

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI  
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI  
**RENDICONTI**

---

TAKASHI NOIRI

**On  $\delta$ -perfect functions**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,  
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 63 (1977), n.6, p. 488–492.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\\_1977\\_8\\_63\\_6\\_488\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1977_8_63_6_488_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)*

*SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

**Analisi matematica.** — *On  $\delta$ -perfect functions.* Nota di TAKASHI NOIRI, presentata (\*) dal Socio E. MARTINELLI a nome del compianto Socio B. SEGRE.

RIASSUNTO. — Una funzione  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  viene detta *perfetta* se  $f$  è chiusa ed  $f^{-1}(y)$  è compatto per ogni  $y \in Y$ . In [2] sono inoltre state definite e studiate le funzioni  *$\theta$ -perfette*. Qui si introducono le funzioni  *$\delta$ -perfette* e si mostra che, se gli spazi  $X$  ed  $Y$  sono regolari ed  $f$  è continua, le tre suddette nozioni risultano *equivalenti*.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *perfect* if  $f$  is closed and  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact for each  $y \in Y$ . G. T. Whyburn [9] proved that a function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is perfect if and only if for every filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $f(X)$  converging to  $y \in Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$  is directed toward  $f^{-1}(y)$ . In [4], the Author has defined  *$\delta$ -perfect* functions in a way analogous to the above characterization of perfect functions. The purpose of this Note is to investigate some relations between perfect functions and  *$\delta$ -perfect* functions, and also those between  *$\delta$ -perfect* functions and  *$\theta$ -perfect* functions introduced by R. F. Dickman, Jr. and J. R. Porter [2].

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $S$  be a subset of a space  $X$ . A point  $x \in X$  is called a  *$\delta$ -cluster* (resp.  *$\theta$ -cluster*) point of  $S$  in  $X$  [8] if  $S \cap \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(U)) \neq \emptyset$  (resp.  $S \cap \text{Cl}(U) \neq \emptyset$ ) for every open set  $U$  containing  $x$ . The set of all  *$\delta$ -cluster* (resp.  *$\theta$ -cluster*) points of  $S$  is called the  *$\delta$ -closure* (resp.  *$\theta$ -closure*) of  $S$  and is denoted by  $[S]_\delta$  (resp.  $[S]_\theta$ ). If  $[S]_\delta = S$ , then  $S$  is said to be  *$\delta$ -closed* in  $X$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  *$\delta$ -closed* if  $[f(A)]_\delta \subset f([A]_\delta)$  for every subset  $A$  of  $X$ .

A point  $x \in X$  is called a  *$\delta$ -cluster* point of a filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $X$  if  $x \in \bigcap \{[F]_\delta \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\} = [ad]_\delta \mathcal{F}$ . A filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be  *$\delta$ -convergent* to a point  $x \in X$  if for any open set  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $x$ , there exists an  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $F \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(U))$ . A filter base  $\mathcal{G}$  is said to be *subordinate* to a filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  if for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  there exists a  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $G \subset F$ . A filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be  *$\delta$ -directed toward*  $S \subset X$  if every filter base subordinate to  $\mathcal{F}$  has a  *$\delta$ -cluster* point in  $S$ .

(\*) Nella seduta del 10 dicembre 1977.

DEFINITION 2.2. A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $\delta$ -perfect if for every filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $f(X)$   $\delta$ -converging to  $y \in Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$  is  $\delta$ -directed toward  $f^{-1}(y)$ .

Remark 2.3. The continuity is not assumed on  $\delta$ -perfect functions.

DEFINITION 2.4. A subset  $S$  of a space  $X$  is said to be  $N$ -closed relative to  $X$  [1] if for every cover  $\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \nabla\}$  of  $S$  by open sets of  $X$ , there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $S \subset \cup \{\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(U_\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ .

In [4], we have obtained the following results which are used in the sequel.

THEOREM 2.5. A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\delta$ -closed if and only if the image  $f(A)$  of each  $\delta$ -closed set  $A$  in  $X$  is  $\delta$ -closed in  $Y$ .

THEOREM 2.6. A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\delta$ -perfect if and only if

- (a)  $f$  is  $\delta$ -closed, and
- (b)  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $N$ -closed relative to  $X$  for each  $y \in Y$ .

### 3. $\delta$ -PERFECT FUNCTIONS

A subset  $S$  of a space  $X$  is said to be *regularly open* (resp. *regularly closed*) if  $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(S)) = S$  (resp.  $\text{Cl}(\text{Int}(S)) = S$ ). The family of regularly open sets of  $X$  forms a basis for a topology on the underlying set of  $X$ . This new space is called the *semi-regularization* of  $X$  and is denoted by  $X^*$ . If  $X^* = X$ , then  $X$  is said to be *semi-regular*.

DEFINITION 3.1. A space  $X$  is said to be *almost-regular* [7] if for any point  $x \in X$  and any regularly closed set  $A$  not containing  $x$ , there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $A \subset V$ .

Remark 3.2. In [7], it is shown that almost-regularity and semi-regularity are independent of each other, and also almost-regularity is strictly weaker than regularity, but they are equivalent on semi-regular spaces.

LEMMA 3.3. If a space  $X$  is semi-regular (resp. almost-regular), then  $[S]_\delta = \text{Cl}(S)$  (resp.  $[S]_\emptyset = [S]_\delta$ ) for every subset  $S$  of  $X$ .

*Proof.* It is known that  $[S]_\emptyset \supset [S]_\delta \supset \text{Cl}(S)$  for any subset  $S$  of  $X$  [8, Lemma 1]. First, suppose that  $X$  is semi-regular and let  $x \in [S]_\delta$ . For any open set  $V$  containing  $x$ , there exists a regularly open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U \subset V$ . Since  $x \in [S]_\delta$ , we have  $\emptyset \neq U \cap S \subset V \cap S$  and hence  $x \in \text{Cl}(S)$ . Therefore, we obtain  $[S]_\delta = \text{Cl}(S)$ . Next, suppose that  $X$  is almost-regular and let  $x \in [S]_\emptyset$ . For any open set  $V$  containing  $x$ , there exists a regularly open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U \subset \text{Cl}(U) \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(V))$  [7, Theorem 2.2]. Since  $x \in [S]_\emptyset$ , we have  $\emptyset \neq S \cap \text{Cl}(U) \subset S \cap \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(V))$  and hence  $x \in [S]_\delta$ . Therefore, we obtain  $[S]_\emptyset = [S]_\delta$ .

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a space, then the identity functions of  $X$  onto  $X^*$  and of  $X^*$  onto  $X$  are  $\delta$ -perfect.*

*Proof.* Since  $X^*$  is semi-regular, by Lemma 3.3, a subset  $S$  of  $X$  is  $\delta$ -closed in  $X$  if and only if it is  $\delta$ -closed in  $X^*$ . Therefore, these identity functions are  $\delta$ -closed and hence, by Theorem 2.6, they are  $\delta$ -perfect because a singleton is compact and hence  $N$ -closed relative to the space.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a semi-regular space. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $\delta$ -perfect function, then  $f$  is perfect.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X$  is semi-regular and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\delta$ -perfect. Then by Theorem 2.6,  $f$  is  $\delta$ -closed and  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $N$ -closed relative to  $X$  for each  $y \in Y$ . Since  $X$  is semi-regular,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact [5, Theorem 3.1]. Let  $F$  be a closed set of  $X$ . Then, by Lemma 3.3,  $F$  is  $\delta$ -closed in  $X$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.5,  $f(F)$  is  $\delta$ -closed and hence closed in  $Y$ . Consequently,  $f$  is closed and has compact point inverses. This shows that  $f$  is perfect.

*Remark 3.6.* In Theorem 3.5, the assumption "semi-regular" on  $X$  can not be replaced by "almost-regular", as the following example shows.

*Example 3.7.* Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\Gamma = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ . Then  $(X, \Gamma)$  is an almost-regular space which is not semi-regular. The identity function  $i_X: (X, \Gamma) \rightarrow (X, \Gamma^*)$  is  $\delta$ -perfect by Theorem 3.4. But it is not perfect because  $i_X$  is not closed.

**THEOREM 3.8.** *Let  $Y$  be a semi-regular space. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a perfect function, then  $f$  is  $\delta$ -perfect.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $Y$  is semi-regular and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is perfect. Then  $f$  has compact point inverses and hence  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $N$ -closed relative to  $X$  for each  $y \in Y$ . Let  $F$  be a  $\delta$ -closed set of  $X$ . Then  $F$  is closed in  $X$  and  $f(F)$  is closed in  $Y$ . Since  $Y$  is semi-regular, by Lemma 3.3,  $f(F)$  is  $\delta$ -closed. Therefore, by Theorem 2.6,  $f$  is  $\delta$ -perfect.

**COROLLARY 3.9.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be semi-regular spaces. A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\delta$ -perfect if and only if  $f$  is perfect.*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.8.

*Remark 3.10.* In Theorem 3.8, the assumption "semi-regular" on  $Y$  can not be replaced by "almost-regular", as the following example shows. Moreover, the example shows that the converse to Theorem 3.5 is not always true even if  $X$  is semi-regular. Similarly, Example 3.7 shows that the converse to Theorem 3.8 is not always true even if  $Y$  is semi-regular.

*Example 3.11.* Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\Gamma$  be the topology for  $X$  defined in Example 3.7. Let  $\Gamma_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ . Then  $(X, \Gamma_1)$  is a semi-regular space. The identity function  $i_X: (X, \Gamma_1) \rightarrow (X, \Gamma)$  is perfect, but not  $\delta$ -perfect.

4.  $\theta$ -PERFECT FUNCTIONS

DEFINITION 4.1. A subset  $S$  of a space  $X$  is said to be *rigid* (resp. *quasi H-closed relative to X*) [2] if for each cover  $\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \nabla\}$  of  $S$  by open sets of  $X$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that

$$S \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(\cup \{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \nabla_0\})) \text{ (resp. } S \subset \cup \{\text{Cl}(U_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \nabla_0\}).$$

For a subset of space  $X$ , the following implications are known [2]: compact  $\Rightarrow$  N-closed relative to  $X \Rightarrow$  rigid  $\Rightarrow$  quasi H-closed relative to  $X$ .

DEFINITION 4.2. A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $\theta$ -continuous [3] if for each  $x \in X$  and each neighborhood  $V$  of  $f(x)$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $f(\text{Cl}(U)) \subset \text{Cl}(V)$ .

DEFINITION 4.3. A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $\theta$ -perfect [2] if for every filter base  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $f(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \rightsquigarrow y$  implies  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) \rightsquigarrow f^{-1}(y)$ .

LEMMA 4.4. (Dickman and Porter [2]). *If a function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies*

- (a)  $[f(A)]_\theta \subset f([A]_\theta)$  for each subset  $A$  of  $X$ , and
- (b)  $f^{-1}(y)$  is rigid in  $X$  for each  $y \in Y$ ,

*then  $f$  is  $\theta$ -perfect. Moreover, if  $f$  is  $\theta$ -continuous, then the converse holds.*

THEOREM 4.5. *If  $X$  is an almost-regular space and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $\theta$ -continuous  $\theta$ -perfect function, then  $f$  is  $\delta$ -perfect.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X$  is almost-regular and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\theta$ -continuous  $\theta$ -perfect. Then, by Lemma 4.4,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is rigid and hence N-closed relative to  $X$  [6, Lemma 4]. By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 4.4, we have

$$[f(A)]_\delta \subset [f(A)]_\theta \subset f([A]_\theta) = f([A]_\delta) \quad \text{for every subset } A \text{ of } X.$$

This shows that  $f$  is  $\delta$ -closed. Therefore, by Theorem 2.6,  $f$  is  $\delta$ -perfect.

COROLLARY 4.6. *If  $X$  is a regular space, then for a  $\theta$ -continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  we have the following implications:*

$$f \text{ is } \theta\text{-perfect} \Rightarrow f \text{ is } \delta\text{-perfect} \Rightarrow f \text{ is perfect.}$$

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 4.5.

THEOREM 4.7. *If  $Y$  is an almost-regular space and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $\delta$ -perfect function, then  $f$  is  $\theta$ -perfect.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $Y$  is almost-regular and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\delta$ -perfect. Then, by Theorem 2.6,  $f$  is  $\delta$ -closed and  $f^{-1}(y)$  is N-closed relative to

$X$  for each  $y \in Y$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is rigid. Moreover, by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$[f(A)]_{\theta} = [f(A)]_{\delta} \subset f([A]_{\delta}) \subset f([A]_{\theta}) \quad \text{for every subset } A \text{ of } X.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4.4, it follows that  $f$  is  $\theta$ -perfect.

**COROLLARY 4.8.** *If  $Y$  is a regular space, then for any function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  we have the following implications:*

$$f \text{ is perfect} \Rightarrow f \text{ is } \delta\text{-perfect} \Rightarrow f \text{ is } \theta\text{-perfect.}$$

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.8 and Theorem 4.7.

**COROLLARY 4.9.** *A  $\theta$ -continuous function of an almost-regular space into an almost-regular space is  $\delta$ -perfect if and only if it is  $\theta$ -perfect.*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.7.

**COROLLARY 4.10.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be regular spaces. Then, for a continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $f$  is perfect.
- (b)  $f$  is  $\delta$ -perfect.
- (c)  $f$  is  $\theta$ -perfect.

*Proof.* This follows from Corollary 3.9 and Corollary 4.9.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. A. CARNAHAN (1972) - *Locally nearly-compact spaces*, « Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. », (4), 6, 146-153.
- [2] R. F. DICKMAN, JR. and J. R. PORTER (1977) -  *$\theta$ -perfect and  $\theta$ -absolutely closed functions*, « Illinois J. Math. », 21, 42-60.
- [3] S. FOMIN (1943) - *Extensions of topological spaces*, « Ann. Math. », 44, 471-480.
- [4] T. NOIRI - *A generalization of perfect functions*, « J. London Math. Soc. » (to appear).
- [5] T. NOIRI (1974) - *Remarks on locally nearly-compact spaces*, « Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. », (4), 10, 36-43.
- [6] T. NOIRI (1975) - *A note on locally nearly-compact spaces*, « Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. », (4), 12, 374-380.
- [7] M. K. SINGAL and S. P. ARYA (1969) - *On almost-regular spaces*, « Glasnik Mat. », 4 (24), 89-99.
- [8] N. V. VELITKO (1968) - *H-closed topological spaces*, « Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. », (2), 78, 103-118.
- [9] G. T. WHYBURN (1965) - *Directed families of sets and closedness of functions*, « Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. », 54, 688-692.