
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

GIUSEPPE DA PRATO

**Periodic solutions of infinite dimensional Riccati
Equations**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 77 (1984), n.5, p. 147–150.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1984_8_77_5_147_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

RENDICONTI

DELLE SEDUTE

DELLA ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI

Classe di Scienze fisiche, matematiche e naturali

Seduta del 24 novembre 1984

Presiede il Presidente della Classe GIUSEPPE MONTALENTI

SEZIONE I

(Matematica, meccanica, astronomia, geodesia e geofisica)

Analisi matematica. — *Periodic solutions of infinite dimensional Riccati Equations.* Nota di GIUSEPPE DA PRATO, presentata (*) dal Corrisp. R. CONTI.

RIASSUNTO. — Si dà un risultato di esistenza di soluzioni periodiche per una equazione di Riccati in dimensione infinita.

1. NOTATION

We denote by H a complex Hilbert space, by $\mathcal{L}(H)$ the Banach algebra of linear bounded operators in H ; we set:

$$\Sigma(H) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(H) ; T = T^*\}, \quad \Sigma^+(H) = \{T \in \Sigma(H) ; T \geq 0\}$$

U will represent the Hilbert space of controls.

Finally for any interval $[a, b]$ we shall denote by $C_*([a, b] ; \Sigma^+(H))$ the set of all mappings $[a, b] \rightarrow \Sigma^+(H)$, $t \rightarrow T(t)$ such that $T(\cdot)x$ is continuous for any $x \in H$.

We shall be concerned with the following Riccati equation:

$$(1.1) \quad P' = A^*P + PA - PBB^*P + M$$

(*) Nella seduta del 24 novembre 1984.

under the following hypotheses:

$$(1.2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a) \text{ A is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup } e^{tA} \text{ in } H. \\ b) \text{ B} \in \mathcal{L}(U; H) \\ c) \text{ M} \in C_s([0, \rho]; \Sigma^+(H)), \rho > 0. \end{array} \right.$$

We shall write a solution of (1.1) under the following integral form:

$$(1.3) \quad P(t)x = e^{tA^*} P(0) e^{tA} x + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A^*} (M(s) - P(s) B B^* P(s) e^{(t-s)A} x) ds, x \in H.$$

The following result is proved in [2] and [1].

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Assume (1.2) and let P_0 belong to $\Sigma^+(H)$. Then there exists a unique solution $P \in C_s([0, \rho]; \Sigma^+(H))$ of (1.3) with $P(0) = P_0$. Moreover, setting $P = \wedge(P_0, M)$ we have:*

$$(1.4) \quad \wedge(P_0, M) \leq \wedge(\bar{P}_0, \bar{M}) \text{ if } P_0 \leq \bar{P}_0 \text{ and } M \leq \bar{M}$$

with $\bar{M} \in C_s([0, \rho]; \Sigma^+(H))$.

Finally, if $\{P_{0k}\}$ is an increasing sequence in $\Sigma^+(H)$ which converges strongly to P_0 then $\wedge(P_{0k}, M)$ converges to $\wedge(P_0, M)$ in $C_s([0, \rho]; \Sigma^+(H))$.

The following equality is also easily proved (see [1]).

PROPOSITION 1.2. *Let $P = \wedge(P_0, M)$ with $P_0 \in \Sigma^+(H)$, $M \in C_s([0, \rho]; \Sigma^+(H))$. Let $u \in L^2(0, \rho; U)$ and let y be the mild solution to the problem:*

$$(1.5) \quad y' = Ay + Bu, y(0) = x, x \in H$$

Then we have:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle P(t)x, x \rangle + \int_0^t \|B^*P(t-s)y(s) + u(s)\|^2 ds = \\ & = \int_0^t [\langle M(t-s)y(s), y(s) \rangle + \|u(s)\|^2] ds + \langle P_0 y(t), y(t) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

2. THE MAIN RESULT

Let us consider the Poincaré mapping γ :

$$(2.1) \quad \Sigma^+(H) \rightarrow \Sigma^+(H), T \rightarrow \gamma(T) = \wedge(T, M)(\rho).$$

By definition a *periodic solution* of (1.1) of period ρ is a function $P \in C_s([0, \rho]; \Sigma^+(H))$ such that:

$$(2.2) \quad \gamma(P(0)) = P(0)$$

By (1.4) we have:

$$(2.3) \quad T \leq \bar{T}, T, \bar{T} \in \Sigma^+(H) \Rightarrow \gamma(T) \leq \gamma(\bar{T}).$$

Then setting

$$(2.4) \quad T_0 = 0, T_{n+1} = \gamma(T_n), n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$\{T_n\}$ is an increasing sequence in $\Sigma^+(H)$.

We shall assume now the following stabilizability condition:

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} \text{There exists } K \in \mathcal{L}(H, U) \text{ such that} \\ \|e^{t(A-BK)}\| \leq Ne^{-\omega t} \end{cases}$$

with $N > 0$ and $\omega > 0$.

THEOREM 2.1. *Assume (1.2) and (2.5). Then if $N < e^{2\pi\omega}$ there exists a periodic solution of equation (1.1).*

Proof. Let $\{T_n\}$ be defined by (2.4). In virtue of the last affirmation of Proposition 1.1 and by a well-known result on the monotone sequences of linear bounded operators it suffices to show that $\{T_n\}$ is bounded. To this purpose we first remark that, by (1.6) we have:

$$(2.6) \quad \langle T_1 x, x \rangle \leq \int_0^\rho [\langle M(\rho-s)y(s), y(s) \rangle + \|u(s)\|^2] ds$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle T_{n+1} x, x \rangle &\leq \int_0^\rho [\langle M(\rho-s)y(s), y(s) \rangle + \|u(s)\|^2] ds + \\ &+ \langle T_n y(\rho), y(\rho) \rangle, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Set now in (2.6) and (2.7) $u(t) = -Ke^{(A-BK)t}x$, $y(t) = e^{(A-BK)t}x$; then by a recurrence argument we find:

$$\|T_{n+1}\| \leq 2\pi\mu \sum_{i=0}^n N^2 e^{-2\omega\rho} \leq 2\pi\tilde{\mu}/(1 - N^2 e^{-2\omega\rho}), \tilde{\mu} = \rho N^2 (\mu + \|K\|^2)$$

where $\mu = \sup_{t \in [0, \rho]} \|M(t)\|$. Thus the conclusion follows.

Remark 2.2. Theorem (2.1) generalize a result of Tartar [3].

Remark 2.3. Assume that $U = H$, $B = I$ and $\|e^{tA}\| \leq e^{\eta t}$, with $\eta \in \mathbf{R}$, then hypothesis (2.5) holds with $N = I$.

Remark 2.4. Similar results hold for the equation:

$$(2.8) \quad P'(t) = A^*(t)P(t) + P(t)A(t) - P(t)B^*(t)P(t) + M(t)$$

under the following hypotheses:

$$(2.9) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a) \text{ There exists a strongly continuous evolution operator } U_A(t, s) \\ \text{generated by the family } \{A(t)\}_{t \in [0, \rho]} \\ b) B \in C_s([0, \rho]; \mathcal{L}(U, H)) \\ c) M \in C_s([0, \rho]; \mathcal{L}(H)) \\ d) \text{ There exists } K \in C_s([0, \rho]; \mathcal{L}(H, U)) \text{ such that} \\ \quad \|U_{A-BK}(t, s)\| \leq N e^{-\omega(t-s)}, N > 0, \omega > 0 \\ \text{where } U_{A-BK} \text{ is the evolution operator generated by the family} \\ \{A(t) - B(t)K(t)\}_{t \in [0, \rho]} \end{array} \right.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] V. BARBU and G. DA PRATO (1983) - *Hamilton Jacobi equations in Hilbert spaces*, Pitman, London.
- [2] G. DA PRATO (1973) - *Quelques résultats d'existence, unicité and régularité pour un problème de la théorie du contrôle*. « J. Math. Pures et Appl. », 52, 353-375.
- [3] L. TARTAR (1974) - *Sur l'étude direct d'équations non linéaires intervenant en théorie du contrôle optimal*, « J. Functional Analysis », 18, 1-47.