
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

GIUSEPPE BUTTAZZO, DANILO PERCIVALE

The bounce problem, on n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. **70** (1981), n.6, p. 246–250.*
Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1981_8_70_6_246_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Analisi matematica. — *The bounce problem on n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds.* Nota di GIUSEPPE BUTTAZZO e DANILO PERCIVALLE, presentata (*) dal Corrisp. E. DE GIORGI.

RIASSUNTO. — In questo lavoro vengono generalizzati i risultati relativi al problema del rimbalzo unidimensionale studiato in [5]. Precisamente si considera un punto mobile su una varietà Riemanniana V n -dimensionale, soggetto all'azione di un potenziale variabile nel tempo e vincolato a restare in una parte W di V avente un bordo di classe C^3 contro cui il punto «rimbalza».

Lo studio del problema richiede l'uso di metodi di Γ -convergenza del tipo usato in [5], metodi che sembrano caratteristici per lo studio di problemi in cui può mancare l'unicità della soluzione o la sua dipendenza continua dai dati.

Let V be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold without boundary and of class C^3 ; for every $q \in V$ we denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_q$ the scalar product on the tangent space $T_q V$; we assume that the metric tensor field is of class C^2 .

If $g : V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a differentiable function, we denote by ∇g the gradient of g defined by

$$\langle \nabla g(q), v \rangle_q = dg(q)(v) \quad \text{for every } v \in T_q V.$$

Let $f : V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a function of class C^3 ; we suppose that $df(q) \neq 0$ for every $q \in V$ such that $f(q) = 0$.

We study the bounce problem for a material point, whose position at time t will be indicated by $q(t)$. This point is subjected to a potential $U(t, q)$ and moves in the region $W = \{q \in V : f(q) \geq 0\}$ bouncing against the submanifold $S = \{q \in V : f(q) = 0\}$.

Given $T > 0$ we denote by Lip the space of Lipschitz functions from $[0, T]$ into V endowed with the L^∞ -topology and by L^1 the space $L^1(0, T ; C^2(V))$ with its usual topology.

(*) Nella seduta del 26 giugno 1981.

We say that a pair $(U, q) \in L^1 \times \text{Lip}$ solves the bounce problem (\mathcal{P}) (or that q is a solution of the bounce problem (\mathcal{P}) with potential U) if

- $\left. \begin{array}{l} i) \quad f(q(t)) \geq 0 \text{ for every } t \in [0, T] \\ ii) \quad \text{there exists a positive measure } \mu \text{ on } (0, T) \text{ such that } q \text{ is an extremal for the functional} \\ F(v) = \int_0^T [\frac{1}{2} \langle v'(t), v'(t) \rangle + U(t, v(t))] dt + \int_0^T f(v(t)) d\mu \\ \text{and } spt \mu \subseteq \{t \in [0, T] : f(q(t)) = 0\} \\ iii) \quad \text{for every } t_1, t_2 \in [0, T] \text{ the energy-relation holds:} \\ \langle q'_+, q'_+ \rangle |_{t_1}^{t_2} = \langle q'_-, q'_- \rangle |_{t_1}^{t_2} = 2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \nabla U(t, q(t)), q'(t) \rangle dt \end{array} \right\} (\mathcal{P})$

where q'_+ and q'_- respectively denote the right and left derivatives of q , whose existence is guaranteed by $ii)$. By $iii)$ the functions $\langle q'_+, q'_+ \rangle$ and $\langle q'_-, q'_- \rangle$ coincide; their common value will be indicated by $\langle q', q' \rangle$.

We consider now a sequence of penalizing functions ψ_h satisfying the following properties:

- $i)$ ψ_h is continuous, $\psi_h \geq 0$, $\psi_h(x) = 0$ if $x \geq 0$
- $ii)$ $\psi_h \rightarrow +\infty$ uniformly on compact subsets of $(-\infty, 0)$
- $iii)$ $\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow +\infty \\ x \rightarrow q^-}} \frac{\psi_h(x)}{\alpha_h(x)} = +\infty$ where $\alpha_h(x) = \int_x^0 \psi_h(z) dz$.

We say that a pair $(U, q) \in L^1 \times \text{Lip}$ solves the penalized problem (\mathcal{P}_h) (or that q is a solution of (\mathcal{P}_h) with potential U) if q is an extremal for the functional

$$F_h(v) = \int_0^T [\frac{1}{2} \langle v'(t), v'(t) \rangle + U(t, v(t)) - \alpha_h(f(v(t)))] dt.$$

Remark. It is easy to see that if (U, q) satisfies $(\mathcal{P}) ii)$ then, in local coordinates we have (the summation convention is adopted):

$$\frac{d}{dt} (a_{ij}(q) q'_j) = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} [\frac{1}{2} a_{rs}(q) q'_r q'_s + U(t, q)] + \mu \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i}(q)$$

where a_{ij} denote the coefficients of the metric tensor.

Similarly, if (U, q) solves the problem (\mathcal{P}_h) then, in local coordinates we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} (a_{ij}(q) q'_j) = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} [\frac{1}{2} a_{rs}(q) q'_r q'_s + U(t, q) - \alpha_h(f(q))].$$

We are interested in the Cauchy problems for (\mathcal{P}_h) and (\mathcal{P}) ; nevertheless we cannot assign the usual Cauchy data since they are not stable as $h \rightarrow +\infty$. In fact, if $U \in L^1$ is a potential, q_h solves (\mathcal{P}_h) with potential U, q solves (\mathcal{P}) with potential U and $q_h \rightarrow q$ uniformly, then the usual Cauchy data of the problem (\mathcal{P}_h) may be not convergent to the Cauchy data of the problem (\mathcal{P}) . Moreover the usual Cauchy data for the problem (\mathcal{P}) are discontinuous as functions of time t . These facts lead us to introduce a new kind of "initial trace" for (\mathcal{P}_h) and (\mathcal{P}) , which is more stable than the usual one as $h \rightarrow +\infty$.

Let

$$E_h = \{(U, q) \in L^1 \times Lip : (U, q) \text{ solves } (\mathcal{P}_h)\}$$

$$E = \{(U, q) \in L^1 \times Lip : (U, q) \text{ solves } (\mathcal{P})\}$$

$$Y_h = \{q \in Lip : \exists U \in L^1 \text{ with } (U, q) \in E_h\}$$

$$Y = \{q \in Lip : \exists U \in L^1 \text{ with } (U, q) \in E\};$$

setting $\mathcal{B} = \mathbf{R} \times V \times TV \times TV \times TV$ we define the "initial traces"

$$\mathcal{C}_h : [0, T] \times Y_h \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C} : [0, T] \times Y \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \quad \text{by}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_h(t, q) = \left(e_h(q)(t), q(t), q'_\tau(t), f(q(t)) q'(t), \frac{1}{h} q'(t) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{C}(t, q) = (e(q)(t), q(t), q(t), q'_\tau(t), f(q(t)) q'(t), 0)$$

where q'_τ denotes the vector $\langle \nabla f(q), \nabla f(q) \rangle q' - \langle q', \nabla f(q) \rangle \nabla f(q)$, and $e_h(q), e(q)$ are respectively the energies $\frac{1}{2} \langle q', q' \rangle + \alpha_h(f(q))$ and $\frac{1}{2} \langle q', q' \rangle$.

It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{C}_h, \mathcal{C}$ are continuous with respect to t for every fixed q in Y_h, Y respectively.

We remark that, if $f(q(t_0)) > 0$, then to assign $\mathcal{C}(t_0, q)$ is equivalent to assigning the Cauchy data $q(t_0), q'(t_0)$ for the problem (\mathcal{P}) ; to assign $\mathcal{C}_h(t_0, q)$ is, for the problem (\mathcal{P}_h) , always equivalent to assigning the usual Cauchy data.

We set for every $t \in [0, T]$

$$\mathcal{A}_h(t) = \{(b, U, q) \in \mathcal{B} \times L^1 \times Lip : (U, q) \in E_h, \mathcal{C}_h(t, q) = b\}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(t) = \{(b, U, q) \in \mathcal{B} \times L^1 \times Lip : (Y, q) \in E, \mathcal{C}(t, q) = b\};$$

we notice that, for fixed $t \in [0, T]$, the relation $\mathcal{A}(t)$ does not uniquely characterize q as a function of (b, U) (see [6]). Failing uniqueness for the problem (\mathcal{P}) with fixed initial conditions, the following question naturally arises:

may every solution of the problem (\mathcal{P}) be obtained as a limit of solutions of problems (\mathcal{P}_h) ?

We answer this question in the affirmative by allowing a suitable "mobility" of potential and of initial conditions. To do this we use the notions of Γ -limits.

If X is a set and $M \subseteq X$ we define

$$\delta_M(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in M \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

we recall (see [4], [8], [9]) that we say

$$\delta_{\mathcal{A}(t)} = \Gamma(N, \mathcal{B}^-, (L^1)^-, (L^\infty)^-) \lim_h \delta_{\mathcal{A}_h(t)}$$

if and only if the following properties hold:

I) If $b_h \rightarrow b$ in \mathcal{B} , $U_h \rightarrow U$ in L^1 , $q_h \rightarrow q$ in L^∞ with $(b_h, U_h, q_h) \in \mathcal{A}_h(t)$ for infinitely many $h \in N$, then $(b, U, q) \in \mathcal{A}(t)$.

II) If $(b, U, q) \in \mathcal{A}(t)$, then there exist $b_h \rightarrow b$ in \mathcal{B} , $U_h \rightarrow U$ in L^1 , $q_h \rightarrow q$ in L^∞ such that $(b_h, U_h, q_h) \in \mathcal{A}_h(t)$ for all h large enough.

Our purpose is to obtain the following result:

THEOREM 1. *For every $t \in [0, T]$ we have*

$$\delta_{\mathcal{A}(t)} = \Gamma(N, \mathcal{B}^-, (L^1)^-, (L^\infty)^-) \lim_h \delta_{\mathcal{A}_h(t)}.$$

The proof of the Theorem 1 mainly consists in the following steps:

PROPOSITION 2. *For every $t \in [0, T]$*

$$\delta_{\mathcal{A}(t)} \leq \Gamma(N^-, \mathcal{B}^-, (L^1)^-, (L^\infty)^-) \lim_h \delta_{\mathcal{A}_h(t)}.$$

This Proposition proves property I). In order to prove property II), we need the notion of regular solution for the problem (\mathcal{P}) ;

DEFINITION 3. *We set for every $t \in [0, T]$*

$$D(t) = \{(b, U, q) \in \mathcal{A}(t) : \forall \tilde{q} \in \text{Lip}, (b, U, \tilde{q}) \in \mathcal{A}(t) \Rightarrow q = \tilde{q}\}.$$

We call $D(t)$ the set of regular solutions of problem (\mathcal{P}) with initial time t .

It is easy to obtain the following

PROPOSITION 4. *For every $t \in [0, T]$*

$$\delta_{D(t)} \geq \Gamma(N^+, \mathcal{B}^+, (L^1)^+, (L^\infty)^-) \lim_h \delta_{\mathcal{A}_h(t)}$$

that is, if $(b, U, q) \in D(t)$ then, for every $b_h \rightarrow b$ in \mathcal{B} , for every $U_h \rightarrow U$ in L^1 there exists $q_h \rightarrow q$ in L^∞ such that $(b_h, U_h, q_h) \in \mathcal{A}_h(t)$ for all h large enough.

The crucial point in the proof of property II) consists in proving the following

THEOREM 5. *For every $t \in [0, T]$ $D(t)$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}(t)$ with respect to the product topology of $\mathcal{B} \times L^1 \times L^\infty$.*

The thesis of Theorem 1 follows now from the previous results and from general Γ -convergence results (see [4], [8], [9]).

REFERENCES

- [1] L. AMERIO (1976) – *Su un problema di vincoli unilaterali per l'equazione non omogenea della corda vibrante*, « IAC » Pubbl. Serie D n. 109.
- [2] A. BAMBERGER (1978) – *Thèse d'état*. Université Pierre et Marie Curie.
- [3] W. M. BOOTHBY (1975) – *An introduction to differentiable manifolds and Riemannian geometry*. Academic Press, New York.
- [4] G. BUTTAZZO (1977) – *Su una definizione generale dei Γ -limiti*, « Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. », (5) 14-B, 722–744.
- [5] G. BUTTAZZO and D. PERCIVALE – *Sull'approssimazione del problema del rimbalzo unidimensionale*. To appear on « Ricerche Mat. ».
- [6] M. CARRIERO and E. PASCALI – *Il problema del rimbalzo unidimensionale e sua approssimazione con penalizzazioni non convesse*. To appear.
- [7] C. CITRINI (1974) – *Discontinuous solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equation with unilateral constraints*, « Manuscripta Math. », 29, 323–352.
- [8] E. DE GIORGI (1977) – *Γ -convergenza e G-convergenza*, « Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. », (5) 14-A, 213–220.
- [9] E. DE GIORGI and T. FRANZONI (1975) – *Su un tipo di convergenza variazionale*. « Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. », (8) 58, 842–850.
- [10] M. SCHATZMAN (1980) – *A hyperbolic problem of second order with unilateral constraints: the vibrating string with a concave obstacle*, « J. Math. Anal. Appl. », 73, 138–191.
- [11] M. SCHATZMAN (1979) – *Thèse d'état*. Paris.