
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

NICOLAE TIȚA

On A-p-summing operators

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. **65** (1978), n.1-2, p. 1–4.

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1978_8_65_1-2_1_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

RENDICONTI

DELLE SEDUTE

DELLA ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI

Classe di Scienze fisiche, matematiche e naturali

Ferie 1978 (Luglio-Agosto)

(Ogni Nota porta a piè di pagina la data di arrivo o di presentazione)

SEZIONE I

(Matematica, meccanica, astronomia, geodesia e geofisica)

Analisi matematica. — *On A-p-summing operators.* Nota di NICOLAE TIȚA, presentata (*) dal Socio G. SANSONE.

RIASSUNTO. — Si generalizzano alcune proprietà degli operatori A-p sommabili e si risolve una questione presentata da altri A.

In this paper the class of A-p-summing operators [2], [1] is generalized and an affirmative answer to the problem raised in [2] is presented.

Let Φ be a norm function of R. Schatten [4], [3]

$$(\Phi : \hat{c} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+; \Phi(x+y) \leq \Phi(x) + \Phi(y), x, y \in \hat{c};$$

$$\Phi(\alpha x) = |\alpha| \Phi(x), \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \hat{c}; \Phi(1, 0, 0, \dots) = 1;$$

$$\Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots) = \Phi(|x_{i_1}|, |x_{i_2}|, \dots, |x_{i_n}|, 0, 0, \dots).$$

Here $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ is a permutation of $1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\hat{c}$ is the spaces of all sequences $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots)$, $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $n < \infty$.

Let $\hat{k}$ be the space of the sequences $x \in \hat{c}$ for which $x_i \geq 0$. In [3] it is generalized the notion of the dual function from [4], in the following way.

$$(I) \quad \Phi_{\downarrow}^*(x) = \sup_{y \in \hat{k}} \frac{\Psi(xy)}{\Phi(y)} \quad ; \quad x \cdot y = \{x_1 y_1, x_2 y_2, \dots, x_n y_n, \dots\}.$$

(In the particular case of $\Phi_p(x) = (\sum x_i^p)^{1/p}$, $p > 1$; $\Phi_r(x) = (\sum x_i^r)^{1/r}$, $r > 1$, $x_i \geq 0$, one has $(\Phi_r^*)_{\Phi_p} \equiv \Phi_q$, where $\Phi_q(x) = (\sum x_i^q)^{1/q}$ and $1/p = 1/r + 1/q$).

Let $A = \|a_{ij}\|$ be an infinite matrix satisfying some properties [1], [2].

(*) Nella seduta del 15 giugno 1978.

DEFINITION 1. Let E, F be normed spaces. A linear operator $T: E \rightarrow F$ is called an A - Φ -summing operator if for all sequences $\{x_n\} \in E^{(1)}$ there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\Phi \left(\left\{ \sum_n a_{mn} \|Tx_n\| \right\} \right) = c \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \Phi \left(\left\{ \sum_n a_{mn} |\langle x_n, a \rangle| \right\} \right), \quad a \in E'.$$

The smallest constant c such that [2] holds is denoted by $\Pi_\Phi(T)$.

Remark. For $\Phi_p(x) = (\sum x_i^p)^{1/p}$ one has the class of A - p -summing operators [1], [2] and if $A = \|\delta_{ij}\|$ ($\delta_{ii} = 1$; $\delta_{ij} = 0, i \neq j$) one has the class of Φ -summing operators [5].

Let $\Pi_\Phi(E, F)$ be the set of all A - Φ -summing operators from E to F .

PROPOSITION 1. $\Pi_\Phi(E, F)$ is a normed space with respect to $\Pi_\Phi(T)$.

Proof. Let $S, T \in \Pi_\Phi(E, F)$. Then for any sequence $\{x_n\} \in E$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \left(\left\{ \sum_n a_{mn} \|(T+S)x_n\| \right\} \right) &\leq \Phi \left(\left\{ \sum_n a_{mn} \|Tx_n\| \right\} \right) + \\ &+ \Phi \left(\left\{ \sum_n a_{mn} \|Sx_n\| \right\} \right) \leq \Pi_\Phi(T) \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \Phi \left(\left\{ \sum_n a_{mn} |\langle x_n, a \rangle| \right\} \right) + \\ &+ \Pi_\Phi(S) \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \Phi \left(\sum_n a_{mn} |\langle x_n, a \rangle| \right) = [\Pi_\Phi(T) + \Pi_\Phi(S)] \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \Phi \left(\sum_n a_{mn} |\langle x_n, a \rangle| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T + S \in \Pi_\Phi(E, F)$ and $\Pi_\Phi(T + S) \leq \Pi_\Phi(T) + \Pi_\Phi(S)$. For any real α one has $\alpha T \in \Pi_\Phi(E, F)$ if $T \in \Pi_\Phi(E, F)$ since $\Pi_\Phi(\alpha T) = |\alpha| \Pi_\Phi(T)$ ⁽²⁾.

PROPOSITION 2. If $T \in \Pi_\Phi(E, F)$ then T is bounded ($T \in L(E, F)$).

Proof. Let $\{x_n\} = \{x, 0, 0, \dots\}$. Then

$$\|Tx\| \Phi(\{a_{m1}\}) \leq \Pi_\Phi(T) \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \Phi(\{a_{m1}\}) \cdot |\langle x, a \rangle|.$$

Hence

$$\|T\| \leq \Pi_\Phi(T).$$

PROPOSITION 3. If F is a Banach space then $\Pi_\Phi(E, F)$ is a Banach space.

Proof. Let $\{T_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\Pi_\Phi(E, F)$ ($\lim_{n,m} \Pi_\Phi(T_n - T_m) = 0$).

(1) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of finite rank $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^k, k < \infty, (x_n = 0 \text{ if } n > k)$.
 $\Phi(x) = \sup_n \Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots)$ if $x \notin \hat{E}$.

(2) $\Pi_\Phi(T) \geq 0, \Pi_\Phi(T) = 0$ iff $T = 0$.

Then $\{T_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L(E, F)$ since $\|T_n - T_m\| \leq \Pi_\phi(T_n - T_m)$. Since $L(E, F)$ is a Banach space one has $\lim_n T_n = T \in L(E, F)$.

From the relation $\Pi_\phi(T_n - T_m) \langle \varepsilon, n, m \rangle N(\varepsilon) (\forall \varepsilon > 0)$ and from the continuity of the functions Φ , one obtains

$$\lim_n \Pi_\phi(T_n - T_m) = \Pi_\phi(T - T_m) \langle \varepsilon, m \rangle N(\varepsilon).$$

Hence $\lim_n T_n = T$ (in the topology of $\Pi_\phi(E, F)$) and $T \in \Pi_\phi(E, F)$.

PROPOSITION 4. Let E, F, G be normed spaces. If $T \in L(E, F)$ and $S \in \Pi_\phi(F, G)$ then $ST \in \Pi_\phi(E, G)$ and $\Pi_\phi(ST) \leq \|T\| \Pi_\phi(S)$. If $T \in \Pi_\phi(E, F)$ and $S \in L(F, G)$ then $ST \in \Pi_\phi(E, G)$ and $\Pi_\phi(ST) \leq \|S\| \Pi_\phi(T)$.

Proof. If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in E one has

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \left(\left\{ \sum_n a_{mn} \|STx_n\| \right\} \right) &\leq \Pi_\phi(S) \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \Phi \left(\left\{ \sum_n a_{mn} |\langle Tx_n, a \rangle| \right\} \right) \leq \\ &\leq \|T\| \cdot \Pi_\phi(S) \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \Phi \left(\left\{ \sum_n a_{mn} \left| \left\langle x_n, \frac{T^* a}{\|T\|} \right\rangle \right| \right\} \right) \leq \\ &\leq \|T\| \cdot \Pi_\phi(S) \sup_{\|\bar{a}\| \leq 1} \Phi \left(\left\{ \sum_n a_{mn} |\langle x_n, \bar{a} \rangle| \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$\left(\bar{a} = \frac{T^* a}{\|T\|} \right.$ and T^* is the conjugate operator to T). Hence $ST \in \Pi_\phi(E, G)$ and $\Pi_\phi(ST) \leq \|T\| \cdot \Pi_\phi(S)$.

The second part is straightforward.

PROPOSITION 5. Let ϕ, Ψ be norm functions $\Psi \neq \Psi_1, \Psi_\infty^{(3)}$, then if $T \in \Pi_\phi(E, F)$ one has $T \in \Pi_{\phi\phi}^*(E, F)$.

Proof. Consider the sequence $\{t_i x_j\} \in E, (t_i) \in \hat{k}$. Then

$$\Phi \left(\left\{ \sum_j a_{ij} \|T t_i x_j\| \right\} \right) \leq \Pi_\phi(T) \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \Phi \left(\left\{ \sum_j a_{ij} |\langle t_i x_j, a \rangle| \right\} \right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \left(t_i \left\{ \sum_j a_{ij} \|Tx_j\| \right\} \right) &\leq \Pi_\phi(T) \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \Phi \left(t_i \left\{ \sum_j a_{ij} |\langle x_j, a \rangle| \right\} \right) \leq \\ &\leq \Pi_\phi(T) \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \Psi(\{t_i\}) \cdot \Psi_\phi^* \left(\left\{ \sum_j a_{ij} |\langle x_j, a \rangle| \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

(3) $\Psi_1(x) = \sum |x_i|$, $\Psi_\infty(x) = \sup |x_n|$, $(x = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots\})$.

Or

$$\frac{\Phi \left(t_i \left\{ \sum_j a_{ij} \|Tx_j\| \right\} \right)}{\Psi(\{t_i\})} \leq \Pi_\Phi(T) \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \Psi_\Phi^* (\{\sum a_{ij} | \langle x_j, a \rangle | \}).$$

From the definition of the function Ψ_Φ^* one obtains

$$\Psi_\Phi^* \left(\left\{ \sum_j a_{ij} \|Tx_j\| \right\} \right) \leq \Pi_\Phi(T) \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \Psi_\Phi^* (\{\sum a_{ij} | \langle x_j, a \rangle | \}).$$

Hence $T \in \Pi_{\Psi_\Phi^*}(E, F)$.

Remark. If $\Phi \equiv \Phi_p$ and $\Psi \equiv \Psi_r$ ($1 < r < \infty$) one has $\Psi_\Phi^* = \Phi_q$ if $1/p = 1/r + 1/q$. Since $1 < r < \infty$ one has $q > p$ and hence if $T \in \Pi_p$ then $T \in \Pi_q$. This is an affirmative answer to the problem raised in [2].

REFERENCES

- [1] K. ISÉKI (1976) - *On a new class of operators*, «Math. Jap.», 3.
- [2] B. E. RHOADES (1976) - *A -p- summing operators*, «Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei», (8), 60, 97-99.
- [3] N. SALINAS (1974) - *Symmetrie norm ideals and relative conjugate ideals*, «Trans. A.M.S.», 188, 2.
- [4] R. SCHATTEN (1960) - *Norm ideals of completely continuous operators*, Berlin.
- [5] N. TIȚA (1973) - *Remarks on some classes of operators (romanian)*, «Analele Univ. București», 1.