

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI  
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI  
**RENDICONTI**

---

GIUSEPPE BUTTAZZO, GIANNI DAL MASO

**Integral representation on  $W^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$  and BV  $(\Omega)$  of  
limits of variational integrals**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,  
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 66 (1979), n.5, p. 338–343.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\\_1979\\_8\\_66\\_5\\_338\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1979_8_66_5_338_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)  
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

**Calcolo delle variazioni.** — *Integral representation on  $W^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$  and  $BV(\Omega)$  of limits of variational integrals.* Nota di GIUSEPPE BUTTAZZO e GIANNI DAL MASO, presentata (\*) dal Corrisp. E. DE GIORGI.

RIASSUNTO. — In questo lavoro vengono studiate le rappresentazioni integrali dei  $\Gamma$ -limiti di successioni di funzionali del calcolo delle variazioni. Notiamo che, se l'interesse principale del lavoro è rivolto ai  $\Gamma$ -limiti di successioni i cui termini non sono tutti eguali, riducendosi a quest'ultimo caso molto particolare si ottengono, come corollario dei risultati di questo lavoro, vari teoremi di semicontinuità di funzionali del tipo  $\int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du) dx$  e di rappresentazione dei prolungamenti di tali funzionali a funzioni appartenenti a  $BV(\Omega)$  (funzioni aventi derivate misure).

# 1. INTRODUCTION

We recall the following characterization of  $\Gamma$ -limits (see [6]): if  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space satisfying the first axiom of countability,  $(F_h)$  is a sequence of functions from  $X$  into  $\bar{\mathbf{R}}$  and  $u$  is an element of  $X$ , then

$$\lambda = \Gamma(\tau^-) \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow \infty \\ v \rightarrow u}} F_h(v)$$

if and only if

(i) for every sequence  $(u_h)$  converging to  $u$  in  $(X, \tau)$

$$\lambda \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u_h)$$

(ii) there exists a sequence  $(u_h)$  converging to  $u$  in  $(X, \tau)$  such that

$$\lambda = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u_h)$$

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and let  $(f_h)$  be a sequence of Borel functions from  $\Omega \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n$  into  $\mathbf{R}_+$ , with  $f_h(x, u, \cdot)$  convex for every  $(x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ .

For each open subset  $A$  of  $\Omega$  and  $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(A)$  we set <sup>(1)</sup>

$$(1.1) \quad F_h(u, A) = \begin{cases} \int_A f_h(x, u, Du) dx & \text{if } u \in C^1(A) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(\*) Nella seduta del 12 maggio 1979.

(1) We obtain the same results if, in (1.1), instead of  $C^1(A)$  we take  $C^1(A) \cap W^{1,r}(A)$  or  $W^{1,r}_{\text{loc}}(A)$  (or  $C^1(\bar{A})$  if  $A$  has a Lipschitz boundary), with  $r = \alpha$  in theorem 1 and  $r = 1$  in theorems 2 and 3.

The purpose of this paper is to give conditions under which:

(1) there exists  $\Gamma(L_{\text{loc}}^1(A))$   $\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow \infty \\ v \rightarrow u}} F_h(v, A) = F(u, A)$ ;

(2)  $F(u, A)$  can be represented as an integral.

This subject has been studied by several authors, e.g. [1], [3], [4], [5], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [19], [21].

In theorem 1 we prove that, under suitable growth conditions on  $f_h(x, u, p)$  and equi-continuity conditions with respect to the variable  $u$ , (1) is verified at least for a subsequence of  $(F_h)$ , and there exists a function  $f$  such that

$$F(u, A) = \int_A f(x, u, Du) dx$$

for every  $u \in W^{1,\alpha}(A)$  (the space of functions in  $L^\alpha(A)$  whose distributional derivatives are in  $L^\alpha(A)$ ).

In theorem 2, by strengthening the continuity of  $f$ , and the convergence of  $(f_h)$ , we obtain an explicit integral representation formula for  $F(u, A)$  on  $BV(A)$  (the space of functions in  $L^1(A)$  whose distributional derivatives are measures with finite variation on  $A$ ).

In theorem 3 the same formula is obtained without the hypothesis of continuity of  $f(x, u, p)$  with respect to the variable  $u$ , but assuming that every  $f_h$  is positively homogeneous of degree 1 in  $p$ .

We note that, in the particular case in which  $f_h = f$  for every  $h$ ,  $F(u, A)$  coincides with the greatest lower semicontinuous function  $\bar{F}(u, A)$  on  $L_{\text{loc}}^1(A)$  such that

$$\bar{F}(u, A) \leq \int_A f(x, u, Du) dx$$

on  $C^1(A)$ . Therefore, as a corollary of theorems 1, 2, 3, we get an integral representation formula for  $\bar{F}(u, A)$  on  $W^{1,\alpha}(A)$  and  $BV(A)$ . Such a problem has been introduced by J. Serrin in [22], [23], and studied by several authors in [2], [3], [8], [9], [10], [11], [13], [17], [18].

We would like to thank prof. E. De Giorgi for many helpful discussions on this subject.

2. We begin with the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $1 \leq \alpha < +\infty$  and  $1 \leq \beta < +\infty$ . Assume that for all  $h \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $(x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $M > 0$*

$$(i) \quad 0 \leq f_h(x, u, p) \leq a_h(x) + c|u|^\beta + \Lambda(u)|p|^\alpha \quad \forall u \in \mathbf{R}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(ii) \quad & |f_h(x, u, p) - f_h(x, v, p)| \leq \\
\leq & \rho_{M,h}(x, |u - v|) + \sigma_{M,h}(|u - v|) \cdot f_h(x, u, p) \quad \forall u, v \in [-M, M], \text{ where} \\
& a_h \rightarrow a_\infty \quad \text{weakly in } L^1(\Omega), \\
& \Lambda \text{ is continuous} \\
& \rho_{M,h}(\cdot, t) \rightarrow \rho_{M,\infty}(\cdot, t) \quad \text{weakly in } L^1(\Omega) \text{ for all } t, \\
& \sigma_{M,h}(t) \rightarrow \sigma_{M,\infty}(t) \text{ for all } t, \\
& \rho_{M,h}(x, \cdot), \sigma_{M,h} \text{ are nondecreasing functions for a.a. } x \\
& \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \rho_{M,\infty}(x, t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sigma_{M,\infty}(t) = 0 \text{ for a.a. } x \in \Omega.
\end{aligned}$$

Then there exist an increasing sequence  $(h_k)$  and a function  $f_\infty: \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ , convex in  $p$  and satisfying (i) and (ii) with  $h = \infty$ , such that for every open subset  $A$  of  $\Omega$  and every  $u \in W^{1,\alpha}(A) \cap L^\beta(A)$

$$\Gamma(L^1_{\text{loc}}(A)) \lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ v \rightarrow u}} F_{h_k}(v, A) = \int_A f_\infty(x, u, Du) dx.$$

In the following we denote by  $\mathcal{L}^n$  the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and by  $\mathcal{H}^m$  the  $m$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure (see [7]).

Let  $A$  be an open subset of  $\Omega$ ,  $u \in BV(A)$  and let  $\mu$  be the derivative measure of  $u$ . If  $\mu = \rho + \theta$ , with  $\rho$  absolutely continuous and  $\theta$  singular with respect to  $\mathcal{L}^n$ , then  $Du$  denotes the density of  $\rho$  with respect to  $\mathcal{L}^n$ , and  $M(u)$  is a Borel subset of  $A$  such that  $\mathcal{L}^n(M(u)) = |\theta|(A - M(u)) = 0$ . Moreover we set

$$u_-(x) = \sup \{t : \{y : u(y) < t\} \text{ has } \mathcal{L}^n\text{-density } 0 \text{ at } x\}$$

$$u_+(x) = \inf \{t : \{y : u(y) > t\} \text{ has } \mathcal{L}^n\text{-density } 0 \text{ at } x\}$$

$$N(u) = \{x \in A : u_-(x) < u_+(x)\}.$$

Let  $g: \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  be a Borel function, with  $g(x, u, \cdot)$  convex for each  $(x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ . We set, by definition

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}(g, u, A) = & \int_A g(x, u, Du) dx + \int_{M(u)-N(u)} g_0\left(x, u_+, \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}\right) d|\mu| + \\
& + \int_{N(u)} \left[ \frac{1}{u_+(x) - u_-(x)} \int_{u_-(x)}^{u_+(x)} g_0\left(x, s, \frac{d\mu}{d|\mu|}(x)\right) ds \right] d|\mu|(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $g_0(x, u, p) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} g(x, u, p/t) t$ .

LEMMA 1. Under the previous hypotheses

$$\mathcal{G}(g, u, A) = \int_{A \times \mathbf{R}} \tilde{g}\left(x, s, \frac{d\alpha}{d|\alpha|}(x, s)\right) d|\alpha|(x, s)$$

where  $\alpha$  is the derivative measure of the characteristic function of the set  $\{(x, s) \in A \times \mathbf{R} : s \leq u(x)\}$  and  $\tilde{g} : \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}_- \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  is defined by

$$\tilde{g}(x, u, p, t) = \begin{cases} -g(x, u, -p|t)t & \text{if } t < 0 \\ g_0(x, u, p) & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Transforming the functionals  $F_h$ , by virtue of the previous lemma, from the Cartesian into the parametric form, and using some results of Yu. G. Reshetnyak [20], we can prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** Assume that  $(f_h)$  converges pointwise to a function  $f$  such that:

(i) for all  $h \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $M > 0$ ,  $(x, u, p) \in \Omega \times [-M, M] \times \mathbf{R}^n$

$$f_h(x, u, p) \geq f(x, u, p) - \varepsilon_{M,h}(x)(1 + |p|)$$

where  $\varepsilon_{M,h} \xrightarrow{h} 0$  in  $L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ ;

(ii) for  $\mathcal{H}^n$ -a.a.  $(x_0, u_0) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R}$  the following continuity condition holds:  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$  such that

$$|f(x, u, p) - f(x_0, u_0, p)| < \varepsilon(1 + |p|)$$

for each  $(x, u, p)$  with  $|x - x_0| < \delta$ ,  $|u - u_0| < \delta$ ;

(iii) for all  $h \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $(x, u, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n$

$$\lambda(u)|p| \leq f_h(x, u, p) \leq a(x) + c|u|^\beta + \Lambda(u)|p|$$

with  $\lambda, \Lambda$  continuous and strictly positive,

$$a \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \cap L^1(\Omega), \quad 1 \leq \beta < +\infty.$$

Then for every open subset  $A$  of  $\Omega$  and every  $u \in \text{BV}(A) \cap L^\beta(A)$

$$\Gamma(L^1_{\text{loc}}(A)^-) \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow \infty \\ v \rightarrow u}} F_h(v, A) = \mathcal{G}(f, u, A).$$

**REMARK 1.** Hypothesis (ii) in the previous theorem cannot be weakened by the assumption that  $f$  is continuous, as the following example shows:  $f_h = f$  with

$$f(x, p) = \begin{cases} |p| & \text{if } |p||x|^{1/2} \leq 1 \\ 2|p| - |x|^{-1/2} & \text{if } |p||x|^{1/2} \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad \Omega = ]-1, 1[$$

In this case  $\mathcal{G}(f, u, \Omega) = 1$  while  $\Gamma(L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)^-) \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow \infty \\ v \rightarrow u}} F_h(v, \Omega) = 2$ .

LEMMA 2. If  $u \in \text{BV}(\mathbf{A})$ ,  $g: \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  is a Borel function and  $g(x, u, \cdot)$  is convex and positively homogeneous of degree 1 for each  $(x, u) \in \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{R}$ , then

$$\mathcal{G}(g, u, \mathbf{A}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbf{A}} g\left(x, s, \frac{d\alpha_s}{d|\alpha_s|}(x)\right) d|\alpha_s|(x) \right] ds$$

where  $\alpha_s$  is the derivative measure of the characteristic function of the set  $\{x \in \mathbf{A} : u(x) \geq s\}$ .

Using this lemma, we prove the following theorem, in which no assumption is made about the continuity of  $u \mapsto f(x, u, p)$ .

THEOREM 3. Assume that for all  $h \in \mathbf{N}$   $f_h$  is positively homogeneous of degree 1 in  $p$  and that  $(f_h)$  converges pointwise to a function  $f$  such that:

(i) for all  $h \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $(x, u, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n$

$$f_h(x, u, p) \geq f(x, u, p) - \varepsilon_h(x, u) |p|$$

where  $\varepsilon_h(\cdot, u) \rightarrow 0$  in  $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega)$  for a.a.  $u \in \mathbf{R}$ ;

(ii) for every  $(u, p) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n$  the function  $x \mapsto f(x, u, p)$  is continuous  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -a.e. in  $\Omega$ ;

(iii) for each  $(x, u, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n$

$$\lambda(u) |p| \leq f_h(x, u, p) \leq \Lambda(u) |p|$$

with  $\Lambda$  continuous and  $\lambda(u) > 0$  for a.a.  $u \in \mathbf{R}$ .

Then, for each open subset  $\mathbf{A}$  of  $\Omega$  and each  $u \in \text{BV}(\mathbf{A})$

$$\Gamma(L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbf{A})) \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow \infty \\ v \rightarrow u}} F_h(v, \mathbf{A}) = \mathcal{G}(f, u, \mathbf{A})$$

REMARK 2. If the functions  $f_h$  do not depend on  $x$ , the hypothesis (iii) on the lower bound may be omitted. On the contrary, if every  $f_h$  depends on  $x$ , this hypothesis cannot be avoided, even if the functions  $f_h$  do not depend on  $u$ . Indeed, we can give an example in which  $f_h = f$  for each  $h$ ,  $f$  is continuous, independent of  $u$ , convex and homogeneous of degree 1 in  $p$ ,  $0 \leq f(x, p) \leq |p|$ , but the conclusion of the theorem does not hold, even for  $u \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. BUTTAZZO and G. DAL MASO (1978) –  $\Gamma$ -limit of a sequence of non-convex and non-equi-Lipschitz integral functionals. « *Ricerche Mat.* », 27, 235–251.
- [2] O. CALIGARIS, F. FERRO and P. OLIVA (1977) – Sull'esistenza del minimo per problemi di calcolo delle variazioni relativi ad archi di variazione limitata. « *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* » (5) 14-B, 340–369.
- [3] L. CARBONE and C. SBORDONE – Some properties of  $\Gamma$ -limits of integral functionals. « *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* », to appear.
- [4] M. CARRIERO and E. PASCALI (1978) –  $\Gamma$ -convergenza di integrali non negativi maggiorati da funzionali del tipo dell'area. « *Ann. Univ. Ferrara* », Sez. VII, 24, 51–64.
- [5] E. DE GIORGI (1975) – Sulla convergenza di alcune successioni di integrali del tipo dell'area. « *Rend. Mat.* », (4) 8, 277–294.
- [6] E. DE GIORGI (1979) – Convergence problems for functionals and operators, in Proceedings of the International Meeting on Recent Methods in Non Linear Analysis. Rome, May 8–12, 1978. Bologna.
- [7] H. FEDERER (1969) – Geometric Measure Theory, Berlin.
- [8] F. FERRO – Integral characterization of functionals defined on spaces of BV functions. « *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* », to appear.
- [9] N. FUSCO – Dualità e semicontinuità per integrali del tipo dell'area, to appear.
- [10] M. GIAQUINTA, G. MODICA and J. SOUCEK (1979) – Functionals with linear growth in the calculus of variations I. « *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* », 20, 143–156.
- [11] C. GOFFMAN and J. SERRIN (1964) – Sublinear functions of measures and variational integrals. « *Duke Math. Journ.* », 31, 159–178.
- [12] P. MARCELLINI (1978) – Periodic solutions and homogenization of non linear variational problems. « *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* » (4) 117, 139–152.
- [13] P. MARCELLINI (1979) – Some problems of semicontinuity and of  $\Gamma$ -convergence for integrals of the calculus of variations, in Proceedings of the International Meeting on Recent Methods in Non Linear Analysis. Rome, May 8–12, 1978. Bologna.
- [14] P. MARCELLINI and C. SBORDONE (1977) – An approach to the asymptotic behaviour of elliptic-parabolic operators. « *J. Math. Pures Appl.* » (9) 56, 157–182.
- [15] P. MARCELLINI and C. SBORDONE (1977) – Dualità e perturbazione di funzionali integrali. « *Ricerche Mat.* », 26, 383–421.
- [16] P. MARCELLINI and C. SBORDONE (1978) – Homogenization of non uniformly elliptic operators. « *Applicable Anal.* », 8, 101–114.
- [17] P. MARCELLINI and C. SBORDONE – Semicontinuity problems in the calculus of variations, to appear.
- [18] C. B. MORREY (1966) – Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations, Berlin.
- [19] G. MOSCARIELLO (1976) – Su una convergenza di successioni di integrali del calcolo delle variazioni. « *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.* » (8) 61, 368–375.
- [20] YU. G. RESHETNYAK (1968) – Weak convergence of completely additive vector functions on a set. « *Siberian Math. J.* », 9, 1039–1045.
- [21] C. SBORDONE (1975) – Su alcune applicazioni di un tipo di convergenza variazionale. « *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* » (4) 2, 617–638.
- [22] J. SERRIN (1959) – A new definition of the integral for non-parametric problems in the calculus of variations. « *Acta Math.* », 102, 23–32.
- [23] J. SERRIN (1961) – On the definition and properties of certain variational integrals. « *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* », 101, 139–167.