
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

EDOARDO VESENTINI

Non-subharmonicity of the Hausdorff distance

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 74 (1983), n.2, p. 62–65.*
Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei
<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1983_8_74_2_62_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Geometria. — Non-subharmonicity of the Hausdorff distance. Nota (*)
del Corrisp. EDOARDO VESENTINI.

Riassunto. — Si dimostra con esempi che la distanza di Hausdorff-Carathéodory fra i valori di funzioni multivoche, analitiche secondo Oka, non è subarmonica.

Let D be a bounded domain of \mathbf{C} , and let c be the Carathéodory distance on D . According to Theorem I of [5], the function $(x, y) \mapsto \log c(x, y)$ is plurisubharmonic on $D \times D$. Thus, for any domain U of \mathbf{C} and for all holomorphic maps f, g of U into D , the function $\zeta \mapsto \log c(f(\zeta), g(\zeta))$ is subharmonic on U .

Let f, g be analytic set-valued functions on U , in the sense of K. Oka [2, 3], taking their values in the family of all compact subsets of D . Let h_d and h_c be the Hausdorff distances between subsets of D , defined respectively in terms of the euclidean distance d on \mathbf{C} and of the Carathéodory distance c on D : for $H^j \subset D$ ($j = 1, 2$),

$$h_d(H^1, H^2) = \max \{ \sup \{ d(z, H^2) : z \in H^1 \}, \sup \{ d(H^1, z) : z \in H^2 \} \},$$

$$h_c(H^1, H^2) = \max \{ \sup \{ c(z, H^2) : z \in H^1 \}, \sup \{ c(H^1, z) : z \in H^2 \} \},$$

where

$$d(z, H^j) = d(H^j, z) = \inf \{ |z - u| : u \in H^j \},$$

$$c(z, H^j) = c(H^j, z) = \inf \{ c(z, u) : u \in H^j \}, \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

The question arises whether the functions $\zeta \mapsto h_d(f(\zeta), g(\zeta))$, $\zeta \mapsto h_c(f(\zeta), g(\zeta))$, are subharmonic on U . The present paper will provide a negative answer to this question, contrary to a statement added in proof to [6]. The basic tool will be a result of [3], whereby for any complex Banach algebra A and any holomorphic map $F : U \rightarrow A$ the function $f : \zeta \mapsto \text{Sp } F(\zeta)$, mapping $\zeta \in U$ onto the spectrum $\text{Sp } F(\zeta)$ of $F(\zeta)$, is Oka-analytic. Let F be such that $\text{Sp } F(\zeta) \subset D$ for all $\zeta \in U$. Examples will be constructed showing that, for some compact set $K \subset D$, both the functions

$$(1) \quad \zeta \mapsto h_d(\text{Sp } F(\zeta), K), \quad \zeta \mapsto h_c(\text{Sp } F(\zeta), K)$$

are not subharmonic on U . This fact entails that Theorem I of [4]—which is a consequence of Theorem I of [5]—does not extend to Oka-analytic functions.

(*) Presentata nella seduta del 12 febbraio 1983.

1. For $s > r > 0$, let

$$C(r, s) = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : r < |z| < s\}, \quad \Delta(r) = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < r\}.$$

In nn. 1, 2, U will be the unit disc $\Delta = \Delta(1)$. Let $A = l^\infty$, the commutative unital complex Banach algebra of all bounded sequences $x = \{x_n\}$ of complex numbers $x_n (n = 0, 1, \dots)$ with component-wise addition and multiplication, and with norm $\|x\| = \sup |x_n|$. Let $a = \{a_n\}$ and $b = \overline{\{b_n\}}$ be dense, respectively, in $\Delta\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$ and $C\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Then $\text{Sp } b = \overline{C\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right)}$ and, for the holomorphic map $\zeta \mapsto \zeta a$ of Δ into l^∞ , $\text{Sp } \zeta a = \Delta\left(\frac{|\zeta|}{3}\right)$. For any $\zeta \in \Delta$

$$h_d(\text{Sp } \zeta a, \text{Sp } b) = \max\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{|\zeta|}{3}\right).$$

Thus,

$$h_d(\text{Sp } \zeta a, \text{Sp } b) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{|\zeta|}{3} \quad \text{if } |\zeta| \leq \frac{3}{4},$$

$$h_d(\text{Sp } \zeta a, \text{Sp } b) = \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{if } \frac{3}{4} \leq |\zeta| < 1.$$

Hence the continuous non-constant function $\zeta \mapsto h_d(\text{Sp } \zeta a, \text{Sp } b)$, which reaches its maximum on Δ at $\zeta = 0$, is not subharmonic on Δ .

2. The above example will now be suitably modified so as to provide an example of a non-subharmonic function defined in terms of h_e .

If the domain D is the unit disc Δ , the Carathéodory distance e coincides with the Poincaré distance

$$\omega(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + [z_1, z_2]}{1 - [z_1, z_2]},$$

where

$$[z_1, z_2] = \left| \frac{z_1 - z_2}{1 - \bar{z}_1 z_2} \right| (z_1, z_2 \in \Delta).$$

It is well known that the function $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto [z_1, z_2]$ defines a distance on Δ , and it is easily checked that $\omega(z_1, z_2)$ is a strictly increasing function of $[z_1, z_2]$ for z_1 and z_2 in Δ . The geodesic lines through the center 0 of Δ for the Poincaré metric are the radii of the disc Δ . These facts, coupled with the invariance of $\text{Sp } b$ under rotations around 0, imply that, for $|z| \leq \frac{1}{4}$,

the distance $[z, \text{Sp } b] = [\text{Sp } b, z] = \inf \{[z, u] : u \in \text{Sp } b\}$ between z and $\text{Sp } b$ is given by

$$[z, \text{Sp } b] = \left[|z|, \frac{1}{4} \right].$$

Therefore, for $\zeta \in \Delta$,

$$(2) \quad \sup \{[z, \text{Sp } b] : z \in \text{Sp } \zeta a\} = \left[0, \frac{1}{4} \right] = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Similarly, for $\zeta \in \Delta$,

$$(3) \quad \sup \{[z, \text{Sp } \zeta a] : z \in \text{Sp } b\} = \left[\frac{|\zeta|}{3}, \frac{1}{2} \right] = \frac{3-2|\zeta|}{6-|\zeta|}.$$

Comparison of (2) and (3) shows that

$$h_c(\text{Sp } \zeta a, \text{Sp } b) = \frac{3-2|\zeta|}{6-|\zeta|} \quad \text{if } |\zeta| \leq \frac{6}{7},$$

$$h_c(\text{Sp } \zeta a, \text{Sp } b) = \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{if } \frac{6}{7} \leq |\zeta| < 1.$$

Hence the continuous, non-constant function $\zeta \mapsto h_c(\text{Sp } \zeta a, \text{Sp } b)$ on Δ , which reaches its maximum at $\zeta = 0$, is not subharmonic.

3. Examples of holomorphic maps into a non-commutative Banach algebra will now be constructed, for which the functions (1) are not upper semicontinuous.

For any p such that $1 \leq p < \infty$, let $l^p(-\infty, +\infty)$ be the complex Banach space of all bilateral sequences $x = \{x_n\}$ of complex numbers $x_n (n \in \mathbf{Z})$ with the norm

$$\|x\| = (\sum |x_n|^p)^{1/p}.$$

Let A be the unital complex Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on $l^p(-\infty, +\infty)$, and let T, S be the elements of A defined on the canonical basis $\{e_n\}$ of $l^p(-\infty, \infty)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Te_0 &= 0, & Te_n &= e_{n-1} & \text{for all } n \neq 0, \\ Se_0 &= e_{-1}, & Se_n &= 0 & \text{for all } n \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow A$ be defined by $F(\zeta) = T + \zeta S$. Then [1; p. 210] $\text{Sp } F(0) = \overline{\Delta}$, $\text{Sp } F(\zeta) = \partial\Delta = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| = 1\}$ if $\zeta \neq 0$. Therefore

$$h_d(\text{Sp } F(\zeta), \text{Sp } T) = 1 \quad \text{for all } \zeta \neq 0,$$

$$h_d(\text{Sp } F(0), \text{Sp } T) = 0.$$

That shows that $\zeta \mapsto h_d(\text{Sp } F(\zeta), \text{Sp } T)$ is not upper semicontinuous. The above example can be adapted to the Poincaré distance on Δ . Choose

$$G(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} F(\zeta).$$

Then

$$h_c(\text{Sp } G(\zeta), \text{Sp } G(0)) = \omega(0, \frac{1}{2})$$

whenever $\zeta \neq 0$, showing that $\zeta \mapsto h_c(\text{Sp } G(\zeta), \text{Sp } G(0))$ is not upper semi-continuous.

BIBLIOGRAFIA

- [1] T. KATO (1966) – *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg – New York.
- [2] K. OKA (1934) – *Note sur les familles de fonctions analytiques multiformes etc.*, « J. Science Hiroshima Univ. », 4, 93–98.
- [3] Z. SŁODKOWSKI (1981) – *Analytic set-valued functions and spectra*, « Math. Ann. », 256, 363–386.
- [4] E. VESENTINI (1979) – *Variations on a theme of Carathéodory*, « Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa », (4) 7, 39–68.
- [5] E. VESENTINI (1982) – *Complex geodesics and holomorphic maps*, « Symposia Mathematica », XXVI, 211–230.
- [6] E. VESENTINI (1983) – *Carathéodory distances in Banach algebras*, « Advances in Math. », 47, 50–73.