
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

ARRIGO CELLINA

On the differential inclusion $x' \in [-1, +1]$

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 69 (1980), n.1-2, p. 1-6.

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1980_8_69_1-2_1_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

RENDICONTI

DELLE SEDUTE

DELLA ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI

Classe di Scienze fisiche, matematiche e naturali

Ferie 1980 (Luglio-Agosto)

(Ogni Nota porta a piè di pagina la data di arrivo o di presentazione)

SEZIONE I

(Matematica, meccanica, astronomia, geodesia e geofisica)

Analisi matematica. — *On the differential inclusion $x' \in [-1, +1]$.*
Nota di ARRIGO CELLINA (*), presentata (**) dal Socio G. SCORZA
DRAGONI.

RIASSUNTO. — Si mostra che l'insieme delle soluzioni dell'inclusione $x' \in \{-1, +1\}$ è un sottoinsieme della seconda categoria dell'insieme delle soluzioni di $x' \in [-1, +1]$.

INTRODUCTION

It is a well established fact that, under fairly general conditions, the set of solutions to

$$x' \in F(x) \quad , \quad x(0) = 0$$

is a dense subset (in the topology of $C(I)$) of the set of solutions to

$$x' \in \overline{\text{co}} F(x) \quad , \quad x(0) = 0.$$

The present note studies a very particular case, i.e. the (scalar) differential inclusion $x' \in \{-1, +1\}$ and its relations with its convexified analogue, $x' \in [-1, +1]$. We shall denote by K the set of solutions to this last inclusion with the initial condition $x(0) = 0$: it is a closed subset of $C(I)$, hence a complete metric space.

The set K^0 , the solutions to $x' \in \{-1, +1\}$, is a dense subset of it. The point of this note is to show that K^0 is a subset of K of the second category.

(*) Istituto di Matematica Applicata, Università di Padova and S.I.S.S.A., Trieste.

(*) Nella seduta del 26 giugno 1980.

RESULTS

THEOREM 1. *The set K^0 is a $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ -dense subset of K .*

The proof of Theorem 1 is an easy consequence of the following Lemma, of some interest in itself. Let x be an absolutely continuous function whose derivate has a.e. values in $[-1, +1]$. Set $\rho_x(t) = d(x'(t), \{-1, +1\}) = \inf\{1 - x'(t), x'(t) + 1\}$. It is a measurable function with values a.e. in $[0, 1]$. Then we have

LEMMA. *Let x and x_n belong to K , $x_n \rightarrow x$. Then*

$$\limsup \int_I \rho_{x_n} \leq \int_I \rho_x.$$

Before proceeding to the proof of the Lemma, let us recall the following definitions and results from measure theory.

A point t belonging to a measurable subset E or R is called *point of density* if

$$\lim \frac{m(I_n \cap E)}{m(I_n)} = 1$$

for I_n the interval $(t - 1/n, t + 1/n)$. It is known that almost every $t \in E$ is a point of density.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a collection of closed intervals with the property that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t \in E$ there exists an element $I \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $t \in I$ and $m(I) < \varepsilon$. Then $\mathcal{T}$ is called a covering of E *in the sense of Vitali*. Then the following result holds for such a covering $\mathcal{T}$: there exists a countable subcollection $\{I_m\}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ such that $m(E \setminus (\cup I_m)) = 0$ and the I_m are pairwise disjoint [1], [2].

Proof of the Lemma. The proof proceeds into two steps. First we estimate

$$\int_E \rho_y - \int_E \rho_x$$

on a (measurable) E where x' is of constant sign and that differs by little from being an interval. Second we reduce the general case to this estimate by the Vitali covering theorem.

Ad i). Let $J \subset I$ be an interval, E a measurable subset of J such that $x' \geq 0$ on E and such that $m(E) \geq m(J)(1 - \sigma)$. Let $y \in K$ and η be such that

$$\left| \int_J (y' - x') \right| < \eta.$$

We claim that

$$(1) \quad \int_E \rho_y \leq \int_E \rho_x + \eta + 2 \sigma m(J).$$

In fact set $A^+ = \{t \in E \mid y'(t) \geq x'(t)\}$, $A^- = \{t \in E \mid y'(t) < x'(t)\}$.
 $E = A^+ \cup A^-$. On A^+ ,

$$\rho_y(t) = 1 - y'(t)$$

while on A^-

$$\rho_y(t) = \begin{cases} | -1 - y' | = | 1 + y' | = | 1 - | y' ||, & \text{when } y'(t) \leq 0 \\ | 1 - y' | = | 1 - | y' ||, & \text{when } y'(t) > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_{A^+} \rho_y = \int_{A^+} (1 - y') = \int_{A^+} (1 - x') + \int_{A^+} (x' - y')$$

and

$$\int_{A^-} \rho_y = \int_{A^-} (1 - |y'|) \leq \int_{A^-} (1 - y') = \int_{A^-} (1 - x') + \int_{A^-} (x' - y')$$

i.e.

$$(2) \quad \int_E \rho_y \leq \int_E (1 - x') + \int_E (x' - y').$$

On the other hand

$$\int_E (x' - y') + \int_{J \cap E} (x' - y') = \int_J (x' - y') \leq \left| \int_J (x' - y') \right| < \eta,$$

hence

$$\int_E (x' - y') \leq \eta - \int_{J \cap E} (x' - y') \leq \eta + 2 \sigma m(J).$$

Our assumption on x' implies that $1 - x' = \rho_x$, i.e. that (2) is the sought inequality (1). Remark also that (1) would still hold were we to assume $x' > 0$ on E , or $x' \leq 0$ or $x' < 0$ on E .

Ad *ii*). Denote by $I(t, \delta)$ the interval $[t - \delta, t + \delta]$. Consider the interval I and set $E^+ = \{t \in I \mid x'(t) \geq 0\}$, $E^- = \{t \in I \mid x'(t) < 0\}$. The sets E^+ and E^- are measurable. Consider E^+ . Almost every point of it is of

density: set E_D^+ to be the set of points of density of E^+ . Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. For every $t \in E_D^+$ there is $\delta(t) > 0$ such that $\delta \leq \delta(t)$ implies

$$\frac{m(E^+ \cap I(t, \delta))}{2\delta} > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{8m(I) + \delta}.$$

The collection

$$\left\{ I(t, \delta) \right\}_{t \in E_D^+}^{\delta \leq \delta(t)}$$

is a Vitali covering of E_D^+ . Hence there exists M^+ , a null set, and a countable subcovering of $E_D^+ \setminus M^+$

$$\{I_n\} = I(t_n, \delta_n)$$

by disjoint intervals.

Let v be such that $\sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} 2\delta_n \leq \varepsilon m(E^+)/2m(I)$. Consider $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^v$ and set

$$\delta^+ = \min \{\delta_n : n = 1, \dots, v\}$$

Also, set η^+ to be $\eta^+ = (2\varepsilon\delta^+)/(2m(I) + \varepsilon)$.

Let $y \in K$ be such that $\|x - y\| \leq \eta^+$. We claim that

$$\int_I \rho_y \leq \int_I \rho_x + \varepsilon.$$

Consider any I_m , $1 \leq m \leq v$. Then

$$\left| \int_{I_m} (x' - y') \right| = |y_m(t_m + \delta_m) - x(t_m + \delta_m) - (y_m(t_m - \delta_m) - x(t_m - \delta_m))|$$

and

$$\frac{m(E^+ \cap I_m)}{2\delta_m} > 1 - \varepsilon/(8m(I) + \varepsilon).$$

Set $\sigma(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon/(8m(I) + \varepsilon)$. Then $1 - \sigma \leq m(E^+ \cap I_m)/m(I_m)$ implies

$$\sigma m(I_m) \leq \sigma(1 - \sigma) m(E^+ \cap I_m) = (\varepsilon/8m(I)) m(E^+ \cap I_m).$$

Also, by our choice of η^+ ,

$$\eta^+ = 2\delta^+ \sigma(\varepsilon) \leq 2\sigma(\varepsilon) m(I_m) \leq \varepsilon m(E^+ \cap I_m)/4.$$

Applying to $E^+ \cap I_m$ the estimate of point i) we have

$$\int_{E^+ \cap I_m} \rho_y \leq \int_{E^+ \cap I_m} \rho_x + \eta^+ + 2m(I_m) \sigma(\varepsilon) \leq \int_{E^+ \cap I_m} \rho_x + (\varepsilon/4 + \varepsilon/4) \frac{m(E^+ \cap I_m)}{m(I)}.$$

Taking unions over m , $1 \leq m \leq \nu$, and recalling that the I_m are disjoint, $\cup(E^+ \cap I_m) = E^+ \cap (\cup I_m)$ and

$$\int_{\cup(E^+ \cap I_m)} \rho_y \leq \int_{\cup(E^+ \cap I_m)} \rho_x + \varepsilon/2 \, m(E^+ \cap (\cup I_m))/m(I).$$

Finally, since $E^+ = M^+ \cup (E^+ \cap (\cup I_m)) \cup E^+ \setminus (E \cap (\cup I_m))$ and from our choice of ν and the above estimate,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E^+} \rho_y &\leq \int_{E^+ \cap (\cup I_m)} \rho_y + (\varepsilon m(E^+))/(2m(I)) \\ &\leq \int_{E^+ \cap (\cup I_m)} \rho_x + \varepsilon/2 \, m(E^+ \cap (\cup I_m))/m(I) + (\varepsilon m(E^+))/(2m(I)) \\ &\leq \int_{E^+} \rho_x + \varepsilon/2 \, m(E^+)/m(I) + \varepsilon/2 \, m(E^+)/m(I) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\int_{E^+} \rho_y \leq \int_E \rho_x + \varepsilon \frac{m(E^+)}{m(I)}.$$

The same reasoning on E^- yields a η^- such that $y \in K, \|y - x\| < \eta^-$ implies

$$\int_{E^-} \rho_y \leq \int_E \rho_x + \varepsilon \frac{m(E^-)}{m(I)}.$$

Adding the above inequalities we obtain, for $\eta = \min\{\eta^+, \eta^-\}$

$$y \in K, (\|y - x\| < \eta) \Rightarrow \int_E \rho_y \leq \int_E \rho_x + \varepsilon.$$

This proves our claim *ii)* and the Lemma.

Proof of Theorem 1. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ consider the set

$$H_\varepsilon = \left\{ x \in K \left| \int_I \rho_x \geq \varepsilon \right. \right\}.$$

Since for $x \in K^0$, $\rho_x = 0$, H_ε has empty intersection with K^0 . The above Lemma implies that H_ε is closed; in fact $x_n \rightarrow x$, $\int \rho_{x_n} \geq \varepsilon$ imply that

$$\int_I \rho_x \geq \limsup \int_I \rho_{x_n} \geq \varepsilon.$$

Moreover the interior of H_ε must be empty: were H_ε to contain an open set, it would contain a point of K^0 , since this set is dense. Thus the complement of H_ε is open and dense, and contains K^0 . Let ε_n be any positive sequence converging to zero. The intersection of the complements to H_{ε_n} is, by Baire's Theorem, a $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ dense subset, containing K^0 . This intersection is, actually, K^0 : any $\tilde{x}$ not in K^0 would have $\rho_{\tilde{x}}(t)$ positive on a set of positive measure, hence for some $\varepsilon_n > 0$, it would belong to H_{ε_n} . Hence K^0 is a $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ dense subset of K .

NOTE ADDED IN PROOF. The function ρ is concave, i.e. $-\rho$ is convex. A result much more general than our Lemma is in: C. OLECH, *The weak lower semicontinuity of integral functionals* « J. Opt. Th. App. », 19 (1976), 3-16.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. W. BURRILL and J. R. KNUDSEN (1969) - *Real Variables*, Holt Rinehart and Winston, New York.
- [2] G. VITALI and G. SANSONE (1943) - *Moderna Teoria delle Funzioni di Variabile Reale*, Zanichelli, Bologna.