

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI  
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI  
**RENDICONTI**

---

GIANNI DAL MASO

**Limits of minimum problems for general integral functionals with unilateral obstacles**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 74 (1983), n.2, p. 55–61.*  
Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\\_1983\\_8\\_74\\_2\\_55\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1983_8_74_2_55_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1983.

**Calcolo delle variazioni. — *Limits of minimum problems for general integral functionals with unilateral obstacles*** (\*). Nota di GIANNI DAL MASO, presentata (\*\*) dal Corrisp. E. DE GIORGI.

**RIASSUNTO.** — Se il problema di minimo  $(\mathcal{P}_\infty)$  è il limite, in senso variazionale, di una successione di problemi di minimo con ostacoli del tipo

$$(\mathcal{P}_h) \quad \min_{u \geq \psi_h} \int_A [f_h(x, u, Du) + b(x, u)] dx,$$

allora  $(\mathcal{P}_\infty)$  può essere scritto nella forma

$$(\mathcal{P}_\infty) \quad \min_u \left\{ \int_A [f_\infty(x, u, Du) + b(x, u)] dx + \int_A g_\infty(x, \tilde{u}(x)) d\lambda_\infty(x) \right\},$$

dove  $\tilde{u}$  è un conveniente rappresentante di  $u$  e  $\lambda_\infty$  è una misura non negativa.

## INTRODUCTION

In this paper we are concerned with sequences of minimum problems with obstacles of the form

$$(\mathcal{P}_h) \quad \min_{u \geq \psi_h} \int_A [f_h(x, u(x), Du(x)) + b(x, u(x))] dx.$$

Under suitable hypotheses we show that, if there exists a “limit problem”  $(\mathcal{P})$ , then  $(\mathcal{P})$  can be written in the form

$$(\mathcal{P}) \quad \min_u \left\{ \int_A [f(x, u(x), Du(x)) + b(x, u(x))] dx + \int_A g(x, \tilde{u}(x)) d\lambda(x) \right\},$$

where  $\lambda$  is a non-negative measure and  $\tilde{u}(x) = \frac{1}{2}[\tilde{u}_+(x) + \tilde{u}_-(x)]$ , with

$$\tilde{u}_+(x) = \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} (\varepsilon\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(y) \exp \left[ -\frac{(x-y)^2}{\varepsilon} \right] dy,$$

$$\tilde{u}_-(x) = \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} (\varepsilon\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(y) \exp \left[ -\frac{(x-y)^2}{\varepsilon} \right] dy.$$

(\*) Lavoro eseguito nell'ambito di un progetto nazionale di ricerca finanziato dal Ministero della Pubblica Istruzione (40% – 1981).

(\*\*) Nella seduta del 12 febbraio 1983.

More precisely we prove that, for every choice of the function  $b(x, s)$  in a suitable class of admissible functions, the sequences of the minimum points and of the minimum values of the problems  $(\mathcal{P}_h)$  converge, as  $h \rightarrow +\infty$ , to the minimum point and to the minimum value of the problem  $(\mathcal{P})$ .

The function  $f$  does not depend on the obstacles  $\psi_h$ , whereas the function  $g$  and the measure  $\lambda$  depend both on the obstacles  $\psi_h$  and on the integrands  $f_h$ .

The first examples where the functional

$$G(u, A) = \int_A g(x, \tilde{u}(x)) d\lambda(x)$$

takes every positive real value were proved by L. Carbone and F. Colombini [4].

This paper extends the results obtained by E. De Giorgi, G. Dal Maso, P. Longo [10], G. Dal Maso, P. Longo [8], H. Attouch, C. Picard [1], D. Cioranescu, F. Murat [5], G. Dal Maso [6], with a considerable improvement: the integrands  $f_h(x, s, z)$  are not supposed to be convex in  $s$ , thus the functional

$$G(u, A) = \int_A g(x, \tilde{u}(x)) d\lambda(x)$$

can be non-convex (see example 1). This leads to a deep change in the proofs, which are completely different from the proofs of the quoted papers.

## 1. THE MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this paper  $p$  is a real number, with  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ , and  $n$  is an integer, with  $n \geq 1$ .

Let  $X$  be a lattice. We say that a function  $F : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is *sub-modular* if

$$F(x \wedge y) + F(x \vee y) \leq F(x) + F(y)$$

for every  $x, y \in X$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the family of all bounded open subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . We say that a functional  $F : L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is *local* if  $F(u, A) = F(v, A)$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and for every pair of functions  $u, v \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  such that  $u = v$  a.e. on  $A$ .

We say that a local functional  $F$  is *sub-modular* (resp. *decreasing*, *convex*, etc.) if, for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , the function  $u \mapsto F(u, A)$  is sub-modular (resp. decreasing, convex, etc.) on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

We say that the local functional  $F$  is a *measure* if, for every  $u \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , the set function  $A \mapsto F(u, A)$  is the trace on  $\mathcal{A}$  of a countably additive set function defined on the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and with values in  $[0, +\infty]$ .

Let  $\Phi_p$  be the local functional on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  defined by

$$\Phi_p(u, A) = \begin{cases} \int_A |Du|^p dx & \text{if } u \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap W^{1,p}(A), \\ +\infty & \text{if } u \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) - W^{1,p}(A), \end{cases}$$

and let  $\bar{\Phi}_p$  be the local functional on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  defined by  $\bar{\Phi}_p = \Phi_p$ , if  $1 < p < +\infty$ , and by

$$\bar{\Phi}_1(u, A) = \sup \left\{ \int_A u \operatorname{div} \varphi dx : \varphi \in C_0^1(A, \mathbf{R}^n), |\varphi| \leq 1 \right\},$$

if  $p = 1$ .

Let  $c \geq 1$  be a constant. We denote by  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(c)$  the class of all *sub-modular local* functionals  $F$  on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  which are *measures* such that

$$\bar{\Phi}_p(u, A) \leq F(u, A) \leq c \left[ \Phi_p(u, A) + \int_A |u|^p dx + \operatorname{meas}(A) \right]$$

for every  $u \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Examples of functions of the class  $\mathcal{F}$  are the integral functionals

$$F(u, A) = \begin{cases} \int_A f(x, u(x), Du(x)) dx & \text{if } u \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap W^{1,p}(A), \\ +\infty & \text{if } u \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) - W^{1,p}(A), \end{cases}$$

for which

$$|z|^p \leq f(x, s, z) \leq c [|z|^p + |s|^p + 1]$$

for every  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n, s \in \mathbf{R}, z \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{G}$  the class of all *decreasing local* functionals on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  which are *measures*.

Examples of functionals of the class  $\mathcal{G}$  are the obstacle functionals

$$G(u, A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \tilde{u} \geq \psi \quad \lambda\text{-a.e. on } A, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda$  is any countably sub-additive set function, for instance the  $(1, p)$ -capacity.

By a *chain* of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  we mean a family  $(A_t)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $A_s \subset A_t$  for every  $s, t \in \mathbf{R}$ , with  $s < t$ .

We say that subset  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is *rich* if, for every chain  $(A_t)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ , the set  $\{t \in \mathbf{R} : A_t \notin \tilde{\mathcal{A}}\}$  is at most countable.

Let  $X$  be a topological space, let  $\{F_h\}$  be a sequence of functions from  $X$  into  $\bar{\mathbf{R}}$ , let  $x \in X$  and let  $t \in \bar{\mathbf{R}}$ . Following E. De Giorgi and T. Franzoni [11] we say that

$$t = \Gamma(X^-) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(x)$$

if and only if

$$t = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{T}(x)} \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{y \in U} F_h(y) = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{T}(x)} \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{y \in U} F_h(y),$$

where  $\mathcal{T}(x)$  denotes the family of all neighbourhoods of  $x$  in  $X$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $c \geq 1$  be a constant. Let  $\{F_h\}$  be a sequence of functionals of the class  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(c)$  and let  $\{G_h\}$  be a sequence of functionals of the class  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then there exists an increasing sequence of integers  $\{h_k\}$ , a functional  $F_\infty$  of the class  $\mathcal{F}$ , a functional  $G_\infty$  of the class  $\mathcal{G}$ , and a rich subset  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , such that*

$$F_\infty(u, A) = \Gamma(L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)^-) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{h_k}(u, A),$$

$$F_\infty(u, A) + G_\infty(u, A) = \Gamma(L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)^-) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [F_{h_k}(u, A) + G_{h_k}(u, A)]$$

for every  $u \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and for every  $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ .

The fact that  $G_\infty$  is a measure can be proved using some results of G. Dal Maso and L. Modica [9]. The fact that  $G_\infty$  is decreasing can be proved as in H. Attouch and C. Picard [1].

Conditions under which  $F_\infty$  can be written as an integral are given in G. Buttazzo and G. Dal Maso [3]. The following integral representation theorem for the functional  $G_\infty$  is new. The proof will appear in G. Dal Maso [7].

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $F_\infty$  and  $G_\infty$  be the functionals given by Theorem 1. Assume that for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  the function  $u \rightarrow F_\infty(u, A)$  is strongly continuous on  $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Then there exist two non-negative Borel measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , and a non-negative Borel function  $g : \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ , such that:*

(a) for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and for every  $u \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap W_{loc}^{1,p}(A)$

$$G_\infty(u, A) = \int_A g(x, \tilde{u}(x)) d\mu(x) + \nu(A);$$

(b)  $\mu$  is a Radon measure, and  $\mu \in W^{-1,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , with  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ ;

(c) for every  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$  the function  $s \mapsto g(x, s)$  is decreasing and lower semi-continuous on  $\mathbf{R}$ .

If the integrands  $f_h$  satisfy the conditions of G. Buttazzo and G. Dal Maso [3] (theorem 4.4 and remark 4.7) and the function

$$u \mapsto \int_A b(x, u(x)) dx$$

is continuous on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , then the convergence of the minimum points and of the minimum values for the problems  $(\mathcal{P}_h)$ , considered in the introduction, follows from the general theory of  $\Gamma$ -convergence (see E. De Giorgi and T. Franzoni [12], section 2).

## 2. EXAMPLES

Throughout this section  $n=p=2$ . For every  $h \in \mathbf{N}$  we denote by  $E_h$  the union of all open balls in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  of radius  $e^{-h^2}$  centred at the points of the form  $(i/h, j/h)$ , with  $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

In the following example the functionals  $G_h$  are obstacle functionals, the functionals  $F_h$  are equal to  $F_\infty$  and the functional  $G_\infty$  is not convex.

*Example 1.* Let  $b : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a continuous function such that  $0 < \inf b < \sup b < +\infty$ . We define

$$B(t) = \int_0^t b(s) ds.$$

For every  $u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$  and for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  we set

$$F(u, A) = \begin{cases} \int_A b(u)^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx & \text{if } u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2) \cap W^{1,2}(A), \\ +\infty & \text{if } u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2) - W^{1,2}(A), \end{cases}$$

$$G_h(u, A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \hat{u} \geq 0 \quad \text{on } A \cap E_h, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$G_\infty(u, A) = 2\pi \int_A [B(u) \wedge 0]^2 dx.$$

There exists a rich subset  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , containing all bounded open sets with a lipschitzian boundary, such that

$$F(u, A) + G_\infty(u, A) = \Gamma(L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} [F(u, A) + G_h(u, A)]$$

for every  $u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$  and for every  $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ .

It is enough to observe that

$$F(u, A) = \begin{cases} \int_A |\nabla(B \circ u)|^2 dx & \text{if } u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2) \cap W^{1,2}(A), \\ +\infty & \text{if } u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2) - W^{1,2}(A), \end{cases}$$

$$G_h(u, A) = G_h(B \circ u, A).$$

Thus the result follows from L. Carbone and F. Colombini [4], proposition 3.1.

Note that, if  $b(s) = 2 + \cos(s)$ , then  $B(t) = 2t + \sin(t)$ , hence the functional  $G_\infty$  is not convex.

In the following example the functionals  $F_h$  are rapidly oscillating in  $u$ .

*Example 2.* Let  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the function defined by  $f(0) = 0$  and, for  $t \neq 0$ , by

$$f(t) = \min \left\{ |t| \int_0^{1/|t|} [|v'|^2 + \sin^2(2\pi v)] ds : v \in C^1, v(0) = 0, v\left(\frac{1}{|t|}\right) = 1 \right\}.$$

For every  $u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$  and for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  set

$$F_h(u, A) = \begin{cases} \int_A [|\nabla u|^2 + \sin^2(2\pi hu)] dx & \text{if } u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2) \cap W^{1,2}(A), \\ +\infty & \text{if } u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2) - W^{1,2}(A), \end{cases}$$

$$G_h(u, A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \tilde{u} \geq 0 \quad \text{on } A \cap E_h, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$G_\infty(u, A) = 2\pi \int_A (u \wedge 0)^2 dx.$$

There exists a rich subset  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , containing all bounded open sets with a lipschitzian boundary, such that

$$F_\infty(u, A) = \Gamma(L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)^-) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u, A)$$

$$F_\infty(u, A) + G_\infty(u, A) = \Gamma(L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)^-) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} [F_h(u, A) + G_h(u, A)]$$

for every  $u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$  and for every  $A \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ .

The first equality is proved in G. Buttazzo and G. Dal Maso [2]. The second one follows from Theorems 1 and 2 and from a comparison with the example of L. Carbone and F. Colombini (see [4], proposition 3.1).

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. ATTOUCH, C. PICARD (1983) – *Variational inequalities with varying obstacles: the general form of the limit problem.* « J. Funct. Anal. », 50, 329–386.
- [2] G. BUTTAZZO, G. DAL MASO (1978) –  *$\Gamma$ -limit of a sequence of non-convex and non-equi-Lipschitz integral functionals.* « Ricerche Mat. », 27, 235–251.
- [3] G. BUTTAZZO, G. DAL MASO (1980) –  *$\Gamma$ -limits of integral functionals.* « J. Analyse Math. », 37, 145–185.
- [4] L. CARBONE, F. COLOMBINI (1980) – *On convergence of functionals with unilateral constraints.* « J. Math. Pures Appl. », (9) 59, 465–500.
- [5] D. CIORANESCU, F. MURAT (1982) – *Un terme étrange venu d'ailleurs.* In « Non linear partial differential equations and their applications. Collège de France Seminar. Volume II », ed. by H. Brezis and J. L. Lions « Research Notes in Mathematics », 60, Pitman, London.
- [6] G. DAL MASO (1982) – *Limiti di problemi di minimo per funzionali convessi con ostacoli unilaterali.* « Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. », (8) 73, 15–20.
- [7] G. DAL MASO – *On the integral representation of certain local functionals.* « Ricerche Mat. », to appear.
- [8] G. DAL MASO, P. LONGO (1980) –  *$\Gamma$ -limits of obstacles.* « Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. », (4) 128, 1–50.
- [9] G. DAL MASO, L. MODICA (1981) – *A general theory of variational functionals.* In « Topics in functional analysis 1980–1981 », by F. Strocchi, E. Zarantonello, E. De Giorgi, G. Dal Maso, L. Modica. « Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa », 149–221.
- [10] E. DE GIORGI, G. DAL MASO, P. LONGO (1980) –  *$\Gamma$ -limiti di ostacoli.* « Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. », (8) 68, 481–487.
- [11] E. DE GIORGI, T. FRANZONI (1975) – *Su un tipo di convergenza variazionale.* « Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. », (8) 58, 842–850.
- [12] E. DE GIORGI, T. FRANZONI (1979) – *Su un tipo di convergenza variazionale.* « Rend. Sem. Mat. Brescia », 3, 63–101.