

RENDICONTI LINCEI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI

ALDO BRESSAN, MARCO FAVRETTI

**On motions with bursting characters for
Lagrangian mechanical systems with a scalar
control. II. A geodesic property of motions with
bursting characters for Lagrangian systems**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni,
Serie 9, Vol. 3 (1992), n.1, p. 35–42.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

[<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN_1992_9_3_1_35_0>](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN_1992_9_3_1_35_0)

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1992.

Meccanica. — *On motions with bursting characters for Lagrangian mechanical systems with a scalar control. II. A geodesic property of motions with bursting characters for Lagrangian systems.* Nota di ALDO BRESSAN e MARCO FAVRETTI, presentata (*) dal Corrisp. A. Bressan.

ABSTRACT. — This Note is the continuation of a previous paper with the same title. Here (Part II) we show that for every choice of the sequence $u_a(\cdot)$, Σ_a 's trajectory l_a after the instant $d + \eta_a$ tends in a certain natural sense, as $a \rightarrow \infty$, to a certain geodesic l of V_d , with origin at (\bar{q}, \bar{u}) . Incidentally l is independent of the choice of applied forces in a neighbourhood of (\bar{q}, \bar{u}) arbitrarily prefixed.

KEY WORDS: Lagrangian systems; Feedback theory; Bursts.

RIASSUNTO. — *Sui moti per sistemi Lagrangiani con controllo scalare, aventi caratteri di scoppio. II. Una proprietà geodetica di certi moti per sistemi Lagrangiani, con caratteri di scoppio.* In questa Nota, che è la Parte II di una precedente Nota dallo stesso titolo si mostra che, per ogni scelta della suddetta successione $u_a(\cdot)$, la traiettoria l_a di Σ_a dopo $d + \eta_a$ tende in un certo senso naturale, per $a \rightarrow \infty$, a una certa geodetica l della varietà V_d , uscente dal punto (\bar{q}, \bar{u}) . Tra l'altro la l è indipendente dalla scelta delle forze attive in un intorno di (\bar{q}, \bar{u}) comunemente prefissato.

4. INTRODUCTORY CONSIDERATIONS. SOME KINEMATIC PRELIMINARIES

This Part II is the continuation of Part I of the Note of the same title. Please refer to Part I for definitions, annotations and references (see Rend. Mat. Acc. Lincei, s. 9, vol. 2, 1991, 339-343).

This second part of the work is restricted to systems whose applied forces have Lagrangian components at most *linear* in \dot{u} (but \dot{u}^2 occurs in $SHE_{\Sigma, u}$). For these, a certain family of controls $u_{j, \eta}(\cdot)$ is considered as well as the trajectory $l_{j, \eta}$ described by $\Sigma_{u(\cdot)}$'s representative point P in Hertz's space $\mathbf{R}^{3\nu}$, in connection with Σ_u 's dynamic motion that solves the Cauchy problem (1.1). Briefly speaking, certain sequences of controls $u_{j, \eta}(\cdot)$ are used along which $|j| \rightarrow 0$, $\eta \rightarrow 0^+$ and $j^2 \eta^{-1} \rightarrow +\infty$; Theor. 6.1 asserts that along them $l_{j, \eta}$ tends in a certain sense to a geodesic of the manifold that represents in $\mathbf{R}^{3\nu}$ the possible positions for P at $t = d$.

It is not restrictive to regard Σ as a system of ν mass points P_1 to P_ν having the respective masses m_1 to m_ν and subject to holonomic and frictionless constraints. Let $O c_1 c_2 c_3$ be a (physical) orthonormal frame and let x_i, y_i, z_i be P_i 's coordinates in it ($i = 1, \dots, \nu$). We now consider Hertz's space $\mathbf{R}^{3\nu}$, which is referred to the coordinates ξ_1 to $\xi_{3\nu}$:

$$(4.1) \quad \xi_i = (m_i)^{1/2} x_i, \quad \xi_{\nu+i} = (m_i)^{1/2} y_i, \quad \xi_{2\nu+i} = (m_i)^{1/2} z_i, \quad (i = 1, \dots, \nu).$$

Thus any configuration $(x_1, y_1, z_1, \dots, x_\nu, y_\nu, z_\nu)$ of Σ is represented by $P = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{3\nu})$. Furthermore, we fix the intervals I and H , with H compact, and in con-

(*) Nella seduta del 14 giugno 1991.

nection with the typical function $u \in C^2(I, H)$, we consider the system $\Sigma_{u^{(c)}}$ obtained from Σ by adding the (frictionless) constraint $u = u(t)$. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that, for some open set Ω and some function $\mathbb{P}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) \in C^2(I \times \Omega \times H, \mathbf{R}^{3\nu})$ the manifold $V[V^{u^{(c)}}]$ «allowed» to $\Sigma[\Sigma_{u^{(c)}}]$ by its constraints – or a suitable part of it – is represented by the 1st [2nd] of the equations

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} P = \mathbb{P}(t, q, u) & \text{for } (t, q, u) \in I \times \Omega \times H, \\ P = P(t, q), \quad \text{where } P(t, q) := \mathbb{P}(t, q, u(t)) & \text{for } (t, q) \in I \times \Omega. \end{cases}$$

We set $V_t := \{P(t, q) | (q, u) \in \Omega \times H\}$ and $V_t^u := \{\mathbb{P}(t, q, u) | q \in \Omega\}$. Now in connection with $\Sigma_{u^{(c)}}$, we consider an ideal fluid $F^{u^{(c)}}$ whose points are represented by Ω 's elements and, for every $q \in \Omega$, « $F^{u^{(c)}}$'s point q » undergoes the motion (4.2). Hence, along any given motion $x_1 = x_1(t), \dots, z_\nu = z_\nu(t)$ for $\Sigma_{u^{(c)}}$, P 's motion $q = q(t)$ w.r.t. (with respect to) $F^{u^{(c)}}$ is determined, as well as P 's motion

$$(4.3) \quad P = P(t, q(t)) = \mathbb{P}(t, q(t), u(t))$$

w.r.t. Hertz's space $\mathbf{R}^{3\nu}$. As is well known, P 's velocity and acceleration w.r.t. $\mathbf{R}^{3\nu}$ (along P 's actual motion) have the expressions (¹)

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{cases} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^{(d)} + \mathbf{v}^{(r)} := P_{/0} + P_{/b} \dot{q}^b, \\ \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{a}^{(d)} + \mathbf{a}^{(r)} + \mathbf{a}^{(c)} := P_{/00} + (P_{/b} \ddot{q}^b + P_{/bkc} \dot{q}^b \dot{q}^c) + 2P_{/0b} \dot{q}^b. \end{cases}$$

When $\mathbf{R}^{3\nu}$ is regarded as the fixed space, one can call $\mathbf{v}^{(d)}$ [$\mathbf{a}^{(d)}$] *dragging velocity* [acceleration], $\mathbf{v}^{(r)}$ [$\mathbf{a}^{(r)}$] *relative velocity* [acceleration], and $\mathbf{a}^{(c)}$ *complementary* (or *generalized Coriolis'*) *acceleration* of P at the instant t .

Having fixed the instant t^* , we say that M^* is (a local) *virtual* motion of P relative to t^* in case M^* is the motion on the manifold $V_{t^*}^{u(t^*)}$ represented in some neighbourhood I of t^* by $t \mapsto \mathbb{P}(t^*, q(t), u(t^*))$, see (4.3). Calling $\mathbf{v}^* = \mathbf{v}^*(t)[\mathbf{a}^* = \mathbf{a}^*(t)]$ P 's velocity [acceleration] w.r.t. $\mathbf{R}^{3\nu}$ along the motion M^* at any $t \in I$, by (4.4) we have

$$(4.5) \quad \mathbf{v}^*(t^*) = \mathbf{v}^{(r)}(t^*), \quad \mathbf{a}^*(t^*) = \mathbf{a}^{(r)}(t^*) \quad - \text{ see (4.4) and ftn.1.}$$

For $(t, q, u) \in I \times \Omega \times H$, let $T(t, q, u)$ be the tangent space of V_t^u at $P = \mathbb{P}(t, q, u)$ i.e. the affine space $P + \text{span}\{\mathbb{P}_{/1}(t, q, u), \dots, \mathbb{P}_{/N}(t, q, u)\}$ endowed with the norm determined by the metric tensor $a_{hk} := \mathbb{P}_{/b} \times \mathbb{P}_{/k}(b, k = 1, \dots, N)$. Thus, e.g. $v^* = |v^*| = (a^{hk} v_b^* v_k^*)^{1/2}$, being $a^{hk} = (a_{hk})^{-1}$. By projecting \mathbf{a}^* and \mathbf{A}^* on $V_{t^*}^{u(t^*)}$'s tangent space $T(P^*)$ at $P^* = \mathbb{P}(t^*, q(t^*), u(t^*))$ one obtains

$$(4.6) \quad \mathbf{a}_\sigma^* := (\mathbf{a}^* \times P^{/b}) P_{/b} = \left[\begin{array}{c} b \\ k \quad l \end{array} \right] \dot{q}^k \dot{q}^l + \ddot{q}^b \Big] P_{/b}$$

(¹) We set $q^0 = t$, $q^N = u$, $P_{/a} := \partial P / \partial q^a$, $P_{/ab} := \partial^2 P / \partial q^a \partial q^b$, and briefly we mean definitions (4.4)₂₋₄ «termwise»; furthermore, Greek indices run from 0 to N , Latin indices run from 1 to N .

and

$$(4.7) \quad A_\sigma := (A \times P^{1/b}) P_{1/b} = [(a^{(d)} + a^{(c)} + a^{(r)}) \times P^{1/b}] P_{1/b} = \\ = \left[\begin{Bmatrix} b & \\ 0 & 0 \end{Bmatrix} + 2 \begin{Bmatrix} b & \\ 0 & k \end{Bmatrix} \dot{q}^k + \begin{Bmatrix} b & \\ k & l \end{Bmatrix} \dot{q}^k \dot{q}^l + \ddot{q}^b \right] P_{1/b}.$$

5. SEQUENCES OF CONTROLS THAT AFFORD A BURST OF Σ

In this section, conditions (α) to (β) below are assumed:

$$(\alpha) \quad u_a = u_{j_a, \eta_a} \text{ for some } j_a > 0, \eta_a > 0 \quad \forall a \in N_* := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\},$$

(β) $z^{(a)}(\cdot) = (q_{(a)}(\cdot), p^{(a)}(\cdot))$ is the (maximal) solution of (2.5) for $u = u_a$ $\forall a \in N_*$.

In the sequel, we set

$$|b| = \left(\sum_{k=1}^N b_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } b \in \mathbf{R}^{3\nu},$$

$$|p^{(a)}(t)| = \left(\sum_{k=1}^N p_k^{(a)}(t)^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{and} \quad |q_{(a)}(t)| = \left(\sum_{k=1}^N q_{(a)}^k(t)^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

THEOREM 5.1. (a) For some sequences u_a of controls of the type (2.8) – see (α)

$$(5.1) \quad |q_{(a)}(d + \eta_a) - \bar{q}| < 1/a, \quad |\dot{q}_{(a)}^b(d + \eta_a) P_{1/b}| > a \quad (a \in N_*).$$

(b) If (5.1) holds and $\bar{\zeta} := (d, \bar{q}, \bar{u}) \in I \times \Omega \times H$, then, by using «u.v.» for «unit vector of»

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{cases} \lim w_a = \text{u.v.} [2^{-1}(A_{NN,b}(\bar{\zeta}) + 2Q_{bNN}(\bar{\zeta})) P^{1/b}], \\ \text{where} \\ w_a = \text{u.v.} [q_{(a)}^b(T_a) P_{1/b}(T_a, q_{(a)}(T_a), u_a(T_a))] \text{ with } T_a := d + \eta_a, u_a(T_a) = u + j_a. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Fix the last integer $r > 0$ with $\bar{D} \subseteq I \times \Omega \times H$, where $D := B(d, 1/r) \times B(\bar{q}, 1/r) \times B(\bar{u}, 1/r)$, call $\rho (> 0)$ and $\sigma (> 0)$ the maximum and minimum eigenvalues of the matrix a_{bk} for $(t, q, u) \in \bar{D}$, and call b the maximum value of $|b(t, q, u)|$ for $(t, q, u) \in \bar{D}$. By Theor. 3.1 for any $a \in N_*$ there is a constant C_* and a $j_a \in (0, 1)$ such that for a suitably small $\eta_a \in (0, 1)$ we have (5.1) and (i) $|p^{(a)}(d + \eta_a)| > C_* j_a^2 \eta_a^{-1}$. Hence, by rendering η_a smaller, we also have (ii) $C_* j_a^2 \eta_a^{-1} > (a\rho\sigma^{-1} + b)$. Furthermore, by (2.4)₂, (iii) $|\dot{q}_{(a)}(d + \eta_a)| > \rho^{-1} (|p^{(a)}(d + \eta_a)| - b)$; then by (i) and (ii) $|\dot{q}_{(a)}^b(d + \eta_a) P_{1/b}| > \sigma |\dot{q}_{(a)}^b(d + \eta_a)| > \sigma \rho^{-1} (C_* j_a^2 \eta_a^{-1} - b) > \sigma \rho^{-1} a \sigma^{-1} \rho = a$. Hence (5.1)₂ also holds. Thus (a) is proved. Note that, for any sequence of controls satisfying condition (α) and (5.1), one has (iv) $j_a \rightarrow 0$, $\eta_a \rightarrow 0^+$ and $j_a^2 \eta_a^{-1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$.

To prove (b), consider the following transformation $(q_{(a)}(\cdot), p^{(a)}(\cdot)) \mapsto (K_{(a)}(\cdot), P^{(a)}(\cdot))$ for any solution $z^{(a)}(\cdot)$ of the ODE (2.5) with $u = u_a$ where $a \in N_*$,

(5.1) holds, and for $\tau \in [0, 1]$:

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{cases} K_{(a)}^b(\tau) := q_{(a)}^b(t(\tau)), & P_b^{(a)}(\tau) := p_b^{(a)}(t(\tau)) \lambda_a, \\ \text{being} \\ t(\tau) := d + \eta_a \tau & \text{and} \quad \lambda_a = \eta_a j_a^{-2}. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that thus, since $u_a = j_a \eta_a^{-1}$ and e.g. $\dot{P}_b^{(a)} := dP_b^{(a)}/d\tau$, problem (2.4) takes the form:

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{P}_b^{(a)} = -\frac{j_a^2}{2} P^{(a)} [(a^{-1})_{,b} - 2Q_b^{(2)}] P^{(a)} + \eta_a P^{(a)} [(a^{-1})_{,b} + Q_b^{(1)}] + \\ \quad + \frac{1}{2} [A_{NN,b} + 2Q_{bNN}] + [B_b + Q_{bN}] \lambda_a j_a + \\ \quad + \frac{1}{2} \{[b^{-1} ab + 2C]_{,b} + 2Q_{0b}\} \lambda_a \eta_a, & P_b^{(a)}(0) = \bar{p}_b \lambda_a, \\ \dot{K}_{(a)}^b = j_a a^{bk} (P_k^{(a)} - \lambda_a b_k), & K_{(a)}^b(0) = \bar{q}^b; \end{cases}$$

and (5.2)₂ yields the first two among the equalities

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{cases} W_{(a)}^b = \frac{\dot{K}_{(a)}^b(1)}{|\dot{K}_{(a)}^b(1) P_{/b}|} = \frac{a^{bk} (P_k^{(a)}(1) - \lambda_a b_k)}{[a_{kl} a^{ks} (P_s^{(a)}(1) - \lambda_a b_s) a^{lm} (P_m^{(a)} - \lambda_a b_m)]^{1/2}}, \\ W^b := \frac{a^{bk} P_k(1)}{[a^{kl} P_l(1) P_k(1)]^{1/2}}, \end{cases}$$

where e.g. $a_{hk} = a_{hk}[t, q, u_a(t)]$. In addition, first, as $a \rightarrow \infty$, $(\lambda_a, \eta_a, j_a) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$, see (iv) above (5.3). Furthermore, the solution of ODE (5.4) depends on the parameters λ_a, η_a , and j_a continuously, so that $\sup \{ |P_b^{(a)}(\tau) - P_b(\tau)| : \tau \in (0, 1) \} \rightarrow 0$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$ where $(P_1(\cdot), \dots, P_N(\cdot))$ is the solution of the limit problem

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{P}_b = \alpha_b(\tau, \bar{u}, K) := [2^{-1} (A_{NN,b}(\tau, \bar{u}, K) + 2Q_{bNN}(\tau, \bar{u}, K))], & P_b(0) = 0, \\ \dot{K}^b = 0, & K^b(0) = \bar{q}^b. \end{cases}$$

Then by (5.5)₃, $W_{(a)}^b \rightarrow W^b$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore by (5.6)_{4,5}, $K^b(\tau) \equiv \bar{q}^b$, so that (5.6)₁ and the inverse of (5.3)_{3,4} yield

$$(5.7) \quad P_b(1) = \int_0^1 \tilde{\alpha}_b(\tau, \bar{u}, \bar{q}) d\tau = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\eta_a} \int_a^{T_a} \alpha_b(t, \bar{u}, \bar{q}) dt = \alpha_b(d, \bar{u}, \bar{q}) \quad (b = 1, \dots, N).$$

Then, by (5.6)₂ and (5.5)₃ one has (5.2)₁. Q.E.D.

REMARK. Note that the hypothesis (2.4) on the coefficients of Σ 's kinetic energy renders the «q-part» (2.4)₂ of the SHE (2.4) independent of \dot{u} in a neighbourhood U of (d, \bar{q}, \bar{u}) unlike what happens for the typical choice of $\Sigma_{u(\cdot)}$ (see (11.6) in [3]). By Theor 3.1, one can assume $(t, u_a(t), q_a(t)) \in [d, d + \eta_a] \times [\bar{u}, \bar{u} + j_a] \times B(\bar{q}, 1/a)$ for sufficiently large a . Furthermore, since the motion $t \mapsto (q_{(a)}(t), p^{(a)}(t))$ for $\Sigma_{u(\cdot)}$ is related to

a continuous control $u_a(t)$ – see (2.8) –, $p^{(a)}(\cdot)$ is continuous (even where $u(\cdot)$ has a discontinuity) and therefore the R.H.S. of (2.5) is continuous in U . Hence $\dot{q}_{(a)}(\cdot)$ – unlike \dot{u}_a – is continuous everywhere and in particular at d and T_a .

6. ON THE TRAJECTORY OF Σ IMMEDIATELY AFTER A BURST

In this section we assume

$$(6.1) \quad Q_{bkl}(t, \chi) \equiv 0, \quad (b, k, l = 1, \dots, N).$$

For every $a \in N_*$, in connection with the motion $z^{(a)}(\cdot)$ for Σ_{u_a} we consider the motion $t \mapsto \mathbb{P}(t, q, u_a(t))$ – see (4.2) – of the ideal fluid $F^{u_a(\cdot)}$, and the dynamic motion $P = P_a(t) = \mathbb{P}(t, q_a(t), u_a(t))$ of the representative point P of Σ_{u_a} ; see (4.3). Furthermore, for every $a \in N_*$, we denote by l_a P 's trajectory in Hertz's space $\mathbf{R}^{3\nu}$, along the motion $P_a(\cdot)$; and we call $v_{(a)}^{(r)}$ P 's velocity w.r.t. $F^{u_a(\cdot)}$. In the sequel we replace the time $t \geq T_a$ with the arclength w.r.t. $F^{u_a(\cdot)}$ covered by P along the motion $P_a(\cdot)$:

$$(6.2) \quad \sigma = \sigma_a(t) = \int_{T_a}^t v_{(a)}^{(r)}(\tau) d\tau.$$

Note that $\dot{\sigma} \geq 0$ even if P goes onward and backward on a line l of arclength s , in which cases $\dot{\sigma} = \pm \dot{s}$ respectively. However, if $\dot{\sigma}$ never vanishes, it is not restrictive to assume $\sigma = s$. We denote by $q(\cdot)$ the maximal solution of the problem

$$(6.3) \quad \ddot{q}^b + \left\{ \begin{matrix} b \\ k \quad l \end{matrix} \right\} (d, q, \bar{u}) \dot{q}^k \dot{q}^l = 0; \quad q^b(0) = \bar{q}^b, \quad \dot{q}^b(0) = W^b, \quad (\dot{q}^b := dq^b/ds),$$

where W^b is defined by (5.5)₃. The equation $P = \mathbb{P}(d, q(s), \bar{u})$ for $s \in [0, \lambda_M]$ with $\lambda_M \in (0, +\infty)$ represents a geodesic of the fixed manifold $V_d^{\bar{u}}$; see below (4.2).

THEOREM 6.1. *Let (6.1)-(6.3) hold. Then the sequence l_a of trajectories for P along the motions $P = P_a(t)$ ($a \in N_*$) tends, as $a \rightarrow \infty$, to $V_d^{\bar{u}}$'s geodesic l defined below (6.3), in the sense that for any fixed $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_M]$ – see below (6.3) – for a large enough, (i) σ_a 's restriction to $[0, \lambda]$ has an inverse $t \mapsto t_a(\sigma)$ with $s = \sigma$ and*

$$(6.4) \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sup \{ |\mathbb{P}(t_a(s), q(t_a(s)), u(t_a(s))) - \mathbb{P}(d, q(s), \bar{u})| : s \in [0, \lambda] \} = 0,$$

where $\mathbb{P}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) \in C^2(I \times \Omega \times H, \mathbf{R}^{3\nu})$ is defined in (4.2).

PROOF. Calling $f^i[\phi^i]$ the applied [reaction] force acting on the mass point P_i , in Hertz's space $\mathbf{R}^{3\nu}$, $\Sigma_{u(\cdot)}$'s dynamic equations have the version

$$(6.5) \quad A = F + \phi, \quad \text{where} \quad F_{3i-3+r} = (m_i)^{-1/2} f_r^i, \quad \phi_{3i-3+r} = (m_i)^{-1/2} \phi_r^i, \\ (i = 1, \dots, \nu; r = 1, 2, 3)$$

and since constraints are frictionless, $\mathbf{0} = \phi_\sigma (= (\phi \times P^b) P_{/b})$. Then the projection of (6.5)₁ on $V_t^{u(t)}$'s tangent space at $P = \mathbb{P}[t, q(t), u(t)]$ reads $A_\sigma = F_\sigma$. Hence by (4.7) and (4.6)₂

$$(6.6) \quad \ddot{q}^b = - \left\{ \begin{matrix} b \\ r \quad s \end{matrix} \right\} \dot{q}^r \dot{q}^s + A_r^b \dot{q}^r + B^b, \quad \text{with e. g. } A_r^b = A_r^b[t, q(t), u(t)]$$

where, remembering (2.3) and that Q_{0b} , Q_{bk} , $\begin{Bmatrix} b \\ r \ s \end{Bmatrix}$, $\begin{Bmatrix} b \\ 0 \ s \end{Bmatrix}$, $\begin{Bmatrix} b \\ 0 \ 0 \end{Bmatrix}$, a_{rs} , and $(a^{bk}) = (a_{rs})^{-1}$ are C^1 -functions of (t, q, u) ,

$$(6.7) \quad A_r^b(t, q, u) := a^{bl} Q_{lr} - 2 \begin{Bmatrix} b \\ 0 \ r \end{Bmatrix}, \quad B^b := a^{bl} Q_{0l} - 2 \begin{Bmatrix} b \\ 0 \ 0 \end{Bmatrix}.$$

Note that (6.6) is the Lagrangian version of the semi-Hamiltonian ODE (2.4).

Now fix $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_M)$ and $\mu \in (\lambda, \lambda_M)$; furthermore call P_μ l 's point whose distance in $V_d^{\bar{u}}$ from l 's origin $P_0 := (d, \bar{q}, \bar{u})$ is μ . Then l 's arc $l_\mu := \overline{P_0 P_\mu}$ lies in some open set

$$(6.8) \quad A := B(d, \varepsilon_1) \times Q \times B(\bar{u}, \varepsilon_2) (\neq \emptyset),$$

whose closure \bar{A} is compact and belongs to the $(n+2)$ -dimensional manifold $V \subset \mathbf{R}^{1+3n}$. The dynamic motion $P = P_a(t)$ of Σ_{u_a} (immediately) after the burst, *i.e.* for $t > d + \eta_a := T_a$, solves the ODE (6.6) with $u = u_a(t) = v_j(t - \eta_a)$, and satisfies the initial conditions at $T = T_a$

$$(6.9) \quad q(T_a) = q_{(a)}(T_a), \quad \dot{q}(T_a) = \dot{q}_{(a)}(T_a), \quad (u_{(a)}(T_a) = v_j(d) = \bar{u} + j_a)$$

where the R.H.S.s of (6.9)_{1,2} are constructed with the solution $t \mapsto z(t) = (q_{(a)}(t), p^{(a)}(t))$ in $[d, T_a]$ of problem (2.5) for $u = u_a(t)$; see also the Remark below (5.7).

Hence, remembering (5.1-2) and (4.2)₃, for a unique $W_a > 0$ – see (5.2)₃ – we have that

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{cases} P_a(T_a) = \mathbf{P}(T_a, q_{(a)}(T_a), \bar{u} + j_a), \\ \dot{P}_a(T_a) = W_a \boldsymbol{\omega}_a = \mathbf{P}_{/b}(T_a, q_{(a)}(T_a), \bar{u} + j_a) \dot{q}^b(T_a) \end{cases}$$

and that, as $a \rightarrow \infty$, ($j_a \rightarrow 0$, $\eta_a \rightarrow 0^+$, $T_a \rightarrow d$ and)

$$(6.11) \quad P_a(T_a) \rightarrow P_0 = \mathbf{P}(d, \bar{q}, \bar{u}), \quad W_a \rightarrow +\infty \quad (\boldsymbol{\omega}_a \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\omega}; \text{ see (5.2)}_2).$$

Now set, for *e.g.* $M^{-1} = W_a$ and $T = T_a$

$$(6.12) \quad \xi = (t - T)M^{-1}, \quad \dot{q} = dq/d\xi = M\dot{q}, \quad \mathbf{q}(\xi) := q(T + M\xi),$$

so that the point $P(T_a + M_a \xi)$ covers $l_{a, \xi}$ when ξ covers $[0, \mu]$. Then the problem (6.6) \cup (6.9), for $t \geq T_a$ becomes the problem for $\xi \geq 0$ formed by the ODE

$$(6.13) \quad \ddot{\mathbf{q}} = - \begin{Bmatrix} b \\ r \ s \end{Bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{q}}^r \dot{\mathbf{q}}^s + M A_r^b \dot{\mathbf{q}}^r + M^2 B^b,$$

where $A_r^b = A_r^b[T + M\xi, \mathbf{q}(\xi), j + v(T + M\xi)]$, $B^b = B^b[T + M\xi, \mathbf{q}(\xi), j + v(T + M\xi)]$, $M^{-1} = W_a$, and $T = T_a$, coupled with the initial conditions

$$(6.14) \quad \mathbf{q}^b(0) = q_{(a)}^b(T_a), \quad \dot{\mathbf{q}}^b(0) = M \dot{q}_{(a)}^b(T_a) (= w_a^b, \text{ where } \boldsymbol{\omega}_a = w_a^b P_{/b});$$

we regard the R.H.S. of (6.13)_{1,2} as constructed by means of the solution $q_{(a)}(\cdot)$ of (2.5) – see below (6.9). For some ε_1 small enough, the ODE (6.13) has the form $\ddot{\mathbf{q}} = f(\xi, \mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, u, M, j)$ with $f \in C^1$ in the compact set $K := [-\varepsilon_1, \mu] \times Q \times S \times B(\bar{u}, \varepsilon_1) \times [0, \varepsilon_1] \times [0, \varepsilon_1]$. Infact for $M = 0$ problem (6.12) \cup (6.14)_{1,3} coincides with problem (6.3); and the solution of this in $[0, \mu]$ exists in that it represents the geodesic $l_{P_0, \mu}$. Incidentally, for $M = 0$, ξ is the arclength on l .

Call $q(\cdot, \tilde{q}, \tilde{w}, M, j)$ the general solution in $[0, \mu]$ of the second order ODE (6.13), coupled with the initial conditions $q^b(0) = \tilde{q}^b$ and $\dot{q}^b(0) = \tilde{w}^b$. By a well known theorem (of existence and uniqueness in the large), there is some $\eta > 0$ such that for

$$(6.15) \quad |\tilde{q}^b - \bar{q}^b| \leq \eta, \quad |\tilde{w}^b - w^b| \leq \eta, \quad |M| \leq \eta, \quad |j| \leq \eta,$$

the above solution in $[0, \mu]$ exists and is (uniformly) continuous and even C^1 in K , together with $\dot{q}(\cdot, \tilde{q}, \tilde{w}, M, j)$. Hence, given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ arbitrarily, there is some $\bar{\eta} > 0$ such that, for $\eta < \bar{\eta}$, $\{(T + M\xi, q(\xi, \tilde{q}, \tilde{w}, M, j), j + v(T + M\xi)) | \xi \in [0, \mu]\} \subset A$ and

$$(6.16) \quad |q(\xi, \tilde{q}, \tilde{w}, M, j) - q(\xi, \bar{q}, w, 0, 0)| < \varepsilon, \quad |\dot{q}(\xi, \tilde{q}, \tilde{w}, M, j) - \dot{q}(\xi, \bar{q}, w, 0, 0)| < \varepsilon.$$

Now, by (6.8)-(6.10), there is an $\alpha \in N_*$ such that for $a > \alpha$ the solution $q_{(a)}(\cdot) := q(\cdot, q_{(a)}(T_a), w_a, M_a, j_a)$ of (6.13)-(6.14) fulfils requirements (6.15). Then (6.16) holds for $q_{(a)}(\cdot)$; hence, by the continuity of the function $(\xi, q, w, M, j) \mapsto [a_{bk}(\xi, q, \mu) \dot{q}^b \dot{q}^k]^{1/2}$ in K , for $\varepsilon (> 0)$ arbitrarily fixed, there is an $\bar{\alpha} > \alpha$ such that $\forall \xi \in [0, \mu]$ and $\forall a > \bar{\alpha}$

$$(6.17) \quad [a_{bk}(\xi, q_{(a)}(\xi), u_a(\xi)) \dot{q}_{(a)}^b(\xi) \dot{q}_{(a)}^k(\xi)]^{1/2} - [a_{bk}(d, q(\xi), \bar{u}) \dot{q}^b(\xi) \dot{q}^k(\xi)]^{1/2} < \varepsilon.$$

Furthermore, by the definition involving (6.3), $q(\xi) = q(\xi, \bar{q}, w, 0, 0) \forall \xi \in [0, \mu]$, while by (6.2) and (6.12)₁, for $t \geq T_a$ ($\xi = (t - T_a)/M_a$)

$$(6.18) \quad |\sigma_a(t) - \xi| = \left| \int_{T_a}^t [a_{bk} \dot{q}_{(a)}^b(\tau) \dot{q}_{(a)}^k(\tau)]^{1/2} d\tau - \xi \right| = \\ = \left| \int_0^\xi \{ [a_{bk} \dot{q}_{(a)}^b(\zeta) \dot{q}_{(a)}^k(\zeta)]^{1/2} - [a_{bk} \dot{q}^b(\zeta) \dot{q}^k(\zeta)]^{1/2} \} d\zeta \right| \leq \\ \leq \int_0^\xi |[\dots]^{1/2} - [\dots]^{1/2} | d\zeta \leq \varepsilon \mu, \quad \forall a > \bar{\alpha}.$$

By (6.16), for $\xi \in [0, \mu]$ we have $d\sigma_a/d\xi = [a_{bk}(\xi, q_{(a)}(\xi), u_a(\xi)) \dot{q}_{(a)}^b(\xi) \dot{q}_{(a)}^k(\xi)]^{1/2} = |\dot{q}_{(a)}(\xi, q_{(a)}(T_a), w_a, M_a, j_a)| \geq 1 - \varepsilon > 0$. Therefore σ_a is a strictly increasing function of ξ and hence of t . Then the inverse $t = t_a(\sigma)$ of $\sigma = \sigma_a(t)$ exists in $[T_a, T_a + \mu M_a]$ and $s = \sigma = \sigma_a(t)$. By (6.18) $\sigma_a(t) \in [\xi - \mu\varepsilon, \xi + \mu\varepsilon]$. Hence, for $\varepsilon\mu < \mu - \lambda$, $\{P(T_a + \xi M_a, q_{(a)}(\xi), u_a(\xi)) | \xi \in [0, \mu]\}$ is an arc (of l_a) containing the arc $l_{a,\lambda}$ of l_a that has $P(T_a, q_{(a)}(T_a), \bar{u} + j_a)$ as an endpoint. Hence the function $s = s_a(\xi) := \sigma_a[t_a(\xi)]$ is defined in $[0, \mu]$, it is strictly increasing, and with $[0, \lambda] \subseteq s_a([0, \mu])$. Furthermore, by (6.18)_{1,3},

$$(6.19) \quad |s_a(\xi) - \xi| \leq \varepsilon\xi \leq \varepsilon\mu \quad \forall \xi \in [0, \mu], \quad \text{hence } |s - \xi_s| \leq \varepsilon\mu \quad \forall s \in [0, \lambda], \quad \forall a > \alpha$$

where ξ_s is the inverse of $\xi \mapsto s = s_a(\xi)$. In order to prove (6.4) we set

$$(6.20) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\xi, \tilde{q}, \tilde{w}, M, j) := \mathbb{P}[T + M\xi, q(\xi, \tilde{q}, \tilde{w}, M, j), M, j, j + v(T + M\xi)] \\ \text{and} \\ \mathbb{P}_a(\xi) := \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\xi, q_{(a)}(T_a), w_a, M_a, j_a). \end{cases}$$

Note that by the definition of $\sigma_a(\xi)$ below (6.18) and by (6.12)₁ one has

$$(6.21) \quad \mathbb{P}[t_a(s), q_{(a)}(t_a(s)), u_a(t_a(s))] = \mathbb{P}_a(\xi_s) \quad \forall s \in [0, \lambda], \quad \forall a > \bar{a}.$$

By the uniform continuity of $q(\xi, \tilde{q}, \tilde{w}, M, j)$ in the set defined by (6.15) and $\xi \in [0, \mu]$, given $\varepsilon' > 0$ arbitrarily, for $\varepsilon(>0)$ small enough, (6.19)₃ and (6.16)₁ \cup (6.11) yield the first and the second of the inequalities below respectively

$$(6.22) \quad |\mathbb{P}_a(\xi_s) - \mathbb{P}_a(s)| < \varepsilon', \quad |\mathbb{P}_a(s) - \mathbb{P}(d, q(s), \bar{u})| < \varepsilon' \quad \forall s \in [0, \lambda], \quad \forall a > \bar{a}.$$

Then for $s \in [0, \lambda] (\subset [0, \mu])$ and $a > \bar{a}$ one has

$$(6.23) \quad |\mathbb{P}_a(\xi_s) - (\mathbb{P}_a(d, q(s), \bar{u}))| \leq |\mathbb{P}_a(\xi_s) - \mathbb{P}_a(s)| + |\mathbb{P}_a(s) - \mathbb{P}(d, q(s), \bar{u})| < \varepsilon' + \varepsilon'.$$

Therefore, by (6.21), $\sup \{ |\mathbb{P}[t_a(s), q_{(a)}(t_a(s)), u_a(t_a(s))] - \mathbb{P}(d, q(s), \bar{u})| : s \in [0, \lambda] \} < 2\varepsilon'$.

By the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon'(>0)$, (6.4) holds. Q.E.D.

This work has been prepared in the activity sphere of the group N. 3 of the Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche in the academic years 1988-89 and 1989-90.

Dipartimento di Matematica Pura ed Applicata
Università degli Studi di Padova
Via Belzoni, 7 - 35131 PADOVA