
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

ALBERTO BRESSAN, AGOSTINO CORTESI

Lipschitz extensions of convex-valued maps

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. **80** (1986), n.7-12, p. 530–532.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1986_8_80_7-12_530_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Analisi matematica. — *Lipschitz extensions of convex-valued maps.*
 Nota (*) di ALBERTO BRESSAN (**), e AGOSTINO CORTESI (***)¹, presentata
 dal Corrisp. R. CONTI.

RIASSUNTO. — Si dimostra che ogni funzione multivoca lipschitziana con costante di Lipschitz M , definita su un sottoinsieme di uno spazio di Hilbert H a valori compatti e convessi in \mathbf{R}^n , può essere estesa su tutto H ad una funzione multivoca lipschitziana con costante minore di $7nM$. In generale, non esistono invece estensioni aventi la stessa costante di Lipschitz M .

1. INTRODUCTION

A classical theorem by Kirschbraun-Valentine [3, p. 52] states that every Lipschitz continuous map f from a subset A of a Hilbert space H into a Hilbert space H' has an extension $\tilde{f}: H \rightarrow H'$ with the same Lipschitz constant. The present paper is concerned with the analogous extension problem for convex-valued multifunctions.

Let F be a multivalued map defined on a subset A of a Hilbert space H , whose values are compact convex subset of \mathbf{R}^n . We prove that if F is Lipschitz continuous with constant M , then it admits a multivalued extension $\tilde{F}: H \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ with Lipschitz constant smaller than $7nM$. The proof combines Kirschbraun's extension theorem with a selection lemma due to Le Donne and Marchi [2].

A counterexample shows that, in general, it is not possible to construct an extension \tilde{F} preserving the same Lipschitz constant M .

2. THE MAIN RESULT

Let H be a Hilbert space and call Ω^n the space of all compact, convex subsets of \mathbf{R}^n provided with the Hausdorff metric d_H . We shall write $\overline{\text{co}} A$ for the closed convex hull of the set A and $B(K, \varepsilon)$ for the closed ε -neighbourhood around the set $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$. For the basic properties of multivalued maps we refer to [1]. The following selection lemma was proved in [2].

(*) Pervenuta all'Accademia il 28 ottobre 1986.

(**) Dept. of Mathematics, Un. of Colorado, Boulder, Co. 80309 U.S.A.

(***) Seminario Matematico, Un. di Padova, via Belzoni 7, 35100, Italy.

LEMMA. Let $\bar{K} \in \Omega^n$ and $\hat{y} \in \bar{K}$. Then there exists a Lipschitz continuous map $S_{\bar{K}, \hat{y}}$ from Ω^n into \mathbf{R}^n with constant $2nL$ such that $S_{\bar{K}, \hat{y}}(K) \in K$ for all $K \in \Omega^n$ and $S_{\bar{K}, \hat{y}}(\bar{K}) = y$.

Here L is a constant determined by the system

$$\begin{cases} \alpha + \beta = \pi/3 \\ \sin \alpha = 1/L \\ \sin \beta = 2/L \end{cases}$$

with $\alpha < \beta < \pi/2$. A direct computation yields $L = \sqrt{28/3}$.

THEOREM. For any $A \subseteq H$, every Lipschitz continuous map $F : A \rightarrow \Omega^n$ with constant M can be extended to a map $\tilde{F} : H \rightarrow \Omega^n$ with Lipschitz constant $2nM\sqrt{28/3}$.

Proof. For every $\hat{x} \in A$ and $\hat{y} \in F(\hat{x}) \in \Omega^n$, let $S_{F(\hat{x}), \hat{y}} : \Omega^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be the Lipschitz selection provided by the previous lemma.

The composition $f_{\hat{x}, \hat{y}} = S_{F(\hat{x}), \hat{y}} \circ F$ is then a continuous (single-valued) map from A into \mathbf{R}^n with Lipschitz constant $2nML$, hence it admits an extension $\tilde{f}_{\hat{x}, \hat{y}} : H \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ with the same Lipschitz constant [3, p. 52].

Define $\tilde{F} : H \rightarrow \Omega^n$ by setting

$$(1) \quad \tilde{F}(x) = \overline{\text{co}} \{ \tilde{f}_{\hat{x}, \hat{y}}(x) ; \hat{x} \in A, \hat{y} \in F(\hat{x}) \}$$

Notice that $\tilde{F}(x) = F(x)$ whenever $x \in A$. Indeed, for $x \in A$ we have

$$\tilde{F}(x) \supseteq \{ \tilde{f}_{x, y}(x) ; y \in F(x) \} = \{ f_{x, y}(x) ; y \in F(x) \} = F(x),$$

$$\tilde{F}(x) = \overline{\text{co}} \{ f_{\hat{x}, \hat{y}}(x) ; \hat{x} \in A, \hat{y} \in F(\hat{x}) \} \subseteq F(x)$$

because $f_{\hat{x}, \hat{y}}(x) \in F(x)$ for all \hat{x}, \hat{y} , and $F(x)$ is closed and convex.

It remains to check the Lipschitz continuity of \tilde{F} , i.e.

$$(2) \quad d_H(\tilde{F}(x), \tilde{F}(x')) \leq 2nM L \|x - x'\|, \forall x, x' \in H$$

To prove (2) observe that

$$(3) \quad d_H(\tilde{F}(x), \tilde{F}(x')) \leq d_H(\{ \tilde{f}_{u, v}(x) ; u \in A, v \in F(u) \}, \{ \tilde{f}_{u, v}(x') ; u \in A, v \in F(u) \}).$$

If (2) fails, then by (3) there exist $x, x' \in H$, $\hat{x} \in A$ and $\hat{y} \in F(\hat{x})$ for which

$$\tilde{f}_{\hat{x}, \hat{y}}(x) \notin B(\{ \tilde{f}_{u, v}(x') ; u \in A, v \in F(u) \}, 2nM L \|x - x'\|).$$

In particular, $\|\tilde{f}_{\hat{x}, \hat{y}}(x) - \tilde{f}_{\hat{x}, \hat{y}}(x')\| > 2n ML \|x - x'\|$, which contradicts the Lipschitz continuity of $\tilde{f}_{\hat{x}, \hat{y}}$.

Therefore (2) holds, and the proof is completed.

3. A COUNTEREXAMPLE

In general, Lipschitz continuous convex-valued maps do not admit extensions which preserve the same Lipschitz constant.

Example. Let P_1, P_2, P_3 be three vertices of an equilateral triangle T in a plane, with side length 1, i.e. $|P_i - P_j| = \delta_{ij}$. Let $Q = (P_1 + P_2 + P_3)/3$ be the barycenter of T , and define

$$F(P_1) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 ; x = 0, 0 \leq y \leq 1\}.$$

$$F(P_2) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 ; 0 \leq x \leq 1, y = 0\},$$

$$F(P_3) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 ; 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1\}.$$

Clearly, $d_H(F(P_i), F(P_j)) = \delta_{ij}$, hence the map F is non-expansive on the set $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$. Assume that some set $F(Q)$ could be defined in such a way that

$$(4) \quad d_H(F(Q), F(P_i)) \leq |Q - P_i| = 1/\sqrt{3}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

We claim that this leads to a contradiction. Indeed, using (4) with $i = 1, 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(Q) &\subseteq [B(F(P_1), 1/\sqrt{3}) \cap B(F(P_2), 1/\sqrt{3})] = \Gamma \\ &= \{(x, y) ; x^2 + y^2 \leq 1/3\} \cup \{(x, y) ; 0 \leq x \leq 1/\sqrt{3}, 0 \leq y \leq 1/\sqrt{3}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(1,1) \in F(P_3)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(F(P_3), F(Q)) &\geq d((1, 1), F(Q)) \geq d((1, 1), \Gamma) = \\ &= (1 - 1/\sqrt{3})\sqrt{2} > 1/\sqrt{3}, \end{aligned}$$

which is in contradiction with (4), $i = 3$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.P. AUBIN and A. CELLINA (1984) – *Differential Inclusions*, Springer, New York.
- [2] A. LE DONNE and M.V. MARCHI (1980) – *Representation of Lipschitzian compact convex valued mappings*, «Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend. Sc. fis. mat. e nat.», 278–280.
- [3] J.H. WELLS and L.R. WILLIAMS (1975) – *Embeddings and extensions in Analysis*, Springer, New York.