ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE LINCEI CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI

# RENDICONTI LINCEI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI

### Sundararaja Ramaswamy

## Maximum principle for viscosity sub solutions and viscosity sub solutions of the Laplacian

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Serie 9, Vol. 4 (1993), n.3, p. 213–217.

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN\_1993\_9\_4\_3\_213\_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.



Equazioni a derivate parziali. — Maximum principle for viscosity sub solutions and viscosity sub solutions of the Laplacian. Nota di Sundararaja Ramaswamy, presentata (\*) dal Socio E. Magenes.

Abstract. — The aim of this paper is to characterize the u.s.c. (resp. l.s.c.) viscosity sub (resp. super) solutions of the Laplacian which do not take the value  $+\infty$  (resp.  $-\infty$ ) as precisely the sub (resp. super) harmonic functions.

KEY WORDS: Viscosity solutions; Harmonic functions; Maximum principle.

RIASSUNTO. — Principio di massimo per sotto soluzioni viscose e sotto soluzioni viscose del Laplaciano. Lo scopo del lavoro è quello di caratterizzare le sopra (risp. sotto) soluzioni semicontinue inferiormente (risp. superiormente) di tipo «viscoso» del Laplaciano, le quali non prendano il valore  $+\infty$  (risp.  $-\infty$ ), come funzione sub (risp. super) armoniche.

#### 1. Basic definitions

Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $M_n$  be the space of all symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices. Let F be a mapping from  $\Omega \times M_n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^1$ .

DEFINITION 1. F is said *uniformly elliptic* if there exists a  $\lambda > 0$  such that for all A,  $B \in M_n$ , B positive definite and for all  $x \in \Omega$ , one has  $F(x, A + B) - F(x, A) \ge \lambda \|B\|$  where  $\|\cdot\|$  is any norm on  $M_n$ .

Any second order linear elliptic differential operator with second order terms only is an example of F satisfying Definition 1.

Definition 2. An extended real-valued function u defined on  $\Omega$  is said to be a viscosity sub (resp. super) solution of F if for all  $\phi \in C^2(\Omega)$  with  $u - \phi$  having a local maximum at a point  $x_0 \in \Omega$  implies that  $F(x_0, D^2 \phi(x_0)) \ge 0$ , where  $D^2 \phi(x_0)$  stands for the Hessian of  $\phi$  at  $x_0$ , that is the matrix  $((\partial^2 \phi / \partial x_i \partial x_j (x_0)))$  (resp.  $u - \phi$  having a local minimum at a point  $x_0 \in \Omega$  implies that  $F(x_0, D^2 \phi(x_0)) \le 0$ ).

DEFINITION 3. A real-valued function u is said to be a viscosity solution of F = 0 if it is both a viscosity sub and super solution of F.

#### 2. A MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE

PROPOSITION 1. Let F be uniformly elliptic and let us assume that F(x,0) = 0 for all  $x \in \Omega$ . Then, we have F(x,A) < 0 for all matrix  $A = ((a_{ij}))$  which is negative-definite in the sense that

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{j} a_{ij} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} < 0, \quad \forall \alpha = (\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, ..., \alpha_{n}) \neq 0.$$

PROOF. 0 = F(x, 0) = F(x, A + (-A)). Therefore,

$$0 - F(x, A) = F(x, A + (-A)) - F(x, A) \ge \lambda \|(-A)\|$$

as -A is positive definite and F is uniformly elliptic. Therefore,

$$F(x,A) \leq -\lambda \|(-A)\| < 0.$$

THEOREM 1. Let  $\Omega$  be bounded. Let F be uniformly elliptic with F(x,0) = 0,  $\forall x \in \Omega$ . Let u be a viscosity sub solution of F such that  $u(x) < \infty$ ,  $\forall x \in \Omega$ . If u is upper semi continuous on  $\overline{\Omega}$ , then

$$\sup_{x \in \partial \Omega} u(x) = \sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} u(x).$$

PROOF. It is obvious that

$$\sup_{x \in \partial \Omega} u(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} u(x).$$

Suppose that

(1) 
$$\sup_{x \in \partial \Omega} u(x) < \sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} u(x).$$

u being upper semi continuous on  $\overline{\Omega}$  and  $\overline{\Omega}$  being compact,  $\sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} u(x)$  is attained at some point  $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$ . Equation (1) implies that  $x_0 \notin \partial \Omega$ . Hence  $x_0 \in \Omega$ . Thus u has a local maximum at  $x_0$ .

Claim. The function  $u_{\varepsilon} = u + \varepsilon |x - x_0|^2$  also has a local maximum in  $\Omega$  for small values of  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

PROOF OF THE CLAIM. Suppose for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $u_{\varepsilon}$  attains its maximum only on  $\partial \Omega$ . Let  $X \in \partial \Omega$  be such that  $u_{\varepsilon}(X) \ge u_{\varepsilon}(x)$ ,  $(\forall x \in \overline{\Omega})$ .

In particular, 
$$u_{\varepsilon}(X) \ge u_{\varepsilon}(x_0) = u(x_0)$$
. That is  $u(X) + \varepsilon |X - x_0|^2 \ge u(x_0)$ .

$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon |X - x_0|^2 \ge u(x_0) - u(X) \ge u(x_0) - \sup_{x \in \partial \Omega} u(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon \geqslant \frac{u(x_0) - \sup_{x \in \partial \Omega} u(x)}{|X - x_0|^2} \geqslant \frac{u(x_0) - \sup_{x \in \partial \Omega} u(x)}{\sup_{y \in \partial \Omega} |y - x_0|^2}.$$

Let us observe that  $u(x_0) - \sup_{x \in \partial \Omega} u(x) > 0$ . Hence if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is

$$< \frac{u(x_0) - \sup_{x \in \partial \Omega} u(x)}{\sup_{y \in \partial \Omega} |y - x_0|^2},$$

 $u_{\varepsilon}$  has a local maximum in  $\Omega$  and thus the claim is proved.

Fix one such  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $u_{\varepsilon}$  have a local maximum at a point  $y \in \Omega$ . As u is a viscosity subsolution, applying the definition taking  $\phi$  to be  $-\varepsilon |x - x_0|^2$ , we see that  $F(y, -2\varepsilon I_n) \ge 0$  where  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. As  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $-2\varepsilon I_n$  is negative-definite and hence, Proposition 1 is contradicted.  $\square$ 

#### 3. Viscosity sub (resp. super) solutions of the Laplacian $\Delta$ : a characterization

THEOREM 2. Let u be an upper semi continuous (resp. lower semi continuous) function u such that  $u < \infty$  (resp.  $u > -\infty$ ). Then u is a viscosity sub (resp. super) solution for  $\Delta$ , if and only if u is subharmonic (resp. superharmonic).

PROOF. Sufficient to prove the characterization in the subharmonic case. Let us recall the definition of a subharmonic function.

Definition 4. An extended real-valued function defined on an open set  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  is said to be *subharmonic* if

- i) u is upper semi continuous,
- ii)  $u(x) < \infty \quad \forall x \in \Omega$  and
- *iii*)  $\forall x_0 \in \Omega$ ,  $\exists r_0 > 0$  such that

$$u(x_0) \leq \int_{\partial B(x_0;r)} u(x) d\sigma_r(x), \quad \forall r \leq r_0,$$

where  $d\sigma_r$  is the unit surface measure on  $\partial B(x_0;r)$ , the boundary of  $B(x_0;r)$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. (i) If part: Let us assume that u is subharmonic. Let  $\phi \in C^2(\Omega)$  be such that  $u - \phi$  has a local maximum at a point  $x_0 \in \Omega$ . Let us assume that  $u(x_0) - \phi(x_0)$  is a maximum of  $u - \phi$  in a ball  $B(x_0; \delta)$  for some  $\delta > 0$ .

If  $\Delta\phi(x_0) < 0$ , then  $\Delta\phi(x) < 0$   $\forall x$  in some neighbourhood of  $x_0$ , say for example in  $B(x_0; \eta)$  for some  $\eta \in (0, \delta)$ . Therefore,  $u - \phi$  is subharmonic in  $B(x_0; \eta)$ , as u is subharmonic and  $\phi$  is super harmonic in  $B(x_0; \eta)$ . Therefore, by the classical maximum principle for subharmonic functions,  $u - \phi$  must be equal to  $u(x_0) - \phi(x_0)$  in  $B(x_0; \eta)$ .

That is  $\phi = u - u(x_0) + \phi(x_0)$  in  $B(x_0; \eta)$ . Therefore  $\phi$  is subharmonic in  $B(x_0; \eta) \Rightarrow \Delta \phi \geq 0$  in  $B(x_0; \eta)$ .

In particular,  $\Delta \phi(x_0) \ge 0$ .

This contradicts that  $\Delta \phi(x_0) < 0$  proving that u is a viscosity subsolution.

(ii) Only if part: Before we start the proof, let us make the following remark, which is an easy consequence of the definitions.

Remark. If u is a viscosity subsolution for  $\Delta$ , and if h is any harmonic function, then u + h is also a viscosity subsolution.

Let u be upper semi continuous and let  $u(x) < \infty \ \forall x \in \Omega$ . Let u be a viscosity subsolution for  $\Delta$ . Let  $x_0 \in \Omega$ . Let R > 0 be less than  $d(x_0, \partial \Omega)$  so that  $\overline{B(x_0; R)} \subset \Omega$ . Let  $r \leq R$ .

216 s. ramaswamy

Since u is upper semi continuous,  $\exists$  a decreasing of sequence  $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  of real-valued continuous functions on  $\partial B(x_0;r)$  such that  $f_m(x) \downarrow u(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in \partial B(x_0;r)$ .

Consider the Poisson integral,

$$I_{r}^{f_{m}}(x) = r^{n-2} \int_{\partial B(x_{0};r)} f_{m}(X) \frac{r^{2} - |x - x_{0}|^{2}}{|x - X|^{n}} d\sigma_{r}(X)$$

in  $B(x_0; r)$ .

Then, it is well known that  $I_r^{f_m}$  is a harmonic function in  $B(x_0;r)$  and that

$$\forall X \in \partial B(x_0; r), \quad I_r^{f_m}(x) \to f_m(X), \quad \text{as } x \to X, \ x \in B(x_0; r).$$

Consider  $u - I_r^{f_m}$  in  $B(x_0; r)$ . As u is a viscosity subsolution of  $\Delta$  in  $B(x_0; r)$  and  $I_r^{f_m}$  is harmonic, by the remark above,  $u - I_r^{f_m}$  is also a viscosity subsolution of  $\Delta$  in  $B(x_0; r)$ .

Define v in  $\overline{B(x_0;r)}$  as

$$v(x) = \limsup_{\substack{y \to x \\ y \in \Omega}} \left\{ u(y) - I_r^{f_m}(y) \right\}.$$

Then v is upper semi-continuous in  $\overline{\Omega}_{+} = u - I_r^{f_m}$  in  $B(x_0; r)$  and  $\forall X \in \partial B(x_0; r)$ 

$$v(X) = \lim_{\substack{y \to X \\ y \in \Omega}} \sup_{x \in \Omega} \left\{ u(y) - f_m(X) \right\}.$$

 $\leq u(X) - f_m(X)$  as u is upper semicontinuous.

$$u(X) - f_m(X) \le 0$$
,  $\forall X \in \partial B(x_0; r)$ .

Therefore,  $v(X) \le 0$ ,  $\forall X \in \partial B(x_0; r)$ . By the maximum principle proved in Theorem 2,

$$\sup_{X \in \partial B(x_0;r)} v(X) = \sup_{x \in \overline{B(x_0;r)}} v(x).$$

The L.H.S. is  $\leq 0$ . Hence  $v(x) \leq 0 \ \forall x \in B(x_0; r)$ . In particular,  $v(x_0) \leq 0$ .

$$v(x_0) = u(x_0) - \int_{\partial B(x_0;r)} f_m(X) d\sigma_r(X).$$

Therefore,

$$u(x_0) \leq \int_{\partial B(x_0;r)} f_m(X) d\sigma_r(X), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence

$$u(x_0) \leq \int_{\partial B(x_0;r)} u(X) d\sigma_r(X),$$

proving that u is subharmonic.

COROLLARY. A real-valued continuous function on  $\Omega$  is a viscosity solution for  $\Delta$  if and only if it is a harmonic function.

#### 4. Concluding remarks

The definition of uniformly elliptic second order non-linear differential operators given here is taken from L. A. Caffarelli [1] and the definitions of viscosity sub and super solutions are taken from Ishii and Lions [2]. The definitions of viscosity sub and super solutions given in [1] are apparently not the same as given in [2]. The equivalence of the definitions in [1] and [2] are proved in [3], for some class of uniformly elliptic operators.

#### Acknowledgements

The Author wishes to thank Prof. P. L. Lions for the critical review of the manuscript.

#### References

- [1] L. A. CAFFARELLI, Interior a priori estimates for solutions of fully non-linear equations. Annals of Math., 130, 1989, 189-213.
- [2] H. Ishii P. L. Lions, Viscosity solutions of fully non-linear second order elliptic partial differential equations. J. Diff. Eqns., 83, 1990, 26-78.
- [3] MYTHILY RAMASWAMY S. RAMASWAMY, Local property of viscosity solutions of fully non-linear second order elliptic partial differential Equations. Preprint.

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Centre
P.O. Box No. 1234
Indian Institute of Science Campus
BANGALORE 560 012 (India)