
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

H.L. CHOW

On Ideals In $(m+1)$ -semigroups

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 61 (1976), n.5, p. 318–322.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1976_8_61_5_318_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Algebra. — *On Ideals In $(m + 1)$ -semigroups.* Nota di H. L. CHOW, presentata (*) dal Socio G. ZAPPA.

RIASSUNTO. — L'Autore, ricollegandosi alle ricerche di F.M. Sioson, studia gli ideali minimali e massimali in un $(m + 1)$ -semigruppato.

1. INTRODUCTION

An $(m + 1)$ -semigroup is an algebraic system with one $(m + 1)$ -ary operation from $\underbrace{S \times \cdots \times S}_{m+1}$ to S such that the associative law

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 \cdots x_{m+1}) x_{m+2} \cdots x_{2m+1} &= x_1 (x_2 \cdots x_{m+2}) \cdots x_{2m+1} = \cdots \\ &= x_1 \cdots x_m (x_{m+1} \cdots x_{2m+1}) \end{aligned}$$

holds for $x_1, \dots, x_{2m+1} \in S$. Trivially, S is an ordinary semigroup if $m = 1$. A non-empty subset I of S is called an $(i + 1)$ -ideal if $S^i IS^{m-i} \subset I$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$. By convention, $S^0 IS^m = IS^m$ and $S^m IS^0 = S^m I$. The subset I is said to be an ideal of S if it is an $(i + 1)$ -ideal for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$. In [3], Sioson studied the ideals in $(m + 1)$ -semigroups and obtained various results which are extensions of those in ordinary semigroups. The present paper may be regarded as a sequel to [3].

In what follows S will denote an $(m + 1)$ -semigroup, and we shall investigate minimal ideals and maximal (proper) ideals in S . We introduce in § 2 then the notion of a para-ideal in S , which is a 1-ideal and $(m + 1)$ -ideal; we show that if S has a minimal 1-ideal or a minimal $(m + 1)$ -ideal, S must have a minimal para-ideal which turns out to be a minimal ideal and also a minimal $(i + 1)$ -ideal for $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$. In § 3, maximal ideals are considered, extending some results in [1] and [2].

2. MINIMAL IDEALS

DEFINITION. A subset I of S is termed a *para-ideal* of S if I is both a 1-ideal and $(m + 1)$ -ideal, i.e. $IS^m \subset I$ and $S^m I \subset I$.

It is clear that any two para-ideals must intersect; hence S can have at most one minimal para-ideal which is clearly the intersection of all para-ideals of S .

(*) Nella seduta del 13 novembre 1976.

2.1. THEOREM. Suppose S has a minimal \mathfrak{I} -ideal R .

(i) If R_1 is a minimal \mathfrak{I} -ideal of S and $R_1 \cap R \neq \varnothing$, then $R_1 = R$.

(ii) $R = aa_2 \cdots a_m R$ for $a \in R, a_2, \dots, a_m \in S$.

(iii) S has a minimal para-ideal P which is the union of all minimal \mathfrak{I} -ideals of S .

Proof. (i) Since $R_1 \cap R$ is a \mathfrak{I} -ideal contained in R_1 and R , we have $R_1 = R_1 \cap R = R$.

(ii) Clearly $aa_2 \cdots a_m R \subset R$. Since $(aa_2 \cdots a_m R)S^m = aa_2 \cdots a_m (RS^m) \subset aa_2 \cdots a_m R$, i.e. $aa_2 \cdots a_m R$ is a \mathfrak{I} -ideal of S , it follows that $aa_2 \cdots a_m R = R$.

(iii) For any para-ideal I of S , $RI^m \subset R$. Moreover, RI^m is a \mathfrak{I} -ideal of S since $(RI^m)S^m = RI^{m-1}(IS^m) \subset RI^{m-1}I = RI^m$; hence $RI^m = R$. That $I \supset RI^m = R$ implies that the minimal para-ideal P of S exists, with $P \supset R$.

Now take $a_1 \cdots a_m \in S$; then $a_1 \cdots a_m R$ is a \mathfrak{I} -ideal. Suppose $a_1 \cdots a_m R$ is not minimal, i.e. there is a \mathfrak{I} -ideal R^* properly contained in $a_1 \cdots a_m R$. Let $A = R \cap \{x \in S : a_1 \cdots a_m x \in R^*\}$, and it is not difficult to check that A is a \mathfrak{I} -ideal properly contained in R . This contradiction therefore shows that $a_1 \cdots a_m R$ is a minimal \mathfrak{I} -ideal of S . Consequently $S^m R$ is the union of all minimal \mathfrak{I} -ideals of S , whence $S^m R \subset P$. On the other hand, since $S^m R$ is a para-ideal of S , we get $S^m R \supset P$, and the result now follows.

It can be shown, in a similar manner, that the preceding theorem also holds, if \mathfrak{I} -ideals are replaced by $(m + 1)$ -ideals.

DEFINITION. The $(m + 1)$ -semigroup S is called an $(m + 1)$ -group provided that, if a and any m of the symbols x_1, \dots, x_{m+1} are specified as elements of S , the equation $x_1 \cdots x_{m+1} = a$ has at least one solution in S for the remaining symbol.

2.2. THEOREM. Let R be a minimal \mathfrak{I} -ideal of S and L a minimal $(m + 1)$ -ideal of S . Then $R \cap L$ is an $(m + 1)$ -group.

Proof. First we note that $R \cap L \neq \varnothing$ since it contains $RS^{m-1}L$. Take $a_1, \dots, a_m \in R \cap L$ and we see that $a_1 \cdots a_m (R \cap L) \subset R \cap L$. Suppose $a_1 \cdots a_m (R \cap L) \neq R \cap L$. Let \mathcal{L} denote the set of all minimal \mathfrak{I} -ideals of S ; we then have $\cup \{R \cap L^* : L^* \in \mathcal{L}\} \neq \cup \{a_1 \cdots a_m (R \cap L^*) : L^* \in \mathcal{L}\}$, giving $R \neq a_1 \cdots a_m R$, a contradiction. Thus $R \cap L = a_1 \cdots a_m (R \cap L)$ and, similarly, $R \cap L = (R \cap L) a_1 \cdots a_m$. This together with Theorem 5.8 of [3] implies that $R \cap L$ is an $(m + 1)$ -group.

We may have more than one minimal \mathfrak{I} -ideal and minimal $(m + 1)$ -ideal in S ; but, as the next result shows, we can have at most one minimal $(i + 1)$ -ideal for $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$ and at most one minimal ideal in S .

2.3. LEMMA. For each $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$, any two $(i + 1)$ -ideals in S intersect. Hence, any two ideals of S intersect.

Proof. Let I, J be $(i+1)$ -ideals for some $i = 1, \dots, m-1$. Then $S^i (IS^{m-1} J) S^{m-i} \subset S^i (IS^m) S^{m-i} = S^i I (S^{m+1}) S^{m-i-1} \subset S^i ISS^{m-i-1} = S^i IS^{m-i} \subset I$, and, similarly, $S^i (IS^{m-1} J) S^{m-i} \subset J$. So $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$, completing the proof.

2.4. THEOREM. *If S has a minimal 1-ideal or a minimal $(m+1)$ -ideal, then the minimal ideal and minimal $(i+1)$ -ideals for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$, all exist and are equal to each other.*

Proof. Let I be an $(i+1)$ -ideal for some $i = 1, \dots, m-1$, i.e. $S^i IS^{m-i} \subset I$. Observe that $S^i IS^{m-i}$ is a para-ideal since

$$(S^i IS^{m-i}) S^m = S^i IS^{m-i-1} (S^{m+1}) \subset S^i IS^{m-i-1} S = S^i IS^{m-i}$$

and similarly $S^m (S^i IS^{m-i}) \subset S^i IS^{m-i}$. By virtue of Theorem 2.2 or the remark after it, S has a minimal para-ideal P . Therefore $P \subset S^i IS^{m-i} \subset I$; as a consequence, the minimal $(i+1)$ -ideal K_{i+1} which is the intersection of all $(i+1)$ -ideals in S must exist and $K_{i+1} \supset P$. On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned} P^{m+1} = P, \quad \text{we have } S^i PS^{m-i} &= S^i (P^{m+1}) S^{m-i} = S^i (P^{m-i} P P^i) S^{m-i} \\ &= (S^i P^{m-i} P) P^i S^{m-i} \subset P P^i S^{m-i} \subset P, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. P is an $(i+1)$ -ideal, whence $P \supset K_{i+1}$. Accordingly $P = K_{i+1}$.

Now let J be an ideal; then J is a para-ideal and so contains P . Hence the minimal ideal K of S exists and $K \supset P$. But P is obviously an ideal since it is an $(i+1)$ -ideal for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$; hence $P = K$. The proof is completed.

Remark. It was shown in [3, Theorem 5.25] that, if S is a compact topological semigroup, then the minimal 1-ideals and minimal $(m+1)$ -ideals of S must exist. In view of Theorem 2.4, we deduce that the minimal ideal and minimal $(i+1)$ -ideals for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ also exist and are all equal. (Furthermore, they are closed). Thus, Theorem 5.25 of [3] can be improved.

DEFINITION. An $(m+1)$ -semigroup S is said to be *commutative* if for any $x_1, \dots, x_{m+1} \in S$ and each permutation f of $1, \dots, m+1$, we have $x_1 \cdots x_{m+1} = x_{f(1)} \cdots x_{f(m+1)}$.

It is trivial that an $(i+1)$ -ideal for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ is an ideal, when S is commutative.

2.5. THEOREM. *Suppose the minimal ideal K of S exists. If S is commutative, then K is an $(m+1)$ -group.*

Proof. Take $x \in K$; then $K^m x \subset K$. It is evident that $K^m x$ is an ideal of S so that $K^m x \supset K$. Hence $K^m x = K$ and therefore $xK^m = K$. That K is an $(m+1)$ -group follows from Theorem 5.8 of [3].

3. MAXIMAL IDEALS

A maximal ideal M of S is a proper ideal, not properly contained in any proper ideals of S ; we can characterize M by considering the quotient $(m + 1)$ -semigroup S/M . Just like the ordinary semigroup case, the quotient S/M is defined as the $(m + 1)$ -semigroup which consists of the set $S \setminus M$ together with zero element o (i.e. $(S/M)^i \circ (S/M)^{m-i} = \{o\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$); see [3, p. 166].

3.1. THEOREM. *An ideal M of S is maximal if and only if S/M contains no proper ideals except $\{o\}$.*

Proof. The result follows from the observation that any ideal in S containing M corresponds with an ideal in S/M .

Suppose $a \in S \setminus S^{m+1}$; then $S \setminus \{a\}$ is obviously a maximal ideal of S . Following Grillet [1], we call such maximal ideals *trivial*.

3.2. THEOREM. *Let M be a maximal ideal of S . Then M is not trivial if and only if M is a prime ideal, i.e. for ideals I_1, \dots, I_{m+1} of S , $I_1 \cdots I_{m+1} \subset M$ implies $I_j \subset M$ for some $j = 1, \dots, m + 1$.*

Proof. We model on the proof of [2, Theorem 1] to obtain the result. First assume the maximal ideal M is prime. If M is trivial, i.e. $M = S \setminus \{a\}$ for some $a \in S \setminus S^{m+1}$, then $M \supset S^{m+1}$, implying that $M \supset S$ which is contradictory. Conversely, let M be a nontrivial maximal ideal. Let $A = S \setminus M$; then $S^{m+1} \supset A$ (for, if there exists $b \in A \setminus S^{m+1}$, then $S \setminus \{b\}$ is a maximal ideal containing M , so that $M = S \setminus \{b\}$, a contradiction). Therefore $A \subset S^{m+1} = (M \cup A)^{m+1} \subset M \cup A^{m+1}$, whence $A \subset A^{m+1}$. Now suppose M is not prime, i.e. there are ideals I_1, \dots, I_{m+1} with $I_1 \cdots I_{m+1} \subset M$ but $I_j \not\subset M$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m + 1$. It follows that $I_j \cup M = S \supset A$, giving $I_j \supset A$. So $A \subset A^{m+1} \subset I_1 \cdots I_{m+1} \subset M$, a contradiction, and the theorem is proved.

The next result is obvious.

3.3. COROLLARY. *Every maximal ideal is prime if and only if $S = S^{m+1}$.*

Assume that S has maximal ideals and denote by M^* the intersection of all maximal ideals in S . Evidently $M^* \subset S^{m+1}$. On the other hand, M^* is non-empty as the theorem below shows.

3.4. THEOREM. *Let $\{M_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be the family of all maximal ideals in S . Let $A_\alpha = S \setminus M_\alpha$ and $M^* = \bigcap M_\alpha$. Then*

- (i) $A_\alpha \cap A_\beta = \varnothing$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$.
- (ii) $S = (\bigcup A_\alpha) \cup M^*$.
- (iii) $A_\alpha \subset M_\gamma$ for $\gamma \neq \alpha$.
- (iv) If I is an ideal of S and $I \cap A_\alpha \neq \varnothing$, then $I \supset A_\alpha$.
- (v) For $\alpha \neq \beta$, $A_\alpha A_\beta S^{m-1} \subset M^*$.

Proof. We obtain the result by applying a similar argument to that given in [2, Theorem 2].

Finally, we examine maximal $(i+1)$ -ideals for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$, and let M_{i+1}^* denote the intersection of all maximal $(i+1)$ -ideals in S . Then (i)-(iv) of the previous theorem are still true for maximal $(i+1)$ -ideals, while M_{i+1}^* may be empty. However, we shall see that $M_{i+1}^* \neq \varphi$ if $S \neq S^{m+1}$, as a direct consequence of the following theorem.

3.5. THEOREM. $S^i (S \setminus S^{m+1}) S^{m-i} \subset M_{i+1}^* \subset S^{m+1}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. The result is trivial if $S = S^{m+1}$. Now let $S \neq S^{m+1}$; then $M_{i+1}^* \subset S^{m+1}$, since $S \setminus \{a\}$ is a maximal $(i+1)$ -ideal for each $a \in S \setminus S^{m+1}$. To prove the other inclusion, take $x_1, \dots, x_m \in S$ and $x \in S \setminus S^{m+1}$; clearly $x_1 \cdots x_i x x_{i+1} \cdots x_m \in S \setminus \{a\}$ for any $a \in S \setminus S^{m+1}$. Now take any maximal $(i+1)$ -ideal $M \neq S \setminus \{a\}$ for $a \in S \setminus S^{m+1}$. Then $x \in M$ (for, if $x \notin M$, we would have $M \subset S \setminus \{x\}$, implying that $M = S \setminus \{x\}$ which is contradictory). It follows that $x_1 \cdots x_i x x_{i+1} \cdots x_m \in S^i M S^{m-i} \subset M$. Thus $S^i (S \setminus S^{m+1}) S^{m-i} \subset M_{i+1}^*$ as required.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. A. GRILLET (1969) - *Intersections of maximal ideals in semigroups*, « Amer. Math. Monthly », 76, 503-509.
- [2] S. SCHWARZ (1969) - *Prime ideals and maximal ideals in semigroups*, « Czech. Math. J. », 19 (94), 72-79.
- [3] F. M. SIOSON (1965) - *Ideals in $(m+1)$ -semigroups*, « Ann. Mat. Pura, Appl. », 68, 161-200.