

RENDICONTI LINCEI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI

GIUSEPPE ZAMPIERI

Existence and regularity of solutions of the $\bar{\delta}$ -system on wedges of \mathbb{C}^N

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Serie 9, Vol. 10 (1999), n.4, p. 271–278.

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN_1999_9_10_4_271_0

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1999.

Funzioni di variabile complessa. — *Existence and regularity of solutions of the $\bar{\partial}$ -system on wedges of \mathbb{C}^N .* Nota di GIUSEPPE ZAMPIERI, presentata (*) dal Corrisp. C. De Concini.

ABSTRACT. — For a wedge W of \mathbb{C}^N , we introduce two conditions of weak q -pseudoconvexity, and prove that they entail solvability of the $\bar{\partial}$ -system for forms of degree $\geq q + 1$ with coefficients in $C^\infty(W)$ and $C^\infty(\bar{W})$ respectively. Existence and regularity for $\bar{\partial}$ in W is treated by Hörmander [5, 6] (and also by Zampieri [9, 11] in case of piecewise smooth boundaries). Regularity in \bar{W} is treated by Henkin [4] (strong q -pseudoconvexity by the method of the integral representation), Dufresnoy [3] (full pseudoconvexity), Michel [8] (constant number of negative eigenvalues), and Zampieri [10] (more general q -pseudoconvexity and wedge type domains). This is an announcement of our papers [10, 11]; it contains refinements both in statements and proofs and, mainly, a parallel treatment of regularity in W and \bar{W} . All our techniques strongly rely on the method of L^2 estimates by Hörmander [5, 6].

KEY WORDS: L^2 estimates; Cauchy-Riemann system; C.R. structures.

RIASSUNTO. — *Esistenza e regolarità delle soluzioni del sistema $\bar{\partial}$ in «wedges» di \mathbb{C}^N .* Si introducono due condizioni di q -pseudoconvessità debole per un «wedge» di \mathbb{C}^N , e si dimostra che esse sono sufficienti per la risolubilità del sistema $\bar{\partial}$ per forme di grado $\geq q + 1$ a coefficienti in $C^\infty(W)$ e $C^\infty(\bar{W})$ rispettivamente. Esistenza e regolarità in W per il $\bar{\partial}$ sono trattate da Hörmander [5, 6] (e anche da Zampieri [9, 11] per bordi C^2 a tratti). Regolarità in \bar{W} è trattata da Henkin [4] (q -pseudoconvessità forte con il metodo della rappresentazione integrale), Dufresnoy [3] (pseudoconvessità «completa»), Michel [8] (costanza del numero di autovalori negativi) e Zampieri [10] (q -pseudoconvessità più generale e domini di tipo «wedge»). Questa è una nota preliminare agli articoli [10, 11]; contiene miglioramenti negli enunciati e nelle dimostrazioni e, soprattutto, una trattazione parallela della regolarità in W e \bar{W} . Tutte le tecniche qui impiegate si basano profondamente sul metodo delle stime L^2 introdotto da Hörmander in [5, 6].

Let W be a wedge of \mathbb{C}^N defined, in a neighborhood of a point $z_0 \in \partial W$ by $r_j < 0$, $j = 1, \dots, l$ with $\partial r_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \partial r_l \neq 0$. We shall use the following notations: M_j will denote the hypersurfaces $\{r_j = 0\}$, \widehat{M}_j the «faces» $M_j \cap \partial W$, R the union of the «wedges» $\{r_j = 0, r_i = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j\}$. $\bar{\partial}\partial r_j$ (resp. $\bar{\partial}\partial r_j|_{\partial r_j^\perp}$) will denote the Levi form of the function r_j (resp. of the hypersurface M_j) where ∂r_j^\perp denotes the plane orthogonal to ∂r_j i.e. the complex tangent plane to M_j . We shall formulate two different conditions of weak q -pseudoconvexity. For an orthonormal system of $(1, 0)$ -forms $\omega' = \{\omega_1 \dots \omega_q\}$ on ∂W at z_0 whose dual tangent derivations $\partial_{\omega'}$ verify $\text{Span}\{\partial_{\omega'}\}|_{\widehat{M}_i} \subset T^{1,0}M_i \forall i$, which are $C^0(\partial W) \cap C^2(\partial W \setminus R)$ with bounded first and second derivatives, and for an orthonormal completion ω'' (possibly different on each

(*) Nella seduta del 14 maggio 1999.

M_i), we have

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}\partial r_i(z)(\bar{v}'', v') &= 0, \quad \bar{\partial}\partial r_i(z)(\bar{v}'', v') \geq 0, \\ \forall v = (v', v'') \in \partial r_i^\perp \quad (= \mathbb{C}^{N-1}) \quad \forall z \in \widehat{M}_i \cap U. \end{aligned}$$

(Here $\{U\}$ denotes a system of neighborhoods of z_o). We shall also deal with a slight improvement of (1):

$$(2) \quad \text{We have (1) and } \bar{\partial}\partial r_i(z)(\bar{v}'', v'') \geq \bar{\partial}\partial r_i(z)(\bar{v}', v') \quad \forall z \in \widehat{M}_i \quad \forall |v'|=1, |v''|=1.$$

Note that (1) means that $\text{Span}\{\partial_{\omega'_j}\}$ is engendered by a system of eigenvectors (the first q in case of (2)) which contains all negative ones.

REMARK 1. It shall be clear from our proofs that we can allow a «thin» set R' of C^0 -discontinuity for the coefficients of the forms $\{\omega'_j\}$ i.e. a set verifying codimension $_{\partial W} R' \geq 2$.

Let us discuss our conditions (1) and (2) by means of some examples. We assume that W is a half-space $\{r < 0\}$ with C^4 -boundary $M = \{r = 0\}$, denote by $\mu_1(z) \leq \mu_2(z) \dots$ the ordered eigenvalues of the Levi form $L_M(z) := \bar{\partial}\partial r(z)|_{\partial r(z)^\perp}$ and let $s^+(z), s^-(z), s^0(z)$ be the numbers of its positive, negative and null eigenvalues respectively. With these notations it is clear that a sufficient condition for (2) is

$$(3) \quad \mu_q(z) < \mu_{q+1}(z) \text{ and } \mu_{q+1}(z) \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in M.$$

As for (3) three many cases are given.

- (a) $q = N - 1 - s^+(z_o)$ (strong q -pseudoconvexity). In this case (3) clearly holds.
- (b) $q = s^-(z_o)$. The first of (3) holds but the second generally fails.
- (c) $q \equiv s^-(z) \quad \forall z$. Then (3) clearly holds.

We can also easily exhibit an example, in \mathbb{C}^4 in which (1) holds (for $q = 2$) but (2) fails:

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \{z \in \mathbb{C}^4 : x_1 > -|z_2|^2 + x_2|z_3|^2\} \\ \text{Span}\{\partial_{\omega'_j}\} &= \text{the projection of } \text{Span}\{\partial_{z_2}, \partial_{z_3}\} \text{ on } T^{1,0}M. \end{aligned}$$

We come back to the general case of a wedge W and aim to rephrase (1) and (2) into properties for an exhaustion function of W . We choose complex coordinates $z = x + \sqrt{-1}y$ in \mathbb{C}^N and represent ∂W as a graph $x_1 = h(y_1, z_2, \bar{z}_2, \dots)$, W as $x_1 > h$ and each M_i as $x_1 = h_i$. We put $r = -x_1 + h$, $\delta = -r$ and define $\phi = -\log \delta + \lambda|z|^2$ (λ a large constant to be fixed in the following). Let $S := R + \mathbb{R}_{x_1} = \{z \in W : h_i = h_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$. S is a manifold with boundary whose conormal n_S at generic points verifies

$$n_S = \frac{\partial(h_i - h_j)}{|\partial(h_i - h_j)|} \left(= \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{\partial r}{|\partial r|}\right) \right) \text{ the «jump» of } \frac{\partial r}{|\partial r|} \text{ between the «}i \text{ and } j \text{ sides of } S\text{»}.$$

We shall deal with vectors $(w_j)_J$ ($J = (j_1 \dots j_k)$) with alternate complex coefficients. We also extend the forms $\{\omega'_j\}$ of (1), (2) from ∂W to W in a neighborhood of z_o by prescribing a constant value on the fibers of the projection $W \rightarrow \partial W \quad z \mapsto z^*$ along the

x_1 -axis and complete to a full system of forms ω', ω'' in $T^{1,0}X|_W$. (Here the forms ω'' have rank $N - q$ and not $N - 1 - q$ as was the case of (1), (2)). We shall denote by ϕ_{ji} the matrix of $\bar{\partial}\partial\phi$ in the basis ω', ω'' .

THEOREM 2. (i) Let (1) hold. Then for $k \geq q + 1$, we have (in a neighborhood of z_0 on W):

$$(4) \quad \sum_{|K|=k-1 \text{ or } j \geq q+1} \phi_{ji} \bar{w}_{jK} w_{iK} \geq \lambda |w|^2 \quad \forall z \in W \setminus S, \forall (w_j).$$

(ii) Let (2) hold. Then for $k \geq q + 1$, we have :

$$(5) \quad \sum_{|K|=k-1} \sum_{ij=1, \dots, N} \phi_{ji} \bar{w}_{jK} w_{iK} - \sum_{|J|=k} \sum_{j \leq q} \phi_{jj} |w_j|^2 \geq \lambda |w|^2 \quad \forall z \in W \setminus S, \forall (w_j).$$

PROOF. We begin by the proof of (ii) which is more involved. We first observe that

$$(6) \quad \bar{\partial}\partial r(z)|_{\partial r(z)^\perp} = \bar{\partial}\partial r(z^*)|_{\partial r(z^*)^\perp} \quad \forall z \in W,$$

where $z \mapsto z^*$ is the projection along \mathbb{R}_{x_1} . Let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$ and $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots$ denote the eigenvalues of $\bar{\partial}\partial\phi$ and $\bar{\partial}\partial r|_{\partial r^\perp}$ respectively. Note that

$$(7) \quad \bar{\partial}\partial\phi = \delta^{-1} \bar{\partial}\partial r + \delta^{-2} \bar{\partial}r \wedge \partial r + \lambda d\bar{z} \wedge dz.$$

In particular by (6), (7) the eigenvalues of $\bar{\partial}\partial\phi(z)|_{\partial r(z)^\perp}$ are $\delta^{-1} \mu_j(z^*) + \lambda$. Also, by (7) if ∂^τ and ∂^ν denote the derivatives of type $(1, 0)$ normal to (resp. parallel to) ∂r on $W \setminus S$, then we have for a suitable c :

$$(8) \quad \bar{\partial}\partial\phi \geq \delta^{-1} \bar{\partial}^\tau \partial^\tau r - c d\bar{z}^\tau \wedge dz^\tau + \lambda d\bar{z} \wedge dz.$$

It follows

$$(9) \quad \sum_{j=1, \dots, k} \lambda_j(z) \geq \delta^{-1} \sum_{j=1, \dots, k} \mu_j(z^*) + (\lambda - c)k,$$

and

$$(10) \quad \sum_{j=1, \dots, q} \phi_{jj}(z) = \delta^{-1} \sum_{j=1, \dots, q} \mu_j(z^*) + \lambda q.$$

In conclusion the left hand side (I) of (5) verifies (for $|w| = 1$)

$$(I) \geq \left(\sum_{j=1, \dots, k} \lambda_j(z) - \sum_{j=1, \dots, q} \phi_{jj}(z) \right) \geq \delta^{-1} \sum_{j=q+1, \dots, k} \mu_j(z^*) + \lambda(k - q) - ck \geq \geq \lambda' \quad (\text{for suitable } \lambda \text{ and for a new } \lambda').$$

(i): Let us put $w'_K = (w_{jK})_{j \leq q}$, $w''_K = (w_{jK})_{j \geq q+1}$. Then (8) implies, on account of (6) and (1)

$$(11) \quad \sum_{i \text{ or } j \geq q+1} \phi_{ji} \bar{w}_{jK} w_{iK} \geq -c(|w'_K|^2 + |w''_K|^2) + \lambda |w''_K|^2.$$

Observe now that any J with $J = k \geq q + 1$ can be written as $J = jK$ for some $j \geq q + 1$; hence $\sum'_{|K|=k-1} |w''_K|^2 \geq c'|w|^2$. It follows that if we take summation of (11) over K we get (4) for a new λ . \square

THEOREM 3. (i) *Let W be a wedge of \mathbb{C}^N at z_0 which satisfies (1). Then for any $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form f of degree $k \geq q + 1$ with $C^\infty(W \cap U)$ -coefficients, there is a solution u of degree $k - 1$ with $C^\infty(W \cap U)$ -coefficients to the equation $\bar{\partial}u = f$.*

(ii) *Let W satisfy (2) at z_0 . Then the same statement as above holds for forms with $C^\infty(\bar{W} \cap U)$ -coefficients.*

PROOF OF THEOREM 3 (ii). We start by (ii) which is more difficult. We denote by $L^2_\phi(W)$ (ϕ a real positive function) the space of square integrable functions on W in the measure $e^{-\phi} dV$ (dV being the Euclidean element of volume). We denote by $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ the norm in the above space. We denote by $L^2_\phi(W)^k$ the space of $(0, k)$ -forms $f = \sum'_{|J|=k} f_J \bar{\omega}_J$ with coefficients f_J in $L^2_\phi(W)$. (Here \sum' denotes summation over ordered indices, $\{\omega_j\}$ denotes a basis of $(1, 0)$ forms, and finally $\bar{\omega}_J = \bar{\omega}_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\omega}_{j_k}$). Also the forms ω_j 's are supposed to fulfill all assumptions in Theorem 2 and in particular have bounded first and second derivatives in $\bar{W} \cap U$. We denote by (ϕ_{ji}) the matrix of the Hermitian form $\bar{\partial}\partial\phi$ in the chosen basis. If ψ is another real positive function, which shall be fixed according to our future need, we shall deal with the complex of closed densely defined operators

$$(12) \quad L^2_{\phi-2\psi}(W)^{k-1} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} L^2_{\phi-\psi}(W)^k \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} L^2_\phi(W)^{k+1}.$$

We denote by $\bar{\partial}^*$ the adjoint of $\bar{\partial}$ and also define the operator $\delta_{\omega_j}(\cdot) = e^\phi \partial_{\omega_j}(e^{-\phi}\cdot)$. We have

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}^* f &= - \sum'_{|K|=k-1} \sum_{j=1, \dots, N} e^{-\psi} \delta_{\omega_j}(f_{jK}) \bar{\omega}_K - \sum'_{|K|=k-1} \sum_{j=1, \dots, N} e^{-\psi} f_{jK} \partial_{\omega_j} \psi \bar{\omega}_K + e^{-\psi} R_f \\ \bar{\partial} f &= \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j=1, \dots, N} \bar{\partial}_{\omega_j}(f_J) \bar{\omega}_j \wedge \bar{\omega}_J + R_f, \end{aligned}$$

where R_f are errors which involve products of the f_j 's by derivatives of coefficients of the ω_j 's. By means of (13) we then get the following estimate which generalizes [6, (4.2.8)]

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum'_{|K|=k-1} \sum_{ij=1, \dots, N} \int_W e^{-\phi} (\delta_{\omega_i}(f_{iK}) \overline{\delta_{\omega_j}(f_{jK})} - \bar{\partial}_{\omega_j}(f_{iK}) \overline{\bar{\partial}_{\omega_i}(f_{jK})}) dV + \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j=1, \dots, N} \int_W e^{-\phi} \\ |\bar{\partial}_{\omega_j}(f_J)|^2 dV \leq 3 \|\bar{\partial}^* f\|_{\phi-2\psi}^2 + 2 \|\bar{\partial} f\|_\phi^2 + c \|f\|_\phi^2 + 3 \|\partial\psi\|_\phi^2, \end{aligned}$$

where c depends on the sup-norm of the derivatives of the coefficients of the ω_j 's over the support of f . Since these are bounded in $\bar{W} \cap U$ (maybe with a smaller $U' \subset\subset U$),

then there is an uniform $c \forall f \in C_c^\infty(W \cap U)^k$. We have the commutation relations

$$(15) \quad [\delta_{\omega_i}, \bar{\partial}_{\omega_j}] = \partial_{\bar{\omega}_j} \partial_{\omega_i} \phi + \sum_b c_{ji}^b \partial_{\omega_b} - \sum_b \bar{c}_{ij}^b \partial_{\bar{\omega}_b} = \phi_{ji} + \sum_b c_{ji}^b \delta_{\omega_b} - \sum_b \bar{c}_{ij}^b \partial_{\bar{\omega}_b},$$

for suitable functions c_{ji}^b . We apply (15) to all terms in the first sum on the left of (14) and to the terms with $j \leq q$ in the second. We obtain, if f belongs to $C_c^\infty(W)^k$:

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum'_{|K|=k-1} \sum_{ij=1, \dots, N} \cdot + \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j=1, \dots, N} \cdot = \\ & = \left(\sum'_{|K|=k-1} \sum_{ij=1, \dots, N} \int_{\Omega} e^{-\phi} \phi_{ji} f_{iK} \bar{f}_{jK} dV - \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j \leq q} \int_{\Omega} e^{-\phi} \phi_{jj} |f_j|^2 dV \right) + \\ & + \left(\sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j \leq q} \|\delta_{\omega_j} f_j\|_{\phi}^2 + \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j \geq q+1} \|\bar{\partial}_{\omega_j} f_j\|_{\phi}^2 \right) + \\ & + \left(\sum'_{|K|=k-1} \sum_{ij=1, \dots, N} \int_S e^{-\phi} J(\partial_{\omega_i} \phi) \bar{n}_j f_{iK} \bar{f}_{jK} dS - \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j \leq q} \int_S e^{-\phi} J(\partial_{\omega_j} \phi) \bar{n}_j |f_j|^2 dS \right) + \\ & + \text{Error} , \end{aligned}$$

where the error term has the estimate

$$(17) \quad |\text{Error}| \leq \left(\sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j \leq q} \|\delta_{\omega_j} f_j\|_{\phi}^2 + \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j \geq q+1} \|\bar{\partial}_{\omega_j} f_j\|_{\phi}^2 \right) + c \|f\|_{\phi}^2 ,$$

(where c depends now also on the second derivatives of the coefficients of the ω_i 's). Note that $n' = 0$ whence $\sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j \leq q} \int_S \cdot dS = 0$. Also, since $n = \frac{\mathcal{J}(\partial\phi)}{|\mathcal{J}(\partial\phi)|}$, then $\sum_{ij=1, \dots, N} \mathcal{J}(\partial_{\omega_i} \phi) \bar{n}_j f_{iK} \bar{f}_{jK}$ is a square; hence the third term on the right of (16) is positive (thus negligible). Assume that ϕ satisfies (5) of Theorem 2 on the whole W . Then by (16) we have the estimate:

$$(18) \quad \sum'_{|K|=k-1} \sum_{ij=1, \dots, N} \cdot + \sum'_{|J|=k} \sum_{j=1, \dots, N} \cdot \geq \lambda \|f\|_{\phi}^2 - c \|f\|_{\phi}^2 .$$

By plugging together (14) and (18) we get with a new c

$$(19) \quad \lambda \|f\|_{\phi}^2 \leq 3 \|\bar{\partial}^* f\|_{\phi-2\psi}^2 + 2 \|\bar{\partial} f\|_{\phi}^2 + c \|f\|_{\phi}^2 + 3 \|\partial\psi f\|_{\phi}^2 \quad \forall f \in C_c^\infty(W)^k .$$

We fix now a compact subset $K \subset\subset W$, and choose ψ according to [6, Lemma 4.1.3]; (in particular we can choose $\psi|_K \equiv 0$). This ensures density of C_c^∞ into L^2 -forms; hence now (19) holds for L^2 instead of C_c^∞ forms. We assume w.l.o.g. that $K = \{\phi \leq n\}$; we replace the above ϕ by

$$\chi(\phi) + (3 + c)|z|^2 ,$$

where χ is a positive convex function of a real argument t which satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \chi(t) \equiv 0, & \text{for } t \leq n \\ \dot{\chi}(t) \geq \sup_{\{z:\phi(z)\leq t\}} \frac{3(|\partial\psi|^2 + e^\psi - 1)}{\lambda}, & \text{for } t \geq n. \end{cases}$$

Under this choice of ϕ and ψ we conclude, for $k \geq q + 1$,

$$(20) \quad \|f\|_{\phi-\psi}^2 \leq \|\bar{\partial}^* f\|_{\phi-2\psi}^2 + \|\bar{\partial} f\|_{\phi}^2 \quad \forall f \in D_{\bar{\partial}}^k \cap D_{\bar{\partial}^*}^k,$$

where $D_{\bar{\partial}}^k$ and $D_{\bar{\partial}^*}^k$ are the domains in $L_{\phi}^2(W)^k$ of $\bar{\partial}$ and $\bar{\partial}^*$ respectively. Moreover for any compact subset $K \subset\subset \Omega$, we may choose $\psi|_K \equiv 0$ and $\phi|_K \equiv c|z|^2$ where we still write c instead of $3 + c$. Let us point out that the estimate (20), with the additional condition $\phi|_K \equiv c|z|^2$, will be the main ingredient of our proof. Let us recall that it was obtained by assuming that ϕ satisfies (5) on the whole $W \setminus S$.

END OF PROOF OF THEOREM 3 (ii). We come back to our wedge W which satisfies (2). We suppose W be locally defined by $-x_1 + b < 0$ and then set $W_{\nu} = \{-x_1 + b < \frac{\eta^{2\nu}}{2}\}$ for $0 < \eta < \frac{1}{2}$. Let U_{ν} (resp. U) be the sphere with center z_0 and radius $\rho + \frac{\eta^{2\nu}}{2}$ (resp. ρ) with ρ small. By an easy variant of Theorem 2 (ii), the functions $\phi := -\log(-r + \frac{\eta^{2\nu}}{2}) + \lambda|z|^2 + \log(-|z - z_0|^2 + (\rho + \frac{\eta^{2\nu}}{2})^2)$ will be exhaustion functions for the domains $W_{\nu} \cap U_{\nu}$ which satisfy (5) (globally). Thus (20) holds on each $W_{\nu} \cap U_{\nu}$. This easily implies that for $k \geq q + 1$ and for any form $f \in L_{c|z|^2}^2(W_{\nu} \cap U_{\nu})^k$ with $\bar{\partial} f = 0$, there exists $u \in L_{c|z|^2}^2(W_{\nu} \cap U_{\nu})^{k-1}$ such that

$$(21) \quad (\bar{\partial} u = f, \bar{\partial}^* u = 0) \quad \|u\|_{c|z|^2}^2 \leq \|f\|_{c|z|^2}^2.$$

We note now that

$$(22) \quad \{z \in \mathbb{C}^N : \text{dist}(z, W) < \eta^{2\nu+1}\} \subset W_{\nu} \subset \left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^N : \text{dist}(z, W) < \frac{\eta^{2\nu}}{2}\right\},$$

(in a neighborhood of z_0). According to [3] we can show that (21) implies, by the aid of (22), that for $k \geq q + 1$, for $f_{\nu} \in C^{\infty}(\overline{W_{\nu} \cap U_{\nu}})^k$ with $\bar{\partial} f_{\nu} = 0$, there is $u_{\nu} \in C^{\infty}(\overline{W_{\nu+1} \cap U_{\nu+1}})^{k-1}$ such that

$$(23) \quad (\bar{\partial} u_{\nu} = f_{\nu}, \bar{\partial}^* u_{\nu} = 0) \quad \|u_{\nu}\|_{(s+1)} \leq \frac{M_s}{\eta^{2\nu+1(s+1)}} \|f_{\nu}\|_{(s)},$$

(where $\|u_{\nu}\|_{(s+1)}$ (resp. $\|f_{\nu}\|_{(s)}$) are the norms in the Sobolev spaces $H^{s+1}(W_{\nu+1} \cap U_{\nu+1})$ (resp. $H^s(W_{\nu} \cap U_{\nu})$).

We are ready to conclude. Let $f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{W} \cap U_1)^k$ satisfy $\bar{\partial} f = 0$. Extend f to \tilde{f} such that

$$\|\bar{\partial} \tilde{f}\|_{(s)} \leq M_r \eta^{r2\nu} \text{ on } W_{\nu} \cap U_{\nu} \text{ for any } r, s \text{ and for suitable } M_r.$$

However \tilde{f} is no more $\bar{\partial}$ -closed. To overcome this problem we take a solution h_{ν} on

$W_{\nu+1} \cap U_{\nu+1}$ of

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\partial} h_\nu = \bar{\partial} \tilde{f} \\ \|h_\nu\|_{(s+1)} \leq M_s (\eta^{2\nu+1})^{-s-1} \|\bar{\partial} \tilde{f}\|_{(s)}, \end{cases}$$

provided by (23). Now $\bar{\partial}(\tilde{f} - h_\nu) = 0$. We then solve on W_2 the equation $\bar{\partial} g_1 = \tilde{f} - h_1$, and, inductively on $W_{\nu+2} \cap U_{\nu+2}$:

$$\bar{\partial} g_{\nu+1} = h_\nu - h_{\nu+1},$$

with the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_{\nu+1}\|_{(s+2)} &\leq M_{s+1} (\eta^{2\nu+2})^{-(s+2)} \|h_\nu - h_{\nu+1}\|_{(s+1)} \leq M'_s (\eta^{2\nu+2})^{-2s-3} M_r \eta^{r2\nu} \leq \\ &\leq M'_r \frac{1}{2^\nu} \quad (r, \nu \text{ large}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\sum_{\nu=1}^\infty g_\nu$ converges in $C^\infty(\bar{W} \cap U)$ and solves on $\bar{W} \cap U$ the equation:

$$\bar{\partial} \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^\infty g_\nu \right) = \tilde{f} - \lim_{\nu} h_\nu = \tilde{f}. \quad \square$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 3 (i). We shall prove that if there is an exhaustion function ϕ which satisfies (4) globally on $W \setminus S$, then an estimate of type (20) will still hold. But in this case we shall have $\phi|_K = c|z|^2$, $c = c_K$; i.e. c will be no more uniform on compact subsets of \bar{W} . However this suffices for $C^\infty(W)$ regularity of $\bar{\partial}$ [5, 6]. We recall (14) and decompose the term in the left side as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum'_K \sum_{ij} \cdot + \sum'_J \sum_j \cdot &= \sum'_K \sum_{i \text{ or } j \geq q+1} \cdot + (1 - \epsilon) \left(\sum'_K \sum_{i,j \leq q} \cdot + \sum'_J \sum_{j \leq q} \cdot \right) + \\ &+ \epsilon \sum'_K \sum_{i,j \leq q} \cdot + \left(\epsilon \sum'_J \sum_{j \leq q} \cdot + \sum'_J \sum_{j \geq q+1} \cdot \right). \end{aligned}$$

We apply (15) to the first term in the right

$$\begin{aligned} \sum'_K \sum_{i \text{ or } j \geq q+1} \cdot &= \sum'_K \sum_{i \text{ or } j \geq q+1} \int_W e^{-\phi} \phi_{j\bar{i}} \bar{f}_{jK} f_{iK} dV + \\ &+ \sum'_K \sum_{i \text{ or } j \geq q+1} \int_S e^{-\phi} \mathcal{J}(\partial_{\omega_i} \phi) \bar{n}_{j\bar{i}K} \bar{f}_{jK} dS + \text{Error}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the projection of $n = \frac{\mathcal{J}(\partial\phi)}{|\mathcal{J}(\partial\phi)|}$ on the plane of $\text{Span}\{\partial_{\omega_i}\}$ is 0. Hence the term which involves $\int_S \cdot$ is a square. On the other hand if ϕ satisfies (4) we have

$$\sum'_K \sum_{i \text{ or } j \geq q+1} \int_W e^{-\phi} \phi_{j\bar{i}} \bar{f}_{jK} f_{iK} dV \geq \lambda \|f\|_\phi^2.$$

We remark now that $(1 - \epsilon)(\cdot)$ equals $\|\bar{\partial}^* f\|_\phi^2 + \|\bar{\partial} f\|_\phi^2$ up to a term $\|\partial\psi|f\|_\phi^2 + \text{Error}$. Also if ν is an upper bound for the $|\phi_{j\bar{i}}|$ for $i, j \leq q$, then $\epsilon(\cdot) \geq -\epsilon\nu \|f\|_\phi^2 +$

+ $\epsilon \sum_f' \sum_{ij \leq q} \|\bar{\partial}_{\omega_j} f_j\|_{\phi}^2$ + Error. Collecting all together:

$$(\lambda - \epsilon\nu)\|f\|_{\phi}^2 \leq 3\|\bar{\partial}^* f\|_{\phi-2\psi}^2 + 2\|\bar{\partial} f\|_{\phi}^2 + \epsilon^{-1}c\|f\|_{\phi}^2 + 4\|\partial\psi\|_{\phi}^2.$$

We then choose $\epsilon = \frac{\lambda}{2\nu}$ and replace ϕ by $\chi(\phi) + 6|z|^2$ where

$$\chi(t) \geq \sup_{\{z: \phi(z) \leq t\}} \frac{2}{\lambda} \left(\frac{2\nu c}{\lambda} + 4|\partial\psi| + 3e^{\psi} - 3 \right).$$

This gives the same estimate as (20) (but with no uniform control for ϕ). With this estimate in hands we get existence in L^2 and then gain of one derivative for solutions of the system $(\bar{\partial}, \bar{\partial}^*)$, in the same way as in Theorem 3 (ii). This entails existence in C^{∞} . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R.A. AIRAPETYAN - G.M. HENKIN, *Integral representation of differential forms on Cauchy-Riemann manifolds and the theory of CR-functions*. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk., 39 (3), 1984, 39-106.
- [2] A. ANDREOTTI - H. GRAUERT, *Théorèmes de finitude pour la cohomologie des espaces complexes*. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 90, 1962, 193-259.
- [3] A. DUFRESNOY, *Sur l'opérateur $\bar{\partial}$ et les fonctions différentiables au sens de Whitney*. Ann. Inst. Fourier, 29 (1), 1979, 229-238.
- [4] G.M. HENKIN, *H. Lewy's equation and analysis on pseudoconvex manifolds (Russian)*. I. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk., 32 (3), 1977, 57-118.
- [5] L. HÖRMANDER, *L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator*. Acta Math., 113, 1965, 89-152.
- [6] L. HÖRMANDER, *An introduction to complex analysis in several complex variables*. Van Nostrand, Princeton N.J. 1966.
- [7] J.J. KOHN, *Regularity at the boundary of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 49, 1963, 206-213.
- [8] V. MICHEL, *Sur la régularité C^{∞} du $\bar{\partial}$ au bord d'un domaine de \mathbb{C}^n dont la forme de Levi a exactement s valeurs propres strictement négatives*. Math. Ann., 195, 1993, 131-165.
- [9] G. ZAMPIERI, *L^2 -estimates with Levi-singular weight, and existence for $\bar{\partial}$* . J. d'Analyse Math., 74, 1998, 99-112.
- [10] G. ZAMPIERI, *Solvability of $\bar{\partial}$ with C^{∞} regularity up to the boundary on wedges of \mathbb{C}^N* . Israel J. of Math., 1999, in press.
- [11] G. ZAMPIERI, *C^{∞} solvability of the $\bar{\partial}$ system on wedges of \mathbb{C}^N* . Preprint 1998.

Pervenuta il 23 ottobre 1998,
in forma definitiva il 13 maggio 1999.

Dipartimento di Matematica
Università degli Studi di Padova
Via Belzoni, 7 - 35131 PADOVA
zampieri@math.unipd.it