
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

LU-SAN CHEN

**On oscillatory property of bounded solutions of
functional differential equations**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. **66** (1979), n.5, p. 351–356.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1979_8_66_5_351_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1979.

Equazioni differenziali ordinarie. — *On oscillatory property of bounded solutions of functional differential equations* (*). Nota di LU-SAN CHEN, presentata (**) dal Socio G. SANSONE.

Riassunto. — L'A. considera l'equazione

$$[r(t)x^{(n-m)}(t)]^{(m)} + a(t)h(x(t))p(x'(t)) \\ + b(t)f(x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_l(t)]) = c(t)$$

e studia il comportamento oscillatorio delle soluzioni limitate.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, Staikos-Sficas [5] discussed the oscillatory behavior of bounded solutions of the following differential equation

$$[r(t)x^{(n-m)}(t)]^{(m)} + b(t)f(x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_l(t)]) = c(t)$$

where $t \in [t_0, \infty)$, l, m, n are positive integers such that $n \geq 2$, $1 \leq m \leq n-1$, $b(t), c(t), g_i(t)$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, l$) and $r(t)$ are real-valued continuous functions on $[t_0, \infty)$ and $f(y_1, \dots, y_l)$ is a real-valued continuous function on \mathbb{R}^l and also assume that $r(t) > 0$, such that $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{r(t)} = \infty$, $y_i f(y_1, \dots, y_l) > 0$ for $y_i \neq 0$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, l$) and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_i(t) = \infty$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, l$).

In this paper, we extend Staikos-Sficas's result to the following more general functional differential equations:

$$(1) \quad [r(t)x^{(n-m)}(t)]^{(m)} + a(t)h(x(t))p(x'(t)) \\ + b(t)f(x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_l(t)]) = c(t)$$

where $t \in [t_0, \infty)$, l, m, n are positive integers such that $n \geq 2$, $1 \leq m \leq n-1$.

In what follows we restrict our consideration to those solutions of (1) which exist on some half-line $[t_x, \infty)$. Also we shall consider the oscillatory character of the solutions in the usual sense, i.e. a solution is called *oscillatory* if it has arbitrarily large zeros. Otherwise it is called *nonoscillatory*.

In addition, the following assumptions will be made for the rest of this paper.

(*) This research was supported by the National Science Council.

(**) Nella seduta del 12 maggio 1979.

Assumptions:

- (i) $a(t)$, $b(t)$ and $c(t)$ are continuous real-valued functions on $[t_0, \infty)$,
 $a(t) \geq 0$, $b^+(t) = \max\{b(t), 0\}$ and $b^-(t) = \min\{-b(t), 0\}$;
- (ii) $r(t)$ is a continuous real-valued function on $[t_0, \infty)$ such that
 $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{r(t)} = \infty$ and $r(t) > 0$;
- (iii) $g_i(t)$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, l$) are continuous real-valued functions on $[t_0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_i(t) = \infty$;
- (iv) $h(t)$ is a continuous real-valued function on $(-\infty, \infty)$ such that $xh(x) > 0$ for $x \neq 0$;
- (v) $p(x')$ is a continuous real-valued function on $(-\infty, \infty)$ such that $k_2 \geq p(x') \geq k_1 > 0$;
- (vi) $f(y_1, \dots, y_l)$ is a continuous real-valued function on \mathbb{R}^l such that $y_i f(y_1, \dots, y_l) > 0$ for $y_i \neq 0$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, l$).

In order to obtain our result it is convenient to give the following lemma.

LEMMA (Staikos-Sficas [3], [4]). *Let $u(t)$ be the solution of the linear differential equation*

$$u' - \frac{\alpha}{t} u + \frac{H(t)}{t} = 0 \quad \text{on } [T, \infty), T > 0,$$

satisfying $u(T) = 0$, where α is a positive constant and $H(t)$ is continuous on $[T, \infty)$. If $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |H(t)| = H^$ exists in the extended real line \mathbb{R}^* , then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |u(t)| = u^*$ exists in \mathbb{R}^* . In particular $H^* = \infty$ implies $u^* = \infty$.*

2. MAIN RESULT

THEOREM. *Let equation (1) satisfy (i)-(vi) and, in addition, any one of the following holds:*

For some integer k , $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ and for every constant $\mu_1 > 0$, $\mu_2 > 0$ and $\mu_3 > 0$

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^k [\mu_3 a(t) + \mu_1 b^+(t) - b^-(t) - \mu_2 c(t)] dt = \infty,$$

or

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^k [-\mu_3 a(t) + \mu_1 b^-(t) - b^+(t) + \mu_2 c(t)] dt = \infty.$$

Then every bounded solution of $x(t)$ of (1) is either oscillatory or such that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t)| = 0.$$

Proof. We suppose, now that the conclusion of the theorem is not valid, when there exists a bounded nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ of (1) with $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x(t)| \neq 0$. Without any loss of generality, that $x(t) > 0$ eventually. The case in which $x(t) < 0$ can be treated similarly.

From (iii), (iv) and (vi), there exist a $T > \max\{t_0, 0\}$, and positive constants c_1, c_2, h_1 and h_2 such that

$$(2) \quad h_1 \leq h(x(t)) \leq h_2,$$

$$(3) \quad c_1 \leq f(x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_l(t)]) \leq c_2,$$

for $t \geq T$.

If

$$u_{ij}(t) = \int_T^t s^i [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]^{(j)} ds, \quad 1 \leq i \leq j.$$

An integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} u_{ij}(t) &= t^i [r(t) x^{(n-m)}(t)]^{(j-1)} \\ &\quad - T^i [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]_{s=T}^{(j-1)} - i \int_T^t s^{i-1} [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]^{(i-1)} ds \\ &= tu'_{i-1, j-1}(t) - iu_{i-1, j-1}(t) - T^i [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]_{s=T}^{(j-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $u_{i-1, j-1}(t)$ is a solution of the differential equation

$$(4) \quad u' - \frac{i}{t} u + \frac{H_{ij}(t)}{t} = 0$$

where $H_{ij}(t) = -u_{ij}(t) - T^i [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]_{s=T}^{(j-1)}$. Obviously, $u_{i-1, j-1}(t)$ satisfies the initial condition $u_{i-1, j-1}(T) = 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} H_{k,m}(t) &= -u_{k,m}(t) - T^k [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]_{s=T}^{(m-1)} \\ &= \int_T^s s^k \{a(s) h(x(s)) p(x'(s)) + b(s) f(x[g_1(s)], \dots, x[g_l(s)]) \\ &\quad - c(s)\} ds - T^k [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]_{s=T}^{(m-1)} \end{aligned}$$

consequently, from (V), (2) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{k,m}(t) &\geq c_2 \int_T^t s^k \left[\frac{h_1 k_1}{c_2} a(s) + \frac{c_1}{c_2} b^+(s) - b^-(s) - \frac{1}{c_2} c(s) \right] ds \\ &\quad - T^k [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]_{s=T}^{(m-1)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$H_{k,m}(t) \leq c_2 \int_T^t s^k \left[\frac{h_2 k_2}{c_2} \alpha(s) + b^+(s) - \frac{c_1}{c_2} b^-(s) - \frac{1}{c_2} c(s) \right] ds$$

$$= T^k [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]_{s=T}^{(m-1)}$$

for every $t \geq T$.

Hence, by assumptions of the theorem,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |H_{k,m}(t)| = \infty$$

and consequently

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |u_{k-1,m-1}(t)| = \infty.$$

Since

$$H_{k-1,m-1}(t) = -u_{k-1,m-1}(t) - T^{k-1} [r(s) x^{(n-m)}(s)]_{s=T}^{(m-2)},$$

we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |H_{k-1,m-1}(t)| = \infty.$$

Applying, now the lemma again, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |u_{k-2,m-2}(t)| = \infty.$$

Following the same procedure, we obtain finally

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |u_{0,m-k}(t)| = \infty,$$

which gives that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |r(t) x^{(n-m)}(t)| = \infty.$$

Case I.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t) x^{(n-m)}(t) = \infty.$$

If so, there exists $T_1 \geq T$ such that

$$(5) \quad r(t) x^{(n-m)}(t) > 1 \quad \text{for } t \geq T_1.$$

Dividing (5) by $r(t)$, integrating from T_1 to t , we have

$$x^{(n-m-1)}(t) > x^{(n-m-1)}(T_1) + \int_{T_1}^t \frac{ds}{r(s)} \quad \text{for } t \geq T_1,$$

which by (ii) gives

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{(n-m-1)}(t) = \infty$$

and consequently

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = \infty,$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t) x^{(n-m)}(t) = -\infty.$$

In this case, following the same procedure we obtain the contradiction $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = -\infty$.

REMARK 1. For the case $a(t) \equiv 0$, Theorem becomes a result of Staikos-Sficas [5].

We conclude with the statement that this theorem can be extended to the following equations

$$(6) \quad [r(t) x^{(n-1)}(t)]' + a(t) h(x(t)) p(x'(t)) + b(t) f(x[g(t)]) = c(t)$$

and

$$(7) \quad [r(t) x'(t)]^{(n-1)} + a(t) h(x(t)) p(x'(t)) + b(t) f(x[g(t)]) = c(t)$$

which are the special cases of (1) with $m = 1, l = 1$; and $n = n - 1, l = 1$ respectively, and the above theorem leads to a generalization of a result of the author [1].

REMARK 2. Kusano-Onose [2] considered the equations

$$[r(t) x^{(n-1)}(t)]' + b(t) f(x[g(t)]) = c(t)$$

and

$$[r(t) x'(t)]^{(n-1)} + b(t) f(x[g(t)]) = c(t)$$

which are the special cases of (6) and (7) with $a(t) \equiv 0$, respectively.

Also, we may extend to the following equation

$$[r(t) x'(t)]' + a(t) h(x(t)) p(x'(t)) + b(t) f(x[g(t)]) = c(t)$$

which is a special case of both (6) and (7) with $n = 2$.

REMARK 3. It is easy to extend our result to the following differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} & [r(t) x^{(n-m)}(t)]^{(m)} + a(t) h(x(t)) p(x'(t)) \\ & + F(t; x[\sigma_0(t)], \dots, x^{(n-1)}[\sigma_{n-1}(t)]) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $x^{(i)}[\sigma_i(t)] \equiv (x^{(i)}[\sigma_{i_1}(t)], \dots, x^{(i)}[\sigma_{i_{m_i}}(t)])$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{ij}(t) = \infty, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m_i.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] LU-SAN CHEN (1977) - *Some oscillation theorem for differential equations with functional arguments*, « J. Math. Anal. Appl. », 58, 83-87.
- [2] T. KUSANO and H. ONOSE (1974) - *An oscillation theorem for differential equations with deviating arguments*, « Proc. Japan Acad. », 50, 809-811.
- [3] V. A. STAIKOS and Y. G. SFICAS (1975) - *Forced oscillation for differential equations of arbitrary order*, « J. Differential equations », 17, 1-11.
- [4] V. A. STAIKOS and Y. G. SFICAS (1975) - *Oscillatory and asymptotic characterization of the solutions of differential equations with deviating arguments*, « J. London Math. Soc. », 10, 39-47.
- [5] V. A. STAIKOS and Y. G. SFICAS (1975) - *On the oscillation of bounded solutions of forced differential equations with deviating arguments*, « Rend. Accad. Naz. Lincei », 58, 318-322.