
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

ALESSANDRO PEROTTI

**The equation $\bar{\partial}u = f$ the intersection of
pseudoconvex domains**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 80 (1986), n.7-12, p.
495-500.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1986_8_80_7-12_495_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

RENDICONTI

DELLE SEDUTE

DELLA ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI

Classe di Scienze fisiche, matematiche e naturali

Ferie e Sedute del 29 novembre e del 13 dicembre 1986

SEZIONE I

(Matematica, meccanica, astronomia, geodesia e geofisica)

Matematica. — *The equation $\bar{\partial}u = f$ on the intersection of pseudoconvex domains.* Nota di ALESSANDRO PEROTTI, presentata (*) dal Corrisp. E. VESENTINI.

RIASSUNTO. — Viene studiata l'equazione $\bar{\partial}u = f$ per le forme regolari sulla chiusura dell'intersezione di k domini pseudoconvessi. Si costruisce un operatore soluzione in forma integrale e sotto ipotesi opportune si ottengono stime della soluzione nelle norme C^k .

Let D be a bounded domain of $\mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 2$, obtained by intersecting k pseudoconvex domains $D_1, \dots, D_k$ with smooth boundary. Assume that D_j ($j = 1, \dots, k$) is of (at least) one of the following types:

- i) a strictly pseudoconvex domain;
- ii) a (Euclidean) convex domain;
- iii) a Levi-flat domain whose boundary is defined as the zero-set of a pluriharmonic function on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Moreover, suppose that the domains D_j satisfy the condition of real transversality:

(*) Nella seduta del 29 novembre 1986.

if $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq k$ and $\rho_{i_1}(z) = \dots = \rho_{i_r}(z) = 0$, then $(d\rho_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\rho_{i_r})(z) \neq 0$ (ρ_j is a defining function for D_j).

Let $C^m(\bar{D})$ denote the space of functions $g \in C^m(D)$ such that g and all its derivatives extend continuously to $\bar{D}$, and $C^m_{p,q}(\bar{D})$ the corresponding space of (p, q) -forms.

We construct an explicit integral solution operator for the equation $\bar{\partial}u = f$, where $f \in C^m_{p,q}(\bar{D})$ ($0 \leq p \leq n$, $1 \leq q \leq n$ and $1 \leq m \leq +\infty$) and $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ on D .

Similar integral operators were constructed by Range and Siu [13] and by Poljakov [9] on the intersection of k strictly pseudoconvex domains. They also gave estimates in the uniform norms.

Our construction is based on the existence of a sequence of forms linked, in a sense we shall see, to the Martinelli-Bochner-Koppelman Kernel $U_{p,q}$ (see [1] for definitions and properties about this Kernel).

Let $\rho_j : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a defining function for D_j ($j = 1, \dots, k$). We can find an open neighbourhood W_j of $\partial D_j \times \bar{D}_j$ and a vector valued function $h^j = (h^j_1, \dots, h^j_n)$ of class C^∞ on

$$U_j := \{(z, \zeta) \in W_j : \rho_j(z) > \rho_j(\zeta)\}$$

with the following properties:

- a) $h^j(z, \zeta)$ is holomorphic in ζ for fixed z ;
- b) $\sum_{i=1}^n h^j_i(z, \zeta) (z_i - \zeta_i) = 1$ for $(z, \zeta) \in U_j$.

In the case when D_j is strictly pseudoconvex, the existence of functions h^j as above was proved by Henkin [3] and Øvrelid [8]. If D_j is elementary convex, then we can take

$$h^j_i(z, \zeta) = \frac{\partial \rho_j}{\partial z_i} \left(\sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\partial \rho_j(z)}{\partial z_m} (z_m - \zeta_m) \right)^{-1} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

Finally, if ρ_j is a pluriharmonic function on $\mathbb{C}^n$, then there exists $\varphi \in O(\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that $\rho_j = \operatorname{Re} \varphi$. If $g_1, \dots, g_n$ are holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\varphi(z) - \varphi(\zeta) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(z, \zeta) (z_i - \zeta_i) \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n,$$

then we set $h^j_i(z, \zeta) = g_i(z, \zeta) (\varphi(z) - \varphi(\zeta))^{-1}$.

The existence of functions h^j with properties a) and b) permits to obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA. For every $1 \leq s \leq k$, $L = (l_1, \dots, l_s)$ with $1 \leq l_m \leq k$ and $0 \leq p \leq n$, $0 \leq q \leq n - s$, there exists a double form $\tau_{p,q}^L(z, \zeta)$ of type (p, q) in ζ and $(n - p, n - q - s - 1)$ in z , of class C^∞ on $U_{l_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{l_s}$, such that when $q \geq 1$

$$\bar{\partial}_z \tau_{p,q}^L + (-1)^{n-p+s} \bar{\partial}_\zeta \tau_{p,q-1}^L = \begin{cases} U_{p,q} & \text{for } s = 1 \\ (-1)^{n-p+s+1} \sum_{j=1}^s (-1)^j \tau_{p,q}^{L[j]} & \text{for } 2 \leq s \leq k. \quad \blacksquare \end{cases}$$

Remark. For the case $s = 1$, this result is essentially Lemma 1.4 in [1].

Now we proceed to define the integral operators: let $0 \leq p \leq n$ and $0 \leq m \leq +\infty$ be fixed. Let D'_1 be an open, smoothly bounded neighbourhood of $\bar{D}_1$ such that $(D'_1 \setminus D_1) \times D'_1 \subset W_j$ for every $j = 1, \dots, k$. For $1 \leq q \leq n$, we define $T_0 : C_{p,q}^m(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow C_{p,q-1}^m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ by setting

$$T_0(g)(\zeta) = \int_{D'_1} g(z) \wedge U_{p,q-1}(z, \zeta).$$

From Corollary 1.5 of [5] we have $T_0(g) \in C_{p,q-1}^m(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

For $1 \leq s \leq k$, $L = (l_1, \dots, l_s)$ with $1 \leq l_1 < \dots < l_s \leq k$ and $1 \leq q \leq n - s$, let $T_L : C_{p,q+1}^m(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow C_{p,q-1}^\infty(D)$ be defined by

$$T_L(g)(\zeta) = \int_{S_L} g(z) \wedge \tau_{p,q-1}^L(z, \zeta),$$

where $S_{(1)} = D'_1 \setminus \bar{D}_1$ and $S_L = \left(\bigcap_{m=s} \partial D_{l_m} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{l_s-1} D_{l_i} \right) \setminus D_{l_s}$ for $L \neq (1)$.

Here $\partial D_i \cap \partial D_j$ has the orientation induced by being the boundary of $\partial D_i \cup D_j$. The integrals are meaningful when $\zeta \in D$, and they define C^∞ forms on D , since $\tau_{p,q-1}^L$ is of class C^∞ on an open neighbourhood of $\bar{S}_L \times D$.

Let $g \in C^m(\bar{D})$. We observe that g can be considered as a function of class C^m on an open neighbourhood of $\bar{D}$. In fact it is possible to construct a continuous linear operator $E : C^m(\bar{D}) \rightarrow C^m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that $Eg|_D = g$. This extension operator can be defined locally as the Seeley operator [11], making use of the fact that D is locally diffeomorphic to a intersection of halfspaces. Moreover E can be constructed in such a way that we have $\text{supp}(Eg) \subset \subset D'_1$ for every $g \in C^m(\bar{D})$.

Now we can state our main result.

THEOREM 1. For $0 \leq p \leq n$, $1 \leq q \leq n$ and $1 \leq m \leq +\infty$, let $T: C_{p,q}^m(\bar{D}) \rightarrow C_{p,q-1}^m(D)$ be the linear operator defined by $Tf = -T_0(Ef) + (-1)^{p+q} \sum_{s=1}^{\min(k, n-q)} \sum_{|L|=s}' (-1)^{(n-q)s} T_L(\bar{\partial} Ef)$. Then, if $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ on D , we have $\bar{\partial}Tf = f$ on D . ■

Under the assumption that the domains D_j are all strictly pseudoconvex, we obtain a boundary regularity result for the given solution.

THEOREM 2. Let $D \subset \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be the intersection of k strictly pseudoconvex domains with smooth boundary that verify the condition of real transversality. For $0 \leq p \leq n$, $1 \leq q \leq n$ and $1 \leq m \leq +\infty$, let $T: C_{p,q}^m(\bar{D}) \rightarrow C_{p,q-1}^m(D)$ be the operator defined in Theorem 1. If $f \in C_{p,q}^m(\bar{D})$ and $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ on D , then:

if $q = n$, $Tf \in C_{p,n-1}^m(\bar{D})$ and $\|Tf\|_{C_{p,n-1}^m(D)} \leq C \|f\|_{C_{p,n}^m(D)}$;

if $q < n$ and $m \geq 2 \min(k, n-q) - 1$, $Tf \in C_{p,q-1}^{m'}(\bar{D})$, where $m' = [(m+1)/2] \rightarrow \min(k, n-q)$, and

$$\|Tf\|_{C_{p,q-1}^{m'}(D)} \leq C \|f\|_{C_{p,q}^{m''}(D)},$$

where $m'' = 2[(m-1)/2] + 1$, and $C > 0$ does not depend on f .

(in particular, if $f \in C_{p,q}^\infty(\bar{D})$ and $\bar{\partial}f = 0$, then $Tf \in C_{p,q-1}^\infty(\bar{D})$). ■

In the particular situation in which D is the intersection of k balls of $\mathbb{C}^n$, we can improve this result.

THEOREM 3. Let $D = D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_k$, where every D_j is a ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and the domains satisfy the condition of real transversality. Then if $f \in C_{p,q}^m(\bar{D})$ ($0 \leq p \leq n$, $1 \leq q \leq n$, $1 \leq m \leq +\infty$) and $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ on D .

$$Tf \in C_{p,q-1}^m(\bar{D}) \text{ and } \|Tf\|_{C_{p,q-1}^m(D)} \leq C \|f\|_{C_{p,q}^m(D)}$$

where C is a positive constant not depending on f . ■

As far as we know, C^m estimates were obtained only in the case $k = 1$, that is when D is a smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain (Aizenberg and Dautov [1] and Lieb and Range [6]).

We obtain a boundary regularity result also in the case when one of the domains D_j is not strictly pseudoconvex, or ∂D has two Levi-flat portions.

Assume that $D_1, \dots, D_{k-1}$ are strictly pseudoconvex, and the domain D_k verifies (at least) one of the following properties:

a) $D_k \subset\subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is convex, smoothly bounded, defined by $\rho_k : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and there exist $\varepsilon, M > 0$ such that

$|\Phi^k(z, \zeta)| \geq M \rho_k(z)$ for every $(z, \zeta) \in (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus D_k) \times \bar{D}_k$ with $|z - \zeta| < \varepsilon$, where $\Phi^k(z, \zeta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \rho_k(z)}{\partial z_i} (z_i - \zeta_i)$;

b) $D_k = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \rho_k(z) < 0\}$, where ρ_k is a pluriharmonic function of class C^∞ on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Under these assumptions and the hypothesis of real transversality of the domains, we get the following result.

THEOREM 4. *Let $D = D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_k$. Then if $f \in C_{p,q}^m(\bar{D})$ ($0 \leq p \leq n$, $1 \leq q \leq n$, $1 \leq m \leq +\infty$) and $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ on D ,*

$$Tf \in C_{p,q-1}^{m'}(\bar{D}) \text{ and } \|Tf\|_{C_{p,q-1}^{m'}(D)} \leq C \|f\|_{C_{p,q}^m(D)}$$

where

- (i) $m' = m$ if $q = n$;
- (ii) $m' = [(m-1)/2] - n + q$ if D_k verifies a);
- (iii) $m' = [(m-1)/2] - \min(k, n-q)$ if D_k verifies b);
- (iv) $m' = m - n + q$ if $D_1, \dots, D_{k-1}$ are balls and D_k verifies a);
- (v) $m' = m - 2$ if $D_1, \dots, D_{k-1}$ are balls and D_k verifies b). ■

A result in this direction was recently obtained by Michel [7] in the case when D is the half ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$. He showed that one can find a solution with the same regularity as the datum.

Finally, suppose that $D_1, \dots, D_{k-2}$ are strictly pseudoconvex and D_{k-1} and D_k are defined by two pluriharmonic functions ρ_{k-1}, ρ_k . Assume that there exists a complex number w with $\operatorname{Re} w \neq 0$, $\operatorname{Im} w \geq 0$ such that $\varphi = w \rho_{k-1} + i \rho_k$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

For example, we can take $D_1 = B(0, 1)$, $\rho_2 = \operatorname{Re} z_n$ and $\rho_3 = \operatorname{Im} z_n - a \operatorname{Re} z_n$, where $a \geq 0$, and get a ball sector with an angle not greater than $\pi/2$ (take $w = 1 + ia$).

Under the transversality assumption, we obtain this boundary regularity result.

THEOREM 5. *Let $D = D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_k$. Then if $f \in C_{p,q}^m(\bar{D})$ ($0 \leq p \leq n$, $1 \leq q \leq n$, $1 \leq m \leq +\infty$) and $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ on D ,*

$$Tf \in C_{p,q-1}^{m'}(\bar{D}) \text{ and } \|Tf\|_{C_{p,q-1}^{m'}(D)} \leq C \|f\|_{C_{p,q}^m(D)}$$

where $m' = [(m-1)/2] - \min(k, n-q)$. If $q = n$, then we can take $m' = m$; if the domains $D_1, \dots, D_{k-2}$ are balls, then $m' = m - 3$. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] L.A. AĬZENBERG and SH. A. DAUTOV (1983) – *Differential forms orthogonal to holomorphic functions or forms, and their properties*. « Providence-A.M.S. ».
- [2] A. DUFRESNOY (1979) – *Sur l'opérateur d'' et les fonctions différentiables au sens de Whitney*. « Ann. Inst. Fourier », 29 (1), 229-238.
- [3] G.M. HENKIN (1969) – *Integral representations of functions holomorphic in strictly pseudoconvex domains and some applications*. « Mat. Sb. », 78 (120), 611-632; English trans. in « Math. USSR Sb. », 7.
- [4] G.M. HENKIN and J. LEITERER (1984) – *Theory of functions on complex manifolds*. Birkhauser.
- [5] M. LANDUCCI (1980) – *Solutions with precise compact support of $\bar{\partial} u = f$* . « Bull. des Sc. Math. », (2) 104, (3), 273-299.
- [6] I. LIEB and M. RANGE (1980) – *Lösungoperatoren für den Cauchy-Riemann-Komplex mit C^k -Abschätzungen*. « Math. Ann. », 253 (2), 145-164.
- [7] J. MICHEL (1986) – *Randregularität des $\bar{\partial}$ -problem für die halbkugel in C^n* . « Manuscripta Math. », 55, 239-268.
- [8] N. ØVRELID (1971) – *Integral representation formulas and L^p -estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation*. « Math. Scand. », 29, 137-160.
- [9] P.L. POLJAKOV (1972) – *Banach cohomology on piecewise strictly pseudoconvex domains*. « Mat. Sb. », 88, 238-255; English trans. in « Math. USSR Sb. », 17 (2), 237-256.
- [10] R.M. RANGE and Y.T. SIU (1973) – *Uniform estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation on domains with piecewise smooth strictly pseudoconvex boundaries*. « Math. Ann. », 206, 325-354.
- [11] R. T. SEELEY (1964) – *Extension of C^∞ -functions defined in a half space*. « Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. », 15, 625-626.