
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE LINCEI CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI

RENDICONTI LINCEI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI

GIUSEPPE DA PRATO

Characterization of the domain of an elliptic operator of infinitely many variables in $L^2(\mu)$ spaces

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Serie 9, Vol. 8 (1997), n.2, p. 101–105.

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN_1997_9_8_2_101_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1997.

Analisi matematica. — *Characterization of the domain of an elliptic operator of infinitely many variables in $L^2(\mu)$ spaces. Nota (*) del Corrisp. GIUSEPPE DA PRATO.*

ABSTRACT. — We consider an elliptic operator associated to a Dirichlet form corresponding to a differential stochastic equation of potential form. We characterize the domain of the operator as a subspace of $W^{2,2}(\mu)$, where μ is the invariant measure of the differential stochastic equation.

KEY WORDS: Elliptic equations; Kolmogorov equations; Dirichlet forms.

RIASSUNTO. — *Caratterizzazione del dominio di un operatore ellittico con infinite variabili in spazi $L^2(\mu)$. Si considera un operatore ellittico associato alla forma di Dirichlet corrispondente a un'equazione differenziale stocastica di tipo potenziale. Si caratterizza il dominio dell'operatore come un sottospazio di $W^{2,2}(\mu)$, dove μ è la misura invariante dell'equazione differenziale stocastica.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H, K be separable Hilbert spaces with K continuously and densely embedded on H . We are given a linear operator $A: D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ and a nonlinear mapping $U: K \rightarrow H$, such that

HYPOTHESIS 1. (i) A is self-adjoint and there exists $\omega > 0$ such that $\langle Ax, x \rangle_H \leq -\omega |x|_H^2$, for all $x \in D(A)$.

(ii) $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_1(H)$ ⁽¹⁾.

(iii) $U \in C^2(K; H)$ and

$$\langle D^2 U(x)y, y \rangle_H \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in K.$$

We set $Q = (-1/2)A^{-1}$, and denote by $\mu_0 = \mathcal{N}(0, Q)$, the Gaussian measure with mean 0 and covariance operator Q . We set moreover

$$\mu(x) = e^{-2U(x)} \mu_0(dx).$$

As well known, see e.g. [3], μ and ν are the invariant measures of the stochastic systems⁽²⁾

$$(1.1) \quad dZ = AZ dt + dW_t,$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad dX = (AX - DU(X)) dt + dW_t,$$

respectively. We denote by P_t , $t \geq 0$, the transition semigroup in $L^2(H; \nu)$ correspond-

(*) Presentata nella seduta del 7 marzo 1997.

(1) $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is the Banach algebra of all linear bounded operators on H , endowed with the sup norm $\|\cdot\|$. By $\mathcal{L}_1(H)$ (norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_1(H)}$) we mean the Banach space of all trace-class operators on H , and by $\mathcal{L}_2(H)$ (norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H)}$) the Hilbert space of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators in H . If $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(H)$, the trace of T is denoted by $\text{Tr } T$.

(2) By W_t , we mean the cylindrical white noise on H .

ing to equation (1.2), and by \mathcal{A}_U its infinitesimal generator, formally defined as

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{A}_U \varphi = (1/2) \operatorname{Tr}[D^2 \varphi] + \langle Ax - DU(x), D\varphi \rangle_H.$$

The Dirichlet form corresponding to P_t , $t \geq 0$:

$$a(\varphi, \psi) = \int_H \langle D\varphi(x), D\psi(x) \rangle \mu(dx),$$

has been extensively studied, see e.g. [5]. Instead, the domain of \mathcal{A}_U , as a linear operator on $L^2(H; \mu)$, has not been studied at our knowledge. When $U = 0$ a characterization of \mathcal{A}_0 , was presented in [1, 2] and when H is finite-dimensional case in [4]. The main goal of this paper is to characterize the domain of \mathcal{A}_U for a general U .

We will use the following notations. We shall denote by $\{e_k\}$ a complete orthonormal system of eigenvectors of A and by $\{-\mu_k\}$ the corresponding sequence of eigenvalues:

$$Ae_k = -\mu_k e_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We have clearly

$$Qe_k = \lambda_k e_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\lambda_k = -\mu_k^{-1}/2$.

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $D_k \varphi$ the derivative of φ in the direction of e_k , and we set $x_k = \langle x, e_k \rangle_H$, $x \in H$. It is well known that D_k is closable. We shall still denote by D_k its closure.

We finally recall the definition of Sobolev spaces. We denote by $W^{1,2}(H; \mu)$ the linear space of all functions $\varphi \in L^2(H; \mu)$ such that $D_k \varphi \in L^2(H; \mu)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\int_H |D\varphi(x)|^2 \mu(dx) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_H |D_k \varphi(x)|^2 \mu(dx) < +\infty.$$

$W^{1,2}(H; \mu)$, endowed with the inner product

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_1 = \int_H \varphi(x) \psi(x) \mu(dx) + \int_H \langle D\varphi(x), D\psi(x) \rangle_H \mu(dx),$$

is a Hilbert space.

In a similar way we can define the Sobolev space $W^{2,2}(H; \mu)$ consisting of all functions $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(H; \mu)$ such that $D_b D_k \varphi \in L^2(H; \mu)$ for all $b, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\int_H \|D^2 \varphi(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H)}^2 \mu(dx) = \sum_{b, k=1}^{\infty} \int_H |D_b D_k \varphi(x)|^2 \mu(dx) < +\infty.$$

$W^{2,2}(H; \mu)$, endowed with the inner product

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_2 &= \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_1 + \sum_{b, k=1}^{\infty} \int_H D_b D_k \varphi(x) D_b D_k \psi(x) \mu(dx) = \\ &= \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_1 + \int_H \langle D^2 \varphi(x), D^2 \psi(x) \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_2(H)} \mu(dx) \end{aligned}$$

is a Hilbert space.

We shall also need some weighted Sobolev spaces. Let $B: D(B) \subset H \rightarrow H$ be a self-adjoint operator such that

$$\langle Bx, x \rangle_H \geq \beta |x|^2,$$

for some $\beta > 0$. Then we consider the linear operator D_B in $L^2(H; \mu)$:

$$D_B \varphi(x) = \sqrt{B} D\varphi(x), \quad x \in H,$$

defined on all $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(H; \mu)$ such that $D\varphi(x) \in D(\sqrt{B})$ μ -a.e. and $\sqrt{B} D\varphi \in L^2(H; \mu)$. It is easy to see that D_B is closable; we still denote by D_B its closure. We define $W_B^{1,2}(H; \mu)$ as the domain of the closure of $D(B)$. $W_B^{1,2}(H; \mu)$, endowed with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{W_B^{1,2}(H; \mu)}^2 = \int_H (|\varphi(x)|^2 + |\sqrt{B} D\varphi(x)|^2) \mu(dx),$$

is a Banach space.

2. THE MAIN RESULT

We start with an identity relating operator \mathcal{A}_U with the Dirichlet form

$$a(\varphi, \psi) = \int_H \langle D\varphi(x), D\psi(x) \rangle_H \nu(dx),$$

see also [5].

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Assume that Hypothesis 1 holds. Then for any $\varphi \in D(\mathcal{A}_U)$ one has*

$$(2.1) \quad \int_H (\mathcal{A}\varphi)(x) \varphi(x) \nu(dx) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_H |D\varphi(x)|^2 \nu(dx).$$

PROOF. It is enough to prove the identity

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} I := \int_H \langle Ax - DU(x), D\varphi(x) \rangle_H \varphi(x) \nu(dx) &= \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_H \text{Tr}[D^2 \varphi(x)] \varphi(x) \nu(dx) - \frac{1}{2} \int_H |D\varphi(x)|^2 \nu(dx), \end{aligned}$$

for cylindrical functions. Let $\varphi \in L^2(H; \mu)$ be a cylindrical function:

$$\varphi(x) = \psi(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Borel function. Denoting by Q_n the orthogonal projection of Q on the linear subspace of H spanned by e_1, \dots, e_n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} [\det Q_n]^{-1/2} \int_{H_n} \langle Ax - DU(x), D\psi(x) \rangle_H \psi(x) e^{\langle Ax, x \rangle_H - 2U(x)} dx \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot (2\pi)^{-n/2} [\det Q_n]^{-1/2} \int_{H_n} \langle De^{\langle Ax, x \rangle_H - 2U(x)}, D\psi(x) \rangle_H \psi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot (2\pi)^{-n/2} [\det Q_n]^{-1/2} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_H \langle D_k e^{\langle Ax, x \rangle_H - 2U(x)}, D_k \psi(x) \rangle_H \psi(x) dx \cdot \\
& \cdot (2\pi)^{-n/2} [\det Q_n]^{-1/2} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_H [D_k^2 \psi(x) \psi(x) + |D_k \psi(x)|^2] e^{\langle Ax, x \rangle_H - 2U(x)} dx = \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} \int_H [\text{Tr}[D^2 \psi(x)] \psi(x) + |D\psi(x)|^2] \nu(dx).
\end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to give a characterization of $D(\mathcal{A}_U)$. We write \mathcal{A}_U as

$$(2.3) \quad (\mathcal{A}_U \varphi)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=1}^{\infty} D_b^2 \varphi(x) - \sum_{b=1}^{\infty} \mu_b x_b D_b \varphi(x) - \sum_{b=1}^{\infty} D_b U(x) D_b \varphi(x).$$

THEOREM 2.2. *Assume that Hypothesis 1 holds. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.4) \quad D(\mathcal{A}_U) = \left\{ \varphi \in W^{2,2}(H; \mu) \cap W_A^{1,2}(H; \mu): \right. \\
\left. \int_H \langle D^2 U(x) D\varphi(x), D\varphi(x) \rangle_H \mu(dx) < +\infty \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. It is enough to prove the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \int_H \|D^2 \varphi(x)\|_{\mathbb{L}_2(H)}^2 \mu(dx) - \int_H \langle D\varphi(x), AD\varphi(x) \rangle \mu(dx) = \\
& = 2 \int_H |f(x)|^2 \mu(dx) - 2 \int_H f(x) \left\langle Ax + \frac{1}{2} Q^{-1}x, D\varphi(x) \right\rangle \mu(dx).
\end{aligned}$$

By differentiating (2.3) with respect to x_j , we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}(D_j \varphi)(x) - \mu_j D_j \varphi(x) + \sum_{b=1}^{\infty} D_j D_b U(x) D_b \varphi(x) = D_j f(x).$$

Multiplying both sides by $D_j \varphi(x)$, integrating with respect to μ and recalling (2.1) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_H |DD_j \varphi(x)|^2 \mu(dx) + \mu_j \int_H |D_j \varphi(x)|^2 \mu(dx) = \\
& = \sum_{b=1}^{\infty} \int_H D_j D_b U(x) D_b \varphi(x) \nu(dx) - \int_H D_j \varphi(x) D_j f(x) \nu(dx).
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up on j we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_H \|D^2 \varphi(x)\|_{\mathbb{L}_2(H)}^2 \mu(dx) - \int_H \langle D\varphi(x), AD\varphi(x) \rangle \mu(dx) + \\
& + \int_H \langle D\varphi(x), D^2 U(x) D\varphi(x) \rangle \mu(dx) = \int_H f(x) \{ \text{Tr}[D^2 \varphi(x)] - \langle Q^{-1}x, D\varphi(x) \rangle \} \mu(dx),
\end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] G. DA PRATO, *Perturbations of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups*. Preprint Scuola Normale Superiore n. 39, Pisa 1996; Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico di Trieste, to appear.
- [2] G. DA PRATO, *Regularity results for Kolmogorov equations in $L^2(H, \mu)$ spaces and applications*. Preprint Scuola Normale Superiore n. 40, Pisa 1996; Ukrainian Mathematical Journal, to appear.
- [3] G. DA PRATO - J. ZABCZYK, *Ergodicity for infinite dimensions*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [4] A. LUNARDI, *On the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator in L^2 spaces with respect to invariant measures*. Preprint Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa 1995; Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [5] Z. M. MA - M. ROCKNER, *Introduction to the theory of (non symmetric) Dirichlet forms*. Springer-Verlag, 1992.

Scuola Normale Superiore
Piazza dei Cavalieri, 7 - 56126 Pisa