
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

LU-SAN CHEN, CHEH-CHIH YEH, JER-SAN LIN

An asymptotic analysis of nonlinear n-th order retarded differential equations

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. **61** (1976), n.5, p. 382–386.*
Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1976_8_61_5_382_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Equazioni differenziali ordinarie. — *An asymptotic analysis of nonlinear n-th order retarded differential equations* (*). Nota di LU-SAN CHEN, CHEH-CHIH YEH e JER-SAN LIN, presentata (**) dal Socio G. SANSONE.

RIASSUNTO. — Si studia il comportamento asintotico di un'equazione differenziale ordinaria ad argomenti ritardati.

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to establish asymptotic behavior of solutions for the retarded differential equations of the form

$$(I) \quad L_n x(t) + f(t, x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_m(t)]) = 0$$

where L_n is an operator defined recursively by

$$L_0 x(t) = x(t), \quad L_i x(t) = \frac{1}{r_i(t)} \frac{d}{dt} L_{i-1} x(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad r_n(t) = 1.$$

Results concerning the oscillatory behavior of a wide variety of retarded equations can be found in [1-7]. Throughout this paper, the following conditions always hold:

- (i) $r_i(t) \in C[R_+ \equiv [0, \infty), R_+ \setminus \{0\}], \int r_i(t) dt = \infty, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$
- (ii) $f(t, y_1, \dots, y_m) \in C[R_+ \times R^m, R = (-\infty, \infty)], f(t, 0, \dots, 0) = 0,$
- (iii) $g_j(t) \in C[R_+, R], \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_j(t) = \infty, j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$

The monotonicity of f is considered with respect to the order in R^m defined as follows:

$$X = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \leq Y = (y_1, \dots, y_m) \iff x_j \leq y_j$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Let condition (ii) hold; we say that $f(t, x_1, \dots, x_m)$ belongs to the class C^* if it is nondecreasing with respect to x_1, \dots, x_m . And $f(t, x_1, \dots, x_m)$ belongs to C^{**} if it is nonincreasing with respect to x_1, \dots, x_m . For convenience, we define $\omega_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ as follows:

$$\omega_0(t) = 1, \quad \omega_1(t) = \int_0^t r_1(s) ds, \quad \omega_i(t) = \int_0^t r_i(s) \omega_{i-1}(s) ds.$$

(*) This research was supported by the National Science Council.

(**) Nella seduta del 13 novembre 1976.

2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

In order to obtain our results, the following two Kiguradze's Lemmas [5, 6] are very important in our later treatment. Lemma 1 was extended by the authors [1]. We only prove Lemma 2.

LEMMA 1. Let $u(t)$ be a positive n -times continuously differentiable function on an interval $[a, \infty)$. If $L_n u(t)$ is of constant sign and not identically zero for all large t , then there exist a $t_u \geq a$ and an integer k , $0 \leq k \leq n$ with $n+k$ odd if $L_n u(t) \leq 0$, $n+k$ even if $L_n u(t) \geq 0$ and such that for every $t \geq t_u$

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} L_i u(t) \geq 0, & i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1 \\ (-1)^{n+i} L_i u(t) \geq 0, & i = k, k+1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2 (Kiguradze). Let $x(t)$ be a solution of the differential equation

$$(3) \quad L_n x(t) + f_1(t, x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_m(t)]) = Q_1(t)$$

defined on the interval $(0, t_0)$, and let $y(t)$ be a nonnegative solution of the differential equation

$$(4) \quad L_n y(t) + f_2(t, y[g_1(t)], \dots, y[g_m(t)]) = Q_2(t)$$

defined on this interval. If

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} (-1)^{n-1} \{f_1(t, x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_m(t)]) - f_2(t, x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_m(t)])\} \geq 0, \\ \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq t_0, \quad x[g_j(t)] \geq y[g_j(t)], \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ (-1)^k \{L_k x(t_0) - L_k y(t_0)\} > 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \\ (-1)^n \{Q_1(t) - Q_2(t)\} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq t_0. \end{cases}$$

then for $0 \leq t \leq t_0$

$$(6) \quad (-1)^k [L_k x(t) - L_k y(t)] > 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Proof. From (3) and (4)

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} (-1)^k [L_k x(t) - L_k y(t)] &= \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} (-1)^i [L_i x(t_0) - L_i y(t_0)] \omega_{i-k}(t) \\ &+ (-1)^{n-1} \int_t^{t_0} \omega_{n-k-1}(s) \{f_1(s, x[g_1(s)], \dots, x[g_m(s)]) - \\ &- f_2(s, y[g_1(s)], \dots, y[g_m(s)])\} ds \\ &+ (-1)^n \int_t^{t_0} \omega_{n-k-1}(s) [Q_1(s) - Q_2(s)] ds. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (5) that (6) are satisfied on some interval $(t^*, t_0]$; we shall show that $t^* = 0$. If $t^* \neq 0$, then we would have $L_{n-1}x(t^*) = L_{n-1}y(t^*)$. But this is impossible, since by (5) and (7) we have

$$(-1)^{n-1} [L_{n-1}x(t^*) - L_{n-1}y(t^*)] > (-1)^{n-1} [L_{n-1}x(t_0) - L_{n-1}y(t_0)] > 0.$$

COROLLARY 1. Let $x(t)$ be a solution of (1), $c \geq 0$,

$$(-1)^k L_k x(c) > 0 \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

and $(-1)^{n-1} f(t, x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_m(t)]) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq c$.

Then $(-1)^k L_k x(t) > 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq c$ and $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

A solution $x(t)$ of (1) is called strongly decreasing if there is $c \geq 0$ such that for $t \geq c$

$$(8) \quad (-1)^k L_k x(t) > 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

From Corollary 1 we can prove the following.

THEOREM 1. Suppose that $(-1)^{n-1} f(t, X) X \geq 0$, where $X = (x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_m(t)])$ for $t \geq T$. Let every eventually positive solution $x(t)$ of (1) be strongly decreasing. If $x(t)$ is a solution of (1) and any of $L_i x(t)$, $i = 1, 0, \dots, n-1$, has a zero in $[0, \infty)$, then $x(t)$ is oscillatory.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x(t)$ is an eventually positive solution of (1). Now there exists a $c \geq 0$ such that (8) holds for $t \geq c$ and $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. It follows from Corollary 1 that $L_k x(t)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, has no zeros in $[0, c)$ and thus has no zeros at all.

THEOREM 2. Let n be odd (even) and $F \in C[R_+ \times R^m, R]$ with

$$F(t, x_1, \dots, x_m) \geq f(t, x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

for $t \geq 0$, $x_j \in R$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Suppose that $F, f \in C^*(C^{**})$ and every eventually positive solution of (1) is strongly decreasing. Then every eventually positive solution of

$$(9) \quad L_n x(t) + F(t, x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_m(t)]) = 0$$

is strongly decreasing.

Proof. We only prove the case where n is odd. Let $y(t)$ be an eventually positive solution of (9) which is not strongly decreasing. Let $T \geq 0$ be such

that $y[g_j(t)] > 0$ for $t \geq T$. Since $F \in C^*$, $L_n y(t) < 0$. From Lemma 1 we see that there is $c \geq T$ and an even integer $\tilde{j} \neq 0$ such that for $t \geq c$

$$L_i y(t) > 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \tilde{j}$$

and

$$(-1)^{n+i-1} L_i y(t) \geq 0, \quad i = \tilde{j} + 1, \dots, n.$$

Integrating (9) $n - \tilde{j}$ times we have

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} L_{\tilde{j}} y(t) &\geq \int_t^\infty r_{\tilde{j}+1}(s_{n-\tilde{j}-1}) \int_{s_{n-\tilde{j}-1}}^\infty r_{\tilde{j}+2}(s_{n-\tilde{j}-2}) \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots \int_{s_1}^\infty F(s, y[g_1(s)], \dots, y[g_m(s)]) ds ds_1 \cdots ds_{n-\tilde{j}-1} \\ &\equiv \varphi(t, F, y) \geq \varphi(t, f, y). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (10) \tilde{j} times from c to $t \geq c$ we obtain

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} y(t) &\geq y(c) + \int_c^t r_1(u_{\tilde{j}-1}) \int_c^{u_{\tilde{j}-1}} r_2(u_{\tilde{j}-2}) \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots \int_c^{u_1} r_{\tilde{j}}(u) \varphi(u, f, y) du du_1 \cdots du_{\tilde{j}-1} \\ &\equiv y(c) + \psi(t, f, y). \end{aligned}$$

Now define

$$x_0(t) = y(t), \quad x_{n+1}(t) = y(c) + \psi(t, f, x_\eta), \quad \eta = 0, 1, \dots$$

for $t \geq c$. From (11) we obtain by induction that for $t \geq c$ and $\eta = 0, 1, \dots$

$$0 < x_\eta(t) \leq y(t),$$

$$x_{\eta+1}(t) \leq x_\eta(t).$$

Consequently, letting $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} x_\eta(t) = x(t)$, and applying Lebesgue's theorem on monotone convergence we get for $t \geq c$

$$(12) \quad x(t) = x_0 + \psi(t, f, x).$$

Hence $x(t) \geq x_0$ for $t \geq c$, i.e. $x(t)$ has no zeros in $[c, \infty)$. Differentiation of (12) yields that $x(t)$ satisfies (1) for $t \geq c$ and $x'(t) > 0$ for $t > c$, i.e. (8) is not true for $k = 1$ and $t > c$. Clearly $x(t)$ can be extended to a solution

of (1) on $[0, \infty)$, and this solution is eventually positive but not strongly decreasing.

Remark. Taking $r_i(t) = 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, f = p(t)x(t), F = q(t)x(t)$ and n is odd, then Lovelady's results [7, Theorems 2 and 3] are special cases of our Theorems 1 and 2 respectively.

REFERENCES

- [1] LU-SAN CHEN and CHEH-CHIH YEH - *Oscillations of nonlinear retarded higher order differential equations* «Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend.» (in Press).
- [2] LU-SAN CHEN and CHEH-CHIH YEH - *On the positive bounded solutions of linear delay higher order differential equations* «Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend.»(to appear).
- [3] LU-SAN CHEN and CHEH-CHIH YEN - *Remarks on the oscillation of functional differential equations* (in Press).
- [4] LU-SAN CHEN and CHEH-CHIH YEN - *A note on n-th order differential inequalities* «Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend.» (in Press).
- [5] T. KIGURADZE (1965) - *The problem of oscillation of solutions of non-linear differential equations*, «Differencial'nye Uravnenija», 1, 995-1006. (Russian), «Differential Equations», 1, 773-782.
- [6] T. KIGURADZE (1962) - *Oscillation properties of solutions of certain ordinary differential equations*, «Soviet Math. Dokl.», 3, 649-652.
- [7] D. L. LOVELADY (1975) - *An asymptotic analysis of an odd order linear differential equation*, «Pacific J. Math.», 57, 475-480.