3, k)$ -generated if it admits a $(2, 3)$ -generating pair (X, Y) such that XY has order k . First of all we mention a remarkable paper [4], where it is shown that, for all series of finite simple groups of Lie type (with the exception of $PSp(4, q)$, $q = 2^m$ or 3^m), a generic involution and a generic element of order 3 generate the group with probability 1. On the other hand, recent constructive results show that the family of $(2, 3, k)$ -generated groups is very large. In fact, for each prime $k \geq 7$, there are 2^{\aleph_0} isomorphism classes of simple $(2, 3, k)$ -generated groups (see [5, 7, 12]). In particular, most finite classical groups of large rank are $(2, 3, 7)$ -generated [6].

It has been shown in [7, 11] that the special linear group $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is $(2, 3)$ -generated for all $n \geq 28$ and, indeed, that it is $(2, 3, 7)$ -generated for all $n \geq 287$. On the other hand, if $n = 2, 4$, the groups $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ and $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ are not $(2, 3)$ -generated. This assertion is trivial for $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, since the only involution is the central one. Considering the epimorphism $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow GL(2, 2) \simeq \text{Sym}(3)$ it is easy to see

(*) Pervenuta in forma definitiva all'Accademia il 16 settembre 1999.

that $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ contains a normal subgroup of index 4. It follows that $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ has an abelian quotient of order 4, and thus it cannot be $(2, 3)$ -generated. Finally the groups $\text{SL}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\text{GL}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ have $\text{SL}(4, 2) \simeq \text{Alt}(8)$ as an epimorphic image. And Miller [9], in 1901, showed that $\text{Alt}(8)$ is not $(2, 3)$ -generated. For sake of completeness we also mention that $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is not $(2, 3, 7)$ -generated for all $n \leq 19$ and $n = 22$ (cf. [2]).

2. PROOF OF THE RESULT

As usual, we let $\text{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$ act on the right on the free abelian group \mathbb{Z}^3 , consisting of row vectors, with canonical basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$. In the following p denotes a prime and $f_p: \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(3, p)$ the obvious homomorphism. We will make repeated use of the fact that $\text{Im} f_p$ contains $\text{SL}(3, p)$. In particular, for all primes p , $\text{Im} f_p$ is absolutely irreducible (in both actions on row and column vectors) and it is not contained in the group of isometries of any non-zero bilinear form.

THEOREM. *The groups $\text{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\text{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$ are not $(2, 3)$ -generated.*

PROOF. Assume, by contradiction, $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle A, B \rangle$ with $A^2 = B^3 = I$. Clearly $\det A = -1$ and $\det B = 1$. In particular A fixes pointwise a 2-dimensional subspace W of \mathbb{Q}^3 . It follows easily that, up to conjugation in $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$, we may assume $W \cap \mathbb{Z}^3 = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$. Since B cannot be scalar, it admits the eigenvalue 1. So let $0 \neq w = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ be such that $wB = w$. The irreducibility of $\text{Im} f_p$, for all primes p , implies $\lambda_3 = \pm 1$. It follows that $\{e_1, e_2, w\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{Z}^3 . Hence, we may assume:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ a & b & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for suitable coprime integers a, b . Now let $z, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $az + bt = 1$ and consider the matrix $X = \text{block diag}\left(\begin{pmatrix} z & -b \\ t & a \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)$. Conjugating A and B by X we get:

$$\text{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle A^X, B^X \rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} r & v & * \\ s & u & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

Clearly $v = \pm 1$, by the irreducibility of $\text{Im} f_p$ in the dual action on column vectors, and $\begin{pmatrix} r & \pm 1 \\ s & u \end{pmatrix}$ has order 3 and trace -1. Setting $Y = \text{block diag}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -r & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)$ and substituting B with B^{-1} if necessary, one easily obtains:

$$\text{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle A^{XY}, B^{XY} \rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & x \\ -1 & -1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

where x and y are suitable coprime integers. Now consider the matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 2(2x+y) & 2(y-x) & 2x+y \\ 2(y-x) & (y+x-4)(y-x) & y-x \\ 2x+y & y-x & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and set $\bar{A} = A^{XY}$, $\bar{B} = B^{XY}$. A direct calculation shows that $\bar{A}\bar{A}' = J$ and $\bar{B}\bar{B}' = J$, whenever $y(2x + y - 6) = 0$. This means that, if p divides $y(2x + y - 6)$, then $\text{Im} f_p$ is a group of isometries with respect to the bilinear form induced by $f_p(J)$. It follows $f_p(J) = 0$, hence $p = 3$, $x \equiv y \pmod{3}$. But, in this case, $\text{Im} f_3$ would fix the subspace $\langle (1, -1, 0) \rangle$ of \mathbb{Z}_3^3 , a contradiction. It follows $y = \pm 1$, $2x + y - 6 = \pm 1$ and we are left with 4 possibilities. Assume first $x = 3$, $y = 1$ or $x = 4$, $y = -1$. Then $\text{Im} f_{13}$ would fix the subspace $\langle (1, -xy, 0) \rangle$ of \mathbb{Z}_{13}^3 , against the irreducibility. Finally, if $x = 2$, $y = 1$ or $x = 3$, $y = -1$, then $\text{Im} f_7$ would fix the subspace $\langle (1, -xy, 0) \rangle$ of \mathbb{Z}_7^3 , a final contradiction. We have thus shown that $\text{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$ is not $(2, 3)$ -generated.

Noting that $\text{GL}(2m + 1, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{SL}(2m + 1, \mathbb{Z}) \times \langle -I \rangle$ it follows immediately that $\text{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$ is not $(2, 3)$ -generated. In fact any $(2, 3)$ -generating couple (C, D) of $\text{SL}(2m + 1, \mathbb{Z})$ gives rise to the $(2, 3)$ -generating couple $(-C, D)$ of $\text{GL}(2m + 1, \mathbb{Z})$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. COHEN, *On non-Hurwitz groups*. Glasgow Math. J., 22, 1981, 1-7.
- [2] L. DI MARTINO - M. C. TAMBURINI - A. ZALESSKII, *On Hurwitz groups of low rank*. To appear.
- [3] D. GARBE, *Über eine Klasse von arithmetisch definierbaren Normalteilern der Modulgruppe*. Math. Ann., 235, 1978, 195-215.
- [4] M. W. LIEBECK - A. SHALEV, *Classical groups, probabilistic methods and the $(2, 3)$ -generation problem*. Ann. Math., 144, 1996, 77-125.
- [5] A. LUCCHINI, *$(2, 3, k)$ -generated groups of large rank*. Arch. Math. (Basel), 73, n. 4, 1999, 241-248.
- [6] A. LUCCHINI - M. C. TAMBURINI, *Classical groups of large rank as Hurwitz groups*. J. Algebra, 219, 1999, 531-546.
- [7] A. LUCCHINI - M. C. TAMBURINI - J. S. WILSON, *Hurwitz groups of large rank*. To appear.
- [8] V. D. MAZUROV - E. I. KHUKHRO, *Unsolved Problems in Group Theory*. 14^o edition, The Kourouka Notebook, Novosibirsk 1999.
- [9] G. A. MILLER, *On the groups generated by two operators*. Bull. AMS, 7, 1901, 424-426.
- [10] D. ROBINSON, *The Theory of Groups*. Springer-Verlag 1982.
- [11] M. C. TAMBURINI - J. S. WILSON - N. GAVIOLI, *On the $(2, 3)$ -generation of some classical groups I*. J. Algebra, 168, 1994, 353-370.
- [12] J. S. WILSON, *Simple images of triangle groups*. Quart. J. Math. Oxford, Ser. (2), 50, n. 200, 1999, 523-531.

Pervenuta il 5 luglio 1999,
in forma definitiva il 16 settembre 1999.

M. C. Tamburini:
Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica
Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore
Via Trieste, 17 - 25121 BRESCIA
C.Tamburini@dmf.bs.unicatt.it

P. Zucca:
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università degli Studi di Palermo
Via Archirafi, 34 - 90123 PALERMO