

RENDICONTI LINCEI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI

YAKOV BERKOVICH

On the number of solutions of equation $x^{p^k} = 1$ in a finite group

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Serie 9, Vol. 6 (1995), n.1, p. 5–12.

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN_1995_9_6_1_5_0

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1995.

Teoria dei gruppi. — *On the number of solutions of equation $x^{p^k} = 1$ in a finite group.*
Nota di YAKOV BERKOVICH, presentata (*) dal Socio G. Zappa.

ABSTRACT. — Theorem A yields the condition under which the number of solutions of equation $x^{p^k} = 1$ in a finite p -group is divisible by p^{n+k} (here n is a fixed positive integer). Theorem B which is due to Avinoam Mann generalizes the counting part of the Sylow Theorem. We show in Theorems C and D that congruences for the number of cyclic subgroups of order p^k which are true for abelian groups hold for more general finite groups (for example for groups with abelian Sylow p -subgroups).

KEY WORDS: Finite groups; p -subgroups; p -elements.

RIASSUNTO. — *Sul numero delle soluzioni dell'equazione $x^{p^k} = 1$ in un gruppo finito.* Il Teorema A fornisce condizioni per cui il numero delle soluzioni dell'equazione $x^{p^k} = 1$ in un gruppo finito è divisibile per p^{n+k} dove n è un fissato intero positivo. Il Teorema B, che è dovuto a Avinoam Mann, è una generalizzazione del teorema di Sylow. Si prova nei teoremi C e D che le congruenze relative al numero dei sottogruppi ciclici di ordine p^k note per i gruppi abeliani valgono in effetti per classi più ampie di gruppi finiti, ad esempio per gruppi a sottogruppi di Sylow abeliani.

1. INTRODUCTION

Denote by $N(t, G)$ the number of solutions of $x^t = 1$ in a finite group G . If $t \mid |g|$ then $t \mid N(t, G)$ (Frobenius). But in some cases (see for example Theorems A, C) we can say considerably more about the number $N(t, G)$.

A p -group G is said to be an $L_{n,k}$ -group (n, k are positive integers) if $\Omega_1(G) = \langle x \in G \mid x^p = 1 \rangle$ is of order p^n and exponent p , $G/\Omega_1(G)$ is cyclic and $\exp G \geq p^k$.

A 2-group G is said to be a $U_{n,k}$ -group if it satisfies the following conditions:

(U1) G contains a normal elementary abelian subgroup R of order 2^n ;

(U2) G/R is of maximal class, $\exp G \geq 2^k$;

(U3) if T/R is a cyclic subgroup of index 2 in G/R then $\Omega_1(T) = R$ (obviously R is the only normal elementary abelian subgroup of order 2^n in G).

Note that $L_{n,k}$ -group and $U_{2,k}$ -groups were introduced in [2]. Obviously $U_{1,k}$ -groups are 2-groups of maximal class.

A subgroup H of a p -group G , $\exp G \geq p^k$, is said to be k -good if $\exp \Omega_1(\langle x, H \rangle) = p$ for any element x of order p^k in G . Notice that a k -good subgroup is $(k+1)$ -good but the converse is not true. If H is k -good in G and $H \leq F \leq G$ then H is k -good in F . Obviously $\Omega_1(G)$ is k -good if G is an $L_{n,k}$ -group for any k , or $U_{n,k}$ -group for $k > 2$. Moreover $N(p^k, G) \equiv p^{n+k-1} \pmod{p^{n+k}}$ if G is a $L_{n,k}$ -group for any k , or $U_{n,k}$ -group for $k > 2$. Next if H is k -good in G and $A \leq H$ then A is k -good in G as well. As rule we consider only normal k -good subgroups of exponent p .

(*) Nella seduta del 16 giugno 1994.

2. THE NUMBER OF CYCLIC SUBGROUPS IN A p -GROUP

In this section we prove the following

THEOREM A. *Let $n > 1$, $k > 2$ be positive integers. Suppose that a p -group G contains a k -good normal subgroup of order p^n and exponent p . Then if G is not an $L_{n,k}$ - or a $U_{n,k}$ -group and $\exp G \geq p^k$ then $N(p^k, G) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n+k}}$.*

PROOF. Suppose that G is a counterexample of minimal order. Take in G a k -good normal subgroup R of order p^n and exponent p .

(i) Suppose that G/R is cyclic. Since G is not an $L_{n,k}$ -group and $\exp \Omega_1(G) = p$ (in fact $\Omega_1(G) \leq RC$ where C is a cyclic subgroup of order p^k in G , and R is k -good) then $|\Omega_1(G)| = p^{n+1}$. Hence $N(p^k, G) = |\Omega_k(G)| = p^{n+k}$ – a contradiction. Thus G/R is not cyclic.

(ii) Suppose that G/R is a 2-group of maximal class. Take in G/R a cyclic subgroup T/R of index 2. Since G is not a $U_{n,k}$ -group then $\Omega_1(T)$ is of order 2^{n+1} and exponent 2 for some choice of T (if G/R is the ordinary quaternion group then it contains three cyclic subgroups of index 2). It follows from the structure of G/R that all elements from $G - T$ satisfy $x^8 = 1$. Since $k > 2$ one has $N(2^k, G) = N(2^k, T) + |G - T|$. By the above $N(2^k, T) = 2^{n+k}$. Since $|G - T| = |T| = |G|/2$ is divisible by 2^{n+k} (in fact, $|G| = |R| |G/R| \geq 2^n 2^{1+k} = 2^{n+k+1}$) then 2^{n+k} divides $N(2^k, G)$ – a contradiction. Thus G/R is not a 2-group of maximal class.

It follows from (i) that G/R contains a normal subgroup H/R such that G/H is abelian of type (p, p) . Let $G_1/R, \dots, G_{p+1}/R$ be all subgroups of order p in G/R . It is easy to check that the following equality holds:

$$(*) \quad N(p^k, G) = N(p^k, G_1) + \dots + N(p^k, G_{1+p}) - pN(p^k, H).$$

Since $|G| \geq p^{n+k}$ we may assume without loss of generality that $\exp G \geq p^{k+1}$. Then $|G| \geq p^{n+k+1}$, $|H| \geq p^{n+k-1}$. Since R is k -good in H then $p^{n+k} | pN(p^k, H)$ (in fact this is true if H is an $L_{n,k}$ - or $U_{n,k}$ -group, in the contrary case this follows by induction). Therefore by assumption $p^{n+k} \nmid N(p^k, G_i)$ for some i . By induction G_i is an $L_{n,k}$ -group or a $U_{n,k}$ -group.

Suppose that G_i is an $L_{n,k}$ -group. Since G/R is not a 2-group of maximal class we may assume that $G_1/R, \dots, G_p/R$ are cyclic, and G_{p+1}/R is non-cyclic abelian with cyclic subgroup of index p (this follows from the classification of p -groups with a cyclic subgroup of index p ; it is important that $k > 2$). In particular $i \leq p$. Set $S_t = \Omega_1(G_t)$, $t \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. Since $S_t/R \leq \Phi(G_t/R) \leq \Phi(G/R) < G_i/R$ then $S_t = S_i = R$ (here $\Phi(G)$ is the Frattini subgroup of G). Hence G_1, \dots, G_p are $L_{n,k}$ -groups. Then by the above $N(p^k, G_t) = p^{n+k-1}$, $t \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. By induction $N(p^k, G_{p+1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n+k}}$. Now (*) implies $p^{n+k} \mid N(p^k, G)$ – a contradiction.

Therefore G_i is a $U_{n,k}$ -group. We may suppose that $i = 1$. Take in G_1/R a cyclic subgroup T_1/R of index 2. By definition $\Omega_1(T_1) = R$. By supposition $|G/R| \geq 2^{k+1} > 8$. As G/R is not a 2-group of maximal class (by (ii)) it contains [3] exactly four

subgroups of maximal class and index 2: $G_1/R, \dots, G_4/R$. Let T_j/R be a cyclic subgroup of index 2 in G_j/R ($j \leq 4$). If $S_j = \Omega_1(T_j)$ then as above $S_j < T_1$ so $S_j = R$ for $j \leq 4$. Thus G_j is a $U_{n,k}$ -group for $j \leq 4$ and $N(p^k, G_j) = 2^{n+k-1} + |G|/4$ ($j \leq 4$). If M/R is a maximal subgroup of G/R distinct from G_j/R ($j \leq 4$) then by induction $2^{n+k} \mid N(2^k, M)$. Then (*) implies $N(2^k, G) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{n+k}}$ and the theorem is proved. ■

REMARK. If G is not cyclic and is not a 2-group of maximal class it contains a normal subgroup R of type (p, p) . Obviously R is k -good for any $k > 2$ (but in general it is not 2-good). Hence the main result of [2] for $p = 2$ is a corollary of Theorem A.

Denote by $c_k(G)$ the number of cyclic subgroups of order p^k in a group G . Obviously $c_k(G) = (N(p^k, G) - N(p^{k-1}, G))/p^{k-1}(p-1)$. But it is impossible to apply this formula for proof of the following

COROLLARY 1. *If G satisfies the condition of Theorem A and G is not an $L_{n,k}$ or a $U_{n,k}$ -group then $c_k(G) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$.*

It is sufficient to repeat the proof of Theorem A. ■

COROLLARY 2 [2]. *Suppose that an irregular p -group G is not a group of maximal class, $k > 2$. If G is not an $L_{p,k}$ or $U_{p,k}$ -group then $c_k(G) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^p}$.*

PROOF. Take in G a normal subgroup R of order p^p and exponent p [4]. By virtue of Theorem A it suffices to show that R is k -good for $k > 2$. Take in G an element x of order p^k , set $H = \langle x, R \rangle$. Then H/R is cyclic and $|H/R| > p$. Take in H a normal subgroup D of order p^{p-2} such that $D < R$. Let $R < S < H$ such that $|S:R| = p$. Then S/D is abelian so its class is less than p and S is regular. Since $\Omega_1(H) = \Omega_1(S)$ then $\exp \Omega_1(H) = p$ and R is k -good. So Theorem A implies the result. ■

COROLLARY 3. *Let a p -group G contains a 2-good normal subgroup R of order $p^n > p$ and exponent p . Then $N(p, G) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$.*

PROOF. If x is an element of order p in $G - R$ then $\langle x, R \rangle$ does not contain a cyclic subgroup of order p^2 (since R is 2-good). So the set of all solutions of $y^p = 1$ is a disjoint union of subgroups $\langle x, R \rangle$ for appropriate elements x of order p in $G - R$ (if $G - R$ does not contain elements of order p then $N(p, G) = |R| = p^n$). ■

3. THE THEOREM OF AVINOAM MANN

Let θ be a class of finite groups. Denote by $n_\theta(G)$ the number of θ -subgroups in a group G .

A. Kulakoff proved that if G is a non-cyclic p -group of order p^n , $p > 2$, and $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ then $s(p^k, G) \equiv 1 + p \pmod{p^2}$; here $s(p^k, G)$ is the number of subgroups of order p^k in G . The same assertion holds for 2-group G unless it is not

cyclic or a 2-group of maximal class [1]. The following theorem which is due to A. Mann permits to transfer some counting theorems from p -groups onto arbitrary finite groups.

THEOREM B. (A. Mann, *Counting p -subgroups*, unpublished manuscript). *Let θ be a class of p -groups of fixed order, let S be a Sylow p -subgroup of G , and assume that any θ -group M satisfies $|M| < |S|$. Suppose that $n_\theta(S) \equiv n_\theta(Q) \pmod{p}$ for all maximal subgroups Q of S . Then $n_\theta(G) \equiv n_\theta(S) \pmod{p^2}$.*

PROOF. We may assume that all θ -groups are non-identity.

Let \mathcal{M} be the set of all θ -subgroups of G which are not contained in S .

Consider the action of S on \mathcal{M} by conjugations. Then the length of an S -orbit equals to p^t for an appropriate positive integer t . Obviously $N_S(A) \neq S$ for any $A \in \mathcal{M}$. Denote by \mathcal{M}_0 the union of all S -orbits of length p . It is sufficient to show that $|\mathcal{M}_0| \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$. Take $A \in \mathcal{M}_0$ and set $N_S(A) = Q$. Then $|S:Q| = p$, i.e. Q is maximal in S , so by condition $n_\theta(Q) \equiv n_\theta(S) \pmod{p}$. Denote by $t(Q)$ the number of all elements of \mathcal{M}_0 which are normalized by Q . Note that any element of \mathcal{M}_0 is normalized by exactly one maximal subgroup of S (if X and V , distinct maximal subgroups of S , normalize $A \in \mathcal{M}_0$ then $\langle X, V \rangle = S$ normalizes A – a contradiction). Therefore $|\mathcal{M}_0| = \sum t(Q)$ where Q runs over the set of all maximal subgroups of S . If $t(Q) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ for all maximal in S subgroups Q then $|\mathcal{M}_0| \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$. Let A, Q are taken as before, $T_1 = AQ$. Then $T_1 \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, A is normal in T_1 and $N_S(T_1) = Q = S \cap T_1$. Let $\{T_1, \dots, T_n, S = T_0\} = \text{Syl}_p(N_G(Q))$. If $B \in \mathcal{M}_0$ and $N_S(B) = Q$ then $BQ \in \{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$, say $BQ = T_i$. Denote by $m(T_i)$ the number of all elements of \mathcal{M}_0 which are normal in T_i ; if B_0 is one of them then $B_0Q = T_i$ is that element of the set $\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ which contains B_0 . Hence $t(Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(T_i)$. Obviously $m(T_i) \equiv n_\theta(T_i) - n_\theta(Q) \pmod{p}$ (if $B \in \mathcal{M}_0$ and $B < T_i$ is not normal in T_i then p divides the number of T_i -conjugates of B ; hence the number of such B in T_i is divisible by p). Therefore $p|m(T_i)$. Because $N_S(T_i) = Q$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ then any S -orbit of the set $\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ is of length p . If $\{T_1, \dots, T_p\}$ is such an S -orbit then in view of $m(T_1) = \dots = m(T_p)$ one has $m(T_1) + \dots + m(T_p) = pm(T_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$. Summing over all S -orbits of the set $\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ one obtains $t(Q) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$, and the theorem is proved (since Q is an arbitrary maximal subgroup of S). ■

COROLLARY 1. *If $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, $|S| > p^k \geq p$ then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) $s(p^k, G) \equiv 1 + p \pmod{p}$,
- (b) $s(p^k, G) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$,
- (c) S is either a 2-group of maximal class with $|S| > 2^{k+1}$, or S is a cyclic group.

PROOF. If $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, G is a group from (b), then $s(p^k, S) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ by Theo-

rem B, and (a), (c) are true by Kulakoff's Theorem and [1]. Similarly one proves the remaining implications. For example, if S is not a 2-group of maximal class and is not cyclic then $s(p^k, G) \equiv s(p^k, S) \equiv 1 + p \pmod{p^2}$ by [1], Kulakoff's Theorem and Theorem B. ■

Suppose that a p -group G is not cyclic and is not a 2-group of maximal class. If $k > 1$ then $c_k(G) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. This result for $p > 2$ is due to G. A. Miller, and for $p = 2$ to the author [1].

COROLLARY 2. *Let $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. Suppose that S does not contain as a maximal subgroup a cyclic group or 2-group of maximal class. Then $c_k(G) \equiv c_k(S) \pmod{p^2}$ for $k > 1$.*

PROOF. In fact if Q is a maximal subgroup of S then p divides $c_k(S) - c_k(Q)$ by [1] and Miller's Theorem. Now the result follows from Theorem B. ■

As, in Corollary 2, $c_k(G) \equiv c_k(S) \pmod{p}$ then $c_k(G) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ if S is noncyclic and is not a 2-group of maximal class, $k > 1$.

Corollary 1 was proved by P. Deligne [5] for $|S| = p^{k+1}$, and by M. Herzog [6] for $k = 1$.

4. THE NUMBER OF CYCLIC SUBGROUPS IN A GROUP WITH ABELIAN SYLOW SUBGROUPS

In this section we consider the number of cyclic subgroups of given order in finite groups with Sylow subgroups satisfying certain special conditions.

THEOREM C. *Let $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, $\Omega_1(S)$ is abelian of order p^n . Then $c_1(G) \equiv 1 + p + \dots + p^{n-1} \pmod{p^n}$.*

PROOF. Obviously $\Omega_1(S)$ is elementary abelian.

Denote by \mathcal{M} the set of all subgroups of order p in G which are not contained in S . Consider, as in the proof of Theorem B, the action of $R = \Omega_1(S)$ on \mathcal{M} by conjugations. The length of an R -orbit is equal to a power of p . Let $\mathcal{M}_0 = \{C \in \mathcal{M} \mid |R : N_R(C)| < p^n\}$. It suffices to prove that $p^n \mid |\mathcal{M}_0|$.

Set $\mathcal{N} = \{N_R(C) \mid C \in \mathcal{M}_0\}$. By definition of \mathcal{M}_0 all elements of the set \mathcal{N} are non-trivial subgroups of R . We prove that any $Q \in \mathcal{N}$ normalizes sp^n elements of the set \mathcal{M}_0 , s is a non-negative integer. Let $C \in \mathcal{M}_0$, $Q = N_R(C)$. Then $T_1 = QC = Q \times C$, $|R : Q| = p^r$ ($0 < r < n$).

In particular $N_R(C) = C_R(C)$. Take $x \in N_R(T_1)$. Since $\langle x, T_1 \rangle$ is contained in a Sylow p -subgroup of G then $\langle x, T_1 \rangle$ is elementary abelian (it is generated by elements of order p). In particular x centralizes C whence $x \in Q$. Therefore $Q = N_R(T_1) = T_1 \cap \cap R$. Now if $x \in T_1 - Q$ then $C_R(x) \supseteq Q > 1$, i.e. $\langle x \rangle \in \mathcal{M}_0$. We prove that $C_R(x) = Q$. We have $x = yz$ where $C = \langle y \rangle$, $z \in Q$. If $u \in C_R(x)$ then $u \in C_R(y) = Q$, hence $C_R(x) = Q$ for any $x \in T_1 - Q$. Let $\{T_1, \dots, T_m\}$ be the R -orbit of T_1 , $m = |R : Q| = p^r$. Obviously $T_i \cap T_j = Q = N_R(T_j) = T_j \cap R$ for $i \neq j$, $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. By the

above $|Q| = p^{n-r}$. Since $|T_1| = p|Q| = p^{n-r+1}$ then T_1 contains exactly $c_1(T_1) - c_1(Q) = p^{n-r}$ elements of the set \mathfrak{M} (moreover by the above these elements are contained in \mathfrak{M}_0). The same is true for any subgroup T_2, \dots, T_m . Therefore the subgroups T_1, \dots, T_m together contain exactly $mp^{n-r} = p^r p^{n-r} = p^n$ elements of the set \mathfrak{M}_0 (denote the set of such elements by \mathfrak{M}_1). By the above $|\mathfrak{M}_1| = p^n$.

Set $\mathfrak{M}_Q = \{C \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \mid N_R(C) = Q\}$. Assume $\mathfrak{M}_Q \neq \mathfrak{M}_1$; take $C \in \mathfrak{M}_Q - \mathfrak{M}_1$. Then $U_1 = CQ \notin \{T_1, \dots, T_m\}$. For $\{U_1, \dots, U_{m(1)}\}$, the R -orbit of U_1 , set $\mathfrak{M}_2 = \{C \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \mid C \leq U_i, i \in \{1, \dots, m(i)\}\}$. Then $\mathfrak{M}_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}_2$ is empty (since $T_i \cap U_j = Q$ for all i, j) and $|\mathfrak{M}_2| = p^n$. Continuing so further we present \mathfrak{M}_Q in a disjoint union of sets of length p^n . Hence $p^n \mid |\mathfrak{M}_Q|$.

Then we have by the above the following partition $\mathfrak{M}_0 = \bigcup_{1 \neq Q \in \mathfrak{N}} \mathfrak{M}_Q$ (see definition of the set \mathfrak{N}). Therefore $p^n \mid |\mathfrak{M}_0|$, and the theorem is proved. \blacksquare

REMARK. If S in Theorem C is elementary abelian then the result follows from the Frobenius Theorem since $N(p, G) = N(|S|, G)$.

COROLLARY. If $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, $\Omega_1(S) \leq Z(S)$ (in particular if S is abelian) and $|\Omega_1(S)| = p^n$ then $p^n \mid N(p, G)$.

In the same manner we prove the following

THEOREM D. Let $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, $k > 1$ be an integer, $\exp S \geq p^k$. If $\Omega_{k-1}(S) \leq Z(\Omega_k(S))$ and $|\Omega_{k-1}(S)| = p^n$ then $c_k(G) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n-k+1}}$.

PROOF. Set $R = \Omega_{k-1}(S)$. Then R is abelian of exponent p^{k-1} and order p^n . If S is abelian then $\exp \Omega_k(S)/\Omega_{k-1}(S) = p$.

First we prove that $c_k(S) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n-k+1}}$. Let C be a cyclic subgroup of order p^k in S . Then CR is abelian and $|CR : R| = p$. So $c_k(CR) = (|CR| - |R|)/p^{k-1}(p-1) = p^{n-k+1}$. If C_1 is a cyclic subgroup of order p^k in S , C_1 is not contained in CR then $CR \cap C_1 R = R$ and $C_1 R$ contains exactly p^{n-k+1} cyclic subgroups of order p^k . Hence the set of all cyclic subgroups of order p^k in S is a disjoint union of subsets of length p^{n-k+1} and the claim is proved.

Denote by \mathfrak{M} the set of all cyclic subgroups of order p^k in G which are not contained in S . Consider the action of R on \mathfrak{M} by conjugations. Assume that \mathfrak{M} is not empty. Let $\mathfrak{M}_0 = \{C \in \mathfrak{M} \mid N_R(C) > 1\}$. It suffices to prove that $p^{n-k+1} \mid |\mathfrak{M}_0|$.

Take $C \in \mathfrak{M}_0$ and set $Q = N_R(C)$, $|R : Q| = p^r$, $T_1 = CQ$. Then $0 < r < n$. If $T_1 \leq S_1 \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ then $T_1 \leq \Omega_k(S_1)$, $Q \leq \Omega_{k-1}(S_1) \leq Z(\Omega_k(S_1)) \cap T_1 \leq Z(T_1)$ and $T_1/\Omega_{k-1}(T_1)$ is cyclic. Therefore T_1 is abelian and $|T_1 : \Omega_{k-1}(T_1)| = p$. Set $|T_1| = p^t$. Then $c_k(T_1) = p^{t-k}$ (see the formula for c_k in section 1). If $x \in N_R(T_1)$ and $\langle x, T_1 \rangle \leq S_2 \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, then $x \in Z(\langle x, T_1 \rangle)$ (since $x \in \Omega_{k-1}(S_2) \leq Z(\Omega_k(S_2))$) and $T_1 \leq \Omega_1(S_2)$, so $x \in C_R(C) = N_R(C) = Q$. Thus $N_R(T_1) = Q$ and $T_1 \cap R = Q$. Let Z be a cyclic subgroup of order p^k in T_1 ; then $ZQ = T_1$, $Q \leq N_R(Z) \leq N_R(T_1) = Q$ and $N_R(Z) = Q$ (recall that R is abelian). In particular $Z \in \mathfrak{M}_0$. Let $\{T_1, \dots, T_m\}$ be the R -orbit of T_1 , $m = |R : N_R(T_1)| = |R : Q| = p^r$. Obviously T_1, \dots, T_m are not contained

in S and $Q \leq T_i \cap T_j \leq \Omega_{k-1}(T_i)$, $i \neq j$, $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Therefore T_1, \dots, T_m contain together exactly $mc_k(T_1) = p^{t+t-k}$ distinct cyclic subgroups of order p^k (denote the set of these subgroups by \mathfrak{M}_1). Set $|Q| = p^s$. Then $r = n - s$, $t - s \geq 1$, $r + t - k = n - s + t - k \geq n - k + 1$. Therefore $p^{n-k+1} \mid |\mathfrak{M}_1|$.

Set $\mathfrak{N} = \{N_R(C) \mid C \in \mathfrak{M}_0\}$, $\mathfrak{M}_Q = \{C \in \mathfrak{M}_0 \mid N_R(C) = Q\}$ ($Q \in \mathfrak{N}$).

If C, Q as above then $\mathfrak{M}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_Q$.

As in Theorem C the set \mathfrak{M}_Q ($Q \in \mathfrak{N}$) is a disjoint union of subsets of lengths divisible by p^{n-k+1} (one of them is \mathfrak{M}_1). Therefore $p^{n-k+1} \mid |\mathfrak{M}_Q|$. Let $Z \in \mathfrak{M} - \mathfrak{M}_Q$, $N_R(Z) = Q(1)$. Then $\mathfrak{M}_Q \cap \mathfrak{M}_{Q(1)}$ is empty and $p^{n-k+1} \mid |\mathfrak{M}_{Q(1)}|$. So $\mathfrak{M}_0 = \bigcup_{Q \in \mathfrak{N}} \mathfrak{M}_Q$ is a partition. Therefore $p^{n-k+1} \mid |\mathfrak{M}_0|$ and the theorem is proved. ■

COROLLARY. *If $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, $\exp S \geq p^k > p$. $|\Omega_{k-1}(S)| = p^n$, and $\Omega_k(S)$ is abelian then $c_k(G) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n-k+1}}$.*

QUESTION 1. *Let G, S, n be as in Theorem C, $1 < k < n$. Denote by $e(p^k, G)$ the number of elementary abelian subgroups of order p^k in G . Whether is the congruence $e(p^k, G) \equiv e(p^k, S) \pmod{p^{n-k+1}}$ true?*

The answer on Question 1 is affirmative if S is elementary abelian itself [5]. If $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ is abelian of rank $n > 1$ and $k > 1$ then, as follows from Theorem D, $c_k(G) \equiv c_k(S) \pmod{p^n}$.

QUESTION 2. *Let $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, $d = \log_p |S: \Phi(S)|$, $|S| = p^t$. Whether is the congruence $s(p^{t-1}, G) \equiv s(p^{t-1}, S) \pmod{p^d}$ true?*

QUESTION 3. *Is it true Theorem C if $\Omega_1(S)$ is of order p^n and exponent p ?*

QUESTION 4. *Suppose that $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, $|S: \langle x^p \mid x \in S \rangle| \geq p^p$ and S is not of maximal class. Whether is true that $c_1(G) \equiv 1 + p + \dots + p^{p-1} \pmod{p^p}$?*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to Prof. A. Mann for permission to include his Theorem B, which inspired this investigation, in this Note and useful discussions.

Supported in part by the Rashi Foundation and the Ministry of Science and Technology of Israel.

REFERENCES

- [1] YA. G. BERKOVICH, *On p -groups of finite order*. Sibirsk. Math. J., 9, 6, 1968, 1284-1306 (in Russian).
- [2] YA. G. BERKOVICH, *On the number of elements of given order in a finite p -group*. Israel J. Math., 73, 1991, 107-112.
- [3] YA. G. BERKOVICH, *Counting theorems for finite p -groups*. Arch. Math., 59, 1992, 215-222.
- [4] N. BLACKBURN, *Generalizations of certain elementary theorems on p -groups*. Proc. London Math. Soc., 11, 1961, 1-22.

- [5] P. DELIGNE, *Congruences sur le nombre de sous-groupes d'ordre p^k dans un groupe fini*. Bull. Soc. Math. Belg., 18, 1966, 129-132.
- [6] M. HERZOG, *Counting group elements of order p modulo p^2* . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 66, 1977, 247-250.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Research Institute of Afula
University of Haifa
31905 HAIFA (Israele)

Einstein Institute of Mathematics
The Hebrew University of Jerusalem
Givat Ram
91904 JERUSALEM (Israele)