
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

JAU-SHYONG SHIUE

On a paper by Kuipers and Scheelbeek

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 62 (1977), n.2, p. 131–133.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1977_8_62_2_131_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Teoria dei gruppi. — *On a paper by Kuipers and Scheelbeek.* Nota di JAU-SHYONG SHIUE (*), presentata (**) dal Socio B. SEGRE.

RIASSUNTO. — Nel lavoro [2] si danno due risultati, qui richiamati come Teoremi A e B. In questa Nota si osserva mediante un controesempio che il Teor. A è incorretto e, ricorrendo ad un classico risultato di Eckmann [1], si stabilisce un Teorema 1, più generale del suddetto Teorema B.

In [1], Eckmann introduced the notion of uniform distribution of a sequence in a compact topological group: Let G be a compact topological group with Haar measure u with $u(G) = 1$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence from G , N be any positive integer. Put

$$A(M, N) = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ x_n \in M \subset G}}^N 1$$

Then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be uniformly distributed in G if, for each closed set M with boundary ∂M satisfying $u(\partial M) = 0$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(M, N)}{N}$$

exists and equals $u(M)$.

Eckmann [1] also proved the famous classical result.

If G is a compact topological group, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) from G is uniformly distributed in G if, and only if, for each non-trivial irreducible representation D of G

$$(1) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N D(x_n) = 0.$$

If in addition G is abelian, then D in (1) stands for a non-trivial character of G .

In [2], Kuipers and Scheelbeek gave the following:

THEOREM A. *Let G and H be two compact topological groups. Let $a \in G$ be fixed point free under all non-trivial representations of G . Let $b \in H$. Then the sequence of elements $(a, b), (a, b^2), (a^2, b), (a, b^3), (a^3, b), (a^2, b^2), (a^2, b^3), (a^3, b^2), (a, b^4), (a^4, b), \dots$ is uniformly distributed in F , the direct product of G and H .*

(*) This paper was written while the author was a Humboldt Stiftung fellow visiting University of Köln.

(**) Nella seduta del 12 febbraio 1977.

THEOREM B. *If G is an abelian group, and H is a normal subgroup of finite index, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) from G is uniformly distributed in the cosets of $G \bmod H$ if, and only if, (1) holds for every non-trivial character f of G which is trivial on H .*

In this Note, we first remark that Theorem A is incorrect as can be seen by the following:

COUNTEREXAMPLE. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $H = \mathbb{Z}_4$ be the rings of integers mod 2 and mod 4 respectively in Theorem A with the discrete topology. Take $a = 1, b = 2$. Then the above mentioned sequence becomes

$$\{(1, 2), (1, 0), (1, 2), (1, 2), (1, 2), (1, 0), \dots\}.$$

The sequence is not uniformly distributed in $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$, because $nb \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{4}$.

Secondly, we want to prove

THEOREM 1. *If G is any topological group, and H is a normal subgroup of finite index, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ from G is uniformly distributed in the right cosets (or left cosets) of $G \bmod H$ if, and only if, (1) holds for every non-trivial irreducible representation D of G which is trivial in H .*

Proof. We know by definition that $\{x_n\}$ is uniformly distributed in the right cosets X of $G \bmod H$ if, and only if,

$$(2) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ x_n \in X}}^N 1 = \{G : H\}^{-1} \quad \text{for all } X.$$

On the other hand (2) holds if, and only if,

$$(3) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ x_n + H = X}}^N 1 = \{G : H\}^{-1} \quad \text{for all } X.$$

Applying Eckmann's definition in the simplest form, (3) holds if, and only if,

$$(4) \quad \{x_n + H\} \quad \text{is uniformly distributed in } G/H.$$

This means, by the classical Eckmann result, that (4) holds if, and only if,

$$(5) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N D(x_n + H) = 0$$

for all non-trivial irreducible representation D on G/H .

Moreover, the equation

$$D'(a) = D(a + H) \quad \forall a \in G$$

implies that any representation on G/H can be induced as a representation on G which is trivial on H and conversely. Thus (5) holds if, and only if,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N D'(x_n) = 0$$

for all non-trivial irreducible representation D' on G which is trivial on H .

This proves Theorem 1 completely.

REMARK. We should like to point out another essential difference between our proof and Kuipers-Scheelbeek's. In Kuipers-Scheelbeek's paper [2], only rather elementary computations appear. Here we use Eckmann's theorem to prove Theorem 1 and make the argument shorter. Moreover, Theorem 1 is more general than that of Kuipers-Scheelbeek.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. ECKMANN (1943-44) - *Ueber monothetische Gruppen*, «Comm. Math. Helv.», 16, 249-263.
- [2] L. KUIPERS and P. A. J. SCHEELBEEK (1968) - *Uniform distribution of sequences from direct products of groups*, «Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa», 22, 599-608.