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Geometria algebrica. - On the automorphisms of surfaces of general type in positive characteristic, II. Nota (*) di Edoardo Ballico, presentata dal Corrisp. E. Arbarello.


#### Abstract

Here we give an upper polynomial bound (as function of $K_{X^{2}}$ but independent on $p$ ) for the order of a $p$-subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)_{\text {red }}$ with $X$ minimal surface of general type defined over the field $\boldsymbol{K}$ with char $(\boldsymbol{K})=p>0$. Then we discuss the non existence of similar bounds for the dimension as $\boldsymbol{K}$-vector space of the structural sheaf of the scheme $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$.
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Riassunto. - Sugli automorfismi delle superfici di tipo generale in caratteristica positiva, II. In questa No$t a$ si dimostra una stima polinomiale (come funzione di $K_{X^{2}}$ ) indipendente da $p$ per l'ordine dei $p$-sottogruppi di Aut $(X)_{\text {red }}$, con $X$ superficie minimale di tipo generale definita sul campo $K$ con char $(\boldsymbol{K})=p>0$. Si mostra anche la non esistenza di analoghe stime per la dimensione come $K$-spazio vettoriale del fascio strutturale dello schema $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$.

In the last few years several mathematicians (see [4], announcement in the introduction after the statement of $3.14[5,9,10,20,21])$ considered the problem of bounding (in terms of suitable numerical invariants, e.g. the Chern numbers) the order of the automorphism group Aut $(X)$ of a smooth projective manifold $X$ of general type or with $K_{X}$ ample. Here «bounding» means «find a good polynomial bound». Except for the work in progress mentioned in the introduction of [4], all the quoted papers considered the case in which $X$ is a surface of general type. All the quoted papers used in an essential way the fact that the algebraically closed base field $\boldsymbol{K}$ has char $(\boldsymbol{K})=0$. We think that the problem is interesting even if $p:=\operatorname{char}(\boldsymbol{K})>0$. This paper is a continuation of [1]. In the first section we prove the following result.

Theorem 0.1. Let $X$ be a minimal surface of general type defined over an algebraically closed field $K$; set $c:=K_{X^{2}}$. Then there is a universal constant $D$ (which does not depend on char $(\boldsymbol{K})$ ) such that for every $p$-subgroup $G$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ we have $C \operatorname{ard}(G) \leqslant D c^{6}$.

In [1, Th. 0.1], it was proved a result corresponding to Theorem 0.1 for every subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ with order prime to $p$ (and with «45/2» instead of «6» as exponent). We stress that the exponent «6» is just for funny: the important fact is that it is independent of the prime $p$ (as it is the universal constant) and that it is explicit. The union of the statements of Theorem 0.1 and [1, Th. 0.1], gives bounds on the existence of suitable subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)_{\text {red }}$ (e.g. the solvable ones), but it seems to us not good enough for reasonable results on card $\left(\operatorname{Aut}(X)_{\text {red }}\right)$; see the discussion at the end of section 1.
(*) Pervenuta all'Accademia il 24 settembre 1993.

Theorem 0.1 concludes (from our point of view) the $p$-power part of the «discrete» part (i.e. Aut $(X)_{\text {red }}$ ) of the research project on Aut $(X)$ (with $X$ minimal surface of general type) raised in the introduction of [1]. It remained also to gain informations on the connected 0 -dimensional component of the identity of the group scheme Aut $(X)$. Recall that its tangent space at the identity is $H^{0}(X, T X)$. It was proved $[2,3.12]$ that $b^{0}(X, T X) \leqslant 18\left(K_{X^{2}}\right)$. Note that if $X$ is defined over a field $\boldsymbol{K}$ of characteristic $p$ and $t$ denotes $b^{0}(X, T X)$, the scheme $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ has dimension (as $K$-vector space of its structural sheaf) at least $p^{t}$. Thus the following result shows that, even fixing the prime $p$, there is no polynomial bound for this vector space dimension (and shows that the bound $« b^{0}(X, T X) \leqslant 18\left(K_{X^{2}}\right) »$ given in $[2,3.12]$ is, up to the constant, the right bound).

Theorem 0.2. Fix an odd prime $p$ congruent to 2 modulo 3 and an algebraically closed field $\boldsymbol{K}$ with $\operatorname{char}(\boldsymbol{K})=p$. Set $C(p)^{-1}=2 p^{4}$. Then there is a sequence $\{X(n)\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ of minimal surfaces of general type over $\boldsymbol{K}$ with $K_{X(n)^{2}}$ going to infinity with $n$ and with $b^{0}(X(n), T X(n)) \geqslant C(p)\left(K_{X(n)^{2}}\right)$ for every $n$.

Theorem 0.2 will be proved (just using the examples constructed in [14]) in the second (and last) section.

## 1. Proof of Theorem 0.1

In the first part of this section we collect a few remarks needed for the proof of Theorem 0.1. Then we give the proof of 0.1 . At the end of this section we discuss the implications of 0.1 and of [1, Th. 0.1], for the structure of Aut $(X)_{\text {red }}$.

From now on in this section we fix a prime $p$ and an algebraically closed base field $K$ with $\operatorname{char}(\boldsymbol{K})=p$. We fix a minimal surface of general type $X$ over $\boldsymbol{K}$, and set $K:=K_{X}$ and $c:=K^{2}$. For simplicity we will write $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ instead of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)_{\text {red }}$. The notation $\Phi \propto \Gamma$ means that there is a universal constant $D$ (not depending on the characteristic of the base field) such that $\Phi \leqslant D \Gamma$; the notation $\propto \Gamma$ means that there is a universal constant $D$ such that the object considered in that sentence has order at most $D \Gamma$; usually when we use this notation $\Gamma$ will be an explicit power of $c$ (the unique exception arising with $\Gamma$ power of the genus of a suitable curve).

Remark 1.1. Let $W:=\boldsymbol{P}(V)$ be a projective space and $H$ a $p$-group contained in Aut ( $W$ ). By [3, proof of 3.1.4, p. 409, lines 11-15], the action of $H$ on $W$ lifts to a linear action of $H$ on $V$. Fix any such linear action of $H$. There is a basis of $V$ in which every $b \in H$ is in triangular form with only 1 on the diagonal.

Remark 1.2. By a particular case of 1.1 every $p$-subgroup $H$ of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{1}\right)$ has a common fixed point. Taking any such fixed point as the point at infinity, we see that $H$ acts as a group of translations. Hence $H$ is abelian, every $b \in H, b \neq \mathrm{Id}$, has order $p$, and fixes only the point at infinity.

Remark 1.3. Let $C$ be a singular rational curve $C$; set $t:=\operatorname{card}\left(C_{\text {sing }}\right)$. First assume $t \geqslant 2$ and fix two point $P, Q$ of $C_{\text {sing }}$. Taking the normalization, we see that $C$ has no au-
tomorphism of order $p$ fixing both $P$ and $Q$; hence every $p$-subgroup of Aut $(C)$ has order at most $t(t-1)$. Now assume $t=1$ and call $t^{\prime}$ the number of branches of $C$ at its singular point, $P$. If $t^{\prime} \geqslant 2$ for the same reason every $p$-subgroup of Aut $(C)$ has order at most $t^{\prime}\left(t^{\prime}-1\right)$. Now assume $t^{\prime}=1$. By the discussion in 1.2 , the curve $C$ may have a family of abelian elementary $p$-subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ with unbounded cardinality (the translations on the affine line). Fix $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(C), L$ ample. We claim that $C$ has no automorphism of order $p$ fixing the isomorphism class of $L$. Taking a partial normalization, to prove the claim we may assume that $C$ has an ordinary cusp, i.e. that $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C)$ is isomorphic to the additive group, $\boldsymbol{K}$. The claim follows from the last part of 1.2.

Remark 1.5. Fix a smooth the curve $C$ of genus $g \geqslant 2$. Then card (Aut (C)) $\propto g^{3}$ and every cyclic subgroup of Aut $(C)$ has order $\propto g$ (use e.g. the lifting theorem in [15] to extends the classical characteristic 0 case given e.g. in [7]).

Remark 1.5. Fix a singular curve $T$ and let $C \rightarrow T$ be its normalization. Fix a $p$-subgroup $H$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(T)$ (hence of Aut $(C)$ ). Let $H^{\prime}$ be the subgroup of $H$ fixing every singular point of $T$. If $p_{a}(C)=1, H^{\prime}$ acts on $C$ with at least a common fixed point. Note that if $H$ is contained in $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$, then it fixes the isomorphism class of $K_{X} \mid T$. Hence if $H$ is contained in Aut $(X)$ the group $H^{\prime}$ is trivial by 1.3 .

Remark 1.6. 1.6.1. The number of irreducible components of $C$ is $\propto_{c}$ (this was proved in [1, part (b1) of the proof of 1.1]), using the fact (checked in [1, Remark 1.6]) that the number of smooth rational curves, $Z$, contained in $X$ and with $K \cdot Z=0$ is $\propto c$ ).
1.6.2. Every irreducible component $T$ of $C_{\text {red }}$ has $p_{a}(T) \propto c$, because $K \cdot T+$ $+T^{2}=2 p_{a}(T)-2$ and $C$ is numerically connected (hence $T \cdot(K-T) \geqslant 0$, while ( $K-$ $-T) \cdot K \geqslant 0$ ). The same computation shows that the sum of the arithmetic genera of all the irreducible components of $C_{\text {red }}$ is $\propto c$.
1.6.3. Let $H$ be a $p$-subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$. Fix an irreducible component, $T$, of $C_{\text {red }}$. By 1.6.1 $H$ has a subgroup $H^{\prime}$ of index $\propto c$ which stabilizes $T$. Since $C$ is numerically connected, we see that for every elliptic curve $E \subseteq C_{\text {red }}$ there is $P \in E$ such that $h(P)=P$ for every $b \in H$. Hence by 1.4 there is a subgroup $H^{\prime \prime}$ of index $\propto c^{2}$ in $H^{\prime}$ and fixing every point of $T$ if the normalization of $T$ is not rational. By 1.3 we may find such a subgroup fixing pointwise $T$ also if $T$ is not smooth. By 1.3 we may find such a subgroup fixing also every smooth rational curve, $R$, intersecting $C_{\text {red }} \backslash R$ in at least 2 points (note that card $\left(\left(C_{\text {red }} \backslash R\right) \cap R\right) \propto c$ because $C$ is numerical connected and $\left.p_{a}(C) \propto c\right)$.

Proof of 0.1. The proof is divided into 5 parts.
(a) Fix a $p$-subgroup $H$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ (e.g. a $p$-Sylow subgroup) and a small integer $x$, say $x=12$, such that the linear system $|x K|$ has no base point and the associated morphism gives the canonical model of $X$. Set $V:=H^{0}\left(X, K^{\otimes_{X}}\right)$. In this part we assume $\operatorname{dim}\left(V^{H}\right) \geqslant 2$ and prove card $(H) \propto c^{4}$. Fix a pencil generated by two invariant pluricanonical divisors; hence every curve in this pencil is sent into itself by $H$ and $H$ acts on
the generic fiber of the pencil. Call $B$ the base component of the pencil and $J$ the generic fiber (over a suitable function field obtained by the Stein factorization of the rational map induced by the pencil) of the invariant pencil obtained deleting $B$. If the geometric genus of $\boldsymbol{J}$ is at least 1 , we have card $(H) \propto c^{2}$ by 1.6 .1 and 1.6.2. If $\boldsymbol{J}$ has geometric genus 0 , it has at least a cusp and we find $\operatorname{card}(H) \propto c$ by 1.6.1 and 1.5. Hence from now on we will assume $\operatorname{dim}\left(V^{H}\right)=1$.
(b) Fix any $H$-invariant pencil. Let $B$ be the sum of the base components of this pencil. Hence, after deleting $B$ and making a few blow-ups (obtaining a surface $X^{\prime}$ on which $H$ acts) we get an $H$-invariant morphism $\pi: X^{\prime} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{1}$. Let $B+J$ the invariant fiber of the pencil. Assume the existence of a singular fiber different from $J$. In this part we will assume that $\pi$ has only finitely many singular fibers. Thus by [6] $\pi$ has $\propto c$ singular fibers. Hence there is a subgroup $H^{\prime}$ of $H$ with index $\propto c$ and fixing two fibers of $\pi$. By the proof of part (a) we have card $\left(H^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \propto c$. Hence card $(H) \propto c^{5}$.
(c) Let $A$ be the subgroup of $H$ fixing every point of $T:=J_{\text {red }}$. By the proof of part (a) to obtain an upper bound for card $(A)$ we may (and will) assume that $|x K|^{A}=$ $=\{J\}$; by part $(b)$ we may assume that every $A$-invariant pencil of $|x K|$ has either $J$ as unique singular fiber or all fibers are singular; call (\$) this property. Call $U$ the image of $X$ in $\boldsymbol{\Pi}:=|x K|$ (hence its canonical model) and $U^{*}$ its dual in the dual projective space $\Pi^{*}$. Since we may take $x=2 y$ with $|y K|$ inducing the canonical model of $X$ the following facts are known as general properties of Veronese embedding (see [11, Th. 2.5] or [12, Th. (20), p. 180]). $U^{*}$ is a hypersurface and it is reflexive (hence biduality holds for $U$ ). Let $j^{*} \in \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{*}$ be the point corresponding to $J$; by assumption $j^{*} \in U^{*}$. Fix a general point $O \in T$ and take the $A$-invariant hyperplane $H_{O}$ of $|x K|$ formed by divisors containing 0 . By $1.1 H_{O}$ contains at least an invariant pencil, $V_{0}$; by assumption ( $\$$ ) either $V_{0} \subset U^{*}$ or $V_{0}$ intersects $U^{*}$ exactly at $O$. Since $T$ is infinite, varying $O$ we see that $U^{*}$ has multiplicity $\operatorname{deg}\left(U^{*}\right)$ at $j^{*}$. Hence $U^{*}$ is a cone with vertex $j^{*}$. By biduality we have $U=U^{* *}$; hence $U$ is contained in the hyperplane dual to $j^{*}$ (the image of $T$ ), contradiction.
(d) Note that in part (c) to obtain that $U^{*}$ is a cone we needed only that the $p$ group has as fixed points at least an irreducible component of $T$. Here we assume that $T$ contains no smooth rational curve, $Z$, with $K \cdot Z=0$, leaving the case with such $Z$ for the next (and last) step. Hence by 1.1, 1.2 and 1.5 we conclude unless every irreducible component of $T$ is a smooth rational curve and card $\left(\operatorname{Sing}\left(T_{\text {red }}\right)\right) \leqslant 1 . T_{\text {red }}$ cannot be smooth, because it is connected, $K^{2}>0$ and no smooth rational curve on $X$ moves. Taking a partial normalization, we see that $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\text {red }}\right)$ has a unipotent subgroup, unless $T_{\text {red }}$ is the union of two smooth rational curves, $J^{\prime \prime}$ and $T^{\prime \prime}$, meeting transversally. If $\mathrm{Pic}^{0}\left(T_{\text {red }}\right)$ has a unipotent subgroup, use the proof given for a cuspidal rational curve. In the remaining case the contradiction comes from the following inequalities: $\left(J^{\prime \prime}+\right.$ $\left.+T^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}>0, J^{\prime \prime} \cdot T^{\prime \prime}=1, J^{\prime \prime 2}<0$ and $T^{\prime \prime 2}<0$.
(e) Here we assume the existence of a smooth rational curve $Z \subseteq(T+J)$ with $K \cdot Z=0$. If the fundamental cycle corresponding to $Z$ is contained in other curves of $V_{0}$, then it is in the base locus of $V_{0}$ and we may repeat the calculation of part $(d)$ on the
movable part of the pencil. If $Z$ is contained only in $T+J$ (hence in $T$ ) we may assume by 1.6.1 (adding 1 to the exponent of the bound obtained) and part (b) that $Z$ is the unique rational curve in the corresponding fundamental cycles, that the same is true for the other curves, $Z^{\prime}$, with $K \cdot Z^{\prime}=0$ and that $Z \cap\left(T_{\text {red }} \backslash Z\right)$ is the unique singular point of $J+T$ (hence the reduction of the base locus of $V_{0}$ ). Again, the numerical computations at the end of part ( $d$ ) work and conclude the proof of 0.1 .

Suppose to have a bound (say $\propto c^{a}$ ) for the subgroups, $G$, of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ with card $(G)$ prime to $p$, and a bound (say $\propto c^{b}$ ) for the subgroups with order a power of $p$; by [1, Th. 0.1] we may take $a=45 / 2$, while by 0.1 we may take $b=6$. We do not see how to obtain only from these informations a good bound for card $(\operatorname{Aut}(X))$. Of course, we must have $p \propto c^{b}$ and every prime $\neq p$ which divides card $(\operatorname{Aut}(X))$ is $\propto c^{a}$. However, in this way we obtain only card $(\operatorname{Aut}(X)) \propto c^{\log (c)}$. By [17, Ch. 4, Th. 5.6] every solvable subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ has order $\propto c^{a+b}$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 0.2

In this section we prove 0.2 using the examples constructed in [14]. For other examples of surfaces of general type with non trivial vector fields, see [8] and [13]. The surfaces constructed in [14] depend on various integral invariants $p$ (the characteristic), $d$ and $n$. We need only the ones with $n=1$. In this case one start with a smooth curve, $C$ (which will be the Albanese variety) and $X$ would be a smooth fibration over $C$. The integer $d$ is the degree of a suitable line bundle $L$ on $C$ with $L^{\otimes p(p-1)} \cong \omega_{C}$. By [14, Th. 1] we have $b^{0}(X, T X) \geqslant b^{0}(C, L)$ and the lower bound claimed by 0.2 is satisfied for the corresponding surface $X$ if we may find $(C, L)$ with $b^{0}(C, L) \geqslant d / 2$ (hence, since $d:=\operatorname{deg}(L)$, with $C$ hyperelliptic) (see [14, Th. 2]). To check that the examples given at the end of [14] are sufficient to prove Theorem 0.2 we will use the formula for the Hasse-Manin matrix and Cartier operator of hyperelliptic curves proved by Yui ( [19] or see [16], bottom of page 55). We use the notations of [14, §3]; set $w:=$ $:=p(p-1) d+3=2 g+1$ (with $\left.g:=p_{a}(C)\right)$. With these notations in our situation the condition on the Cartier operator given in the discussion and formula at the bottom of [16, p. 55], is that the polynomial $\left(x^{W}-1\right)^{(p-1) / 2}$ has no monomial with non zero coefficient and with exponent $\beta p-1$ with $\beta$ integer, i.e. the non existence of an $\alpha$ with $1 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant(p-1) / 2$ with $\beta w=\alpha p-1$. Just note that if $p$ is congruent to 2 modulo 3 , then $(p-1) / 3$ is not an integer, while $(2 p-1) / 3$ is an integer bigger than $(p-1) / 2$. Hence we conclude the proof of 0.2 .

Remark 2.1. Note that the surfaces, $X$, constructed in [14] and just considered answer a question raised in [18, end of p. 317], i.e. they are smooth projective varieties, $X$ (with $p>2$ ) having an ample line bundle, $M$, with $b^{\circ}\left(X, T X \otimes M^{*}\right) \neq 0$; indeed by the formulas in [14, pp. 171 and 172], the zero locus of any non trivial section of TX is an ample divisor.
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