ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE LINCEI CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI

RENDICONTI LINCEI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI

Gabriella Di Blasio

Time and space Sobolev regularity of solutions to homogeneous parabolic equations

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Serie 9, Vol. 9 (1998), n.2, p. 89–94. Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN_1998_9_9_2_89_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

> Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica) SIMAI & UMI http://www.bdim.eu/

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1998.

Analisi matematica. — *Time and space Sobolev regularity of solutions to homogeneous parabolic equations.* Nota di Gabriella Di Blasio, presentata (*) dal Corrisp. G. Da Prato.

ABSTRACT. — We give necessary and sufficient conditions on the initial data such that the solutions of parabolic equations have a prescribed Sobolev regularity in time and space.

KEY WORDS: Parabolic equations; Sobolev regularity; Interpolation spaces.

RIASSUNTO. — Regolarità di Sobolev nel tempo e nello spazio per soluzioni di equazioni paraboliche. In questo lavoro si caratterizzano i dati iniziali per cui le soluzioni di equazioni paraboliche hanno un'assegnata regolarità di Sobolev rispetto al tempo e allo spazio.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open bounded set with regular boundary $\partial \Omega$ and let *E* be a second order uniformly elliptic operator in Ω ; *i.e.*,

(1.1)
$$Eu := \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (a_{i,j}(x)u_{x_i})_{x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (b_i(x)u)_{x_i} + c(x)u .$$

See [1, 2] for the precise assumptions on Ω and the coefficients of *E*. We want to study the Sobolev regularity, with respect to time and space, of the solutions of the following problem:

(1.2)
$$u_t(t, x) = Eu(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in]0, T] \times \Omega$$

(1.3)
$$u(t, x) = 0$$
, $(t, x) \in]0, T] \times \partial \Omega$

(1.4)
$$u(0, x) = u_0(x)$$
 , $x \in \Omega$.

Here we consider second order operators and Dirichlet boundary conditions only for clarity of exposition. Using the same methods we could study operators of order 2m with more general boundary conditions.

It is known (see e.g. [8]) that for p > 1 the solution u of (1.2)-(1.4) satisfies

$$u_t$$
, $Eu \in L^p(]0, T[\times \Omega)$

if and only if $u_0 \in H^{2-2/p,p}_*(\Omega)$, where $H^{\alpha,p}_*$ denote Sobolev or Besov spaces (see (2.4)).

In this paper we give necessary and sufficient conditions on u_0 such that the solutions of (1.2)-(1.4) have the Sobolev time regularity

(1.5)
$$\partial^k u / \partial t^k \in W^{\alpha, p}(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$$

for given $k \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Here $W^{\alpha, p}(0, T; L^{p}(\Omega))$, if

(*) Nella seduta del 13 marzo 1998.

 $\alpha < 1$, denotes the Sobolev space of functions $u \in L^p(]0$, $T[\times \Omega)$ satisfying

(1.6)
$$\int_0^T \int_0^T \|u(t, \cdot) - u(s, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p |t-s|^{-1-\alpha p} \, ds \, dt < +\infty \; .$$

In addition we prove that property (1.5) is equivalent to a Sobolev space regularity for the solutions u of (1.2)-(1.4), *i.e.* we prove

(1.7)
$$\partial^k u / \partial t^k \in W^{\alpha, p}(0, T; L^p(\Omega)) \Leftrightarrow \partial^k u / \partial t^k \in L^p(0, T; H^{2\alpha, p}_*(\Omega)) .$$

Therefore we give also a characterization of the initial data such that problem (1.2)-(1.4) admits solutions with prescribed Sobolev space regularity.

2. Sobolev regularity

Let *E* and Ω be defined as in section 1 (all the results of this section, with obvious modifications, remain valid if we consider the case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$). We study problem (1.2)-(1.4) in a L^p setting, $1 \le p < \infty$, with respect to time and space.

We say that a function $u \in L^p(]0$, $T[\times\Omega)$ is a solution of (1.2), (1.3) if for each $\epsilon > 0$ the function $t \to u(t, \cdot)$ is differentiable, in the sense of distributions, from $[\epsilon, T]$ into $L^p(\Omega)$ and $u_t \in L^p(]\epsilon$, $T[\times\Omega)$. In addition u satisfies $u(t, \cdot) \in H_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $Eu(t, \cdot) \in L^p(\Omega)$ for a.e. $t \in]\epsilon$, T[and $u_t(t, x) = Eu(t, x)$ for a.e. $(t, x) \in]\epsilon$, $T[\times\Omega)$.

Given $u_0 \in Y$, for some function space Y, we say that a solution u of (1.2)-(1.3) satisfies (1.4) if $\lim_{t\to 0} u(t, \cdot) = u_0(\cdot)$ in Y. Here we are interested in characterizing those spaces Y of initial data for which the solutions of (1.2)-(1.4) exhibit Sobolev regularity in time up to 0, *i.e.*,

$$\partial^k u/\partial t^k \in W^{\alpha,p}(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$$

for given $k \ge 0$ and $0 < \alpha \le 1$ (see (1.6)).

We proceed as follows. We denote by Λ the realization of E, with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions, in the space $L^{p}(\Omega)$,

(2.1)
$$\begin{cases} D(\Lambda) = \{ u \in H_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : Eu \in L^p(\Omega) \} \\ \Lambda u = Eu \end{cases}$$

where Eu is understood in the sense of distributions. It is known that the operator Λ generates an analytic semigroup on $L^{p}(\Omega)$ (see *e.g.* [9]) and that, if p > 1, we have

(2.2)
$$D(\Lambda) = H_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap H^{2,p}(\Omega) .$$

Using (2.1) we can rewrite the initial boundary value problem (1.2)-(1.4) as a Cauchy problem in the space $L^{p}(\Omega)$ (see *e.g.* [9])

(2.3)
$$\begin{cases} u'(t) = \Lambda u(t) , t \in]0, T] \\ u(0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

As Λ generates an analytic semigroup we can study (2.3) using the theory of abstract parabolic equations (see Appendix). To do this we recall the characterization of some interpolation and extrapolation spaces generated by Λ . Without loss of generality we

assume, for simplicity in notation, that there exists $\omega < 0$ such that each λ in the spectrum of Λ satisfies $\mathbb{R}e \lambda < \omega$.

Let h = 1, 2 and $0 < \theta < h$. We denote by $(L^p(\Omega), D(\Lambda^h))_{\theta/h,p}$ the real interpolation spaces of $L^p(\Omega)$ and $D(\Lambda^h)$, generated by the K-method (see *e.g.* [3, sec. 3.2]). For h = 1 we have the characterization

(2.4)
$$(L^{p}(\Omega), D(\Lambda))_{\theta, p} = H^{2\theta, p}_{*}(\Omega) := \begin{cases} H^{2\theta, p}(\Omega) , & \theta \in]0, 1/2[\\ \{u \in B^{1, p}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^{p}}{d(x, \partial\Omega)} dx < +\infty\}, & \theta = 1/2\\ H^{2\theta, p}_{0}(\Omega) , & \theta \in]1/2, 1[.4] \end{cases}$$

Here $H^{2\theta,p}(\Omega)$ are the Sobolev spaces of fractional order, $B^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is the Besov space and $d(x, \partial \Omega)$ is the distance from x to $\partial \Omega$. For p = 2 we have

(2.5)
$$H_*^{1,2}(\Omega) = H_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

Property (2.4) is a consequence of (2.2) if p > 1 and it is proved in [5] if p = 1.

If h = 2 the description of the spaces $(L^{p}(\Omega), D(\Lambda^{2}))_{\theta/2,p}$, is more complicate. As an example let us consider the simple case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^{n}$, $E = \Delta - I$ and p > 1. In this case we eliminate the boundary condition (1.3) and have $D(\Lambda) = H^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$. Hence

$$(L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}), D(\Lambda^{2}))_{\theta/2, p} = (L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}), H^{4, p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))_{\theta/2, p} = Lip(2\theta, 4, p; L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))$$

where $Lip(2\theta, 4, p; L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))$ are the generalized Lipschitz spaces (see *e.g.* [3, Theorem 4.3.4]).

We now define the spaces $H^{2\theta,p}_*(\Omega)$ also for nonpositive exponent $-1 < \theta \leq 0$. We set for $u \in L^p(\Omega)$

(2.6)
$$\|u\|_{2\theta,p} := \begin{cases} \|\Lambda^{-1}u\|_{H^{2+2\theta,p}_{*}}, & \text{if } \theta \in]-1, 0[\\ \|\Lambda^{-1}u\|_{(L^{p}(\Omega), D(\Lambda^{2}))_{1/2,p}}, & \text{if } \theta = 0 \end{cases}$$

and denote by $H^{2\theta,p}_*(\Omega)$ the completion of the space $\{u \in L^p(\Omega) : ||u||_{2\theta,p} < +\infty\}$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{2\theta,p}$.

It can be seen that if $\theta < 0$ then $L^p(\Omega) \subseteq H^{2\theta,p}_*(\Omega)$ and hence $H^{2\theta,p}_*(\Omega)$ are called *extrapolation* spaces. The relationship between $L^p(\Omega)$ and $H^{0,p}_*(\Omega)$ is not known in general. For p = 1, 2 we have (see [6]) $H^{0,1}_*(\Omega) \subseteq L^1(\Omega)$ and

(2.7)
$$H^{0,2}_*(\Omega) = L^2(\Omega)$$

If $p \neq 1$, 2 the characterization of $H^{0,p}_*(\Omega)$ is known only in the case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ and we have $H^{0,p}_*(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $p \leq 2$ and $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq H^{0,p}_*(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if $p \geq 2$ (see [10]). We refer to [6] and [7] for more details and proofs.

We now can apply the results of the Appendix to problem (2.3). From (2.4), (2.6) and Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. The following properties are equivalent

- (i) Problem (1.2)-(1.4) admits a solution $u \in W^{\alpha,p}(0, T; L^{p}(\Omega))$,
- $(ii) \quad u_0 \in H^{2\alpha-2/p,p}_*(\Omega)) \ .$

In addition if (i) or (ii) holds, then we also have $u \in L^p(0, T; H^{2\alpha, p}_*(\Omega))$ if $\alpha < 1$ or $u \in L^p(0, T; D(\Lambda))$ if $\alpha = 1$.

From (2.4) and Theorem 3.2 we obtain the next result.

THEOREM 2.2. Let $0 < \alpha \le 1$ and $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$. The following properties are equivalent (i) Problem (1.2)-(1.4) admits a solution u satisfying $\partial^n u / \partial t^n \in W^{\alpha,p}(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$

(*ii*) $u_0 \in D(\Lambda^{n-1})$ and $E^{n-1}u_0 \in H^{2+2\alpha-2/p,p}_*(\Omega)$, if $\alpha < 1/p$, $E^{n-1}u_0 \in (L^p(\Omega), D(\Lambda^2))_{1/2,p}$, if $\alpha = 1/p$; $u_0 \in D(\Lambda^n)$ and $E^nu_0 \in H^{2\alpha-2/p,p}_*(\Omega)$, if $\alpha > 1/p$.

In addition if (i) or (ii) holds, then $\partial^n u/\partial t^n \in L^p(0, T; H^{2\alpha, p}_*(\Omega))$, if $\alpha < 1$ or $\partial^n u/\partial t^n \in L^p(0, T; D(\Lambda))$, if $\alpha = 1$.

Finally in the case p = 2 and $\alpha = 2^{-1}$, using (2.5), (2.7) and Theorems 2.1, 2.2 we obtain

THEOREM 2.3. For each $k \ge 0$ the following properties are equivalent.

- (i) Problem (1.2)-(1.4) admits a solution u satisfying $\partial^k u/\partial t^k \in W^{1/2,2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$
- (*ii*) $u_0 \in D(\Lambda^k)$. In addition if (i) or (ii) holds, then we also have $\partial^k u / \partial t^k \in L^2(0, T; H_0^{1,2}(\Omega))$.

3. Appendix

Let $A: D(A) \subset X \to X$ be the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup on a Banach space X and consider the following abstract parabolic equation

(3.1)
$$u'(t) = Au(t) , t > 0 .$$

By a solution of (3.1) in the sense of L^p , $1 \le p < \infty$, we mean a function $u \in W^{1,p}(\epsilon, T; X) \cap L^p(\epsilon, T; D(A))$ satisfying u'(t) = Au(t) for a.e. $t \in]\epsilon$, T[and for each $\epsilon > 0$.

We want to characterize those spaces Y of initial data for which the solutions of (3.1) satisfying the initial condition

$$\lim_{t \to 0} u(t) = x \quad \text{in } Y$$

exhibit Sobolev regularity up to 0 for given $k \ge 0$ and $0 < \alpha \le 1$, *i.e.*

$$u \in W^{k+\alpha,p}(0, T;X)$$

Here $W^{k+\alpha,p}(0, T; X)$, if $\alpha < 1$, is the space of functions $u \in W^{k,p}(0, T; X)$ satisfying

$$\int_0^T \int_0^T \|u^{(k)}(t) - u^{(k)}(s)\|_X^p |t-s|^{-1-\alpha p} dt ds < +\infty$$

The characterization of such initial data requires the introduction of two families of spaces, called interpolation and extrapolation spaces. For simplicity we assume, without

loss of generality for our pourposes, that A generates a semigroup of negative type. Hence there exists $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$.

For given h = 1, 2 and $0 < \theta < h$ we denote by $(X, D(A^{b}))_{\theta/h,p}$ the real interpolation spaces of X and $D(A^{b})$, generated by the K-method (see *e.g.* [3, sec. 3.2]), and by $\|\cdot\|_{\theta,h,p}$ the corresponding norm. We set

(3.3)
$$\|x\|_{\theta,p} := \begin{cases} \|A^{-1}x\|_{1+\theta,1,p} , & \text{if } \theta \in]-1, 0 \\ \|A^{-1}x\|_{1,2,p} , & \text{if } \theta = 0 \\ \|x\|_{\theta,1,p} , & \text{if } \theta \in]0, 1[\end{cases}$$

and define $X_{\theta,p}$ as the completion of the space { $x \in X : ||x||_{\theta,p} < +\infty$ } with respect to $|| \cdot ||_{\theta,p}$. Then $X_{\theta,p} = (X, D(A))_{\theta,p}$ if $\theta \in]0$, 1[whereas if $\theta < 0$ we have $X \subseteq X_{\theta,p}$. For this reason $X_{\theta,p}$, with $\theta < 0$, are called *extrapolation* spaces (see [4]). If $\theta = 0$, the space $X_{0,p}$ may be an intermediate or extrapolation space. In the case where X is a Hilbert space and A is selfadjoint, we have

(3.4)
$$X_{0,2} = X$$

See [6] and [7, Sect. 3] for a detailed description and proofs of the properties of these spaces.

The following theorems characterize the space Y in (3.2) for which the solutions of (3.1), (3.2) have Sobolev regularity.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. The following properties are equivalent.

(i) Problem (3.1), (3.2) admits a solution $u \in W^{\alpha,p}(0, T; X)$

(*ii*)
$$x \in X_{\alpha-1/p,p}$$

In addition if (i) or (ii) holds, then we also have $u \in L^p(0, T; X_{\alpha,p})$ if $\alpha < 1$ and $u \in L^p(0, T; D(A))$ if $\alpha = 1$.

PROOF. See [7, Theorem 5.9]. \Box

THEOREM 3.2. Let $0 < \alpha \le 1$. For each $n \ge 1$ the following properties are equivalent.

- (i) Problem (3.1), (3.2) admits a solution satisfying $u \in W^{n+\alpha,p}(0, T; X)$
- (ii) $A^{n-1}x \in X_{1+\alpha-1/p,p}$ if $\alpha < 1/p$, $A^{n-1}x \in X_{1,2,p}$ if $\alpha = 1/p$, $A^n x \in X_{\alpha-1/p,p}$ if $\alpha > 1/p$.

In addition if (i) or (ii) holds, then we also have $u^{(n)} \in L^p(0, T; X_{\alpha,p})$ if $\alpha < 1$ and $u^{(n)} \in L^p(0, T; D(A))$ if $\alpha = 1$.

PROOF. See [7, Theorem 5.10].

Finally, if X is a Hilbert space and A is selfadjoint the results for the case p = 2and $\alpha = 2^{-1}$ may be written as follows.

THEOREM 3.3. Let X be a Hilbert space and let A be selfadjoint. Then for each $k \ge 0$ the following properties are equivalent.

- (i) Problem (3.1), (3.2) admits a solution satisfying $u \in W^{k+1/2,2}(0, T; X)$
- (*ii*) $x \in D(A^k)$.

In addition if (i) or (ii) holds, then we also have $u^{(k)} \in L^2(0, T; X_{1/2-2})$.

PROOF. The results follow from Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and from (3.3), (3.4).

This work is partially supported by MURST 40% «Equazioni differenziali» and by MURST «Equazioni differenziali: metodi analitici, geometrici e funzionali e applicazioni».

References

- [1] S. AGMON, On the eigenfunctions and on the eigenvalues of general elliptic boundary value problems. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 15, 1962, 119-147.
- [2] H. AMANN, Dual semigroups and second order linear boundary value problems. Israel J. Math., 45, 1983, 225-254.
- [3] P. BUTZER H. BERENS, Semigroups of operators and approximation. Springer-Verlag, New York 1967.
- [4] G. DA PRATO P. GRISVARD, Maximal regularity for evolution equation by interpolation and extrapolation. J. Funct. Anal., 58, 1984, 107-124.
- [5] G. DI BLASIO, Analytic semigroups generated by elliptic operators in L^1 and parabolic equations. Osaka J. Math., 28, 1991, 367-384.
- [6] G. DI BLASIO, *Limiting case for interpolation spaces of holomorphic semigroups*. Semigroup Forum, Springer, to appear.
- [7] G. DI BLASIO, Sobolev regularity of solutions to homogeneous abstract parabolic equations. Preprint Dip. di Matematica «G. Castelnuovo», Roma 1997.
- [8] O. A. LADYZENSKAJA V. A. SOLONNIKOV N. N. URALCEVA, Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic type. Amer. Math. Soc., 1968.
- [9] A. PAZX, Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations. Appl. Math. Sciences, 44, Springer, 1983.
- [10] M. H. TAIBLESON, On the theory of Lipschitz spaces of distributions on Euclidean n-spaces. J. Math. Mech., 13, 1964, 407-479.

Pervenuta il 12 dicembre 1997,

Dipartimento di Matematica «G. Castelnuovo» Università degli Studi di Roma «La Sapienza» Piazzale A. Moro, 5 - 00185 Roma diblasio@axcasp.caspur.it

in forma definitiva il 20 febbraio 1998.