

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI  
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI  
**RENDICONTI**

---

LAMBERTO CESARI

**Existence of discontinuous absolute minima for  
certain multiple integrals without growth properties**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,  
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 82 (1988), n.4, p. 661–671.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\\_1988\\_8\\_82\\_4\\_661\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1988_8_82_4_661_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)*

*SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>



**Calcolo delle variazioni.** — *Existence of discontinuous absolute minima for certain multiple integrals without growth properties.* Nota (\*) del Socio Straniero LAMBERTO CESARI.

ABSTRACT. — In the present paper the author discusses certain multiple integrals  $I(s)$  of the calculus of variations satisfying convexity conditions, and no growth property, and the corresponding Serrin integrals  $\mathfrak{J}(u)$ , to which the existence theorems in [3, 4, 5] do not apply. However, in the present paper, the integrals  $I(u)$  and  $\mathfrak{J}(u)$  are reduced to simpler form  $H(v)$  and  $\mathfrak{H}(v)$  to which the existence theorems above apply. Thus, we derive that  $I(u) \leq \mathfrak{J}(u)$ ,  $H(v) \leq \mathfrak{H}(v)$ , we obtain the existence of the absolute minimum for the Serrin forms  $\mathfrak{J}(u)$  and  $\mathfrak{H}(v)$ , and such minimum is given by  $BV$  functions, possibly discontinuous and not of Sobolev.

KEY WORDS:  $BV$  function; Property (Q); Property (F); Serrin integral.

RIASSUNTO. — *Esistenza di minimi assoluti discontinui per certi integrali multipli senza proprietà di crescita.* Nel presente lavoro si discutono certi integrali multipli  $I(u)$  del calcolo delle variazioni, soddisfacenti condizioni di convessità, non aventi proprietà di crescita, e i corrispondenti integrali di Serrin  $\mathfrak{J}(u)$ , a cui i teoremi di esistenza in [3, 4, 5] non si applicano. Tuttavia, nel presente lavoro gli integrali  $I(u)$  e  $\mathfrak{J}(u)$  sono ridotti a forme più semplici  $H(v)$  e  $\mathfrak{H}(v)$  a cui i teoremi di esistenza detti sopra sono applicabili. Così ne risulta che  $I(u) \leq \mathfrak{J}(u)$ ,  $H(v) \leq \mathfrak{H}(v)$ , e otteniamo l'esistenza del minimo assoluto per le forme di Serrin  $\mathfrak{J}(u)$  e  $\mathfrak{H}(v)$ , dato da funzioni  $BV$ , possibilmente discontinue e non di Sobolev.

#### INTRODUCTION

In 1936 Cesari [1] defined the concept of functions  $f(t)$ ,  $t \in G \subset \mathbb{R}^\nu$ , of class  $L_1(G)$  and of bounded variation ( $BV$ ). We say that  $f(t) = f(t_1, \dots, t_\nu)$  is  $BV$  in an open interval  $G$  of  $\mathbb{R}^\nu$ ,  $\nu \geq 1$ , if  $f \in L_1(G)$  and there exists a set of measure zero  $E \subset G$  such that the total variations with respect to each variable  $t_j$  are functions of class  $L_1$  of the remaining variables—total variations all computed by completely disregarding the values taken by  $f$  on the points of  $E$ . We shall state below the corresponding definition of  $BV$  functions in a bounded open subset  $G$  of  $\mathbb{R}^\nu$ . Recently Cesari, Brandi and Salvadori [3, 4, 5] proved existence theorems of the calculus of variations for functions  $u(t)$ ,  $t \in G \subset \mathbb{R}^\nu$ ,  $\nu \geq 1$ , of class  $BV$ , thus possibly discontinuous and not of Sobolev. Namely for a general integral

$$I(u) = \int_G f_0(t, u, Du) dt$$

of the calculus of variations, Cesari, Brandi and Salvadori considered the corresponding integral of Serrin  $\mathfrak{J}(u)$ , and proved existence theorems for the absolute minimum of the integral  $\mathfrak{J}(u)$  in classes  $\Omega$  of functions  $u$  of equibounded total variations, and in such situation they proved that  $I(u) \leq \mathfrak{J}(u)$ .

In the present paper we discuss certain multiple integrals  $I(u)$  (2.1) satisfying convexity conditions, but no growth property, and their corresponding Serrin integrals

(\*) Presentata nella seduta del 14 maggio 1988.

$\mathfrak{J}(u)$ . In the present paper the integrals  $I(u)$  and  $\mathfrak{J}(u)$  are reduced to simpler forms  $H(v)$  and  $\mathfrak{H}(v)$ , to which the general existence theorem applies which was proved by Cesari, Brandi and Salvadori in [5]. Thus,  $I(u) \leq \mathfrak{J}(u)$ ,  $H(v) \leq \mathfrak{H}(v)$ , and we obtain the existence of  $BV$  discontinuous absolute minima for the Serrin forms.

In §1 we recall definitions and a general existence theorem from [5], and in §2 we discuss the integrals of the present paper.

## 1. THE STATEMENT OF AN EXISTENCE THEOREM OF THE CALCULUS OF VARIATIONS

Let us consider first an integral of the form

$$(1.1) \quad I(u) = \int_G f_0(t, u, Du) dt, \quad dt = dt_1 \dots dt_n, \quad (t, u(t)) \in A, \quad t \in G,$$

where  $G$  is a fixed bounded domain of the  $t$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ , with boundary  $\partial G$  possessing the cone property at every point  $t \in \partial G$ , and where  $u$  denotes an  $m$ -vector function  $u(t) = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$  of  $t$  in  $G$ . Let  $A$  denote a fixed subset of the  $tu$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  whose projection on the  $t$ -space contains  $G$ . For every  $i = 1, \dots, m$  let  $[j]_i$  denote a finite system  $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{N_i} \leq n$  of indices which may depend on  $i$ , and  $[j]_i$  can be empty for some  $i$ . Then  $Du$  in (1.1) denotes the system of  $N = N_1 + \dots + N_m$  first order partial derivatives  $Du = (D^j u_i, j \in [j]_i, i = 1, \dots, m)$ . For every  $(t, u) \in A$  let  $Q(t, u)$  denote a subset of the  $\xi$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$ , and let  $M$  denote the set of all  $(t, u, \xi)$  with  $(t, u) \in A$ ,  $\xi \in Q(t, u)$ . Let  $f_0(t, u, \xi)$  denote a fixed real valued function defined on  $M$ , and for every  $(t, u) \in A$  let  $\tilde{Q}(t, u)$  denote the augmented set

$$\tilde{Q}(t, u) = \{(\tau, \xi) \mid \tau \geq f_0(t, u, \xi), \xi \in Q(t, u)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+N}.$$

As usual, we say that the sets  $\tilde{Q}(t, u)$  possess property (Q) at some  $(t_0, u_0) \in A$  provided

$$\tilde{Q}(t_0, u_0) = \bigcap_{\delta > 0} \text{cl co} \left[ \bigcup_{\substack{|t - t_0| + |u - u_0| \leq \delta \\ (t, u) \in A}} \tilde{Q}(t, u) \right].$$

We mention now the property  $\tilde{F}'_1$  with respect to  $u$ , one of a number of variants of properties (F) which we have introduced in [5].

We say that the sets  $\tilde{Q}(t, u)$ ,  $(t, u) \in A$ , have property  $(\tilde{F}'_1)$  with respect to  $u$  at the point  $(t_0, u_0) \in A$  provided, given any number  $\sigma > 0$  there are constants  $C = C(t_0, u_0, \sigma) > 0$ ,  $\delta(t_0, u_0, \sigma) > 0$  such that, for any set of measurable vector functions  $u(t)$ ,  $\eta(t)$ ,  $\xi(t)$ ,  $t \in L$ , on any measurable set  $L \subset G$  with  $(t, u(t)) \in A$ ,  $|u(t) - u_0| > \sigma$ ,  $(\eta(t), \xi(t)) \in \tilde{Q}(t, u(t))$  for  $t \in L$ ,  $|t - t_0| \leq \delta$ , other measurable vector functions  $\bar{u}(t)$ ,  $\bar{\eta}(t)$ ,  $\bar{\xi}(t)$ ,  $t \in L$ , can be found such that

$$\begin{aligned} (t, \bar{u}(t)) \in A, \quad |\bar{u}(t) - u_0| \leq \sigma, \quad (\bar{\eta}(t), \bar{\xi}(t)) \in \tilde{Q}(t, \bar{u}(t)), \\ |\xi(t) - \bar{\xi}(t)| \leq C[|u(t) - \bar{u}(t)| + |t - t_0|], \\ \bar{\eta}(t) \leq \eta(t) + C[|u(t) - \bar{u}(t)| + |t - t_0|] \quad \text{for } t \in L, \quad |t - t_0| \leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Conditions (Q) and (F) are sometimes called seminormality conditions (cfr. [2]). A weaker version of them, leading to some extensions of theorems A and B, is discussed in [6].

Let  $G$  be a bounded open subset of the  $t$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ . For every  $j =$

$= 1, \dots, \nu$ , let  $G'_j$  denote the projection of  $G$  on the  $t'_j$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^{\nu-1}$ ,  $t'_j = (t_1, \dots, t^{j-1}, t^{j+1}, \dots, t_\nu) = \tau$ , and for any  $\tau \in G'_j$  let  $r_\tau$  denote the straight line  $t'_j = \tau$ . Then the intersection  $G \cap r_\tau$  is the countable union of open intervals  $(\alpha_s, \beta_s)$ , or  $G \cap r_\tau = \bigcup_s (\alpha_s, \beta_s)$ . We say that a function  $f \in L_1(G)$  is of bounded variation in the sense of Cesari in  $G(BV)$  ([1], 1936) if there exists a set  $E \subset G$  with  $|E| = 0$  such that, for every  $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ , and for almost all  $\tau \in G'_j$  the total variations  $V_{j_s} = V(f(\cdot, \tau), (\alpha_s, \beta_s))$ , computed disregarding the values taken by  $f$  on  $E$ , are finite,  $V_j(\tau) = \sum_s V_{j_s}$  is finite, and  $V_j(\cdot) \in L_1(G'_j)$ .

Cesari [1] also proved that  $f$  is  $BV$  in  $G$  if and only if the surface  $S: z = f(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ , has finite generalized Lebesgue area  $L(S)$ ; and thus the  $BV$  concept is independent of the direction of the axes in the  $t$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^\nu$ . Krickeberg ([8], 1957) proved that  $f$  is  $BV$  in  $G$  if and only if  $f \in L_1(G)$  and the first order partial derivatives of  $f$  in the sense of distributions are finite measure  $\mu_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ , on  $G$ . Thus, a  $BV$  function in  $G$  has (generalized) partial derivatives  $(D^j f)(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ , (cfr. [3]) which are functions of class  $L_1(G)$ , as well as derivatives  $\mu_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ , in the sense of distributions, which are finite measures on  $G$ . As proved by Cafiero and Fleming (cfr. [3]), any sequence  $[f_k]$  of  $BV$  functions on  $G$  with equibounded total variations and equibounded mean values in  $G$  (say,  $V(f_k) \leq V_0$ ,  $|\text{m. v.}(f_k)| \leq M_0$ ), possesses a subsequence  $f_{k_s}$  which is strongly convergent in  $L_1(G)$  toward a  $BV$  function  $f$  (with  $V(f) \leq V_0$ ,  $|\text{m. v.}(f)| \leq M_0$ ).

We shall consider the class  $\Omega$  of all  $BV$   $m$ -vector functions  $u(t) = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ ,  $t \in G$ , which are  $BV$  in  $G$  (i. e., each component is  $BV$ ), satisfying  $(t, u(t)) \in A$  a. e. in  $G$ ; possibly satisfying Dirichlet boundary conditions, say  $u(t) = w(t)$  on some part  $D$  of  $\partial G$ . We shall also restrict  $\Omega$  to all those  $u$  whose total variations on  $G$ , say  $V_0(f)$  or  $V(f)$  (cfr. [3]), do not exceed a fixed constant  $W_0$ , and whose mean values in  $G$  do not exceed a fixed constant  $K$ , say  $|\text{m. v.}(f)| \leq K$ . For any  $u \in \Omega$  let  $\Gamma(u)$  denote the class of all sequences  $[u_k]$  of elements  $u_k \in \Omega$ ,  $u_k \in W^{1,1}(G)$ ,  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $L_1(G)$ . Then we take  $\mathfrak{J}(u) = +\infty$  if  $\Gamma(u)$  is empty, and otherwise we take

$$\mathfrak{J}(u) = \inf_{\Gamma(u)} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I(u_k).$$

This the Serrin-type integral  $\mathfrak{J}$  we have associated to  $I(u)$  in [3, 4, 5]. If the total variations  $V(u)$  for  $u \in \Omega$  are equibounded, then  $I(u) \leq \mathfrak{J}(u)$  as proved in [4]. Moreover,  $\mathfrak{J}$  is an extension of  $I$  in the sense that  $\mathfrak{J}(u) = I(u)$  for  $u \in W^{1,1}(G)$  (cf. [5]).

**THEOREM A** (an existence theorem, cfr. [5]). Let  $G$  be a bounded domain of the  $t$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^\nu$  whose boundary has the cone property, let  $A$  be a compact subset of the  $tu$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^{\nu+m}$  whose projection on the  $t$ -space covers  $G$ , and for every  $(t, u) \in A$  let  $Q(t, u)$  denote a given closed convex subset of the  $\xi$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Let  $M$  denote the set of all  $(t, u, \xi)$  with  $(t, u) \in A$ ,  $\xi \in Q(t, u)$ , and assume that  $M$  is closed, that the real valued function  $f_0(t, u, \xi)$  is lower semicontinuous on  $M$ , and that there is a function  $\lambda(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ ,  $\lambda \in L_1(G)$ , such that  $f_0(t, u, \xi) \geq \lambda(t)$  for all  $(t, u, \xi) \in M$ .

Let us assume that the sets  $Q(t, u)$  possess property  $(Q)$  with respect to  $(t, u)$  and property  $(\bar{F}_1')$  with respect to  $u$  at every point  $(t_0, u_0) \in A$ , with exception perhaps of a set of points whose  $t$  coordinate lies on a set  $H$  of measure zero in  $G$ . Let  $\Omega$  denote a

given closed class of  $BV$  vector functions  $u(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ , whose total variations and mean values in  $G$  are equibounded, and for which at least one class  $I(u)$  is not empty. Then the functional  $\mathfrak{J}(u)$  has an absolute minimum in  $\Omega$ .

## 2. A CLASS OF INTEGRALS OF THE CALCULUS OF VARIATIONS WITHOUT GROWTH PROPERTY

Let  $G$  be a bounded domain of the  $t$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^v$ ,  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_v)$ , whose boundary  $\partial G$  has the cone property at every point. Let  $u(t) = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ ,  $t \in G$ , denote an  $L_1(G)$  function, possibly discontinuous, of class  $BV$ . Let  $A$  be a compact subset of the  $tu$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^{v+m}$  whose projection on the  $t$ -space covers  $G$ . Let  $u(t) = w(t)$ ,  $t \in D \subset \partial G$ , denote a system of Dirichlet data on some components of  $u$  on some part  $D$  of  $\partial G$ . We consider here multiple integrals of the calculus of variations with constraints

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} I(u) = \int_G \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{j \in [j]_i} (F_{ij}(t, u))_{t_j} + F_i(t, u) \right| dt, & dt = dt_1 \dots dt_v, \\ (t, u(t)) \in A, & t \in G, \\ u(t) = w(t), & t \in D \subset \partial G, \end{cases}$$

where the  $F_{ij}$  are functions of class  $C^1$  on  $A$ , and the  $F_i$  are Lipschitzian functions on  $A$ , hence  $|F_{ij}(t, u) - F_{ij}(\bar{t}, \bar{u})|$ ,  $|F_i(t, u) - F_i(\bar{t}, \bar{u})| \leq C[|u - \bar{u}| + |t - \bar{t}|]$  for  $(t, u)$ ,  $(\bar{t}, \bar{u}) \in A$ , some constant  $C$  and all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $j \in [j]_i$ . In (2.1) for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we denote by  $[j]_i$  a given system of integers  $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{N_i} \leq v$ , and we denote by  $N$  the total number of such indices, or  $N = N_1 + \dots + N_m$ . Let  $F(t, u) = [F_{ij}(t, u), j \in [j]_i, i = 1, \dots, m]$ . We shall denote by  $\Omega$  the class of all vector functions  $u(t) = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ ,  $t \in G$ , with  $(t, u(t)) \in A$ ,  $u \in BV(G)$ ,  $V(u) \leq W_0$  for some constant  $W_0$ . We need not require explicitly that mean values of the functions  $u$  in  $G$  are equibounded. Instead, we require that  $D$  and  $G$  are so related that the boundedness of  $w$  in  $D$  and the equiboundedness of the total variations of the function  $u$  in  $G$  with  $u(t) = w(t)$  in  $D$ , implies that the mean values of the functions  $u$  in  $G$  are equibounded. In Section 3 we shall see a situation in which this occurs naturally.

We consider now the transformation  $\Phi$  which transforms any  $m$ -vector function  $u(t) = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ ,  $t \in G$ , of the class  $\Omega$ , hence  $(t, u(t)) \in A$ ,  $u \in BV(G)$ ,  $V(u) \leq W_0$ , into the  $(N+m)$ -vector function  $v$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &= \Phi u = (v_0, v_{ij}, j \in [j]_i, i = 1, \dots, m) = (v_0, v^*), \\ v_0(t) &= u(t), \quad v_{ij}(t) = F_{ij}(t, u(t)), \quad v^* = F(t, u(t)), \quad t \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A_0$  denote the projection of  $A$  onto the  $t$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^v$ , hence  $G \subset A_0$  and  $A_0$  is compact. If  $A(t)$  denotes the section of  $A$ , that is,  $A(t) = \{u | (t, u) \in A\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ , and for every  $t \in A_0$  we take  $B(t) = [(v_0, v^*), v_0 \in A(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^m, v^* = F(t, u), u \in A(t), t \in G, v^* \in \mathbb{R}^N]$ , thus  $B(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+N}$ . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= [(t, u) | t \in A_0, u \in A(t)] \subset \mathbb{R}^{v+m}, \quad B = [(t, v) | t \in A_0, v \in B(t)] \subset \mathbb{R}^{v+m+N}, \\ & \quad (t, u(t)) \in A, \quad (t, v(t)) \in B, \quad t \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Omega' = \Phi(\Omega)$ . Now problem (2.1) is reduced to another problem with constraints:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} H(v) = \int_G \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{j \in [j]_i} (v_{ij})_{t_j} + F_i(t, v_0) \right| dt, & dt = dt_1 \dots dt_m, \\ (t, v(t)) \in B, & t \in G, v \in \Omega', \\ v_0(t) = w(t), \quad v^*(t) = F(t, w(t)), & t \in D \subset \partial G. \end{cases}$$

It we denote by  $Dv$  the  $N$ -vector of derivatives  $Dv = \{(v_{ij})_{t_j}, i = 1, \dots, m, j \in [j]_i\}$ , then we can write the problem above as

$$\begin{aligned} H(v) &= \int_G f_0(t, v, Dv) dt, & dt &= dt_1 \dots dt_m, \quad v \in \Omega', \\ f_0(t, v, Dv) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{j \in [j]_i} (v_{ij})_{t_j} + F_i(t, v_0) \right|, \\ (t, v(t)) &\in B, & t &\in G, \quad v \in \Omega', \\ v_0(t) = w(t), \quad v^*(t) &= F(t, w(t)), & t &\in D \subset G. \end{aligned}$$

By writing

$$(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{N_1}, \xi_{N_1+1}, \dots, \xi_{N_1+N_2}, \dots, \xi_{N-N_m+1}, \dots, \xi_N)$$

in lieu of

$$Dv = [(v_{ij})_{t_j}, j \in [j]_i, i = 1, \dots, m],$$

the integrand  $f_0$  becomes

$$f_0(t, v, \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{j \in [j]_i} \xi_{N_1+\dots+N_{i-1}+j} + F_i(t, v_0) \right|, \\ t \in G, \quad v(t) \in B(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+N}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

**THEOREM B** (an existence statement for the integral (2.1)).

Let  $G$  be a bounded domain of the  $t$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  whose boundary has the cone property, let  $A$  be a compact subset of the  $tu$ -space whose projection on the  $t$ -space covers  $G$ , let  $F_{ij}, j \in [j]_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ , be functions of class  $C^1$  on  $A$  and let  $F_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ , be Lipschitzian functions on  $A$ . Let  $\Omega$  denote the class of all  $BV$  functions  $u(t) = (u_1, \dots, u_m), t \in G$ , with  $(t, u(t)) \in A$  a.e. in  $G$ ,  $BV$  in  $G$  (that is, whose components are all  $BV$ ), satisfying some Dirichlet-type boundary condition  $u(t) = w(t), t \in D \subset \partial G$ , and whose total variations are equibounded (say  $V(u) \leq W_0$  for all  $u \in \Omega$  and some constant  $W_0$ ). Then the Serrin form  $\mathfrak{J}(u)$  of the integral (2.1) has an absolute minimum  $u$  in  $\Omega$ , and  $0 \leq I(u) \leq \mathfrak{J}(u)$ .

*Proof of Theorem B.* First we study the integral (2.1).

We assumed  $A$  compact in the  $tu$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  and the functions  $F_{kj}, F_k$  continuous on the set  $A$ . Hence, the set  $B$ , as continuous transformation of  $A$ , is also compact in the  $tv$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+N+m}$ .

For integral (2.1) we had no constraints on the values of the derivatives of the functions

$u$ , or  $(Du)(t) \in Q(t, u) = \mathbb{R}^N$ . Hence, no constraints on the derivatives  $Dv$  of the functions  $v$ , either, or  $\xi(t) \in Q'(t, v) = \mathbb{R}^N$ . The sets  $\tilde{Q}'(t, v)$  are now of the form

$$\tilde{Q}'(t, v) = [(\eta, \xi) | \eta \geq f_0(t, v_0, \xi), \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N] = \\ = \left[ (\eta, \xi) | \eta \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{j \in [J]_i} \xi_{N_1 + \dots + N_{i-1} + j} + F_i(t, v_0) \right| \right] \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}.$$

Hence, the sets  $\tilde{Q}'(t, v)$  depend only on  $t$  and on the sole components  $v_0 = u$  of  $v$ . These sets  $\tilde{Q}'(t, v)$  are obviously closed and convex and convex in the  $\eta\xi$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ .

We have assumed all functions  $F_j(t, u)$  continuous on the compact set  $A$ ; hence the functions  $F_j(t, v_0)$  are continuous on the compact set  $B$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that, for any two points  $(\bar{t}, \bar{v}) \in B$ ,  $(t, v) \in B$  with  $|t - \bar{t}| + |v - \bar{v}| < \delta$  we have  $|F_i(t, v_0) - F_i(\bar{t}, \bar{v}_0)| < \varepsilon/m$ . Then, for  $(\eta, \xi) \in \tilde{Q}'(t, v)$ , we have

$$\eta \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{j \in [J]_i} \xi_{N_1 + \dots + N_{i-1} + j} + F_i(t, v_0) \right| \geq \\ \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{j \in [J]_i} \xi_{N_1 + \dots + N_{i-1} + j} + F_i(t, \bar{v}_0) \right| - |F_i(t, v_0) - F_i(t, \bar{v}_0)|.$$

For

$$\bar{\eta}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{j \in [J]_i} \xi_{N_1 + \dots + N_{i-1} + j} + F_i(t, \bar{v}_0) \right|,$$

we have

$$\eta \geq \bar{\eta} - m(\varepsilon/m) = \bar{\eta} - \varepsilon, \quad (\bar{\eta}, \xi) \in \tilde{Q}'(t, v).$$

By the arbitrary of  $\varepsilon$  we see that the sets  $\tilde{Q}'(t, v)$  have property (Q) at  $(t, v)$ . Also, given measurable functions  $v(t)$ ,  $\eta(t)$ ,  $t \in L$ ,  $|t - \bar{t}| < \delta$ ,  $L$  measurable, with  $(t, v(t)) \in A$ ,  $|v(t) - \bar{v}| \geq 0$ ,  $(\eta(t), \xi(t)) \in \tilde{Q}'(t, v(t))$ , then we take

$$\bar{v}(t) = \bar{v}, \quad \bar{\xi}(t) = \xi(t), \quad \bar{\eta}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{j \in [J]_i} \xi_{N_1 + \dots + N_{i-1} + j} + F_i(\bar{t}, \bar{v}) \right|,$$

and as before we have

$$\eta(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{j \in [J]_i} \xi_{N_1 + \dots + N_{i-1} + j} + F_i(t, v_0(t)) \right| \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{j \in [J]_i} \xi_{N_1 + \dots + N_{i-1} + j} + F_i(\bar{t}, \bar{v}_0) \right| - \\ - |F_i(t, v_0(t)) - F_i(\bar{t}, \bar{v}_0)| \geq \bar{\eta}(t) - mC[|v(t) - \bar{v}| + |t - \bar{t}|],$$

where  $t \in L$ ,  $|t - \bar{t}| \leq \delta$  and  $C$  is a Lipschitz constant for the functions  $F_i$ . Thus, the sets  $\tilde{Q}'(t, v)$  satisfy property  $(\tilde{F}'_1)$  [5, p. 108].

Note that  $M$  is here the set  $M = [(t, v, \xi) | (t, v) \in B, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N]$  which is certainly a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{m+2N}$ , and that  $f_0$  is nonnegative, or  $f_0(t, v_0, \xi) \geq \lambda(t) \equiv 0$  on  $M$ . Recall that  $\Omega$  is the class of all  $m$ -vector functions  $u(t) = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ ,  $t \in G$ , with  $(t, u(t)) \in A$  a.e. in  $G$ , and  $BV$  in  $G$  (i.e., with components which are all  $BV$ ), equibounded total variations,

$$V(u) = \sum_i V(u_i) \leq W_0, \quad u(t) = w(t) \quad \text{on } D \subset \partial G.$$

Let  $\Omega'$  denote the class of all vector functions  $v = \Phi u$ ,  $u \in \Omega$ , or

$$\Omega' = \{v(t) = (v_0, v^*), v_0(t) = u(t), v^*(t) = F(t, u(t)), t \in G, u \in \Omega\},$$

hence

$$(t, v(t)) \in B \text{ a. e. in } G, \quad B \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+m}, \quad v_{ij} = F_{ij}(t, u(t)).$$

Thus,  $V(v_0) = V(u) \leq W_0$ , and by the Lipschitzianity of  $F$  in  $(t, u)$  of constant  $C$ , we have  $V(v^*) \leq CV(u) \leq CW_0$ , and finally  $V(v) \leq (C + 1)W_0$  for  $v \in \Omega'$ . Since  $w$  is bounded on  $D$ , the mean values on  $G$  of the functions  $u$  are equibounded, or  $|m.v.(u)| \leq K$  for some constant  $K$ . Analogously, since  $w$  is bounded on  $D$ , and  $F$  is continuous, then  $F(t, w(t))$  is bounded on  $D$ , and hence, the mean values on  $G$  of the functions  $v$  are equibounded, or  $|m.n.(v)| \leq K'$  for some constant  $K'$ , because of the assumption at the beginning of Section 2. By Cafiero's and Fleming's compactness theorem the class  $\Omega'$  is compact in  $L_1$ .

Thus, for any sequence  $[v_k]$  in  $\Omega'$ , there is a subsequence, say still  $(k)$ , such that  $v_k \rightarrow v$  in  $L_1$  with  $v_k = \Phi u_k$ ,  $u_k \in \Omega$ . By the same theorem, there is a subsequence, say still  $[k]$ , such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $L_1$ . Thus  $v_k = (v_{k0}, v_k^*)$ ,  $v_{k0} = u_k$ ,  $v_k^*(t) = F(t, u_k(t))$ ,  $t \in G$ , and for

$$v^*(t) = F(t, u(t)), \quad t \in G,$$

also

$$\|v_k^* - v^*\|_{L_1} = \|F(t, u_k(t)) - F(t, u(t))\|_{L_1} \leq C\|u_k - u\|_{L_1},$$

that is,  $v_k^* \rightarrow v^*$ ,  $v_{k0} \rightarrow v_0$ , or  $v_k \rightarrow v$  in  $L_1$ . Thus, the class  $\Omega'$  is relatively compact. The class  $\Omega'$  is also closed in  $L_1$ . Indeed, if  $(v_k)$  is any sequence in  $\Omega'$  with  $v_k \rightarrow v$  in  $L_1$ , then,  $v_k = (v_{k0}, v_k^*)$ ,  $v = (v_0, v^*)$ ,  $v_{k0} \rightarrow v_0$ ,  $v_k^* \rightarrow v^*$  in  $L_1$  with  $v_{k0} = u_k$ ,  $v_0 = u$ ,  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $L_1$ .

Moreover,

$$\|v^*(t) - F(t, u(t))\|_{L_1} \leq \|v^* - v_k^*\|_{L_1} + \|v_k^* - F(t, u_k(t))\|_{L_1} + \|F(t, u_k(t)) - F(t, u(t))\|_{L_1},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|v^* - v_k^*\|_{L_1} &\rightarrow 0, & \|v_k^* - F(t, u_k(t))\|_{L_1} &= 0, \\ \|F(t, u_k(t)) - F(t, u(t))\|_{L_1} &\leq C\|u_k - u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|v^*(t) - F(t, u(t))\|_{L_1} = 0, \quad \text{or } v^*(t) = F(t, u(t)) \text{ a. e. in } G.$$

We have considered the functions  $v = \Phi u$  for  $u \in \Omega$ , that is, the class  $\Omega'$  of functions  $v$ , and we have seen that  $\Omega'$  is closed in  $L_1$ .

By Theorem A we conclude that the Serrin-type integral  $H$  relative to  $H$  has an absolute minimum in  $\Omega'$  given by some  $v = (v_0, v^*)$ ,  $v^*(t) = F(t, u(t))$ ,  $v_0(t) = u(t)$ ,  $t \in G$ ,  $u \in \Omega$ .

Hence,  $u$  gives the absolute minimum of the Serrin integral  $\mathfrak{J}$  relative to  $I$  in  $\Omega$ , and  $0 \leq I(u) \leq \mathfrak{J}(u)$ .  $\square$

REMARK. Whenever we can prove that  $I(u) = 0$ , that is,  $0 = I(u) \leq \mathfrak{J}(u)$ , for the optimal solution  $u(t) = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ ,  $t \in G$ ,  $u \in \Omega$ , of the integral  $\mathfrak{J}$  associated to the integral  $I(u)$ , then from (2.1) we derive that  $u(t)$  is a BV, possibly discontinuous, solution of the

partial differential system

$$\sum_{j \in [j_i]} (F_{ij}(t, u))_{t_j} + F_i(t, u) = 0, \quad t \in G \text{ (a. e.)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$u(t) = w(t), \quad t \in D \subset \partial G.$$

REMARK. The reduction of the integral  $\mathfrak{J}$  to the integral  $\mathfrak{H}$  has allowed us to apply to  $\mathfrak{H}$  verbatim the existence theorem A we had proved in [5]. Elsewhere we shall follow the same line of argument in connection with other analogous lower semicontinuous Serrin type integrals and with more sophisticated assumptions.

### 3. A RELEVANT SITUATION

Borrowing from hyperbolic problems, let  $P$  denote a trapezoid of the  $tx$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^{1+\nu}$ , say for  $T, R, M$  given constants,  $MT < R$ ,

$$P = [0 \leq t \leq T, -R + Mt \leq x_j \leq R - Mt, j = 1, \dots, \nu].$$

$$u(0, x) = w(x), \quad x \in D = [(0, x) \mid -R \leq x_j \leq R, j = 1, \dots, \nu].$$

In the definition of functions  $u(t, x) = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$  of bounded variation (BV) on  $P$  let us always include  $D$  in the full measure set  $P$ -E on which we compute the total variations of  $u$ . Then, if  $w$  is bounded on  $D$ , say  $|w(x)| \leq M'$ ,  $x \in D$ , and the function  $u$  with  $u(0, x) = w(x)$  have equibounded total variations on  $P$ , say  $V_0(u, P) \leq M''$ , then

$$\int \int_P u(t, x) dt dx \leq \int \int_P |u(0, x)| dt dx + \int \int_P |u(t, x) - u(0, x)| dt dx \leq$$

$$\leq T \int_{-R}^R |w(0, x)| dx + \int_{-R}^R V_t(x) dx \leq 2RTM' + M''.$$

In other words, boundedness of  $w$  and equiboundedness of the total variations of the functions  $u$  (with the above convention) implies the equiboundedness of the values of the functions  $u$ .

Let  $A = [(t, x, u) \mid (t, x) \in P, -K \leq u_i \leq K, i = 1, \dots, m]$ , let  $F_{i0} = u_i$ , and  $F_{ij}(t, x, u)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ , be given functions of class  $C^1$  on  $A$ , and let  $F_i(t, x, u)$  be given Lipschitzian functions on  $A$ . Now the integral  $I$  of §2 becomes

$$I(u) = \int \int_P \sum_{i=1}^m \left| u_{it} + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} (F_{ij}(t, x, u))_{x_j} + F_i(t, x, u) \right| dt dx, \quad dx = dx_1 \dots dx_{\nu},$$

Then the Serrin integral  $\mathfrak{J}$  associated to  $I$  has an absolute minimum  $i$  given by a BV possibly discontinuous function  $u(t, x) = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ ,  $(t, x) \in P$ , and  $0 \leq I(u) \leq \mathfrak{J}(u) = i$ . Whenever  $I(u) = 0$ , then  $u$  is a solution of the Cauchy problem for the differential system

$$u_{it} + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} (F_{ij}(t, x, u))_{x_j} + F_i(t, x, u) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad (t, x) \in P \text{ (a. e.)},$$

$$u_i(0, x) = w_i(x), \quad -R \leq x_j \leq R, \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Elsewhere we shall further study the integral  $I(u)$ .

EXAMPLE 1. As a simple example, we consider here the case where  $m = 1, \nu = 1$ , where  $t, x$  are the independent variables, and

$$I(u) = \int_P |u_t + (\frac{1}{2}u^2)_x| dt dx,$$

where  $P$  is the trapeze

$$P = [(t, x) | 0 \leq t \leq T, -R + Mt \leq x \leq R - Mt] \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \quad MT < R,$$

with Cauchy data  $u(0, x) = w(x), -R \leq x \leq R, |w(x)| \leq M$ . Here, there is only one function  $F_i$ , say  $F_1 = 0$ , and only one function  $F_{ij}$ , say  $F_{11} = \frac{1}{2}u^2$ .

Let  $A = [(t, x, u) | (t, x) \in P, -K \leq u \leq K] \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ .

We shall consider  $I(u)$  in the class  $\Omega$  of all scalar BV functions  $u(t, x), (t, x) \in P$ , with  $V_0(u) \leq W_0$  for some constant  $W_0$  sufficiently large.

By Theorem B, the Serrin integral  $\mathfrak{J}(u)$  associated to  $I(u)$  has an absolute minimum  $u$ , with  $0 \leq I(u) \leq \mathfrak{J}(u)$ . If  $I(u) = 0$ , then  $u$  would be a solution of the hyperbolic equation with Cauchy data

$$u_t + uu_x = 0, \quad (t, x) \in P(a. e.), \quad u(0, x) = w(x), \quad -R \leq x \leq R.$$

Note that the function  $v = (v_0, v_1)$  is now  $v_0 = u, v_1 = \frac{1}{2}u^2$ , and  $B$  is the set

$$B = [(t, x, v) | (t, x) \in P, v = (v_0, v_1), v_1 = \frac{1}{2}v_0^2, v_0 = u, -M \leq u \leq M] \subset \mathbb{R}^4$$

EXAMPLE 2. We consider here the integral

$$(3.1) \quad I(u) = \int_P \left| \sum_{i=1}^m u_{it} + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} a_{ij}(t, x, u_i) u_{ix_j} - f_i(t, x, u) \right| dt dx, \quad dx = dx_1 \dots dx_{\nu},$$

where  $t$  is a scalar,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{\nu}), u = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ , where  $P$  is the trapezoid

$$P = [(t, x) | 0 \leq t \leq T, -R + Mt \leq x_j \leq R - Mt, j = 1, \dots, \nu] \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+\nu}, \quad MT < R,$$

with Cauchy data  $u(0, x) = w(x)$ , or  $u_i(0, x) = w_i(x), i = 1, \dots, m$ , for  $-R \leq x_j \leq R, j = 1, \dots, \nu$ , and  $|w_i(x)| \leq M$ . We take

$$A = [(t, x, u) | (t, x) \in P, -K \leq u_i \leq K] \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+\nu+m}, i = 1, \dots, m].$$

The integral  $I(u)$  can be written in the form (2.1).

Indeed, if certain primitive

$$A_{ij}(t, x, u_i) = \int_0^{u_i} a_{ij}(t, x, \alpha) d\alpha, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu,$$

are of class  $C^1$ , then

$$A_{ij, x_j}(t, x, u_i) = \int_0^{u_i} a_{ij, x_j}(t, x, \alpha) d\alpha,$$

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{ij}(t, x, u_i(t, x)))_{x_j} &= a_{ij}(t, x, u_i(t, x)) u_{ix_j}(t, x) + \int_0^{u_i(t, x)} a_{ij, x_j}(t, x, \alpha) d\alpha = \\ &= a_{ij}(t, x, u_i(t, x)) u_{ix_j}(t, x) + A_{ij, x_j}(t, x, u_i(t, x)), \end{aligned}$$

and  $I(u)$  becomes

$$I(u) = \int_P \left| \sum_{i=1}^m u_{it} + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} (A_{ij}(t, x, u_i(t, x))_{x_j} + F_i(t, x, u)) \right| dt dx,$$

$$F_i(t, x, u) = - \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} A_{ij, x_j}(t, x, u_i) - f_i(t, x, u).$$

Note that the functions  $v = (v_0, v^*)$  are now

$$v_0 = u = (u_1, \dots, u_m), \quad v_{ij} = A_{ij}(t, x, u_i), \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

with  $v(t, x) \in B \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+\nu}$ ,

$$B = [(t, x, v)|(t, x) \in P, \quad v_0 = u = (u_1, \dots, u_m), \quad v_{ij} = A_{ij}(t, x, u_i),$$

$$[j = 1, \dots, \nu, i = 1, \dots, m, u \in \mathbb{R}^m] \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+\nu+m+m}.$$

Under the assumptions of Theorem B, the Serrin-type integral  $\mathfrak{J}(u)$  associated to  $I(u)$  has an absolute minimum  $i$  given by a  $BV$  function  $u \in \Omega$ , and  $0 \leq I(u) \leq \mathfrak{J}(u)$ .

If  $I(u) = 0$ , then  $u$  is a solution of the Lax-type differential system with Cauchy data

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{it} + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} a_{ij}(t, x, u_i) u_{ix_j} &= f_i(t, x, u), & (t, x) \in P(a. e.), & \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ u(0, x) &= w(x), & -R \leq x_j \leq R, & \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu, \\ x &= (x_1, \dots, x_{\nu}), & u &= (u_1, \dots, u_m). \end{aligned}$$

For  $m = 1$ , (3.1) reduces to

$$I(u) = \int_P \left| u_t + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} a_j(t, x, u) u_{x_j} - f(t, x, u) \right| dt dx,$$

where  $t$  and  $u$  are scalars,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{\nu})$ , and  $P$  is as above. We take  $A = [t, x, u)|(t, x) \in P, -M \leq u \leq M] \subset \mathbb{R}^{2+\nu}$ . For the  $a_j$  all of class  $C^1$ ,

$$A_j(t, x, u) = \int_0^u a_j(t, x, \alpha) d\alpha,$$

then  $I(u)$  becomes

$$I(u) = \int_P \left| u_t + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} (A_j(t, x, u))_{x_j} + F(t, x, u) \right| dt dx$$

where  $F = - \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} A_{j, x_j} - f$ , and (3.2) reduces to

$$u_t + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} a_j(t, x, u) u_{x_j} = f(t, x, u), \quad (t, x) \in P.$$

#### BIBLIOGRAFIA

- [1] CESARI L (1936) — *Sulle funzioni a variazione limitata*, «Annali Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa» (2) 5, 299-313.  
 [2] CESARI L (1983) — *Optimization-Theory and Applications*, Springer Verlag.

- 
- [3] CESARI L., BRANDI P. e SALVATORI A (1988) – *Discontinuous solutions in problems of optimization*, «Annali Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa», 15, 219-237.
- [4] CESARI L., BRANDI P. e SALVADORI A (1987) – *Existence theorems concerning simple integrals of the calculus of variations for discontinuous solutions*, «Archive Rat. Mech. Anal.», 98, 307-328.
- [5] CESARI L., BRANDI P. e SALVADORI A (1988) – *Existence theorems for multiple integrals of the calculus of variations for discontinuous solutions*, «Annali Mat. Pura Appl.», (4), 152, 95-121.
- [6] CESARI L., BRANDI P. e SALVADORI A., *Seminormality conditions in the calculus of variations for BV solutions*, to appear.
- [7] CESARI L. e PUCCI P (1989) – *Remarks on discontinuous optimal solutions for simple integrals of the calculus of variations*, «Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena», 37, 335-379.
- [8] KRICKEBERG K. (1957) – *Distributions, Funktionen beschränkter Variation und Lebesguescher Inhalt nichtparametrischer Flächen*, – «Annali Mat. Pura Appl.», (4) 44, 92, 105-133.