

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI  
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI  
**RENDICONTI**

---

NICK MOUSOURIS, A.DUANE PORTER

**The symmetric matrix equation**

$$X'_n \cdots X'_1 A X_1 \cdots X_n = B.$$

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 62 (1977), n.2, p. 126–130.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\\_1977\\_8\\_62\\_2\\_126\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1977_8_62_2_126_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)  
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

**Algebra.** — *The symmetric matrix equation*  $X'_n \cdots X'_1 A X_1 \cdots X_n = B$ .  
Nota di NICK MOUSOURIS e A. DUANE PORTER, presentata (\*) dal  
Socio B. SEGRE.

RIASSUNTO. — Si determina il numero delle soluzioni  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  della suddetta equazione su di un campo di Galois, dove  $A$  e  $B$  designano due assegnate matrici simmetriche.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $F = GF(q)$  denote the finite of  $q = p^f$  elements,  $p$  odd. The transpose of a matrix  $X$  is denoted by  $X'$ .

L. Carlitz [2] and John H. Hodges [5] calculated the number of solutions  $X$  over  $F$  to the matrix equation

$$(1.1) \quad X'AX = B.$$

Carlitz found the number  $N_t(A, B)$  of  $m \times t$  matrices  $X$  satisfying (1.1) for  $A$  and  $B$  symmetric,  $A$  nonsingular of order  $m$  and  $B$  of order  $t$  and rank  $r$ . We refer to this as the unranked case. Hodges gave an explicit formulation for the number  $N(A, B; k)$  of  $e \times t$  matrices  $X$  over  $F$  of rank  $k$  satisfying (1.1), where  $A$  and  $B$  are symmetric,  $A$  is of order  $e$  rank  $m$  and  $B$  is as above.  $N(A, B; k)$  is the number of ranked solutions to (1.1).

In this paper we count the number of solutions  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  over  $F$  to the equation

$$(1.2) \quad X'_n \cdots X'_1 A X_1 \cdots X_n = B,$$

for  $A$  and  $B$  symmetric, where  $A$  is of order  $d_0$ , rank  $m$  and  $B$  is of order  $d_n$  and rank  $r$  in both the ranked and unranked cases.  $N(\alpha, \beta, d_0, \dots, d_n; m, r)$  represents the number of solutions  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ,  $n > 1$ , where  $X_i$  is a  $d_{i-1} \times d_i$  matrix,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  to (1.2), (the unranked case), and  $\alpha, \beta$  represent the invariants of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively to be discussed in section 2.  $N(\alpha, \beta, d_0, \dots, d_n; m, r, k_1, \dots, k_n)$ ,  $n > 1$ , denotes the number of solutions of (1.2) where  $\alpha, \beta, d_0, \dots, d_n, r$  and  $m$  have the same meaning as before and  $k_i$  is the rank of  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , (the ranked case). The resulting formula for  $N(\alpha, \beta, d_0, \dots, d_n; m, r, k_1, \dots, k_n)$  is the more general formula in the sense that by summing over all admissible ranks of  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  it is possible to evaluate  $N(\alpha, \beta, d_0, \dots, d_n; m, r)$ . For  $n = 1$  (2.2) and (2.3) yield explicit formulations for the number of solutions to (1.1) in the unranked and ranked cases respectively.

(\*) Nella seduta del 12 febbraio 1977.

## 2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $F$  be as in section 1. Matrices with elements from  $F$  are denoted by Roman capitals  $A, B, \dots$ .  $A(s, m)$  denotes a matrix of  $s$  rows and  $m$  columns and  $A(s, m; r)$  denotes a matrix of the same dimensions having rank  $r$ .  $I_r$  denotes the identity matrix of order  $r$  and  $I(s, m; r)$  denotes an  $s \times m$  matrix having  $I_r$  in its upper left hand corner and zeros elsewhere.

If  $A = A(e, e; m)$  is symmetric then  $A$  is congruent [3; 168] to a diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Let  $\delta = \delta(A) = \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  (clearly  $\delta(A) \neq 0$  unless  $m = 0$ ) and let  $\psi$  denote the generalized Legendre function defined by  $\psi(\alpha) = 0, 1, -1$  according as  $\alpha = 0$ , a nonzero square or a non-square of  $F$ . Then as Hodges [5; 222] notes  $\lambda(A)$  defined by  $\lambda(A) = \psi(\delta(A))$  is an invariant under congruence and is called the invariant of  $A$ .

Carlitz's formula [2; Theorem 5] for  $N_t(A, B)$ , the number of solutions  $X(m, t)$  to (1.1), requires  $A$  to be nonsingular. If in (1.1)  $A$  is taken to be symmetric,  $A = A(e, e; m)$  and  $B$  is symmetric  $B = B(t, t; r)$  then by Hodges argument [5; 224] (1.1) can be reduced to the equivalent matrix equation

$$(2.1) \quad X' \text{diag}(A_1, 0) X = B_1 = \text{diag}(B_2, 0),$$

where  $A_1$  is symmetric and nonsingular of order  $m$  with  $\lambda(A_1) = \lambda(A)$  and  $B_2$  is symmetric and nonsingular of order  $r$  with  $\lambda(B) = \lambda(B_1) = \lambda(B_2)$ . The number of solutions  $X(m, t)$  of (1.1) for  $A$  and  $B$  symmetric,  $A = A(e, e; m)$  and  $B = B(t, t; r)$  can now be calculated from

$$(2.2) \quad N(\lambda(A), \lambda(B), e, t; m, r) = q^{(e-m)t} N_t(A_1, B_1).$$

Hodges' formula for the number of solutions  $X(m, t; k)$  to (1.1) for  $A$  and  $B$  symmetric,  $A = A(e, e; m)$  and  $B = B(t, t; r)$ , as corrected by Porter and Riveland [7; 3.9] is given by

$$(2.3) \quad N(\lambda(A), \lambda(B), e, t; m, r, k) =$$

$$\sum_{s=h}^{\min(k, m)} q^{s(e-m)} g(e-m, t-s, k-s) N(A_1, B_1, s),$$

where  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  are as above,  $h = \max(k, k - e + m)$ ,  $N(A_1, B_1, s)$  is given explicitly in [4] and [5] and  $g(m, t, s)$  is the well known formula due to Landsberg [6] for the numbers of  $m \times t$  matrices of rank  $s$  given by

$$g(m, t, s) = q^{s(s-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^s (q^{m-i+1} - 1)(q^{t-i+1} - 1)/(q^i - 1).$$

We use  $g_m$  to denote  $g(m, m, m)$ .

Finally Carlitz's formula [2; Theorem 3] for the number of symmetric matrices  $C = C(m, m; r)$ ,  $\lambda(C) = \mu$  is given by

$$(2.4) \quad S(m, r, \mu) = g_m [q^{r(m-r)} g_{m-r} E(r, \mu)]^{-1},$$

where  $E(r, \mu)$  denotes the number of automorphs of  $C$  given by

$$E(r, \mu) = \begin{cases} 2 q^{r(r-1)/2} \{1 - \mu [\psi(-1) q^{-1}]^{r/2}\} \prod_{i=1}^{(r-2)/2} (1 - q^{2i-r}), & r \text{ even,} \\ 2 q^{r(r-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{(r-1)/2} (1 - q^{2i-r-1}), & r \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

### 3. THE NUMBER $N(\alpha, \beta, d_0, \dots, d_n; m, r)$

LEMMA 1. For  $n > 1$ ,  $r \leq \min(d_0, \dots, d_n, m)$ , the number of solutions  $X_i(d_{i-1}, d_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  to (1.2), where  $A$  is symmetric,  $A = w(d_0, d_0, m)$ ,  $\lambda(A) = \alpha$ ,  $B$  is symmetric,  $B = B(d_n, d_n; r)$ ,  $\lambda(B) = \beta$  is given by the reduction formula

$$(3.1) \quad N(\alpha, \beta, d_0, \dots, d_n; m, r) = \sum_{r_{n-1}=r}^u \sum_{\beta_{n-1}=-1, 0, 1} N(\alpha, \beta_{n-1}, d_0, \dots, \dots, d_{n-1}; m, r_{n-1}) N(\beta_{n-1}, \beta, d_{n-1}, d_n; r_{n-1}, r) S(d_{n-1}, r_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}),$$

where  $u = \min(m, d_0, \dots, d_{n-1})$  and  $N(\alpha, \beta_{n-1}, d_0, \dots, d_{n-1}; m, r_{n-1})$  is of the same form as  $N(\alpha, \beta, d_0, \dots, d_n; m, r)$  for  $n > 2$ . If  $n - 1 = 1$  then  $N(\alpha, \beta_1, d_0, d_1, m, r_1)$  is given by (2.2),  $N(\beta_{n-1}, \beta, d_{n-1}, d_n; r_{n-1}, r)$  is given by (2.2) and  $S(d_{n-1}, r_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1})$  is given by (2.4).

*Proof.* To count the number of solutions to (1.2) we first count the number of solutions to each of the following matrix equations

$$(3.2) \quad X'_{n-1} \cdots X'_1 A X_1 \cdots X_{n-1} = D,$$

$$(3.3) \quad X'_n D X_n = B.$$

Since  $A$  is symmetric, equation (3.2) forces  $D$  to be symmetric of order  $d_{n-1}$ . Fix  $D$  and let its rank be  $r_{n-1}$  and  $\lambda(D) = \beta_{n-1}$ . The number of solutions to (3.2) can be represented by  $N(\alpha, \beta_{n-1}, d_0, \dots, d_{n-1}; m, r_{n-1})$ . The number of solutions to (3.3) is given by  $N(\beta_{n-1}, \beta, d_{n-1}, d_n, r_{n-1}, r)$ . In order that there be solutions to (3.2) and (3.3) it is necessary that  $r \leq r_{n-1} \leq \min(m, d_0, \dots, d_{n-1})$ .

The product  $N(\alpha, \beta_{n-1}, d_0, \dots, d_{n-1}; m, r_{n-1}) \cdot N(\beta_{n-1}, \beta, d_{n-1}, d_n; r_{n-1}, r)$  represents the number of solutions  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  to the system of equations (3.2) and (3.3) for  $D$  described above. Multiplying this product by the number

$S(d_{n-1}, r_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1})$  of symmetric matrices  $D$  with order  $d_{n-1}$ , rank  $r_{n-1}$  and invariant  $\beta_{n-1}$  and summing over all possible values for  $r_{n-1}$  and  $\beta_{n-1}$  we obtain the desired result (3.1).

Theorem 1 now follows from the lemma and mathematical induction.

THEOREM 1. *The number  $N = N(\alpha, \beta, d_0, \dots, d_n; m, r)$ , of solutions  $X_1(d_0, d_1), \dots, X_n(d_{n-1}, d_n)$  to the matrix equation (1.2) is given by*

$$(3.4) \quad N = \sum_{r_i=r}^{s_i} \sum_{\beta_k=0,1,-1} N(\alpha, \beta, d_0, d_1; m, r_1) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} N(\beta_j, \beta_{j+1}, d_j, d_{j+1}; r_j, r_{j+1}) S(d_j, r_j, \beta_j),$$

where  $s_i = \min(m, d_0, \dots, d_i)$ ,  $\beta_n = \beta$ ,  $r_n = r$ ,  $1 \leq i, k \leq n-1$ ,  $n > 1$  and  $r \leq \min(d_0, \dots, d_n, m)$ .

The above formulation together with the formulae for evaluating (2.2) and (2.4) give  $N$  as an explicit function of the variables  $\alpha, \beta, d_0, \dots, d_n, m$  and  $r$ . We will not take the space here to list this combined formula. For  $n = 1$ , the number of solutions to (1.2) is given by (2.2). Hence the number of solutions  $X_i(d_{i-1}, d_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  to (1.2) can now be calculated in all cases where solutions exist for  $n \geq 1$ .

#### 4. THE NUMBER $N(\alpha, \beta, d_0, \dots, d_n; k_1, \dots, k_n, m, r)$

In this section we obtain a formula for the number of solutions to (1.2) in the ranked case, that is where  $X_i = X_i(d_{i-1}, d_i, k_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The proofs of Lemma 2, which gives a reduction formula, and for Theorem 2, which gives the desired result, are analogous to the proofs of Lemma 1 and Theorem 1 and will not be included.

LEMMA 2. *For  $n > 1$ ,  $r \leq \min(m, k_1, \dots, k_n)$ , the number of solutions  $X_i(d_{i-1}, d_i; k_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  of (1.2) where  $A$  is symmetric,  $A = A(d_0, d_0, m)$ ,  $\lambda(A) = \alpha$ ,  $B$  is symmetric,  $B = B(d_n, d_n; r)$ ,  $\lambda(B) = \beta$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} & N(\alpha, \beta, d_0, \dots, d_n; k_1, \dots, k_n, m, r) = \\ & = \sum_{r_{n-1}=r}^u \sum_{\beta_{n-1}=0,1,-1} N(\alpha, \beta_{n-1}, d_0, \dots, d_{n-1}; k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}, m, r_{n-1}) \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot N(\beta_{n-1}, \beta, d_{n-1}, d_n; k_n, r_{n-1}, r) \cdot S(d_{n-1}, r_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $u = \min(m, k_1, \dots, k_n)$  and  $N(\alpha, \beta_{n-1}, d_0, \dots, d_{n-1}; k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}, m, r_{n-1})$  is of the same form as  $N(\alpha, \beta, d_0, \dots, d_n; k_1, \dots, k_n, m, r)$  for  $n > 2$ . If  $n-1 = 1$ , then  $N(\alpha, \beta, d_0, d_1; k_1, m, r)$  is given by (2.3).  $N(\beta_{n-1}, \beta, d_{n-1}, d_n; k_n, r_{n-1}, r)$  is given by (2.3) and  $S(d_{n-1}, r_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1})$  is given by (2.4).

THEOREM 2. The number  $M = N(\alpha, \beta, d_0, \dots, d_n; k_1, \dots, k_n, m, r)$  of solutions  $X_1(d_0, d_1; k_1), \dots, X_n(d_{n-1}, d_n; k_n)$  of the matrix equation (1.2) is given by

$$(4.1) \quad M = \sum_{r_i=r}^{t_i} \sum_{\beta_j=-1,0,1} N(\alpha, \beta_1, d_0, d_1; m, r_1, k_1) \cdot \prod_{h=1}^{n-1} N(\beta_h, \beta_{h+1}, d_h, d_{h+1}; r_h, r_{h+1}, k_{h+1}) \cdot S(d_n, r_n, \beta_n)$$

where  $\beta_n = \beta, r_n = r$  and  $t_i = \min(m, k_1, \dots, k_i), 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1, n > 1$  and  $r \leq \min(m, k_1, \dots, k_n)$ .

As in Theorem 1 all of the forms appearing on the right in (4.1) can be calculated explicitly in terms of  $\alpha, \beta, d_0, \dots, d_n, k_1, \dots, k_n, m$  and  $r$ . The process begins by referring to (2.3) and (2.5). We will not take the space here to put the various formulae together to write a single explicit formula.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. N. BAILEY (1935) - *Generalized Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge.
- [2] L. CARLITZ - *Representations by Quadratic Forms in a Finite Field*, «Duke Math. J.», 21, 123-138.
- [3] L. E. DICKSON (1901) - *Linear Groups*, Leipzig.
- [4] JOHN H. HODGES (1956) - *Exponential Sums for Symmetric Matrices in a Finite Field*, «Mathematische Nachrichten», 15, 331-339.
- [5] JOHN H. HODGES (1965) - *A Symmetric Matrix Equation Over a Finite Field*, «Mathematische Nachrichten», 30, 221-228.
- [6] GEORGE LANDSBERG (1893) - *Über eine Anzahlbestimmung und eine Damit Zusammenhängende Reihe*, «Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik», III, 87-88.
- [7] A. DUANE PORTER and A. ALLAN RIVELAND (1975) - *A Generalized Skew Equation Over a Finite Field*, «Mathematische Nachrichten», 69, 291-296.
- [8] A. ALLAN RIVELAND and A. DUANE PORTER - *The Skew Matrix Equation  $X'_n \dots X'_1 A X_1 \dots X_n = B$* , «Rend. Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei» (to appear).