

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI  
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI  
**RENDICONTI**

---

LU-SAN CHEN, CHEH-CHIH YEH

**A note on n-th order differential inequalities**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8*, Vol. **61** (1976), n.6, p. 580–584.

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\\_1976\\_8\\_61\\_6\\_580\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1976_8_61_6_580_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)  
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

**Equazioni differenziali ordinarie.** — *A note on  $n$ -th order differential inequalities* (\*). Nota di LU-SAN CHEN e CHEH-CHIH YEH, presentata (\*\*) dal Socio G. SANSONE.

RIASSUNTO. — Gli Autori trovano un teorema di confronto tra gli integrali oscillatori di due equazioni funzionali ordinarie.

1. We consider the following functional differential equation and inequalities:

$$(1) \quad L_n x + H(t, x) \leq 0,$$

$$(2) \quad L_n x + H(t, x) = 0,$$

$$(3) \quad L_n x + H(t, x) \geq 0,$$

where  $n$  is even and  $L_n$  is defined by

$$L_0 x(t) = x(t), L_i x(t) = r_i(t) (L_{i-1} x(t))', \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, r_n(t) = 1.$$

We first show that the existence of a positive solution of the inequality (1) implies the same fact for the equation (2) provided that  $H(t, x)$  is positive and increasing for positive  $x$ . Similarly, we can prove that the existence of a negative solution of the inequality (3) implies the same fact for the equation (2) provided that  $H(t, x)$  is negative and increasing for negative  $x$ . Using these results, we obtain a criterion for the oscillation of (2) via comparison with another equation of the same type, which is oscillatory. The technique used is an adaptation of that of Kartsatos [1] which concerns the particular case.

$$r_1(t) = r_2(t) = \dots = r_{n-1}(t) = 1.$$

A function is said to be *oscillatory* if it has an unbounded set of zeros. A bounded nonoscillatory function  $h(t)$  is said to be of class F if there exists a  $T > 0$  such that for  $t \geq T$

$$(-1)^{i+1} h(t) L_i h(t) \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

(\*) This research was supported by the National Science Council.

(\*\*) Nella seduta del 13 novembre 1976.

Throughout this note, the following conditions always hold:

(i) each  $r_i(t)$  is a continuous and positive function on  $[\tau, \infty)$  and

$$\int_{\tau}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{r_i(t)} = \infty, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

(ii)  $H(t, x) \in C[[\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}]$ ,  $xH(t, x) > 0$  for  $x \neq 0$  and  $H(t, x)$  is nondecreasing with respect to  $x$ .

2. In order to prove that the existence of a positive solution of (1) implies the same fact for (2), we need the following Lemma, which is due to Kusano and Onose [2].

LEMMA 1. Let  $z(t)$  be a bounded nonoscillatory solution of (1). Then  $z(t)$  belongs to the class F.

The following Lemma is an improved version of Kartsatos' Lemma [1].

LEMMA 2. Let  $z(t)$  be a bounded positive solution of (1) for  $t \geq T$ . If  $x_0$  is such that  $0 < x_0 < z(T)$ , then there exists a solution  $x(t)$  of (2) such that  $x(T) = x_0$ ,  $x(t) \in F$  and for  $t \geq T$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < x^{(i)}(t) &\leq z^{(i)}(t), & i = 0, 1, \\ 0 > (-1)^i L_i x(t) &\geq L_i z(t), & i = 2, 3, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 1,  $z(t) \in F$ . Integrating (1) from  $t$  to  $u$  ( $\geq t \geq T$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n-1} z(t) = r_{n-1}(t) (L_{n-2} z(t))' &\geq L_{n-1} z(u) + \int_t^u H(s, z(s)) ds \geq \\ &\geq \int_t^u H(s, z(s)) ds, \end{aligned}$$

which implies for  $t \geq T$

$$(L_{n-2} z(t))' \geq \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(t)} \int_t^{\infty} H(s, z(s)) ds.$$

Integrating it from  $t$  to  $u$  ( $\geq t \geq T$ ) yields

$$\begin{aligned} r_{n-2}(u) (L_{n-3} z(u))' - r_{n-2}(t) (L_{n-3} z(t))' &\geq \\ &\geq \int_t^u \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(u_1)} \int_{u_1}^{\infty} H(s, z(s)) ds du_1, \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$(\mathbf{L}_{n-3} z(u))' \leq 0,$$

$$r_{n-2}(t) (\mathbf{L}_{n-3} z(t))' \leq - \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(u_1)} \int_{u_1}^\infty H(s, z(s)) ds du_1.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$r_1(t) z'(t) \geq \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{r_2(u_{n-2})} \int_{u_{n-2}}^\infty \frac{1}{r_3(u_{n-3})} \int_{u_{n-3}}^\infty \cdots \int_{u_2}^\infty \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(u_1)} \cdot \int_{u_1}^\infty H(s, z(s)) ds du_1 \cdots du_{n-2}.$$

Hence

$$(4) \quad z(t) \geq z(T) + \int_T^t \frac{1}{r_1(u_{n-1})} \int_{u_{n-1}}^\infty \frac{1}{r_2(u_{n-2})} \int_{u_{n-2}}^\infty \cdots \int_{u_2}^\infty \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(u_1)} \cdot \int_{u_1}^\infty H(s, z(s)) ds du_1 \cdots du_{n-1} \equiv \\ \equiv z(T) + \varphi(t, z), \quad t \geq T.$$

Let

$$x_0(t) = z(t)$$

$$x_{n+1}(t) = x_0 + \varphi(t, x_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

From (4) we obtain by mathematical induction that

$$0 < x_n(t) \leq z(t),$$

$$x_{n+1}(t) \leq x_n(t),$$

for  $t \geq T$  and  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Therefore, there exists a function  $x(t)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(t) = x(t)$ , and applying Lebesgue's theorem on monotone convergence we get

$$x(t) = x_0 + \varphi(t, x).$$

It follows easily that  $x(t)$  has the desired properties.

Similarly, we have the following

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $z(t)$  be a bounded solution of (3), which is negative for  $t \geq T$ . If  $x_0$  is such that  $0 < x_0 < z(T)$ , then there exists a solution  $x(t)$  of (2) such that the conclusion of Lemma 2 holds.*

3. Using the above three lemmas, we can prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let the functions  $H_i(t, u)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  be defined on  $[\tau, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ , increasing with respect to  $u$ , and  $uH_i(t, u) > 0$  for  $u \neq 0$ . Let there exist an oscillatory function  $P(t)$  such that for  $t \geq \tau$*

$$L_n P(t) \equiv Q(t)$$

and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(t) = 0$ . If

$$H_1(t, u) \leq H_2(t, u), \quad t \geq \tau, \quad u > 0$$

$$H_1(t, u) \geq H_2(t, u), \quad t \geq \tau, \quad u < 0$$

and every bounded solution of

$$(5) \quad L_n x + H_1(t, x) = Q(t)$$

is oscillatory, then every bounded solution of

$$(6) \quad L_n x + H_2(t, x) = Q(t)$$

is also oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let (6) be nonoscillatory. Then there exists at least one bounded nonoscillatory solution  $z(t)$  of (6). Let  $z(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq T$ . Then  $u(t) \equiv z(t) - P(t)$  is an eventually positive solution of the equation

$$L_n u(t) + H_2(t, u(t) + P(t)) = 0.$$

Since  $z(t) = u(t) + P(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq T$ , which implies

$$L_n u(t) < 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq T.$$

Hence  $u(t)$  has to be eventually of constant sign. If  $u(t) < 0$  for  $t$  large enough, then  $P(t) > -u(t) > 0$  for  $t$  large enough, a contradiction to the oscillatory character of  $P(t)$ . Hence  $u(t) > 0$  eventually. From Lemma 1, there is a  $T_1 \geq T$  such that for  $t \geq T_1$

$$u(t) > 0, \quad u'(t) > 0.$$

Let  $T_1$  be large enough so that we also have  $|P(t)| < c < u(T_1)$  for  $t \geq T_1$ , where  $c$  is a positive constant. Hence for  $t \geq T_1$ ,

$$L_n u(t) + H_1(t, u(t) + P(t)) \leq L_n u(t) + H_2(t, u(t) + P(t)) = 0.$$

It follows, from Lemma 1, that

$$L_n u(t) + H_1(t, u(t) + P(t)) \leq 0.$$

has a solution  $u(t) \in F$ . Now it is easy to show the existence of a positive solution to the integral equation

$$(7) \quad \vartheta(t) = c + \varphi(t, \vartheta + P), \quad t \geq T_1.$$

We only have to note that if

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_0(t) &= u(t) \\ \vartheta_{n+1}(t) &= c + \varphi(t, \vartheta_n + P), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

then  $H(t, \vartheta_n(t) + P(t)) > 0$  for each  $n$ , because  $\vartheta_n(t) + P(t) > c + P(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq T_1$ . Differentiating (7)  $n$  times, we obtain

$$L_n \vartheta(t) + H(t, \vartheta(t) + P(t)) = 0.$$

Letting  $y(t) = \vartheta(t) + P(t)$ , we get for  $t \geq T_1$

$$(8) \quad L_n y(t) + H(t, y(t)) = Q(t).$$

Since  $\vartheta(t) + P(t) \geq c + P(t) > 0$ , it follows from Lemma 2 that (8) has an eventually positive solution, a contradiction. Similarly using Lemmas 1 and 3, we can prove the case for an eventually negative solution of equation (6).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. G. KARTSATOS (1975) - *On  $n$ -th order differential inequalities*, « J. Math. Anal. Appl. », 52, 1-9.
- [2] T. KUSANO and H. ONOSE (1976) - *Remarks on the oscillatory behavior of solution of functional differential equations with deviating arguments*, « Hiroshima Math. J. », 6, 183-189.