
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

PRATAP SINGH, AWADHESH KUMAR SINGH

**On Kaehlerian conharmonic recurrent and
Kaehlerian conharmonic symmetric spaces**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 62 (1977), n.2, p. 173–179.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1977_8_62_2_173_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Geometria differenziale. — *On Kaehlerian conharmonic recurrent and Kaehlerian conharmonic symmetric spaces.* Nota di UDAI PRATAP SINGH e AWADHESH KUMAR SINGH, presentata (*) dal Socio B. SEGRE.

RIASSUNTO. — Vengono studiati certi spazi kaehleriani ricorrenti, detti coarmonici e coarmonici simmetrici.

I. INTRODUCTION

An $n (= 2m)$ dimensional Kaehlerian space K^n is a Riemannian space which admits a structure tensor field φ_i^h satisfying the relations

$$(1.1)^{(1)} \quad \varphi_j^i \varphi_i^h = -\delta_j^h,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi_{ij} = -\varphi_{ji}, \quad (\varphi_{ij} = \varphi_i^a g_{aj})$$

$$(1.3) \quad \varphi_{i,j}^h = 0,$$

where the comma followed by an index denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the metric tensor g_{ij} of the Riemannian space.

The Riemannian curvature tensor, which we denote by R_{ijk}^h , is given by

$$R_{ijk}^h = \partial_i \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\} - \partial_j \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ ik \end{matrix} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ il \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} l \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\} - \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ jl \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} l \\ ik \end{matrix} \right\} \quad (2),$$

whereas the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature are respectively given by $R_{ij} = R_{a ij}^a$ and $R = R_{ij} g^{ij}$.

It is well known that these tensors satisfy the identities ([1])⁽³⁾

$$(1.4) \quad \varphi_i^a R_a^j = R_i^a \varphi_a^j,$$

$$(1.4)' \quad \varphi_i^a R_{aj} = -R_{ia} \varphi_j^a.$$

In view of equation (1.1), the relation (1.4) gives

$$(1.5) \quad \varphi_i^a R_a^b \varphi_b^j = -R_i^j.$$

(*) Nella seduta del 12 febbraio 1977.

(1) All Latin indices run over the range from 1 to n .

(2) $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i$, where $\{x^i\}$ denotes real local coordinates.

(3) The numbers in square brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

Also, multiplying (1.4)' by g^{ij} , we obtain

$$\varphi_i^a R_a^i = -R_a^j \varphi_j^a,$$

which implies

$$(1.6) \quad \varphi_i^a R_a^i = 0.$$

If we define a tensor S_{ij} by

$$(1.7) \quad S_{ij} = \varphi_i^a R_{aj},$$

we have

$$(1.8) \quad S_{ij} = -S_{ji}.$$

The holomorphically conharmonic curvature tensor T_{ijk}^h and the Bochner curvature tensor K_{ijk}^h are given by ([3])

$$(1.9) \quad T_{ijk}^h = R_{ijk}^h + (R_{ik} \delta_j^h - R_{jk} \delta_i^h + g_{ik} R_j^h - g_{jk} R_i^h + S_{ik} \varphi_j^h - S_{jk} \varphi_i^h + \varphi_{ik} S_j^h - \varphi_{jk} S_i^h + 2 S_{ij} \varphi_k^h + 2 \varphi_{ij} S_k^h) / (n+4)$$

and

$$(1.10) \quad K_{ijk}^h = R_{ijk}^h + (R_{ik} \delta_j^h - R_{jk} \delta_i^h + g_{ik} R_j^h - g_{jk} R_i^h + S_{ik} \varphi_j^h - S_{jk} \varphi_i^h + \varphi_{ik} S_j^h - \varphi_{jk} S_i^h + 2 \varphi_k^h S_{ij} + 2 \varphi_{ij} S_k^h) / (n+4) - R (g_{ik} \delta_j^h - g_{jk} \delta_i^h + \varphi_{ik} \varphi_j^h - \varphi_{jk} \varphi_i^h + 2 \varphi_{ij} \varphi_k^h) / [(n+2)(n+4)]$$

respectively.

The equation (1.10), in view of (1.9), may be expressed as

$$(1.11) \quad K_{ijk}^h = T_{ijk}^h - R (g_{ik} \delta_j^h - g_{jk} \delta_i^h + \varphi_{ik} \varphi_j^h - \varphi_{jk} \varphi_i^h + 2 \varphi_{ij} \varphi_k^h) / [(n+2)(n+4)].$$

We shall use the following

DEFINITION (1.1). A Kaehler space satisfying ([2])

$$(1.12) \quad R_{ijk,a}^h = \lambda_a R_{ijk}^h$$

for some non-zero vector field λ_a , will be called a Kaehlerian recurrent space. The space K^n is called Kaehlerian Ricci-recurrent if it satisfies the relation

$$(1.13) \quad R_{ij,a} = \lambda_a R_{ij};$$

then, multiplying the above equation by g^{ij} and using the fact that $g^{ij}_{,a} = 0$, we get

$$(1.13) \quad R_{,a} = \lambda_a R.$$

REMARK (1.1). From (1.13) it follows that every Kaehlerian recurrent space is Kaehlerian Ricci-recurrent; but the converse is not necessarily true.

DEFINITION (1.2). A Kaehler space is called Kaehlerian symmetric in the sense of Cartan if it satisfies ([2])

$$(1.14) \quad R_{ijk,a}^h = 0 \quad \text{or equivalently } R_{ijkl,a} = 0.$$

Obviously a Kaehlerian symmetric space is Kaehlerian Ricci-symmetric, i.e.

$$(1.14)' \quad R_{ij,a} = 0.$$

DEFINITION (1.3). A Kaehler space in which the Bochner curvature tensor K_{ijk}^h satisfies the relation

$$(1.15) \quad K_{ijk,a}^h = \lambda_a K_{ijk}^h$$

for some non-zero vector λ_a , will be called a Kaehler space with recurrent Bochner curvature tensor, or Kaehlerian Bochner recurrent space ([2]).

In the present paper, we give several theorems in Kaehlerian conharmonic recurrent and Kaehlerian conharmonic symmetric spaces.

2. KAEHLERIAN CONHARMONIC RECURRENT SPACE

DEFINITION (2.1). A Kahler space satisfying the relation

$$(2.1) \quad T_{ijk,a}^h = \lambda_a T_{ijk}^h$$

for some non-zero recurrence vector λ_a , will be called a Kaehlerian conharmonic recurrent space.

THEOREM (2.1). *Every Kaehlerian recurrent space is Kaehlerian conharmonic recurrent.*

Proof. A Kaehlerian recurrent space is characterized by the equation (1.12), which yields (1.13). By differentiating (1.9) covariantly with respect to x^a and using equations (1.7) and (1.13), we get

$$T_{ijk,a}^h = \lambda_a T_{ijk}^h,$$

which shows that the space is Kaehlerian conharmonic recurrent.

THEOREM (2.2). *Every Kaehlerian conharmonic recurrent space is a Kaehler space with recurrent Bochner curvature tensor.*

Proof. Let the space be Kaehlerian conharmonic recurrent. Equation (2.1), in view of (1.9) gives

$$(2.2) \quad R_{ijk,a}^h + (\delta_j^h R_{ik,a} - \delta_i^h R_{jk,a} + g_{ik} R_{j,a}^h - g_{jk} R_{i,a}^h + \varphi_j^h S_{ik,a} - \varphi_i^h S_{jk,a} + \varphi_{ik} S_{j,a}^h - \varphi_{jk} S_{i,a}^h + 2 \varphi_k^h S_{ij,a} + 2 \varphi_{ij} S_{k,a}^h)/(n+4) = \\ = \lambda_a [R_{ijk}^h + (R_{ik} \delta_j^h - R_{jk} \delta_i^h + g_{ik} R_j^h - g_{jk} R_i^h + S_{ik} \varphi_j^h - S_{jk} \varphi_i^h + \varphi_{ik} S_j^h - \varphi_{jk} S_i^h + 2 S_{ij} \varphi_k^h + 2 \varphi_{ij} S_k^h)/(n+4)].$$

Multiplying the above equation by g^{jk} and making some simplifications with the help of equations (1.4), (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7) and using also the identity $\varphi_{ij} g^{ij} = 0$, we get

$$(2.3) \quad \delta_i^h (R_{,a} - \lambda_a R) = 0,$$

which gives

$$(2.4) \quad R_{,a} - \lambda_a R = 0.$$

Differentiating (1.11) covariantly with respect to x^a , we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad K_{ijk,a}^h = T_{ijk,a}^h - R_{,a} (g_{ik} \delta_j^h - g_{jk} \delta_i^h + \varphi_{ik} \varphi_j^h - \varphi_{jk} \varphi_i^h + 2 \varphi_{ij} \varphi_k^h) / [(n+2)(n+4)].$$

Multiplying (1.9) by λ_a and subtracting from (2.5), we have

$$(2.6) \quad K_{ijk,a}^h - \lambda_a K_{ijk}^h = T_{ijk,a}^h - \lambda_a T_{ijk}^h - (R_{,a} - \lambda_a R) (g_{ik} \delta_j^h - g_{jk} \delta_i^h + \varphi_{ik} \varphi_j^h - \varphi_{jk} \varphi_i^h + 2 \varphi_{ij} \varphi_k^h) / [(n+2)(n+4)].$$

Using equations (2.1) and (2.4) in (2.6), we obtain

$$K_{ijk,a}^h - \lambda_a K_{ijk}^h = 0,$$

which shows that the space is a Kaehler space with recurrent Bochner curvature tensor.

THEOREM (2.3). *The necessary and sufficient conditions for a Kaehler space to be Kaehlerian conharmonic recurrent are that the space be of recurrent Bochner curvature and that*

$$R_{,a} - \lambda_a R = 0$$

holds.

Proof. The necessary part has been proved in Theorem (2.2). For the sufficient condition, suppose that the space be of recurrent Bochner curvature and that

$$R_{,a} - \lambda_a R = 0$$

holds. The equation (1.11) yields

$$K_{ijk,a}^h - \lambda_a K_{ijk}^h = T_{ijk,a}^h - \lambda_a T_{ijk}^h - (R_{,a} - \lambda_a R) (g_{ik} \delta_j^h - g_{jk} \delta_i^h + \varphi_{ik} \varphi_j^h - \varphi_{jk} \varphi_i^h + 2 \varphi_{ij} \varphi_k^h) / [(n+2)(n+4)].$$

This equation, after using the above mentioned conditions, gives

$$T_{ijk,a}^h - \lambda_a T_{ijk}^h = 0,$$

which shows that the space is Kaehlerian conharmonic recurrent. This completes the proof.

THEOREM (2.4). *A Kaehlerian conharmonic recurrent space will be Kaehlerian recurrent provided that it is Kaehlerian Ricci-recurrent.*

Proof. Differentiating (1.9) covariantly with respect to x^a , we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad T_{ijk,a}^h = R_{ijk,a}^h + (\delta_j^h R_{ik,a} - \delta_i^h R_{jk,a} + g_{ik} R_{j,a}^h - g_{jk} R_{i,a}^h + \varphi_j^h S_{ik,a} - \varphi_i^h S_{jk,a} + \varphi_{ik} S_{j,a}^h - \varphi_{jk} S_{i,a}^h + 2 \varphi_k^h S_{ij,a} + 2 \varphi_{ij} S_{k,a}^h)/(n+4).$$

Multiplying equation (1.9) by λ_a and subtracting from (2.7), we have

$$(2.8) \quad T_{ijk,a}^h - \lambda_a T_{ijk}^h = R_{ijk,a}^h \lambda_a R_{ijk}^h + [\delta_j^h (R_{ik,a} - \lambda_a R_{ik}) - \delta_i^h (R_{jk,a} - \lambda_a R_{jk}) + g_{ik} (R_{j,a}^h - \lambda_a R_j^h) - g_{jk} (R_{i,a}^h - \lambda_a R_i^h) + \varphi_j^h (S_{ik,a} - \lambda_a S_{ik}) - \varphi_i^h (S_{jk,a} - \lambda_a S_{jk}) + \varphi_{ik} (S_{j,a}^h - \lambda_a S_j^h) - \varphi_{jk} (S_{i,a}^h - \lambda_a S_i^h) + 2 \varphi_k^h (S_{ij,a} - \lambda_a S_{ij}) + 2 \varphi_{ij} (S_{k,a}^h - \lambda_a S_k^h)]/(n+4).$$

Let the space be Kaehlerian Ricci-recurrent. Then equation (2.8) yields

$$(2.9) \quad T_{ijk,a}^h - \lambda_a T_{ijk}^h = R_{ijk,a}^h - \lambda_a R_{ijk}^h,$$

which shows that the Kaehlerian conharmonic recurrent space is Kaehlerian recurrent.

THEOREM (2.5). *The necessary and sufficient condition that a Kaehler space is Kaehlerian Ricci-recurrent, is that*

$$T_{ijk,a}^h - \lambda_a T_{ijk}^h = R_{ijk,a}^h - \lambda_a R_{ijk}^h.$$

Proof. Let the space be Kaehlerian Ricci-recurrent, then the relation (1.13) is satisfied and so the equation (2.8) reduces to

$$T_{ijk,a}^h - \lambda_a T_{ijk}^h = R_{ijk,a}^h - \lambda_a R_{ijk}^h.$$

Conversely, if in a Kaehler space the above equation is satisfied, then equation (2.8) yields

$$(2.10) \quad \delta_j^h (R_{ik,a} - \lambda_a R_{ik}) - \delta_i^h (R_{jk,a} - \lambda_a R_{jk}) + g_{ik} (R_{j,a}^h - \lambda_a R_j^h) - g_{jk} (R_{i,a}^h - \lambda_a R_i^h) + \varphi_j^h (S_{ik,a} - \lambda_a S_{ik}) - \varphi_i^h (S_{jk,a} - \lambda_a S_{jk}) + \varphi_{ik} (S_{j,a}^h - \lambda_a S_j^h) - \varphi_{jk} (S_{i,a}^h - \lambda_a S_i^h) + 2 \varphi_k^h (S_{ij,a} - \lambda_a S_{ij}) + 2 \varphi_{ij} (S_{k,a}^h - \lambda_a S_k^h) = 0.$$

Simplifying with the help of equations (1.4), (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7), and also using the identity $\varphi_{ij} g^{ij} = 0$, we get

$$(2.11) \quad (n+2) (R_{ik,a} - \lambda_a R_{ik}) = 0,$$

which gives

$$R_{ik,a} - \lambda_a R_{ik} = 0.$$

This shows that the space is Kaehlerian Ricci-recurrent, which completes the proof.

The following theorem is immediate from (2.9):

THEOREM (2.6). *The necessary and sufficient condition for a Kaehlerian Ricci-recurrent space to be Kaehlerian recurrent is that the space be Kaehlerian conharmonic recurrent.*

3. KAEHLERIAN CONHARMONIC SYMMETRIC SPACE

DEFINITION (3.1). A Kaehler space satisfying the relation

$$(3.1) \quad T_{ijk,a}^h = 0, \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad T_{ijk,l,a} = 0,$$

will be called a Kaehlerian conharmonic symmetric space.

THEOREM (3.1). *Every Kaehlerian symmetric space is a Kaehlerian conharmonic symmetric space.*

Proof. If the space is Kaehlerian symmetric, then the relations (1.14) and (1.14)' are satisfied and so the equation (2.7) gives

$$T_{ijk,a}^h = 0,$$

which shows that the space is Kaehlerian conharmonic symmetric.

THEOREM (3.2). *The necessary and sufficient condition that a Kaehlerian conharmonic symmetric space be Kaehlerian Ricci recurrent, with recurrence vector λ_a , is that*

$$R_{ijk,a}^h + \lambda_a (T_{ijk}^h - R_{ijk}^h) = 0.$$

Proof. Since the space is Kaehlerian conharmonic symmetric, the equation (2.8) takes the form

$$(3.2) \quad R_{ijk,a}^h - \lambda_a R_{ijk}^h + \lambda_a T_{ijk}^h + [\delta_j^h (R_{ik,a} - \lambda_a R_{ik}) - \delta_i^h (R_{jk,a} - \lambda_a R_{jk}) + \\ + g_{ik} (R_{j,a}^h - \lambda_a R_j^h) - g_{jk} (R_{i,a}^h - \lambda_a R_i^h) + \varphi_j^h (S_{ik,a} - \lambda_a S_{ik}) - \\ - \varphi_i^h (S_{jk,a} - \lambda_a S_{jk}) + \varphi_{ik} (S_{j,a}^h - \lambda_a S_j^h) - \varphi_{jk} (S_{i,a}^h - \lambda_a S_i^h) + \\ + 2 \varphi_k^h (S_{ij,a} - \lambda_a S_{ij}) + 2 \varphi_{ij} (S_{k,a}^h - \lambda_a S_k^h)] / (n+4) = 0.$$

If the space is Kaehlerian Ricci-recurrent, the above equation reduces to

$$(3.3) \quad R_{ijk,a}^h - \lambda_a R_{ijk}^h + \lambda_a T_{ijk}^h = 0.$$

Conversely, if this equation is satisfied, then proceeding as in Theorem (2.5) it can be seen that the space is Kaehlerian Ricci-recurrent.

THEOREM (3.3). *In a Kaehlerian conharmonic symmetric space the scalar curvature is constant.*

Proof. From equations (1.9) and (3.1), we obtain

$$(3.3)' \quad R_{ijk,a}^h = -(\delta_j^h R_{ik,a} - \delta_i^h R_{jk,a} + g_{ik} R_{j,a}^h - g_{jk} R_{i,a}^h + \varphi_j^h S_{ik,a} - \\ - \varphi_i^h S_{jk,a} + \varphi_{ik} S_{j,a}^h - \varphi_{jk} S_{i,a}^h + 2 \varphi_k^h S_{ij,a} + 2 \varphi_{ij} S_{k,a}^h)/(n+4).$$

Multiplying this equation by g^{jk} , making use of equations (1.4), (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7), and also using the identity $\varphi_{jk} g^{jk} = 0$, we get

$$(3.4) \quad \delta_i^h R_{,a} = 0,$$

which gives

$$(3.5) \quad R_{,a} = 0, \quad \text{i.e. } R \text{ is a constant.}$$

Acknowledgement. We are extremely grateful to Prof. Dr. B. Segre for his valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. TACHIBANA (1967) - *On the Bochner curvature tensor*, « Nat. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ. », 18 (1), 15-19.
- [2] K. B. LAL and S. S. SINGH (1971) - *On Kaehlerian spaces with recurrent Bochner curvature*, « Rend. Acc. Naz. Lincei », 51 (3-4), 143-150.
- [3] B. B. SINHA (1973) - *On H-curvature tensor in a Kaehler manifold*, « Kyungpook Math. J. », 13 (2), 185-189.
- [4] K. YANO (1965) - *Differential geometry on complex and almost complex spaces*, Pergamon Press.