## ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI

## CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI

# RENDICONTI

EUGENIO SINESTRARI

## Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of a non linear model of population dynamics

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. **67** (1979), n.3-4, p. 186–190.

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\_1979\_8\_67\_3-4\_186\_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica) SIMAI & UMI http://www.bdim.eu/ Analisi matematica. — Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of a non linear model of population dynamics. Nota<sup>(\*)</sup> di EUGENIO SINESTRARI<sup>(\*\*)</sup>, presentata dal Socio G. SANSONE.

RIASSUNTO. — Si studia l'esistenza e l'unicità delle soluzioni stazionarie di una equazione funzionale non lineare proveniente dalla dinamica di popolazione e se ne dimostra la stabilità.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In a previous paper [1] we introduced a non linear version of the classical Lotka-Von Foerster equations which leads to the problem of finding  $u(a, t) \ge 0$  such that

(P) 
$$\begin{cases} Du(a,t) = -m(a, u(a,t))u(a,t) & 0 \le a < \omega, t \ge 0. \\ u(0,t) = \int_{0}^{\omega} b(a, u(a,t))u(a,t) da & t > 0 \\ u(a, 0) = p(a) & 0 \le a < \omega \end{cases}$$

where  $Du(a, t) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{u(a+h, t+h) - u(a, t)}{h}$ , *m*, *b* and *p* are given functions and  $\omega$  is a given positive number. We will set  $A = [0, \omega]$  and u(0, t) = B(t). For the physical meaning of the problem we refer to [1].

Global existence and uniqueness results for the solution to (P) were obtained under the following assumptions:

 $(m_1)$  m(a, u) is continuous and non negative on A×R<sub>+</sub>

$$(m_2)$$
  $u \to m (a, u)$  is non decreasing

(m<sub>3</sub>) given 
$$a \in A$$
 and  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\lim_{k \to \omega} \int_{a}^{b} m(x, u) dx = +\infty$  uniformly for  $u \le \delta$ 

k

- $(b_1)$  b(a, u) is continuous and non negative on  $A \times R_+$  and  $b^* = \sup b < +\infty$
- $\begin{array}{ll} (b_2) & \text{given } \delta > \text{o, there exists } \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L} \left( \delta \right) \text{ such that } | b \left( a , u' \right) b \left( a , u'' \right) | \\ & \leq \mathbf{L} | u' u'' | \text{ for } a \in \mathbf{A} \text{ and } \mathbf{o} \leq u', u'' \leq \delta. \end{array}$

(\*) Pervenuta all'Accademia il 31 luglio 1979.

(\*\*) Istituto Matematico «G. Castelnuovo», Università di Roma.

EUGENIO SINESTRARI, Asymptotic behaviour of solutions, ecc.

 $(p_1)$  p is piecewise continuous and non negative on A

$$(p_2)$$
  $p^* = \sup p$  and  $P_0 = \int_0^{\infty} p(a) da$  are finite.

In this paper we need also the following conditions:

- $(m_4)$   $m_u(a, u)$  exists continuous on A×R<sub>+</sub>
- $(b_3)$   $b_u(a, u) \leq 0$  and is continuous on A×R<sub>+</sub>

#### 2. STATIONARY SOLUTIONS

In this section we want to give conditions for the existence of stationary solutions of problem (P): they correspond to the equilibrium age distributions for the population.

DEFINITION 1. A function  $a \rightarrow p(a)$  from A to  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is a stationary solution of (P) if the following equations are satisfied:

(S) 
$$\begin{cases} p'(a) = -m(a, p(a)) p(a) & a \in A \\ p(0) = \int_{0}^{\omega} b(a, p(a)) p(a) da \end{cases}$$

We shall assume  $p(0) = \varepsilon > 0$  so that  $p \equiv 0$ ; in [1] we proved the existence and uniqueness of the solution of  $(S_1)$  in A, when p(0) is a prescribed positive number.

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $p_{\varepsilon} : A \rightarrow R_{+}$  be a solution to

(1) 
$$\begin{cases} p'(a) = -m(a, p(a)) p(a) & a \in A \\ p(0) = \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

then  $p_{\varepsilon}$  is a stationary solution to (P) if and only if

$$\mathbf{F}(\varepsilon) = \int_{0}^{\omega} b(a, p_{\varepsilon}(a)) p_{\varepsilon}(a) \cdot \varepsilon^{-1} da = \mathbf{I}.$$

LEMMA 1. The function  $\varepsilon \to F(\varepsilon)$  is non increasing on  $]o, +\infty[$  so that there exist  $F(o^+)$  and  $F(\infty)$ . If  $u \to m(a, u)$  and  $u \to b(a, u)$  are strictly monotonic then F is strictly decreasing.

*Proof.* If 
$$o < \varepsilon < \varepsilon'$$
, then  $p_{\varepsilon} < p_{\varepsilon'}$ . From (I) we deduce that  $p_{\varepsilon}(a) = \varepsilon \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{a} m(x, p_{\varepsilon}(x)) dx\right)$  hence  $\varepsilon \to p_{\varepsilon}(a)\varepsilon^{-1}$  is non increasing by  $(m_2)$ ;

from  $(b_3)$  it follows that  $\varepsilon \to F(\varepsilon)$  is non increasing. The last part of the theorem is obvious.

We give now conditions for the existence and uniqueness of stationary solutions to (P).

THEOREM 1. If there is a stationary solution then  $F(o^+) \ge 1$  and  $F(\infty) \le 1$ . Conversely let  $F(o^+) > 1$  and  $F(\infty) < 1$ : then there exists stationary solutions; if in addition  $u \to m(a, u)$  and  $u \to b(a, u)$  are strictly monotonic then the stationary solution is unique.

The proof is a consequence of lemma 1.

In many practical situations,  $p_{\varepsilon}(a)$  can be written in closed form so that  $F(o^+)$  and  $F(\infty)$  can be computed explicitly.

#### 3. STABILITY OF STATIONARY SOLUTIONS.

In this section we shall suppose that there exists a stationary solution  $p_{\varepsilon}(a)$  to (P) and we want to investigate the asymptotic behaviour of a solution to (P), close to  $p_{\varepsilon}$  at t = 0.

Let  $u: A \times R_+ \rightarrow R_+$  be a solution to (P). Set

$$\begin{cases} r(a,t) = u(a,t) - p_{\varepsilon}(a) \\ R(t) = r(o,t) = B(t) - \varepsilon \\ \rho(a) = r(a,o) = p(a) - p_{\varepsilon}(a). \end{cases}$$

With these notations and taking into account (P) and (S) we can write the equations satisfied by r(a, t):

(R) 
$$\begin{cases} Dr(a,t) = -\lambda(a,t)r(a,t) & a \in A, t \ge 0 \\ r(0,t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} H(a,t)r(a,t) da & t > 0 \\ r(a,0) = -\rho(a) & a \in A \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \lambda(a,t) = m_u(a, p_{\varepsilon}(a) + \theta r(a,t)) p_{\varepsilon}(a) + m(a, p_{\varepsilon}(a) + r(a,t)) \\ H(a,t) = b_u(a, p_{\varepsilon}(a) + \theta' r(a,t)) p_{\varepsilon}(a) + b(a, p_{\varepsilon}(a) + r(a,t)) \end{cases}$$

with suitable  $\theta$ ,  $\theta' \in [0, 1]$ .

From (R) is follows that

$$(a,t) = \begin{cases} R(t-a) \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{a} \lambda(x,t-a+x) dx\right) & a < t \end{cases}$$

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} \rho \left( a-t \right) \exp \left( -\int\limits_{0}^{t} \lambda \left( a-t+x , x \right) \mathrm{d}x \right) \right) \qquad a \geq t \end{array} \right)$$

hence from  $(R_2)$  we obtain an integral equation which is satisfied by R(t):

(2) 
$$R(t) = \int_{0}^{t} K(a, t) R(t-a) da + f(t)$$
  $t \ge 0$ 

where:

Y

$$\mathbf{K}(a,t) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{H}(a,t) \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbf{0}}^{a} \lambda(x,t-a+x) \, \mathrm{d}x\right) & a \leq t, a < \omega \\ \mathbf{0} & a \geq t, a \geq \omega \end{cases}$$

and

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \int_{t}^{\omega} H(a, t) \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{t} \lambda(a - t + x, x) dx\right) \rho(a - t) da, & 0 \le t \le \omega \\ 0, & t \ge \omega. \end{cases}$$

We give now a theorem about the stability of a stationary solution to (P).

THEOREM 2. Let  $p_{\varepsilon}(a)$  be a stationary solution such that the following condition holds:

(L) 
$$\int_{0}^{\omega} |b_{u}(a, p_{\varepsilon}(a)) p_{\varepsilon}(a) + b(a, p_{\varepsilon}(a))| \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{a} (m_{u}(x, p_{\varepsilon}(x)) p_{\varepsilon}(x) + m(x, p_{\varepsilon}(x))) dx\right) da < 1$$

Then  $p_{\varepsilon}$  is locally stable i.e. for each  $\eta > 0$  there exists  $\delta_{\eta}$  such that if u is a solution to (P) with  $|u(a, 0) - p_{\varepsilon}(a)| < \delta_{\eta}$  for  $a \in A$  then  $|u(a, t) - p_{\varepsilon}(a)| \le \eta$  for  $a \in A$  and each  $t \ge 0$ .

Condition (L) is verified for example when  $b_u(a, u) < 0$  and  $b_u(a, u) u + b(a, u) \ge 0$  or when b does not depend on u and for each  $\bar{u}, m_u(a, \bar{u}) \equiv 0$  on A. When  $b_u \equiv m_u \equiv 0$ , i.e. in the linear case, the behaviour of solutions is well known (see [2]).

13. -- RENDICONTI 1979, vol. LXVII, fasc. 3-4.

*Proof.* Let us denote by L the integral in condition (L). From  $(b_3)$  and  $(m_4)$  it is not difficult to prove that given  $\gamma \in ]L$ , I[ there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $t > \omega$  and  $|R(s)| < \delta$  for  $s \in [0, t]$  then

(3) 
$$\int_{0}^{\omega} |K(a,t)| da < \gamma < 1.$$

By using Theorem 5 of [1] it is possible to find a constant c such that if  $|\rho(a)| < 1$  then for  $0 \le t < \omega$ 

(4) 
$$|\mathbf{R}(t)| \leq c \int_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{\omega}} |\rho(a)| \, \mathrm{d}a.$$

Let us choose  $\eta < I$ . Then by taking  $\delta_{\eta} = \min(\eta, \delta(\omega c)^{-1}, \eta(\omega c)^{-1})$  it can be proved by contradiction that (3) holds for every *t*. From this the conclusion follows easily.

We give now a last result which gives more precise informations about the behaviour of the birth rate B(t) = u(0, t) for large t.

THEOREM 3. Let  $p_{\varepsilon}$  be a stationary solution such that (L) holds; then there exist c and  $\delta > 0$  such that if u is a solution to (P) with  $|u(a, 0) - p_{\varepsilon}(a)| < \delta$  for  $a \in A$  then we have  $|u(0, t) - \varepsilon| \sim \exp(-ct)$ .

*Proof.* Take  $\gamma \in ]L$ , I [ and choose c > 0 such that  $\gamma \exp(c \omega) < I$ . Set  $G(t) = R(t) \exp(ct)$ . We must show that G(t) is bounded when  $|\rho(a)| < \delta$  where  $\delta$  is defined in the proof of Theorem 2. From (2) we get for  $t \in [\omega, T]$ :

 $| \underset{t \in [0,T]}{\operatorname{G}(t)} | \leq \underset{t \in [0,T]}{\operatorname{sup}} | \underset{0}{\operatorname{G}(t)} | \underset{0}{\int} | \underset{0}{\operatorname{K}(a,t)} | \operatorname{exp}(ca) \, \mathrm{d}a \leq (\underset{t \in [0,\omega]}{\operatorname{sup}} | \underset{0}{\operatorname{G}(t)} | + \underset{t \in [\omega,T]}{\operatorname{sup}} | \underset{0}{\operatorname{G}(t)} |)$   $\gamma \operatorname{exp}(c\omega).$ 

Hence

$$(\mathbf{I} - \gamma \exp (c\omega)) \sup_{t \in [\omega, T]} |\mathbf{G}(t)| \leq \sup_{t \in [0, \omega]} |\mathbf{G}(t)|.$$

Letting  $T \rightarrow +\infty$ , the conclusion follows.

#### References

- [1] E. SINESTRARI A non linear functional renewal equation. « Rend. Acc. Naz. Lincei ».
- [2] F. HOPPENSTEADT (1975) Mathematical theories of Populations: Demographics, Genetics and Epidemics, S.I.A.M., Philadelphia.