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Teoria dei gruppi. - On lattice automorphisms of the special linear group (*). Nota (**) di Mauro Costantini (***), presentata dal Corrisp. G. Zacher.

[^0]Key words: Linear groups; Lattice automorphisms.
Riassunto. - Sugli automorfismi reticolari del gruppo lineare speciale. Nella presente Nota si stabilisce, mediante un controesempio, che la Prop. 3 in [2] ed il relativo corollario sono errati; si prova che, modificando opportunamente le ipotesi colà espresse, la tesi sostenuta risulta corretta.

During the work on our $\mathrm{Ph} . \mathrm{D}$. thesis, we came across a problem similar to one dealt with by H. Völklein in [2]. In the present paper we point out that Prop. 3 with its corollary in [2] is not correct, giving a counterexample. However we are able, by modifying the assumptions, to show that the thesis stated in the above mentioned proposition, is still valid.
$\$ 1$. In this section we construct the above mentioned counterexample. Let's consider the group $G=S L(3,27)$, and denote by Aut $\mathscr{L}(G)$ the group of autoprojectivities of $G$, and by $\Phi$ the subgroup of the autoprojectivities which fix every 3-Sylow subgroup of $G$. Identifying Aut $G$ with the subgroup of autoprojectivities of $G$ induced by automorphisms, we have, by Prop. 2 in [2], Aut $\mathscr{L}(G)=\Phi \rtimes$ Aut $G$. We'll show that $G$ is strongly lattice determined, that is that $\Phi$ is the identity subgroup.

We denote by $T$ the subgroup of diagonal matrices of $G$. From the corollary on page 11 of [3], to prove that $\Phi=\{1\}$ it is enough to show that $X^{\varphi}=X$ for every subgroup $X$ of $T$ and every $\varphi$ in $\Phi$. In our case $T$ is isomorphic to $C_{26} \times C_{26}$, and so, by Lemma 1 in [3], it is enough to show that every $\varphi$ in $\Phi$ fixes every subgroup of order 13 of $T$. Let $\mathfrak{N}$ be the set of the 14 subgroups of order 13 of $T$. If we make the Weyl group $W=N(T) / T$ act naturally on $\mathfrak{N}$, we get four orbits, of which two have three elements, one has two elements and one has six elements. Let's call this last orbit $\delta$. We now fix an element $\varphi$ of $\Phi$. As we showed in [1], $\varphi$ fixes every subgroup of $T$ which lies in the orbits with two or three elements. To prove that the same holds for the elements of 8 , we need a description of these subgroups. Let $u$ be a fixed element of order 13 in $\boldsymbol{F}_{27}^{\times}$, let $e$ be the element $\operatorname{diag}\left(u, u^{10}, u^{2}\right)$ of $T$, and $E=\langle e\rangle$. Then we have that $E$ lies in $\delta$ and for every $E^{\prime}$ in $\delta$ there exists a unique $w$ in $W$ such that $E^{\prime}=E^{w}$. Now let $P$ be the following subgroup of the unitriangular upper matrices:

$$
P=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & k & k^{3} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, k \in F_{27}\right\} .
$$

(*) Research done with the financial support of the Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche.
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(***) Math. Institute - University of Warwick - Coventry CV4 7AL (England).
3. - RENDICONTI 1989, vol. LXXXIII.

From a direct calculation, it follows that $E$ is contained in $N(P)$, and even that $E$ is the unique subgroup in $\mathcal{E}$ satisfying this condition. Also, we observe that $E^{\varphi}$ lies in $\mathcal{E}$, as $\varphi$ is index preserving and we already know that it fixes all the subgroups of order 13 of $T$ out of 8 . Now $P$ is the unique 3 -Sylow subgroup of the group $E P$, thus $P^{\varphi}$ is the unique 3-Sylow subgroup of $\left\langle E^{\varphi}, P^{\varphi}\right\rangle$. Hence we get that $E^{\varphi}$ is contained in $N\left(P^{\rho}\right)$. Finally, as by Prop 1 in [3] $P$ is fixed by $\varphi$, we have $E^{\varphi} \leq N(P)$, which gives $E^{\varphi}=E$, since $E$ is the unique subgroup in $\mathcal{E}$ contained in $N(P)$. If now $E^{\prime}$ is any element of $\mathcal{E}$, we just need to take any $g$ in $N(T)$ such that $E^{\prime}=E^{g}$, and apply the previous arguments to the groups $E^{\prime}$ and $P^{g}$. Thus $\Phi$ is the identity group, and $G$ is strongly lattice determined.

Now we'll construct for every $w$ in $W$ an autoprojectivity $\varphi_{w}$ of $T$ satisfying the conditions of Prop. 3 in [2]. So let $w$ be a fixed element of $W$. We define $\varphi_{w}$ to be the identity on the 2 -subgroups of $T$ and on the subgroups of order 13 which lie in orbits of length two or three. If $E^{\prime}$ is any element in $\mathcal{E}$, there exists a unique $\rho$ in $W$ such $E^{\prime}=E^{\circ}$. We then put $E^{\rho_{w}}=E^{w_{\rho}}$. There exists a unique way to extend $\varphi_{w}$ to an autoprojectivity of $T$. From a direct calculation it is possible to show that, for every $w$ in $W, \varphi_{w}$ satisfies the conditions i)-iii) of Prop. 3 (and also that these are all). From the fact that 13 does not divide $3^{r}-1$ for $r=1$ or 2 , it follows that $\varphi_{w}$ satisfies also condition iv) for every $w$ in $W$. Finally we can say that for every non trivial $w$ in $W$ we have a non trivial autoprojectivity $\varphi_{w}$ of $T$ which satisfies the hypothesis of Prop. 3, but which does not fit with the thesis, because we already know that $\Phi=\{1\}$. Besides, by taking the prime $l$ equal 13 , we see that $G$ represents a counterexample also for the corollary following Prop. 3 in [2].

The point is that if $\lambda$ satisfies the hypothesis of Prop. 3, $X$ is a subgroup of $T$ not fixed by $\lambda$ and $P$ is a $p$-subgroup of $S L\left(3, p^{\nu}\right)$ such that $X \leq N(P)$, then condition iv) is not enough to guarantee that $X^{\lambda} \leq N(P)$. In the following paragraph we are going to modify the content of condition iv).
$\$ 2$. First we give some notation. Let $p$ be any prime, $q$ a power of $p$ : we put $K=F_{q}$ and $G=S L(3, K)$. We denote by $T$ the subgroup of diagonal matrices of $G$ and by $U$ the subgroup of upper unitriangular matrices of $G$. Also, if $F$ is a subfield of $K$ we denote by $T(F)$ the subgroup of $T$ whose elements have entries in $F$.

Let $s=\operatorname{diag}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ be an element of $T$. Then we put:

$$
x_{1}(s)=\alpha \beta^{-1}, \quad x_{2}(s)=\beta \gamma^{-1}, \quad x_{3}(s)=\alpha \gamma^{-1} \quad\left(=x_{1}(s) x_{2}(s)\right) .
$$

We'll just write $x_{i}$ for $x_{i}(s)$ when there is no ambiguity. Also, for $i=1,2,3$, we denote by $\mu_{i}(s)$ the minimum polynomial of $x_{i}(s)$ over $\boldsymbol{F}_{p}$. Again we will just write $\mu_{i}$ for $\mu_{i}(s)$ when there is no ambiguity.

Definition. We say that an element $s$ in $T$ satisfies (*) if:
i) $|s|=\left|x_{i}(s)\right|$ for every $i=1,2,3$; (which implies that $\operatorname{deg} \mu_{i}=\operatorname{deg} \mu_{j}$ for every $i, j=1,2,3$ );
ii) $\mu_{i} \neq \mu_{j}$ for every $i \neq j$.

Defintition. We say that an element $s$ in $T$ satisfies (**) if $n s n^{-1}$ satisfies (*) for every $n$ in $N(T)$.

Suppose that $s$ in $T$ satisfies (*). Then we have $\boldsymbol{F}_{p}\left(x_{1}\right)=\boldsymbol{F}_{p}\left(x_{2}\right)=\boldsymbol{F}_{p}\left(x_{3}\right)=\boldsymbol{F}_{p^{n}}$, where
$n$ is the degree of the $\mu_{i}$ 's. We'll denote this subfield of $K$ by $F(s)$, and simply by $F$ if there is no ambiguity.

Prop. 2.1. Let $s$ be an element of $T$ satisfying (*). Then the map

$$
\Psi: F_{p}[X] \rightarrow F \times F \times F \quad \text { given by } \quad \theta \rightarrow\left(\theta\left(x_{1}\right), \theta\left(x_{2}\right), \theta\left(x_{3}\right)\right)
$$

is a surjective $F_{p}$-algebra homomorphism.
Proof. It's clear that $\Psi$ is an $\boldsymbol{F}_{p}$-algebra homomorphism. To show that $\Psi$ is surjective we observe that condition $(*)$ implies that $\operatorname{ket} \Psi=\left(\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \mu_{3}\right)$. Hence we have an induced $\boldsymbol{F}_{p}$-algebra monomorphism

$$
\bar{\Psi}: F_{p}[X] /\left(\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \mu_{3}\right) \rightarrow F \times F \times F
$$

But now $F_{p}[X] /\left(\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \mu_{3}\right)$ and $F \times F \times F$ are both $F_{p}$-spaces of dimension $3 n$, where $n$ is $\operatorname{deg} \mu_{i}$. Hence $\bar{\Psi}$ is an isomorphism, and $\Psi$ is surjective. \#

We now consider the three monomorphisms $\chi_{i}: K \rightarrow U$ defined by:

$$
\chi_{1}(k)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & k & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \chi_{2}(k)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & k \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \chi_{3}(k)=\left[\begin{array}{ccr}
1 & 0 & -k \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

We therefore have the commutator formula:

$$
\chi_{2}(b) \chi_{1}(a)=\chi_{1}(a) \chi_{2}(b) \chi_{3}(a b) \quad \text { for every } a, b \text { in } K .
$$

Also, from the fact that $\operatorname{Im} \chi_{i}$, for $i=1,2,3$, are exactly the three root-subgroups of $T$ contained in $U$, for every $u$ in $U$ there exists a unique 3 -tuple $(a, b, c)$ with $a, b, c$ in $K$ such that $u=\chi_{1}(a) \chi_{2}(b) \chi_{3}(c)$.

In the following we fix an element $u$ in $U$ and hence three elements $a, b, c$ of $K$ such that $u=\chi_{1}(a) \chi_{2}(b) \chi_{3}(c)$.

Prop. 2.2. For every $n$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ there exists $h(n)$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
u^{n}=\chi_{1}(n a) \chi_{2}(n b) \chi_{3}(n c+b(n) a b) .
$$

Proof. We can take $h(n)=0$ if $n=0,1 ; \quad h(n)=n(n+1) / 2$ if $n \geq 2$ and $h(n)=(-n+1)(-n+2) / 2$ if $n<0$. The result then comes by induction. \#

We now fix $s$ in $T$ such that $s$ satisfies (*).
Prop. 2.3. Let $\theta$ be an element of $\boldsymbol{F}_{p}[X]$. Then there exists $\gamma$ in $F$ such that

$$
\chi_{1}\left(\theta\left(x_{1}\right) a\right) \chi_{2}\left(\theta\left(x_{2}\right) b\right) \chi_{3}\left(\theta\left(x_{3}\right) c+\gamma a b\right) \quad \text { is in }\langle u\rangle^{\langle s\rangle} .
$$

Proof. We use induction on $\operatorname{deg} \theta$. Suppose $\operatorname{deg} \theta=0$, then $\theta=k$ is in $\boldsymbol{F}_{p}$. Choose $n$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $n \rightarrow k$ under the natural map $\pi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K$ given by $m \rightarrow m \cdot 1_{K}$ for every $m$ in $\mathbb{Z}$. Then, from Prop. 2.2, we get $h(n)$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
u^{n}=\chi_{1}(n a) \chi_{2}(n b) \chi_{3}(n c+b(n) a b) .
$$

But then

$$
\chi_{1}(k a) \chi_{2}(k b) \chi_{3}\left(k c+\left(b(n) \cdot 1_{K}\right) a b\right)=u^{n} \quad \text { is in }\langle u\rangle^{(s\rangle},
$$

$k=\theta\left(x_{i}\right)$ for every $i=1,2,3$ and $b(n) \cdot 1_{K}$ is in $F$. So now assume the result for all $\theta$ in $\boldsymbol{F}_{p}[X]$ with $\operatorname{deg} \theta \leq r$ and let $\theta$ be of degree $r+1$. Then $\theta=\theta^{\prime}+k_{r+1} X^{r+1}$, where $\theta^{\prime}$ has degree $\leq r$, and $k_{r+1}$ is in $\boldsymbol{F}_{p}$. Then, by induction, there exists $\gamma^{\prime}$ in $F$ such that

$$
v=\chi_{1}\left(\theta^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) a\right) \chi_{2}\left(\theta^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right) b\right) \chi_{3}\left(\theta^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) c+\gamma^{\prime} a b\right) \quad \text { is in }\langle u\rangle^{\langle s\rangle} .
$$

Choose $n_{r+1}$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\pi\left(n_{r+1}\right)=k_{r+1}$, and let $w=\left(s^{r+1} u s^{-(r+1)}\right)^{n_{r+1}}$. Then we have $w \in\langle u\rangle^{\langle s\rangle}$. Also we have

$$
w=\chi_{1}\left(n_{r+1} x_{1}^{r+1} a\right) \chi_{2}\left(n_{r+1} x_{2}^{r+1} b\right) \chi_{3}\left(n_{r+1} x_{3}^{r+1} c+b\left(n_{r+1}\right) x_{3}^{r+1} a b\right) \quad \text { as } x_{3}=x_{1} x_{2} .
$$

Let $\gamma^{\prime \prime}=h\left(n_{r+1}\right) x_{3}^{r+1}$, so that $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$ is in $F$. Consider now the element $v w$, which is in $\langle u\rangle^{\langle s\rangle}$. Using the commutator formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v w=\chi_{1}\left(\left(\theta^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)+n_{r+1} x_{1}^{r+1}\right) a\right) \chi_{2}\left(\left(\theta^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)+n_{r+1} x_{2}^{r+1}\right) b\right) . \\
& \\
& \left.\quad \chi_{3}\left(\theta^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)+n_{r+1} x_{3}^{r+1}\right) c+\left(\gamma^{\prime}+\gamma^{\prime \prime}+n_{r+1} x_{1}^{r+1} \theta^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) a b\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives the result we want, by defining

$$
\gamma=\gamma^{\prime}+\gamma^{\prime \prime}+n_{r+1} x_{1}^{r+1} \theta^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

and noting that $\theta^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)+n_{r+1} x_{i}^{r+1}=\theta\left(x_{i}\right)$ for every $i=1,2,3$. \#
Prop. 2.4. For every $A, B, C$ in $F$ there exists $k$ in $F$ such that

$$
\chi_{1}(A a) \chi_{2}(B b) \chi_{3}(C c+k a b) \quad \text { is in }\langle u\rangle^{\langle s\rangle} .
$$

Proof. By Prop. 2.1, there exists $\theta$ in $F_{p}[X]$ such that $\theta\left(x_{1}\right)=A, \theta\left(x_{2}\right)=B$ and $\theta\left(x_{3}\right)=C$. Then, by Prop. 2.3, there exists $\gamma$ in $F$ such that

$$
\chi_{1}\left(\theta\left(x_{1}\right) a\right) \chi_{2}\left(\theta\left(x_{2}\right) b\right) \chi_{3}\left(\theta\left(x_{3}\right) c+\gamma a b\right) \quad \text { is } \operatorname{in}\langle u\rangle^{\langle s\rangle} .
$$

So we just need to take $k=\gamma$ to get the result. \#
Prop. 2.5. Let $D$ be in $F$. Then $\chi_{3}(D a b)$ lies in $\langle u\rangle^{\langle s\rangle}$.
Proof. Suppose we have $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \zeta, \zeta^{\prime}$ in $K$ and let

$$
y=\chi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \chi_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \chi_{3}(\zeta), \quad y^{\prime}=\chi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \chi_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \chi_{3}\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Then we have

$$
y y^{\prime-1}=\chi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \chi_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \chi_{3}(\zeta) \chi_{3}\left(-\zeta^{\prime}\right) \chi_{2}\left(-\xi_{2}\right) \chi_{1}\left(-\xi_{1}\right)=\chi_{3}\left(\zeta-\zeta^{\prime}\right)
$$

as $\operatorname{Im} \chi_{3}=Z(U)$.
We apply this to the following:
let $A, A^{\prime}, B, B^{\prime}$ be elements of $F$. From Prop. 2.4 there exist $k, k^{\prime}$ in $F$ such that

$$
v=\chi_{1}(A a) \chi_{2}(B b) \chi_{3}(k a b) \quad \text { and } \quad w=\chi_{1}\left(A^{\prime} a\right) \chi_{2}\left(B^{\prime} b\right) \chi_{3}\left(k^{\prime} a b\right)
$$

are both in $\langle u\rangle^{\langle s\rangle}$. Then $v w$ and $w v$ are both in $\langle u\rangle^{\langle s\rangle}$, and we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v w=\chi_{1}\left(\left(A+A^{\prime}\right) a\right) \chi_{2}\left(\left(B+B^{\prime}\right) b\right) \chi_{3}\left(\left(k+k^{\prime}+A^{\prime} B\right) a b\right), \\
& w v=\chi_{1}\left(\left(A+A^{\prime}\right) a\right) \chi_{2}\left(\left(B+B^{\prime}\right) b\right) \chi_{3}\left(\left(k+k^{\prime}+A B^{\prime}\right) a b\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\chi_{3}\left(\left(A^{\prime} B-A B^{\prime}\right) a b\right)=(v w)(w v)^{-1}$ lies in $\langle u\rangle^{(s\rangle}$.

Finally, if we let $A^{\prime}=D, B=1, A=B^{\prime}=0$, then we obtain that $\chi_{3}(D a b)$ lies in $\langle u\rangle^{\langle s\rangle}$, as we wanted. \#

Prop. 2.6. Let $A, B, C, D$ be elements of $F$. Then

$$
\chi_{1}(A a) \chi_{2}(B b) \chi_{3}(C c+D a b) \quad \text { is in }\langle u\rangle^{\langle s\rangle}
$$

Proof. From Prop. 2.4, there exists $k$ in $F$ such that

$$
v=\chi_{1}(A a) \chi_{2}(B b) \chi_{3}(C c+k a b) \quad \text { is in }\langle u\rangle^{\langle s\rangle} .
$$

Then take $k^{\prime}=D-k$ and apply Prop. 2.5 to obtain that $w=\chi_{3}\left(k^{\prime} a b\right)$ is in $\langle u\rangle^{\langle s\rangle}$. Hence $\chi_{1}(A a) \chi_{2}(B b) \chi_{3}(C c) \chi_{3}(C c+D a b)=v w$ lies in $\langle u\rangle^{\langle s\rangle}$. \#

We are now able to state the result that we'll use in the final step.
Lemma. Let $s$ be an element of $T$ satisfying (*). Then, if $P$ is a subgroup of $U$ such that $s$ is in $N(P)$, we have that $T(F(s))$ is contained in $N(P)$.

Proof. Let $t$ be in $T(F(s))$, i.e. $t=\operatorname{diag}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ in $F(s)$. Then, if $u=\chi_{1}(a) \chi_{2}(b) \chi_{3}(c)$ is in $P$, we have $t u t^{-1}=\chi_{1}\left(\alpha \beta^{-1} a\right) \chi_{2}\left(\beta \gamma^{-1} b\right) \chi_{3}\left(\alpha \gamma^{-1} c\right)$ which is in $\langle u\rangle^{\langle s\rangle}$ by Prop. 2.6. Hence, for every $u$ in $P$ and for every $t$ in $T(F(s))$, we have tut ${ }^{-1} \in\langle u\rangle^{\langle s\rangle} \leq P^{\langle s\rangle}=P$. So for every $t$ in $T(F(s))$ we have $P^{t} \leq P$, which implies that $T(F(s))$ is contained in $N(P)$. \#

We are now in the position to prove the following statement, which represents the announced modification of Prop. 3 in [2]:

Let $G$ be the group $S L\left(3, p^{\nu}\right)$, and let $\lambda$ be a lattice automorphism of the group $T$ of diagonal matrices in $G$. Then $\lambda$ can be extended to a lattice automorphism of $G$ fixing every $p$-subgroup of $G$ and commuting with the inner automorphisms of $G$, if the following holds:
i) $\lambda$ commutes with the action of $N(T) / T$;
ii) $\lambda$ fixes every subgroup of $T$ which is fixed by a non-trivial element of $N(T) / T$;
iii) $\lambda$ fixes every 2 -subgroup and every 3 -subgroup of $T$;
$\left.\mathrm{vi}^{\prime}\right)$ if $s$ is an element of prime power order of $T$ not satisfying $(* *)$, then $\lambda$ fixes the group generated by $s$.

Proof. We'll prove that if $P$ is a $p$-subgroup of $G$ normalized by a subgroup $X$ of $T$, then also $X^{\lambda}$ and $X^{\lambda^{-1}}$ normalize $P$. It is then possible to follow the proof of Prop. 3 in [2] from step (5) to get the result. So let $X$ be a subgroup of $T$ and $P$ be a $p$-subgroup of $G$ normalized by $X$. If $X$ is fixed by $\lambda$, then there is nothing to prove. So assume that $\lambda$ doesn't fix $X$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $X$ is cyclic of prime power order. By condition iv'), there exists a generator $s$ of $X$ satisfying (**). We can apply the same argument of step (4) in the proof of Prop. 3, to obtain an element $n$ in $N(T)$ such that $n \mathrm{Pr}^{-1}$ is contained in $U$. Now $n s n^{-1}$ satisfies (*), and so we can apply the lemma to get $T\left(F\left(n s n^{-1}\right)\right) \leq N\left(n P n^{-1}\right)$. Then we have that $n X^{\lambda} n^{-1}$ and $n X^{\lambda^{-1}} n^{-1}$ are both contained in $n N(P) n^{-1}$, as $T\left(F\left(n s n^{-1}\right)\right.$ ) contains every subgroup of order $|s|$ of $T$, and $\lambda$ is index-preserving. Hence we have that both $X^{\lambda}$ and $X^{\lambda^{-1}}$ are contained in $N(P)$, and we are done. \#
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