
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

SIMEON REICH

On Infinite Products of Resolvents

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 63 (1977), n.5, p. 338–340.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1977_8_63_5_338_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)*

SIMAI & UMI

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Analisi funzionale. — *On Infinite Products of Resolvents.* Nota di SIMEON REICH, presentata (*) dal Socio G. SANSONE.

RIASSUNTO. — Si dimostrano tre risultati sui prodotti infiniti di risolventi di operatori «*m*-accretive» negli spazi di Banach.

Let E be a real Banach space and let I denote the identity operator. Recall that a subset A of $E \times E$ with domain $D(A)$ and range $R(A)$ is said to be *m*-accretive if for all $x_i \in D(A)$, $y_i \in Ax_i$, $i = 1, 2$, and $r > 0$, $|x_1 - x_2| \leq |x_1 - x_2 + r(y_1 - y_2)|$, and $R(I + rA) = E$. The resolvent $J_r: E \rightarrow D(A)$ and the Yosida approximation $A_r: E \rightarrow R(A)$ of A are defined by $J_r = (I + rA)^{-1}$ and $A_r = (I - J_r)/r$. We denote the closure and convex hull of $D \subset E$ by $\text{cl}(D)$ and $\text{co}(D)$ respectively. We also define $\|D\| = \inf\{|x| : x \in D\}$.

Let $\{r_n\}$ be a positive sequence. For x in E we define $\{x_n\} \subset E$ by $x_{n+1} = J_{r_n} x_n$, $x_1 = x$. The behavior of $\{x_n\}$ when $0 \in R(A)$ has been recently considered by Rockafellar [4], Brézis and Lions [1], and Bruck and Reich [2]. In this note we present three new results which are of interest when $0 \notin R(A)$. For the case $r_n = r$ for all n , see [2, Theorem 2.4] where the argument is different.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that the norm of E is uniformly Gâteaux differentiable and that the norm of E^* is Fréchet differentiable. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i = \infty$, then the strong $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} / \left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i \right) = -v$, where v is the point of least norm in $\text{cl}(R(A))$.*

Proof. Since the norm of E is uniformly Gâteaux differentiable, $\text{cl}(R(A))$ is convex and v exists. Let ε be positive. There are $y \in D(A)$ and $z \in Ay$ such that $|z| \leq |v| + \varepsilon$. Let $y_{n+1} = \prod_{i=1}^n J_{r_i} y$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{n+1} - x| &\leq |x_{n+1} - y_{n+1}| + |y_{n+1} - y| + |y - x| \leq 2|x - y| + \sum_{i=1}^n r_i |A_{r_i} y_i| \\ &\leq 2|x - y| + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i \right) \|Ay\| \leq 2|x - y| + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i \right) (|v| + \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_{n+1} - x| / \left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i \right) \leq |v|.$$

(*) Nella seduta del 18 novembre 1977.

Since

$$(x - x_{n+1}) / \left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i \right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i A_{r_i} x_i \right) / \left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i \right)$$

belongs to $\text{co}(R(A))$, we also have $|x - x_{n+1}| / \left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i \right) \geq |v|$ for all n . Thus $|x - x_{n+1}| / \left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i \right) \rightarrow |v|$, and the result follows because the norm of E^* is Fréchet differentiable.

The conclusion of Theorem 1 can be strengthened if additional hypotheses are made.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that the norm of E is uniformly Gâteaux differentiable and that E is uniformly convex. If either*

(a) $\{r_n\}$ is bounded away from zero,

or

(b) the modulus of convexity of E satisfies $\delta(\epsilon) \geq C\epsilon^p$ for some $p \geq 2$

and $C > 0$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i^p = \infty$, then the strong $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{r_n} x_n = v$.

Proof. Since $\{|A_{r_n} x_n|\}$ is decreasing, $b = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |A_{r_n} x_n|$ exists. If $b > |v|$, let $z \in Ay$ satisfy $|z| \leq (b + |v|)/2$. Denoting $|x - y|$ by M , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{n+1} - y_{n+1}| &\leq \frac{1}{2} |x_{n+1} - y_{n+1} + x_n - y_n| \leq |x_n - y_n| - \\ &\quad - \delta(|x_n - x_{n+1} - (y_n - y_{n+1})|/M)M, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta(r_n |A_{r_n} x_n - A_{r_n} y_n|/M) < \infty.$$

Since

$$|A_{r_n} x_n - A_{r_n} y_n| \geq |A_{r_n} x_n| - |A_{r_n} y_n| \geq (b - |v|)/2,$$

this leads to a contradiction in both cases. Thus $b = |v|$, and the result follows because $A_{r_n} x_n \in R(A)$ and E is uniformly convex.

Remark 1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, the conclusion of Theorem 2 does not always hold.

THEOREM 3. *In the setting of Theorem 2, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n| = \infty$ if and only if $0 \notin R(A)$.*

Proof. It is clear that if $0 \in R(A)$, then $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Conversely, suppose $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is bounded. Then $v = 0$ by Theorem 1 and $A_{r_n} x_n \rightarrow 0$ by Theorem 2. Hence $|x_{n_k} - J_1 x_{n_k}| \leq \|A x_{n_k}\| \rightarrow 0$ and the asymptotic center of $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is a zero of A .

Remark 2. These results are also valid for certain accretive operators which are not necessarily m -accretive.

Remark 3. Applying similar ideas to the sequence $\{z_n\}$ defined by $z_{n+1} = (1 - c_n)z_n + c_n Tz_n$, where $T: E \rightarrow E$ is nonexpansive and $0 < c_n < 1$, we can in certain cases improve upon the results of [3].

Remark 4. In Theorem 2, (a) can be replaced by (a') $\{r_n\}$ does not converge to zero.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. BRÉZIS and P. L. LIONS - *Produits infinis de résolvantes*, to appear.
- [2] R. E. BRUCK and S. REICH - *Nonexpansive projections and resolvents of accretive operators in Banach spaces*, «Houston J. Math.», to appear.
- [3] S. REICH (1973) - *Asymptotic behavior of contractions in Banach spaces*, «J. Math. Anal. Appl.», 44, 57-70.
- [4] R. T. ROCKAFELLAR (1976) - *Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm*, «SIAM J. Control and Optimization», 14, 877-898.