
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

LU-SAN CHEN, CHEH-CHIH YEH

**Remark on nonoscillatory solution of nonlinear n-th
order functional differential equation with deviating
arguments**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 62 (1977), n.4, p. 459–462.*
Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1977_8_62_4_459_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Equazioni funzionali. — *Remark on nonoscillatory solution of nonlinear n -th order functional differential equation with deviating arguments* (*). Nota di LU-SAN CHEN e CHEH-CHIH YEH, presentata (**) dal Socio G. SANSONE.

RIASSUNTO. — Si dà una condizione necessaria perché le soluzioni di un'equazione differenziale funzionale non lineare di ordine n , con argomento ritardato, siano nonoscillatorie e limitate.

Nonoscillatory theorems are presented for the nonlinear n -th order functional differential equation with deviating arguments

$$L_n x(t) + (-1)^n p(t) f(x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_m(t)]) = 0$$

where L_n is an operator defined recursively by

$$L_0 x(t) = x(t) \quad , \quad L_i x(t) = \frac{1}{r_i(t)} \frac{d}{dt} L_{i-1} x(t), r_i(t) > 0,$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, n, r_n(t) = 1$, and

$$\int_0^\infty r_i(t) dt = \infty, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we are concerned with the following nonlinear n -th order functional differential equation with deviating arguments

$$(*) \quad L_n x(t) + (-1)^n p(t) f(x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_m(t)]) = 0.$$

For this equation the following conditions are assumed to hold throughout the paper:

(α) $r_i(t)$ and $p(t)$ are continuous and positive on $[t_0, \infty)$ and

$$\int_0^\infty r_i(t) dt = \infty, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

(β) $f(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^m$ and if $y_j > 0$ for every

$j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then $f(y_1, \dots, y_m) > 0$, and if $y_j < 0$ for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then $f(y_1, \dots, y_m) < 0$;

(γ) $g_j(t)$ is continuous on $[t_0, \infty)$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_j(t) = \infty, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

(*) This research was supported by the National Science Council.

(**) Nella seduta del 16 aprile 1977.

The purpose of this paper is to establish the necessary and/or sufficient conditions for equation (*) to have a bounded nonoscillatory solution. Results in this respect can be found in [2, 3, 5]. In order to obtain our main results, we need the following Kirguradze's lemma [4] which was extended by the Authors [1].

LEMMA. Let $u(t)$ be a positive n -times continuously differentiable function on an interval $[a, \infty)$. If $L_n u(t)$ is of constant sign and not identically zero for all large t , then there exists a $t_u \geq a$ and an integer k , $0 \leq k \leq n$ with $n+k$ odd if $L_n u(t) \leq 0$, $n+k$ even if $L_n u(t) \geq 0$ and such that for every $t \geq t_u$.

$$\begin{cases} L_\nu u(t) \geq 0, & \nu = 0, 1, \dots, k-1 \\ (-1)^{n+\nu} L_\nu u(t) \geq 0, & \nu = k, k+1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

THEOREM 1. A necessary condition for equation (*) to have a bounded nonoscillatory solution is

$$(1) \quad \int_{s_1}^{\infty} r_1(s_1) \int_{s_{n-2}}^{\infty} r_2(s_2) \cdots \int_{s_{n-1}}^{\infty} r_{n-1}(s_{n-1}) \int_{s_{n-1}}^{\infty} p(s) ds ds_{n-1} \cdots ds_1 < \infty.$$

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a bounded nonoscillatory solution of (*). Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x(t) > 0$ for $t \geq T$, where T is large enough. By condition (γ), we obtain $x[g_j(t)] > 0$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ for $t \geq T$. It follows from (*), (α) and (β) that

$$L_n x(t) \begin{cases} < 0, & n \text{ even} \\ > 0, & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

The lemma implies $(-1)^{i+1} L_i x(t) \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ eventually. Thus, $x(t)$ is a nondecreasing function and since $x(t) > 0$ and is bounded, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x[g_j(t)] = l > 0$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Using (β) we may choose $T_1 \geq T$ such that for $t \geq T_1$

$$f(x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_m(t)]) \geq \frac{1}{2} f(l, \dots, l) = M.$$

From (*) we have

$$(2) \quad (-1)^n L_{n-1} x(t) \geq \int_t^{\infty} p(s) f(x[g_1(s)], \dots, x[g_m(s)]) ds \geq M \int_t^{\infty} p(s) ds.$$

Integrating (2), $n-2$ times from t to ∞ , we have

$$(3) \quad L_1 x(t) \geq M \int_t^{\infty} r_2(s_2) \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{\infty} r_{n-1}(s_{n-1}) \int_{s_{n-1}}^{\infty} p(s) ds ds_{n-1} \cdots ds_2.$$

Hence for $t \geq u \geq T_1$ we obtain

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} x(t) &> x(t) - x(u) \geq \\ &\geq M \int_u^t r_1(s_1) \int_{s_1}^t r_2(s_2) \cdots \int_{s_{n-2}}^\infty r_{n-1}(s_{n-1}) \int_{s_{n-1}}^\infty p(s) ds ds_{n-1} \cdots ds_1. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (4), we complete our proof.

We shall now clarify the importance of Theorem 1 by applying it to the particular cases:

$$(**) \quad \left[\frac{1}{r_{n-k}(t)} x^{(n-k)}(t) \right]^{(k)} + (-1)^n p(t) f(x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_m(t)]) = 0,$$

and

$$(***) \quad x^{(n)}(t) + (-1)^n p(t) f(x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_m(t)]) = 0.$$

COROLLARY 1. *A necessary condition for equation (**) to have a bounded nonoscillatory solution is*

$$(5) \quad \int_t^\infty r_{n-k}(t) t^{n-k-1} \int_t^\infty (s-t)^{k-1} p(s) ds dt < \infty.$$

COROLLARY 2. *A necessary condition for equation (***) to have a bounded nonoscillatory solution is*

$$(6) \quad \int t^{n-1} p(t) dt < \infty.$$

REMARK. By taking n even and $m = 1$ in Corollary 2, we obtain Grefsrud's result [2, Theorem 1].

We assume that under the initial conditions $x(t) = \varphi(t)$, $t \leq t_0$, $x^{(k)}(t_0) = x_0^{(k)}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, the equation (**) has a solution which exists for all $t \geq t_0$. Next, we establish sufficient conditions for equation (**) to have a bounded nonoscillatory solution.

THEOREM 2. *Let condition (6) hold and $f(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ be nondecreasing with respect to $y_1, \dots, y_m$. Then there exists a bounded nonoscillatory solution of (**).*

Proof. We now define a sequence $\{x_k(t)\}_{k=0}^\infty$ by

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} x_0(t) = 1 \\ x_{k+1}(t) = 1 + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{(s-t_0)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p(s) f(x_k[g_1(s)], \dots, x_k[g_m(s)]) ds \\ \quad + \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{(s-t_0)^{n-1} - (s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p(s) f(x_k[g_1(s)], \dots, x_k[g_m(s)]) ds, \end{cases}$$

where t_0 is chosen such that

$$(8) \quad f(2, \dots, 2) \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \frac{(s-t_0)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p(s) ds + \int_t^\infty \frac{(s-t_0)^{n-1} - (s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p(s) ds \right\} \leq 1.$$

By induction, we see easily that for $t \geq t_0$

$$(9) \quad 1 \leq x_k(t) \leq x_{k+1}(t) \leq 2, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Hence the sequence $\{x_k(t)\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is nondecreasing and uniformly bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$. It follows from Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem that there exists a $x(t)$ such that $x(t) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k(t)$. Thus $1 \leq x(t) \leq 2$, $t \geq t_0$ and $x(t)$ is the solution of

$$(10) \quad x(t) = 1 + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{(s-t_0)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p(s) f(x[g_1(s)], \dots, x[g_m(s)]) ds \\ + \int_t^\infty \frac{(s-t_0)^{n-1} - (s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p(s) f(x[g_1(s)], \dots, x[g_m(s)]) ds.$$

Differentiating (10) n -times we have the equation (**). It means that (10) is a nonoscillatory solution of equation (**).

REFERENCES

- [1] LU-SAN CHEN and CHEH-CHIH YEH - *Oscillations of nonlinear retarded higher order differential equations*, to appear.
- [2] G. W. GREFSRUD (1975) - *Oscillatory properties of solutions of certain n -th order functional differential equations*, « Pacific J. Math. », 60, 83-93.
- [3] H. E. GOLLWITZER (1969) - *On nonlinear oscillations for a second order delay equation*, « J. Math. Anal. Appl. », 26, 385-389.
- [4] I. T. KIGURADZE (1967) - *The problem of oscillations of solutions of nonlinear differential equations*, « J. Differential Equations », 3, 773-782.
- [5] T. KUSANO and H. ONOSE (1974) - *Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of sublinear retarded differential equations*, « Hiroshima Math. J. », 4, 343-355.