RENDICONTI LINCEI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI

ENRICO MAGENES

Some new results on a Stefan problem in a concentrated capacity

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Serie 9, Vol. 3 (1992), n.1, p. 23–34.

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN_1992_9_3_1_23_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.



Equazioni a derivate parziali. — Some new results on a Stefan problem in a concentrated capacity. Nota (*) del Socio Enrico Magenes.

ABSTRACT. — An existence and uniqueness theorem for a nonlinear parabolic system of partial differential equations, connected with the theory of heat conduction with a transition phase in a *concentrated capacity*, is given in sufficiently general hypotheses on the data.

KEY WORDS: Nonlinear parabolic partial differential equations; Heat conduction; Phase changes.

RIASSUNTO. — Nuovi risultati su un problema di Stefan in una capacità concentrata. Viene dato un teorema di esistenza e di unicità per un sistema non lineare parabolico di equazioni a derivate parziali, connesso con la teoria della diffusione del calore con cambiamento di fase in una capacità concentrata, in condizioni abbastanza generali sui dati del problema.

The theory of heat conduction with phase changes in a *concentrated capacity* (see [1, 4, 10] and references therein) suggests the following problem.

Let Ω be a bounded regular set in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$, ν the inward normal to Γ , $Q = \Omega \times]0$, T[, T > 0, $\Sigma = \Gamma \times [0, T[$, Δ the Laplace operator in \mathbb{R}^n , Δ_g the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Γ (with respect to a Riemannian structure g on Γ). Given a non-decreasing Lipschitz continuous function β : $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $\beta(0) = 0$ and β grows at least linearly at ∞ ($\theta = \beta(u)$ is the constitutive equation relating the temperature θ and the enthalpy density u), and functions u_0 , θ_0 , f and φ defined on Γ , Ω , Σ and Ω respectively, the problem reads as follows: find the functions u and θ such that

$$\begin{cases} \partial \theta/\partial t - \Delta \theta = \varphi \ \text{in } Q, & \theta(0) = \theta_0 \ \text{in } \Omega, & \theta = \beta(u) \ \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \partial u/\partial t - \Delta_g \theta - \partial \theta/\partial \nu = f \ \text{on } \Sigma, & u(0) = u_0 \ \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

Recently in [9] I have studied the case: $u_0 = 0$, $\theta_0 = 0$, $\varphi = 0$ in some suitable Hilbert spaces. Now, I want to consider the general case, which is not a straightforward consequence of the previous one.

1. Let Ω be an open bounded set of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, whose boundary Γ is an oriented connected C^{∞} (n-1)-manifold. We shall use the usual L^2 -Sobolev spaces $H^s(\Omega)$ and $H^s(\Gamma)$, s real (see e.g. [6]). In particular $H^0(\Gamma) = L^2(\Gamma)$. As usual we identify $L^2(\Gamma)$ with its dual so that we have $H^1(\Gamma) \subset L^2(\Gamma) \subset H^{-1}(\Gamma)$, with continuous and dense injections. Let $\langle u, v \rangle$ denote either the scalar product in $L^2(\Gamma)$ or the pairing between $H^{-1}(\Gamma)$ and $H^1(\Gamma)$. Let us denote by ν the inward normal to Γ , by ∇ and Γ the gradient vector and the Laplace operator in Γ . Moreover we shall suppose that a (proper) Γ -Riemannian structure Γ is defined on Γ and we denote by Γ the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Γ with respect to Γ (see e.g. [3]) and by Γ the scalar product with respect to Γ is an Γ -constant.

^(*) Presentata nella seduta del 16 novembre 1991.

 $u \to \Delta_g u$ is a linear continuous operator from $H^1(\Gamma)$ into $H^{-1}(\Gamma)$ and that

$$(1.1) -(\Delta_{\varrho} v, u) = (dv, du) \quad \forall u, \ v \in H^{1}(\Gamma),$$

where d is the exterior differential on Γ . Moreover we shall use also the spaces $H^{r,s}$, r and s non negative real numbers, as defined in [6, chapt. 4, n. 2.1], namely:

(1.2)
$$H^{r,s}(Q) = L^2(0, T; H^r(\Omega)) \cap H^s(0, T; L^2(\Omega)),$$

(1.3)
$$H^{r,s}(\Sigma) = L^{2}(0, T; H^{r}(\Gamma)) \cap H^{s}(0, T; L^{2}(\Gamma)),$$

endowed with their natural norms. In addition let $0 < \sigma < 1/2$ be a fixed number and let B^{σ} be given by

(1.4)
$$B^{\sigma} = L^{2}(0, T; H^{1}(\Gamma)) \cap_{0} H^{1/2 + \sigma}(0, T; L^{2}(\Gamma)),$$

where

$$(1.5) 0H^{1/2+\sigma}(0,T;L^2(\Gamma)) = \{ v \in H^{1/2+\sigma}(0,T;L^2(\Gamma)), v(\cdot,0) = 0 \}.$$

 B^{σ} is a Hilbert space with the norm $\|v\|_{H^{1,1/2+\sigma}(\Sigma)}$.

Now let b_0 be fixed in $H^1(\Gamma)$ and let us consider the set

(1.6)
$$B_{b_0}^{\sigma} = \{ h \in H^{1, 1/2 + \sigma}(\Sigma), h(\cdot, 0) = b_0 \}$$

which is a closed affine manifold of $H^{1,1/2+\sigma}(\Sigma)$. We can obviously represent any $b \in B_{b_0}^{\sigma}$ by the formula

(1.7)
$$b(x,t) = b_0(x) + v(x,t) \quad \text{with } v \in B^{\sigma}.$$

Let us consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \text{given } h_0 \in H^1(\Gamma), \ h \in B^{\sigma}_{h_0} \text{ with } (1.7), & \varphi \in L^2(Q) \text{ and } \theta_0 \in H^1(\Omega) \\ \text{with } \theta_0|_{\Gamma} = h_0, \quad \text{find } \theta \in H^{3/2,3/4}(Q) \text{ solution of } \\ \partial \theta/\partial t - \Delta \theta = \varphi \text{ in } Q, & \theta = h \text{ on } \Sigma, & \theta(\cdot,0) = \theta_0 \text{ in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

We have the following

PROPOSITION 1.1. Problem (1.8) has a unique solution $\theta \in H^{3/2,3/4}(Q)$ and we can define $\partial \theta/\partial \nu$ on Σ with $\partial \theta/\partial \nu \in L^2(\Sigma)$ and

where C is a positive number independent of h_0 , v, θ_0 , φ .

PROOF. We can split (1.8) writing $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3$ where θ_i , i = 1, 2, 3, are respectively the solutions of the following problems:

$$(1.10) \qquad \partial \theta_1/\partial t - \Delta \theta_1 = \varphi \ \text{ in } Q, \qquad \theta_1 = \nu \ \text{ on } \Sigma, \qquad \theta_1(\cdot,0) = 0 \ \text{ on } \Omega;$$

(1.11)
$$\partial \theta_2 / \partial t - \Delta \theta_2 = 0$$
 in Q , $\theta_2 = 0$ on Σ , $\theta_2(\cdot, 0) = \theta_{0,1}$ on Ω ;

(1.12)
$$\partial \theta_3 / \partial t - \Delta \theta_3 = 0$$
 in Q , $\theta_3 = b_0$ on Σ , $\theta_3(\cdot, 0) = \theta_{0,2}$ on Ω ;

where $\theta_{0,1}$ and $\theta_{0,2}$ are determined by $\theta_0 = \theta_{0,1} + \theta_{0,2}$ with the following conditions

(1.13)
$$\theta_{0,1} \in H_0^1(\Omega), \ \Delta \theta_{0,1} = \Delta \theta_0 \quad \text{in } H^{-1}(\Omega),$$

(1.14)
$$\theta_{0,2} \in H^{3/2}(\Omega), \ \Delta \theta_{0,2} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \theta_{0,2} = b_0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$

Now (1.10) can be studied by the methods used in [6, chapt. 4], and more precisely in [2, Teor. 3]; let us remark that B^{σ} is contained, with continuous embedding, in the space B defined by (2.4) of [9]. Then there exists a unique $\theta_1 \in H^{3/2,3/4}(Q)$ solution of (1.10) and we have $\partial \theta_1/\partial \nu \in L^2(\Sigma)$ with

(1.15)
$$\left\| \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \le C(\|\nu\|_{B^{\sigma}} + \|\varphi\|_{L^2(Q)}).$$

Problem (1.11) is a classical one and it is well known (see e.g. [6, chapt. 4]) that there exists a unique solution $\theta_2 \in H^{2,1}(Q)$ such that $\partial \theta_2/\partial \nu \in H^{1/2,1/4}(\Sigma) \subset L^2(\Sigma)$ and

$$\left| \left| \frac{\partial \theta_2}{\partial \nu} \right| \right|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \le C \|\theta_{0,1}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}.$$

Finally (1.12), recalling (1.14), has the obvious solution $\theta_3(x, t) = \theta_{0,2}(x)$, independent of t and from [6, chapt. 2], we have

(1.17)
$$\left\| \frac{\partial \theta_3}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \le C \|b_0\|_{H^1(\Gamma)}.$$

Collecting the previous results we obtain the proof of Proposition 1.1.

Now if we consider φ and θ_0 (and consequently h_0) fixed we can see $\partial \theta/\partial \nu$ on Σ as an operator depending only on h (really only on ν); so we shall call the operator by $\mathcal{T}_{\theta_0,\varphi}$, *i.e.* we shall define

(1.18)
$$\tau_{\theta_0,\varphi} \colon h \to \tau_{\theta_0,\varphi} h = \partial \theta / \partial \nu.$$

Remark 1.1. Note that for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$ the value $(\tau_{\theta_0, \varphi} h)$ (t) depends on the values of h (and φ) in the interval [0, t].

Finally let us introduce the function β :

(1.19)
$$\beta(\xi) = a(\xi - 1)^+ - b\xi^- \qquad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R},$$

where a and b are fixed positive numbers (for more general class of functions β see [9, Remark 2.2]).

Now we state the main result:

Theorem 1.1. For any $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$, $\varphi \in L^2(Q)$, $u_0 \in L^2(\Gamma)$ with $\beta(u_0) \in H^1(\Gamma)$, $\theta_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$ with $\theta_0|_{\Gamma} = \beta(u_0)$, there exists a unique function u, with

$$u\in H^{1}\left(0,T;H^{-1}\left(\varGamma\right)\right)\cap L^{\infty}\left(0,T;L^{2}\left(\varGamma\right)\right),$$

$$\beta(u)\in L^{\infty}\left(0,T;H^{1}\left(\Gamma\right)\right)\cap H^{1}\left(0,T;L^{2}\left(\Gamma\right)\right)$$

such that in the sense of $H^1(0,T;H^{-1}(\Gamma))$ we have:

$$(1.20) \partial u/\partial t - \Delta_g \beta(u) - \mathcal{T}_{\theta_0, \varphi} \beta(u) = f, u(\cdot, 0) = u_0.$$

With the above notation the first equation of (1.20) means that for a.e. t in [0, T] we have

$$\left\langle \partial u(t)/\partial t, \psi \right\rangle - \left(d\beta(u(t)), d\psi \right) - \left\langle (\mathcal{T}_{\theta_0, \varphi} \beta(u))(t), \psi \right\rangle = - \left\langle f(t), \psi \right\rangle \qquad \forall \psi \in H^1(\Gamma).$$

Remark 1.2. Equation (1.20) gives an exact formulation of Problem (I) as a non-linear evolution equation on Σ in the unknown u; this formulation can be viewed as a usual Stefan problem on Σ perturbed by the nonlocal (in x and in t) term $\tau_{\theta_0,\varphi} \beta(u)$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 shall be given following the same techniques used in [9].

2. In order to prove Theorem 1.1 let us introduce a regularization of problem (1.20). For any $\varepsilon > 0$, let β_{ε} be defined, e.g., as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \beta_{\varepsilon}(\xi) = \beta(\xi) & \text{if } \xi \leq 0 \,, \; \beta_{\varepsilon}(\xi) = \beta(\xi) + \varepsilon \text{ if } \xi \geq 1 \,, \\ \beta_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(R) \,, \; \tilde{l}\varepsilon \leq \beta_{\varepsilon}'(\xi) \leq \tilde{L} \quad \forall \xi \in R(\tilde{l}, \tilde{L} \text{ positive numbers}) \,. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to prove the existence of $u_0^\varepsilon \in H^1(\Gamma)$ and $\theta_0^\varepsilon \in H^{3/2}(\Omega)$ such that

(2.2)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} u_0^{\varepsilon} = u_0 \text{ in } L^2(\Gamma), \qquad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \beta_{\varepsilon}(u_0^{\varepsilon}) = \beta(u_0) \text{ in } H^1(\Gamma),$$

(2.3)
$$\theta_0^{\varepsilon}|_{\Gamma} = \beta_{\varepsilon}(u_0^{\varepsilon}), \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \theta_0^{\varepsilon} = \theta_0 \quad \text{in } H^1(\Omega).$$

Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the regularized problem reads as follows: find $u_{\varepsilon} \in H^{2,1}(\Sigma)$ such that

(2.4)
$$\partial u_{\varepsilon}/\partial t - \Delta_{g} \beta_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) - \mathcal{T}_{\theta_{0}, \varphi} \beta_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) = f, \quad u_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, 0) = u_{0}^{\varepsilon}$$

and we shall prove the following

Theorem 2.1. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, problem (2.4) has a unique solution.

For the sake of simplicity, since no confusion is possible, we shall omit the subscript ε in all functions occurring in the formulation of the regularized problem, everywhere in the present Sect. 2. Moreover, since we consider u_0^{ε} , θ_0^{ε} , φ fixed, we shall omit the subscript θ_0^{ε} , φ in $\tau_{\theta_0^{\varepsilon},\varphi}$, so that problem (2.4) reads

$$(2.5) \partial u/\partial t - \Delta_{\sigma} \beta(u) - \tau \beta(u) = f, u_0(\cdot, 0) = u_0.$$

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, let us introduce the following family of problems: for any $w \in B_{u_0}^{\sigma}$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ find $v \in B_{u_0}^{\sigma} \cap H^{2, 1}(\Sigma)$ such that

(2.6)
$$\partial v/\partial t - \Delta_{\varrho} \beta(v) = \alpha \, \tau \, \beta(w) + f, \qquad v(\cdot, 0) = u_0.$$

Since $w \in B_{u_0}^{\sigma}$, also $\beta(w) \in B_{\beta(u_0)}^{\sigma}$ and (cfr. (1.6), (1.7)) we have $\beta(w) = \beta(u_0) + k$, with $k \in B^{\sigma}$. Now we can prove the following

Proposition 2.1. Problem (2.6) has a unique solution so that

(2.7)
$$||v||_{H^{2,1}(\Sigma)} \leq C(\varepsilon) \{ ||u_0||_{L^2(\Gamma)} + ||\beta(u_0)||_{H^1(\Gamma)} + ||k||_{B^s} + ||\theta_0||_{H^1(\Omega)} + ||\varphi||_{L^2(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^2(\Sigma)} \},$$

where $\beta(w) = \beta(u_0) + k$ and $C(\varepsilon)$ is independent of $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

PROOF. Proposition 1.1 shows that $F = \alpha \tau \beta(w) + f$ belongs to $L^2(\Sigma)$. Let $\{F_n\}$

and $\{u_n\}$, n = 1, 2, ... be such that $F_n \in \mathcal{O}(\Sigma)$, $u_n \in \mathcal{O}(\Gamma)$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} F_n = F$ in $L^2(\Gamma)$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = u_0$ in $H^1(\Gamma)$. The problem

(2.8)
$$\partial v_n / \partial t - \Delta_\sigma \beta(v_n) = F_n, \quad v_n(0) = u_n$$

has a unique solution $v_n \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Sigma})$ for classical results (see *e.g.* [5]). The following estimates can be easily proved, recalling that $\beta = \beta_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies (2.1):

where C is independent of ε , α and n and $C(\varepsilon)$ is independent of α and n. Indeed (2.9) (resp. (2.10)) can be obtained if we multiply for any t (2.8) by $v_n(t)$ (resp. $\partial \beta(v_n(t))/\partial t$) and integrate on $\Gamma \times]0, t[$ (resp. on Σ). Hence from (2.8) we also obtain

Then, using standard compactness procedures and the monotonicity of β , we obtain the existence of a solution of (2.6) with $v \in H^{2,1}(\Sigma) \cap B_{u_0}^{\sigma}$. The uniqueness is also easily proved by the monotonicity of β . Moreover (2.7) follows on using also Proposition 1.1 and the fact that $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Now, letting u_0 , θ_0 , φ and f be fixed and writing $w = u_0 + \tilde{w}$ with $\tilde{w} \in B^{\sigma}$ and $v = u_0 + \tilde{v}$, with $\tilde{v} \in B^{\sigma}$ (recall (1.6) and (1.7)), we can consider \tilde{v} as a function of \tilde{w} and α , namely,

$$(2.12) \tilde{v} = \Phi(\tilde{w}, \alpha), \quad \tilde{w} \in B^{\sigma}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1]$$

and Φ is an operator acting from $B^{\sigma} \times [0,1]$ in $B^{\sigma} \cap H^{2,1}(\Sigma)$. Proposition 2.1 guaranties that Φ maps bounded sets of B^{σ} in bounded sets of $B^{\sigma} \cap H^{2,1}(\Sigma)$, uniformly with respect to $\alpha \in [0,1]$. Moreover, we shall prove the following property:

Proposition 2.2. The operator Φ is continuous in B^{σ} , uniformly with respect to $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

PROOF. Let $\tilde{w}_i \in B^{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{v}_i = \Phi(\tilde{w}_i, \alpha)$, i = 1, 2 and $w_i = u_0 + \tilde{w}_i$, $v_i = u_0 + \tilde{v}_i$. Then $v_2 - v_1 = \tilde{v}_2 - \tilde{v}_1$ satisfies

(2.13)
$$\partial (v_2 - v_1)/\partial t - \Delta_g(\beta(v_2) - \beta(v_1)) = \alpha(\tau \beta(w_2) - \tau \beta(w_1)), \quad v_2(0) - v_1(0) = 0,$$
 which can be written, for any $t \in [0, T]$, as follows

$$\begin{split} \left\langle v_{2}\left(t\right)-v_{1}\left(t\right),\psi\right\rangle +\left(d\int\limits_{0}^{t}\left(\beta(v_{2}\left(s\right)\right)-\beta(v_{1}\left(s\right))\right)\,ds,d\psi\right) =\\ \\ &=\alpha\int\limits_{\Gamma}\int\limits_{0}^{t}\left\{\tau\;\beta(w_{2}\left(s\right)\right)-\tau\;\beta(w_{1}\left(s\right))\right\}\,ds\;\psi\,d\sigma,\;\;\forall\psi\in H^{1}\left(\Gamma\right). \end{split}$$

Taking $\psi = \beta(v_2(t)) - \beta(v_1(t))$ and integrating from 0 to T, we obtain

$$(2.14) \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} (v_{2}(t) - v_{1}(t)) (\beta(v_{2}(t)) - \beta(v_{1}(t))) d\sigma dt +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{0}^{T} (\beta(v_{2}(t)) - \beta(v_{1}(t))) dt, \int_{0}^{T} (\beta(v_{2}(t)) - \beta(v_{1}(t))) dt \right) =$$

$$= \alpha \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} \int_{0}^{t} \{ \tau \beta(w_{2}(s)) - \tau \beta(w_{1}(s)) \} ds \{ \beta(v_{2}(t)) - \beta(v_{1}(t)) \} d\sigma dt.$$

Now, since $\beta(=\beta_{\varepsilon})$ satisfies (2.1), we have

(2.15)
$$|\beta(\xi) - \beta(\eta)|^2 \le \tilde{L}(\xi - \eta)(\beta(\xi) - \beta(\eta)), \quad \forall \xi, \ \eta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore from (2.14) and Proposition 1.1 (note that $\tau \beta(w_2) - \tau \beta(w_1) = \tau_{0,0}(\beta(w_2) - \beta(w_1))$), we obtain $\|\beta(v_2) - \beta(v_1)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \le C \|\tau \beta(w_2) - \tau \beta(w_1)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \le C \|\beta(w_2) - \beta(w_1)\|_{B^2}$ with C independent of α and ε .

Finally, noting again that $\beta(=\beta_{\varepsilon})$ satisfies (2.1), we obtain

where $C(\varepsilon)$ is independent of α .

Now let us recall that from the *interpolation inequality* (see, *e.g.* [6, chapt. 1]) we have, for any $z \in H^{2,1}(\Sigma) \cap B^{\sigma}$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.17) \qquad & \begin{cases} \|z\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}(\Gamma))} \leq C \|z\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma))}^{1/2} \cdot \|z\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{2}(\Gamma))}^{1/2} \,, \\ \|z\|_{H^{1/2+\sigma}(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma))} \leq C \|z\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma))}^{1/2-\sigma} \cdot \|z\|_{H^{1}(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma))}^{1/2+\sigma} \,. \end{cases}$$

Hence, using (2.17) for $\tilde{v}_2 - \tilde{v}_1$ and (2.16), (1.6) and Proposition 2.1, we have that if $\tilde{w}_2 \to \tilde{w}_1$ in B^{σ} , then $\tilde{v}_2 \to \tilde{v}_1$ in B^{σ} , uniformly with respect to α .

We shall now prove the following

Proposition 2.3. There exists a positive number $C(\varepsilon)$, independent of α , such that, if \tilde{v} is any fixed point of Φ and $0 \le \alpha \le 1$, then

$$\|\tilde{\nu}\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\tau}} \leq C(\varepsilon) \left\{ \|f\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} + \|\varphi\|_{L^{2}(Q)} + \|\mu_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} + \|\beta(\mu_{0})\|_{H^{1}(\Gamma)} + \|\theta_{0}\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \right\}.$$

PROOF. If \tilde{v} is a fixed point of Φ , then $v = u_0 + \tilde{v}$ is a solution of problem

(2.18)
$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta_{g} \beta(v) - \alpha \tau \beta(v) = f, \quad v(0) = u_{0}$$

and we have $v \in H^{2,1}(\Sigma) \cap B_{u_0}^{\sigma}$, by Proposition 1.1 and Proposition 2.1. Setting

$$\lambda(\xi) = \int_{0}^{\xi} \beta(\eta) \, d\eta \qquad \forall \xi \in \mathbf{R},$$

we can multiply (2.18) by $\beta(v(t))$ for a.e. t in [0,T] and integrate on Γ and we obtain

(2.19)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Gamma} \lambda(v) \, d\sigma + (d\beta(v), d\beta(v)) - \alpha \int_{\Gamma} \tau \, \beta(v) \, \beta(v) \, d\sigma = \int_{\Gamma} f\beta(v) \, d\sigma.$$

By definition $\tau \beta(v) = \partial \theta / \partial v$ when θ is the solution of the following problem

(2.20)
$$\partial \theta / \partial t - \Delta \theta = \varphi$$
 in Q , $\theta = \beta(v)$ on Σ , $\theta(0) = \theta_0$ in Ω .

Then, for a.e. t in [0, T], we have

$$-\int_{\Gamma} \tau \, \beta(v) \cdot \beta(v) \, d\sigma = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \Delta \theta \cdot \theta \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \, \theta \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \varphi \theta \, dx,$$

so that (2.19) becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int\limits_{\Gamma} \lambda(v) \, d\sigma + \left(d\beta(v), d\beta(v) \right) + \alpha \int\limits_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta|^2 \, dx + \frac{\alpha}{2} \, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int\limits_{\Omega} |\theta|^2 \, dx = \alpha \int\limits_{\Omega} \varphi \theta \, dx + \int\limits_{\Omega} f\beta(v) \, d\sigma.$$

Therefore, integrating from 0 to \bar{t} , $0 < \bar{t} < T$, we get

$$\int_{\Gamma} \lambda(v(\overline{t})) d\sigma + \int_{0}^{\overline{t}} (d\beta(v), d\beta(v)) dt + \alpha \int_{0}^{\overline{t}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta|^{2} dx dt + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\theta(\overline{t})|^{2} dx =$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma} \lambda(u_{0}) d\sigma + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\alpha} |\theta_{0}|^{2} dx + \alpha \int_{0}^{\overline{t}} \int_{\Omega} \varphi \theta dx dt + \int_{0}^{\overline{t}} \int_{\Gamma} f\beta(v) d\sigma.$$

Hence on using the elementary inequalities $|\beta(\xi)|^2/2\tilde{L} \le \lambda(\xi) \le \tilde{L}\xi^2/2$ we obtain finally

$$(2.21) |\beta(\nu)|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma))} + ||\beta(\nu)||_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}(\Gamma))} \leq C\{||f||_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} + ||\varphi||_{L^{2}(Q)} + ||u_{0}||_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} + ||\theta_{0}||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\},$$

where *C* is independent of α and ε . Recalling again (2.1) (since $\beta = \beta_{\varepsilon}$) we deduce from (2.21)

$$(2.22) ||v||_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma))} \leq C\{||f||_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} + ||\varphi||_{L^{2}(O)} + ||u_{0}||_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} + ||\theta_{0}||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\} + C,$$

where C is independent of α and ε and $C(\varepsilon)$ independent of α .

Now we shall also prove the inequality

$$(2.24) \|\partial v/\partial t\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} \leq C(\varepsilon) \left\{ \|f\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} + \|\varphi\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \|u_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} + \|\beta(u_{0})\|_{H^{1}(\Gamma)} + \|\theta_{0}\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \right\},$$

where $C(\varepsilon)$ is independent of α . Since $v \in H^{2,1}(\Sigma)$, we can multiply (2.18) by $\partial \beta(v)/\partial t$

and integrate on $\Gamma \times [0, \overline{t}]$, $0 < \overline{t} < T$; we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{\overline{t}} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \beta(v)}{\partial t} d\sigma dt - \int_{0}^{\overline{t}} \int_{\Gamma} \Delta_{g} \beta(v) \frac{\partial \beta(v)}{\partial t} d\sigma dt -$$

$$-\alpha \int_{0}^{\overline{t}} \int_{\Gamma} \tau \beta(v) \frac{\partial \beta(v)}{\partial t} d\sigma dt = \int_{0}^{\overline{t}} \int_{\Gamma} f \frac{\partial \beta(v)}{\partial t} d\sigma dt.$$

Assuming $\beta(v)$ sufficiently regular, we have

$$(2.25) \qquad -\int_{0}^{7} \int_{\Gamma} \Delta_{g} \beta(v) \frac{\partial \beta(v)}{\partial t} d\sigma dt = \int_{0}^{7} \left(d\beta(v), d\frac{\partial \beta(v)}{\partial t} \right) d\sigma dt =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{7} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (d\beta(v), d\beta(v)) dt = \frac{1}{2} \left(d\beta(v(t)), d\beta(v(t)) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(d\beta(u_{0}) d\beta(u_{0}) \right).$$

Since $\beta(v) \in H^{2,1}(\Sigma) \subset C^0([0,T];H^1(\Gamma))$ the final result in (2.25) is still valid (by density and continuity arguments). Similarly, we have

$$-\int_{0}^{\overline{t}} \int_{\Gamma} \tau \, \beta(v) \, \frac{\partial \beta(v)}{\partial t} \, d\sigma \, dt = \int_{0}^{\overline{t}} \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla \theta \nabla \, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + \Delta \theta \, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \right) dx \, dt =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\overline{t}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta|^{2} \, dx \, dt + \int_{0}^{\overline{t}} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \right|^{2} \, dx \, dt - \int_{0}^{\overline{t}} \int_{\Omega} \varphi \, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \, dx \, dt =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta(\overline{t})|^{2} \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta_{0}|^{2} \, dx + \int_{0}^{\overline{t}} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \right|^{2} \, dx \, dt - \int_{0}^{\overline{t}} \int_{\Omega} \varphi \, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \, dx \, dt.$$

Collecting the previous estimates we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{\overline{t}} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{\beta'(v)} \left| \frac{\partial \beta(v)}{\partial t} \right|^{2} d\sigma dt + \frac{1}{2} \left(d\beta(v(\overline{t})), d\beta(v(\overline{t})) \right) +$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta(\overline{t})|^{2} dx + \alpha \int_{0}^{\overline{t}} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \right|^{2} dx dt =$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\overline{t}} \int_{\Gamma} f \frac{\partial \beta(v)}{\partial t} d\sigma dt + \frac{1}{2} (d\beta(u_{0}), d\beta(u_{0})) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta_{0}|^{2} dx + \alpha \int_{0}^{\overline{t}} \int_{\Omega} \varphi \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} dx dt.$$

Then, again from (2.1) (since $\beta = \beta_{\epsilon}$) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left| \left| \frac{\partial \beta(v)}{\partial t} \right| \right|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma))}^{2} + \left(d\beta(v(\overline{t})), d\beta(v(\overline{t})) \right) + \alpha \|\nabla \theta(\overline{t})\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \alpha \left| \left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \right| \right|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}^{2} \leq \\ \leq C \bigg\{ \|f\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} \left| \left| \frac{\partial \beta(v)}{\partial t} \right| \right|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma))} + \left(d\beta(u_{0}), d\beta(u_{0}) \right) + \alpha \|\nabla \theta_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \alpha \|\varphi\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \cdot \left| \left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \right| \right|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} \bigg\}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, using also (2.21) and elementary inequalities, we conclude

where C is independent of α and ε . And again from (2.1) we obtain in particular (2.24). Finally Proposition 2.3 follows from (2.23) and (2.24) and recalling that $v = u_0 + \tilde{v}$.

Now the existence part of Theorem 2.1 follows from the classical Leray-Schauder theorem applied to (2.12), on using Propositions 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and the compactness of the injection of $H^{2,1}(\Sigma) \cap B^{\sigma}$ in B^{σ} and noting that for $\alpha = 0$ (2.18) has a unique solution and for $\alpha = 1$ (2.18) becomes (2.5).

In order to prove the uniqueness, let u_i (i = 1, 2) be a solution of (2.5) and denote by θ_i the solution of (2.20) coresponding to $\beta(u_i)$. Then we have, for a.e. t in [0, T],

$$(2.27) \qquad \left\langle \frac{\partial (u_2 - u_1)}{\partial t}, \psi \right\rangle + (d(\theta_2 - \theta_1), d\psi) - \int\limits_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial (\theta_2 - \theta_1)}{\partial t} \psi \, d\sigma = 0, \quad \forall \psi \in H^1(\Gamma).$$

Noting that $\partial(\theta_2 - \theta_1)/\partial t - \Delta(\theta_2 - \theta_1) = 0$ in Q, (2.27) becomes

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \frac{\partial (u_2 - u_1)}{\partial t}, \eta \right\rangle + \left(d(\theta_2 - \theta_1), d\eta \right) + \int\limits_{\Omega} \nabla (\theta_2 - \theta_1) \, \nabla \eta \, dx \, + \\ + \int\limits_{\Omega} \frac{\partial (\theta_2 - \theta_1)}{\partial t} \, \eta \, dx = 0 \,, \qquad \forall \eta \in H^{3/2} \left(\Omega \right) \,. \end{split}$$

Then, if we integrate from 0 to t, 0 < t < T, we obtain

$$(2.28) \qquad \langle u_{2}(t) - u_{1}(t), \eta \rangle + \left(d \int_{0}^{t} (\theta_{2}(s) - \theta_{1}(s)) \, ds, \, d\eta \right) +$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} \nabla \int_{0}^{t} (\theta_{2}(s) - \theta_{1}(s)) \, ds \, \nabla \eta \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\theta_{2}(t) - \theta_{1}(t)) \, \eta \, dx = 0, \qquad \forall \eta \in H^{3/2}(\Omega).$$

We can take $\eta = \theta_2(t) - \theta_1(t)$ in (2.28) and integrate again from 0 to T, obtaining

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} (u_{2} - u_{1})(\beta(u_{2}) - \beta(u_{1})) d\sigma dt + \frac{1}{2} \left(d \int_{0}^{T} (\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}) dt, d \int_{0}^{T} (\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}) dt \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla \int_{0}^{T} (\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}) dt \right)^{2} dx + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}|^{2} dx dt = 0.$$

Using (2.15) we have

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma} |\beta(u_2) - \beta(u_1)|^2 d\sigma dt \le 0,$$

whence $\beta(u_2) - \beta(u_1) = 0$ and $u_2 - u_1 = 0$ a.e. in Σ , because $u_2(\cdot, 0) = u_1(\cdot, 0)$.

Remark 2.1. The estimate (2.22) can be improved by the following one

where C is independent of α and ε . In fact we can multiply (2.18) by v and integrate on $\Gamma \times [0, t]$, 0 < t < T, thus obtaining

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} |v(t)|^{2} d\sigma \leq \alpha \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma} \tau \beta(v) \cdot v \, d\sigma \, dt + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma} fv \, d\sigma \, dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} |u_{0}|^{2} \, d\sigma \leq \\
\leq \{ \| \tau \beta(v) \|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} + \| f \|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} \} \| v \|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} + \| u_{0} \|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2} / 2 .$$

Hence (2.29) follows from Proposition 1.1 and (2.26).

3. The existence of a solution of problem (1.20) follows using the compactness and monotonicity procedures well known for the usual Stefan problems and the estimates which we have proved for the solution of the *regularized problem* (2.4). Indeed, putting again the subscript ε in all the functions, we can summarize the estimates obtained for u_{ε} and $\beta_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon})$ (cfr. (2.22) and (2.26)) by

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Gamma))} \leq C\{\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} + \|\varphi\|_{L^{2}(Q)} + \|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} + \|\theta_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\} + C,$$

$$\|\beta_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon})\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;H^{1}(\Gamma))} + \|\partial\beta_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon})/\partial t\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} \leq$$

$$\leq C\{\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} + \|\varphi\|_{L^{2}(Q)} + \|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} + \|\beta_{\varepsilon}(u_{0}^{\varepsilon})\|_{H^{1}(\Gamma)} + \|\theta_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}\}$$

where C is independent of ε . Then from (2.4) and Proposition 1.1 we also obtain

$$\|\partial u_{\varepsilon}/\partial t\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{-1}(\Gamma))} \leq C\{\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Sigma)} + \|\varphi\|_{L^{2}(Q)} + \|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} + \|\beta_{\varepsilon}(u_{0}^{\varepsilon})\|_{H^{1}(\Gamma)} + \|\theta_{0}^{\varepsilon}\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}\}.$$

Coupling these estimates with (2.2), (2.3), we can pass to the limit in (2.4) thus proving the existence of a solution of (1.20). The uniqueness follows by the same proof used for the uniqueness of the solution of the regularized problem (2.4). We only have

to replace (2.15) for β_{ε} by the analogous estimate for β : $|\beta(\xi) - \beta(\eta)|^2 \leq \max(a, b) \cdot (\xi - \eta)(\beta(\xi) - \beta(\eta))$, $\forall \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and to recall that, from the results of [6, chapt. 4] and Proposition 1.1, $\theta_2 - \theta_1$ belongs at least to the space $H^{3/2,1}(Q)$.

Remark 3.1. Theorem 1.1 has been proved here under proper assumptions on the initial enthalpy u_0 and temperature $\beta(u_0)$, namely $u_0 \in L^2(\Gamma)$, $\beta(u_0) \in H^1(\Gamma)$. In fact, typically, the enthalpy and the temperature gradient jump in the Stefan problem. The demonstration of existence and uniqueness of the solution of problem (1.20) under the weakest assumption $u_0 \in L^2(\Gamma)$ needs further investigations and seems to rely on a deeper use of the techniques of [6, vol. 2], [2], [11], [12]. The hypotheses on the domain Ω can be obviously weakened by requiring, e.g., that Γ is an oriented connected $C^2(n-1)$ -manifold. The *final comments* of [9] and the theoretical and numerical questions presented in [7] and [8] apply also for the present Problem (I).

REMARK. Let me point out some mistakes in [9]:

page	line	Errata	Corrige
221	21	[24]	[8]
221	21	$\beta_{\varepsilon}(v_n)(t)$	$v_{n}\left(t\right)$ ε
224	1	We obtain	Setting $\lambda_{\varepsilon}(\xi) = \int \beta_{\varepsilon}(\eta) d\eta$ we obtain
224	2 and 14	$rac{1}{eta_{\epsilon}'(v)} \left eta_{\epsilon}(v) ight ^2 \ rac{1}{eta_{\epsilon}'(v(ar{t}))} \left eta_{\epsilon}(v(ar{t})) ight ^2$	$\stackrel{0}{\lambda}_{arepsilon}(v)$
224	17 and 20	$\frac{1}{\beta_\varepsilon'\left(\nu(\overline{t})\right)}\big \beta_\varepsilon\left(\nu(\overline{t})\right)\big ^2$	$\lambda_{arepsilon} \left(u(\overline{t}) ight)$
225	1	(3.11)	(3.1)
225	5	$C\ f\ _{L^2(\Sigma)}$	$C(\ f\ _{L^2(\Sigma)}+1)$
226	18, 20, 21, 25	α	1
226	21	$\forall \eta$	$=0, \forall \eta$
227	3	α	1
227	17	$C f _{L^2(\Sigma)}$	$C(\ f\ _{L^2(\Sigma)}+1)$

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Andreucci, Existence and uniqueness of solution to a concentrated capacity problem with change of phase. Europ. J. of Appl. Math., 1, 1990, 339-351.
- [2] F. Brezzi, Sul metodo di penalizzazione per operatori parabolici. Rend. Ist. Lombardo, Sc., A 107, 1973, 241-256.
- [3] G. DE RHAM, Variétés différentiables. Hermann & C., Paris 1955.
- [4] A. FASANO M. PRIMICERIO L. RUBINSTEIN, A model problem for heat conduction with a free boundary in a concentrated capacity. J. Inst. Maths. Applics., 26, 1980, 327-347.
- [5] O. LADYZHENSKAYA V. SOLONNIKOV N. URALZEVA, Linear and quasi-linear equations of parabolic type. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., 23, 1968.

[6] J. L. LIONS - E. MAGENES, Non homogeneous boundary value problems and applications. Vols. 1 and 2, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1972.

- [7] E. MAGENES, Numerical approximation of non linear evolution problems. In: P. Dautray (ed.), Frontiers in Pure and Applied Mathematics. Proc. Conf. in honour of J. L. Lions, Elsevier, Amsterdam 1991, 193-207.
- [8] E. Magenes, On a Stefan problem on the boundary of a domain. Proceedings of the Intern. Meeting on Partial Differential Equations and related Problems (in honour to L. Nirenberg) (Trento, Sept. 3/8, 1990). To appear.
- [9] E. Magenes, On a Stefan problem in a concentrated capacity. Nonlinear Analysis (A tribute in honour of Giovanni Prodi), Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1991, 217-229.
- [10] M. SHILLOR, Existence and continuity of a weak solution to the problem of a free boundary in a concentrated capacity. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, Sect. A, 100, 1985, 271-280.
- [11] F. Tomarelli, Weak solution for an abstract Cauchy problem of parabolic type. Ann. Mat. Pura e Appl., IV, 33, 1983, 93-123.
- [12] F. Tomarelli, Regularity theorems and optimal error estimates for linear parabolic Cauchy problems. Numer. Math., 45, 1984, 23-50.

Dipartimento di Matematica Università degli Studi di Pavia Strada Nuova, 65 - 27100 PAVIA

Istituto di Analisi Numerica del C.N.R. Corso Carlo Alberto, 5 - 27100 PAVIA