
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

SILVIO MASSA

On a method of successive approximations

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. **62** (1977), n.5, p. 584–587.

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1977_8_62_5_584_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Analisi funzionale. — *On a method of successive approximations* (*).
Nota di SILVIO MASSA, presentata (**) dal Socio G. SCORZA DRAGONI.

RIASSUNTO. — Sia T una mappa quasi non espansiva che applica in sè un sottoinsieme chiuso e convesso di uno spazio di Banach strettamente convesso, e sia $U = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i T^i$, $\alpha_i \geq 0$, $\sum \alpha_i = 1$, $\alpha_k \cdot \alpha_{k+1} \neq 0$ per almeno un k intero. In questa Nota si dimostra che T ed U hanno gli stessi punti fissi e si danno condizioni affinché $\{U^n(x)\}$ converga ad un punto fisso. Nel caso X uniformemente convesso e T non espansiva con almeno un punto fisso, si dimostra che U è asintoticamente regolare.

1. INTRODUCTION

Here and throughout the paper, let X be a strictly convex Banach space, K a closed and convex subset of X , T a quasi-nonexpansive selfmapping of K , $F(T)$ the set of the fixed points of T (1).

In a recent Paper we considered the mapping

$$(1.1) \quad S = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i T^i, \quad \alpha_i \geq 0, \quad \sum \alpha_i = 1, \quad \alpha_0 > 0, \quad \alpha_1 > 0$$

and we proved that S and T have the same fixed points; that $\{S^n(x_0)\}$ converges to a fixed point if there exists a converging subsequence of it and T is continuous; finally that, if X is uniformly convex, then S is asymptotically regular.

In this Paper we consider the mappings (more general than S)

$$(1.2) \quad U = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i T^i, \quad \alpha_i \geq 0, \quad \sum \alpha_i = 1, \quad \alpha_k \cdot \alpha_{k+1} \neq 0$$

for at least an integer k .

We prove that, for these mappings, the above properties still hold; the asymptotic regularity of U is proved under the more restrictive condition that T is nonexpansive.

Further results on the convergence of $\{U^n(x)\}$ for mappings T of particular kind can be found in [2].

(*) Lavoro eseguito nell'ambito del G.N.A.F.A.

(**) Nella seduta del 14 maggio 1977.

(1) We recall that T is said quasi-nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $\|T(x) - p\| \leq \|x - p\| \forall p \in F(T) \wedge \forall x \in K$. In this Paper we consider only mappings that have at least one fixed point: in this case (in accordance with the previous definition) every nonexpansive map is quasi-nonexpansive. Many Authors studied quasi-nonexpansive mappings; references on principal results can be found in [3], [4] and [1].

2. RESULTS

THEOREM 1. $F(T) = F(U)$.

LEMMA 1. U is quasi-contractive ⁽²⁾.

THEOREM 2. Let T be continuous and $\exists x_0 \in K$ such that $\{U^n(x_0)\}$ has a convergent subsequence. Then $\{U^n(x_0)\}$ converges to a fixed point of T ⁽³⁾.

THEOREM 3. Let X be uniformly convex and T nonexpansive. Then U is asymptotically regular.

REMARKS. 1) The assumption $\alpha_h \cdot \alpha_{h+1} \neq 0$ cannot be removed ⁽⁴⁾ neither it can be replaced by the weaker assumption: "there are h and j such that $\alpha_h \cdot \alpha_j \neq 0$ " ⁽⁵⁾, even with the additional condition h even and j odd.

Indeed let $X = K = \mathbb{C}$, $T: x \rightarrow e^{2\pi i/3} x$.

For $\alpha_0 = \alpha_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ we have $U = \frac{1}{2}I + \frac{1}{2}T^3 = I$: Theorems 1 and 2 fail.

For $\alpha_1 = \alpha_4 = \frac{1}{2}$ we have $U = \frac{1}{2}T + \frac{1}{2}T^4 = T$: Lemma 1 and Theorem 3 fail.

2) If T has no fixed point, we can neither ensure that U is defined nor, if U is defined, that it is asymptotically regular. Indeed, if $X = K = \mathbb{R}$, $T: x \rightarrow x + 1$ it would be

$$U(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i T^i(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i (x + i) = x + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i \cdot \alpha_i$$

and it is not sure that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R} \quad \left(\text{e.g. } \alpha_0 = 0, \alpha_i = \frac{1}{i(i+1)} \right) \quad (6).$$

3. PROOFS.

We can suppose, without any loss of generality, that $0 \in F(T)$. Thus

$$\|T^i(x)\| \leq \|x\| \quad \forall x \in K \wedge \forall i.$$

(2) i.e. $F(U) \neq \emptyset$ and $\|U(x) - p\| < \|x - p\| \quad \forall p \in F(T) \wedge \forall x \in K - F(T)$.

(3) See [1], Remark 5.

(4) Exception made for Theorem 1, in the trivial case $\alpha_1 = 1$.

(5) See also [1], Remark 3.

(6) It is possible that U is asymptotically regular even if T has no fixed point. Indeed let $X = \mathbb{R}$, $K = [1, +\infty)$, $T: x \mapsto x + 1/x$. T and $U = \frac{1}{2}(I + T)$ are asymptotically regular.

THEOREM 1. $x = T(x) \Rightarrow x = U(x)$ obvious.

Let

$$x = U(x) = \sum \alpha_i T^i(x).$$

We have

$$\|x\| \leq \sum \alpha_i \|T^i(x)\| \leq \|x\|,$$

therefore

$$\|T^i(x)\| = \|x\| \quad \forall i: \alpha_i \neq 0$$

and, from the strict convexity of X ⁽⁷⁾

$$T^i(x) = x \quad \forall i: \alpha_i \neq 0.$$

In particular

$$x = T^k(x) = T^{k+1}(x) = T(T^k(x)) = T(x).$$

LEMMA 1. Let $p \in F(T) = F(U)$, $x \in K - F(T)$.

Suppose that

$$\|U(x) - p\| = \|x - p\|.$$

Thus

$$\|x - p\| = \|\sum \alpha_i (T^i(x) - p)\| \leq \sum \alpha_i \|T^i(x) - p\| \leq \|x - p\|,$$

therefore

$$x - p = T^k(x) - p = T^{k+1}(x) - p$$

that implies $x = T(x)$. Absurd.

THEOREM 2. The proof is as in Theorem 2 of [1].

THEOREM 3. Let $x_{n+1} = U(x_n)$. If $x_n \notin F(T)$, the sequence $\{\|x_n\|\}$ is strictly decreasing. Let $d = \lim \|x_n\|$ and suppose $d > 0$ (if $d = 0$ then $\{x_n\}$ converges). We have, for every h such that $\alpha_h \neq 0$

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_n \|x_{n+1} - T^h(x_n)\| = 0.$$

Indeed

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^h(x_n)\| \leq d, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{i \neq h} \frac{\alpha_i}{1 - \alpha_h} T^i(x_n) \right\| \leq d,$$

$$\|x_{n+1}\| = \left\| \alpha_h T^h(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_h) \sum_{i \neq h} \frac{\alpha_i}{1 - \alpha_h} T^i(x_n) \right\| \rightarrow d$$

and (3.1) follows from uniform convexity of X .

(7) See [1].

As

$$\|U^{n+1}(x) - U^n(x)\| = \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq \|x_{n+1} - T^k(x_n)\| + \|T^k(x_n) - x_n\|,$$

Theorem 3 is an immediate consequence of (3.1) and of the following

LEMMA 2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - T^j(x_{n+1})\| = 0 \quad \forall j \geq 1.$

a) $j = 1.$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - T(x_{n+1})\| &\leq \|x_{n+1} - T^{k+1}(x_n)\| + \|T^{k+1}(x_n) - T(x_{n+1})\| \leq \\ &\leq \|x_{n+1} - T^{k+1}(x_n)\| + \|x_{n+1} - T^k(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{from (3.1).} \end{aligned}$$

b) $j > 1.$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - T^j(x_{n+1})\| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^j \|T^{i-1}(x_{n+1}) - T^i(x_{n+1})\| \leq \\ &\leq j \|x_{n+1} - T(x_{n+1})\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{from a).} \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] S. MASSA - *On the approximation of fixed points for quasi-nonexpansive mappings*, « Ist. Lombardo Accad. Sci. Lett. Rend. A », (to appear).
- [2] S. MASSA - *Convergence of an iterative process for a class of quasi-nonexpansive mappings*, « Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. », (to appear).
- [3] W. V. PETRYSHYN and T. E. WILLIAMSON jr. (1973) - *Strong and weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for quasi-nonexpansive mappings*, « J. Math. Anal. Appl. », 43, 459-497.
- [4] D. ROUX - *Teoremi di punto fisso per applicazioni contrattive*, Atti del Convegno Linceo su Applicazioni del Teorema del Punto Fisso all'Analisi Economica (to appear).